2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Targe	t	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.48		
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.44		
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.48		
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.36		
Strong Family-Community Ties	Exceeding Target					4.20
Trust	Meeting Target				3.92	
Student Achievement	Exceeding Target					4.24
		1	2	3	4	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 075 Emily Dickinson

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	100	99	102
Grade 1	101	99	94
Grade 2	100	96	87
Grade 3	112	101	87
Grade 4	102	107	90
Grade 5	107	105	106
All students	622	607	566

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	14%	15%	14%
% Free Lunch Eligible	64%	64%	64%
% Student with IEPs	18%	26%	28%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	2%	2%
% HRA Eligible	-	52%	48%
% Temporary Housing	-	16%	15%
% Asian	4%	4%	3%
% Black	26%	25%	25%
% Hispanic	52%	53%	53%
% White	16%	15%	17%
% Other	0%	3%	2%

03M075 P.S. 075 Emily Dickinson



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Exceeding Target	4.24									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		275	2.63	1.96	2.19	2.35	2.53	2.81	4.36	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		275	31.6%	1.3%	11.9%	19.4%	27.9%	40.3%	4.30	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		190	71.0	47.0	55.3	62.5	67.5	79.5	4.29	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		71	77.0	57.4	66.4	74.2	79.6	92.6	3.52	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		82	2.08	0.46	1.13	1.72	2.13	3.13	3.88	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		277	2.83	1.92	2.24	2.47	2.73	3.11	4.26	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		277	45.1%	0.5%	14.6%	24.6%	36.1%	52.1%	4.56	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		189	71.0	42.8	52.2	60.2	65.7	79.2	4.39	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		65	73.0	57.1	65.3	72.2	77.0	88.5	3.17	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		83	2.16	0.02	1.17	2.17	2.86	4.53	2.99	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		91	92.7%	73.1%	80.7%	86.1%	92.3%	100.0%	4.05	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.98

						2	014-15 Target	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
closing the Achievement dup (ctAd) methos	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	4	1.5%	7.4%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	53	19.3%	98.0%	7.5%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.36	0.030	0.018
• SETSS	9	3.3%	31.4%	11.1%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.36	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	4	1.4%	7.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	53	19.1%	97.4%	11.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.72	0.030	0.013
• SETSS	9	3.2%	30.8%	22.2%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.47	0.030	0.026
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	28	14.7%	31.4%	32.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.59	0.030	0.012
Lowest Third Citywide	65	34.2%	48.8%	58.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.16	0.030	0.024
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	46	24.2%	61.3%	58.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.14	0.030	0.024
SC/ICT/SETSS	49	25.8%	62.8%	53.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.84	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	28	14.8%	30.4%	42.9%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.85	0.030	0.021
Lowest Third Citywide	59	31.2%	42.9%	49.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.62	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	40	21.2%	55.2%	52.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.97	0.030	0.022
SC/ICT/SETSS	48	25.4%	62.5%	50.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.88	0.030	0.022
ELL Progress	71	12.6%	32.0%	56.3%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.12	0.030	0.016
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.26
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	4.24

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

03M075 P.S. 075 Emily Dickinson

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
03M075
```

P.S. 075 Emily Dickinson

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.68	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
aborative Teachers			
	Proficient	3.40	50%
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	88%	3.48	50%
	Continue Convers	2.44	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	92%	3.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	78.1%	3.44	
HS			
Overall	78.1%	3.44	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.25	3.00	
HS			
Overall	0.25	3.00	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	80%	3.36	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
ng Family Community Tion			
ng Family-Community Ties	020/	4.20	4000/
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	92%	4.20	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
st NVC School Survey Trust	02%	2 0 2	1000/
ST NYC School Survey - Trust	92%	3.92	100%

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

03M075

P.S. 075 Emily Dickinson

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.64	3.56
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	88	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.62	3.48
Section Results:		93%					3.68
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	96				0.75	4.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.62	3.48
Quality of professional development	Teachers	72	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.43	2.72
School commitment	Teachers	90	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Innovation	Teachers	85	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.51	3.04
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.62	3.48
Focus on student learning	Teachers	87	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.58	3.32
Collective responsibility	Teachers	82	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.47	2.88
Section Results:		88%					3.48
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	95	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.75	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0	0110	
Safety	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	85	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.53	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	85				0.53	3.12
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	88	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.50	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.50	3.00
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	94	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.72	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	95				0.74	3.96
Section Results:		92%					3.60

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

03M075 P.S. 075 Emily Dickinson

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.73	3.92
Teacher influence	Teachers	64	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.55	3.20
Program coherence	Teachers	80	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.51	3.04
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	83	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.55	3.20
Section Results:		80%					3.36
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.81	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.65	3.60
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	89	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.94	4.76
Section Results:		92%					4.20
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	84	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.63	3.52
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.78	4.12
Section Results:		92%					3.92

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.63	2.42 or lower	2.43 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.61	2.62 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.04	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	31.6%	23.1% or lower	23.2% to 28.6%	28.7% to 32.8%	32.9% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.83	2.53 or lower	2.54 to 2.69	2.70 to 2.81	2.82 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.08	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	45.1%	29.4% or lower	29.5% to 36.6%	36.7% to 42.1%	42.2% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	92.7%	86.6% or lower	86.7% to 90.0%	90.1% to 92.6%	92.7% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.02	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
SETSS	2.22	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
ELL	2.19	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.40	2.41 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.12	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.36	2.37 or higher		
SETSS	2.33	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.26	2.27 or higher		
ELL	2.60	2.33 or lower	2.34 to 2.52	2.53 to 2.67	2.68 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.09	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
			47.0% to 56.9%	57.0% to 64.4%	64.5% or higher		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	78.1%	74.2% or lower	74.3% to 80.8%	80.9% to 85.7%	85.8% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.25	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.14	0.15 to 0.19	0.20 or higher		