
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 013 Roberto Clemente

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	87	81	58
Grade 1	69	91	67
Grade 2	71	73	86
Grade 3	69	70	76
Grade 4	82	69	67
Grade 5	87	74	65
All students	501	494	455

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	9%	11%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	91%	91%	87%
% Student with IEPs	14%	17%	22%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	7%	9%
% HRA Eligible	-	80%	79%
% Temporary Housing	-	22%	27%
% Asian	1%	1%	1%
% Black	69%	68%	67%
% Hispanic	25%	28%	30%
% White	4%	3%	1%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

19K013 P.S. 013 Roberto Clemente

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.22

		2014-15 Targets							
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	193	2.29	1.95	2.16	2.29	2.44	2.71	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	193	17.1%	1.5%	10.2%	16.3%	23.3%	35.2%	3.11	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	114	65.0	46.2	54.6	62.0	67.1	79.3	3.59	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	40	80.0	59.3	67.7	74.9	80.0	92.1	4.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	72	1.67	0.31	0.97	1.54	1.94	2.93	3.33	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	196	2.28	1.95	2.21	2.39	2.58	2.93	2.39	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	196	15.8%	1.4%	12.8%	20.8%	29.9%	44.9%	2.38	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	115	51.0	36.8	48.8	59.2	66.5	83.8	2.21	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	42	74.5	53.8	63.6	72.1	78.0	92.1	3.41	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	73	1.67	0.24	1.16	1.94	2.49	3.90	2.65	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	66	91.0%	72.0%	80.0%	85.6%	92.0%	100.0%	3.84	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.08

				2014-15 Targets								
Clasing the Ashievement Con (CtAC) Matrice		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	19	9.8%	48.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	17	8.8%	44.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	5	2.6%	24.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	19	9.7%	48.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	8.7%	44.4%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
o SETSS	5	2.6%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	15	13.2%	28.2%	33.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.71	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	63	55.3%	80.4%	49.2%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.25	0.030	0.017
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	38	33.3%	84.3%	50.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.42	0.030	0.018
• SC/ICT/SETSS	30	26.3%	64.2%	56.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.09	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	17	14.8%	30.4%	35.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.16	0.030	0.016
Lowest Third Citywide	61	53.0%	72.8%	44.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.15	0.030	0.016
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	33	28.7%	74.7%	42.4%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.10	0.030	0.016
SC/ICT/SETSS	30	26.1%	64.6%	36.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.66	0.030	0.012
O ELL Progress	45	10.8%	27.4%	44.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.97	0.030	0.007
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.14
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	3.22

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).


[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 013 Roberto Clemente

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.24	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	79%	2.20	50%
The Series Carte, Serias Statistic reashers	7.570	2.20	30,0
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.80	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	77%	1.76	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	65.9%	2.64	
HS	03.370	2.01	
Overall	65.9%	2.64	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	03.370	2.07	3070
environments			
EMS	0.16	2.18	
HS	0.10	2.10	
Overall	0.16	2.18	5%
- CVCI UII	0.10	2.10	3,0
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	
3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Section Score.		
	Section score.		
	Section score.		
	66%	2.00	100%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership			100%
ective School Leadership	66%	2.00	100%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	66%	2.00	100%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target	66%	2.00	100%
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	66% Section Score: 85%	2.00 2.00	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target ong Family-Community Ties	66% Section Score:	2.00 2.00	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target	66% Section Score: 85%	2.00 2.00	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	66% Section Score: 85%	2.00 2.00	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score: 85% Section Score:	2.00 2.00 2.84 2.84	100%



P.S. 013 Roberto Clemente

		City Range						
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigoro	ous Instruction			•	, ,		•	
Co	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.56	3.24
Co	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.63	3.52
Co	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	uality of student discussion	Teachers	84	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.48	2.92
	n Results:		91%					3.24
^allah	orative Teachers							
	iltural awareness:							
Cu	Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	90	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Students	90	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
			01	08.0	87.4	100.0	0.50	2.00
1,5	Cultural awareness	Combined	91	04.3	04.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
	clusive classroom instruction	Teachers	91	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
	uality of professional development	Teachers	72	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.42	2.68
	hool commitment	Teachers	59 	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
	novation	Teachers	76	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.19	1.76
	flective dialogue	Teachers	91	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
	er collaboration	Teachers	85	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.32	2.28
	cus on student learning	Teachers	70	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.04	1.16
	ollective responsibility	Teachers	74	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.24	1.96
Section	n Results:		79%					2.20
oqqu	rtive Environment							
	fety:							
	Safety	Teachers	60	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
0	Safety	Combined	60				0.00	1.00
_	assroom behavior:						0.00	
0.0	Classroom behavior	Teachers	67	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.00	
	Classroom behavior	Students	07	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.00	
0	Classroom behavior	Combined	67	07.5	04.5	100.0	0.00	1.00
	cial-emotional measure	Teachers	91	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
		Students	91	68.2	84.8	100.0	0.50	5.00
	er interactions			08.2	84.8	100.0		
	ext-level guidance	Students						
Pr	ess toward academic achievement:	Tools	0.3	75.0	00.0	400.0	0.20	
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	82	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.28	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students	0.2	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.00	0.10
	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	82				0.28	2.12
	ersonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Pe	er support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	79	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.11	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	87	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
0	Peer support for academic work	Combined	83				0.18	1.72
Section	n Results:		77%					1.76

P.S. 013 Roberto Clemente

			City Range				
	Surve	y % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership Pa	rents	89	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.48	2.92
 Teacher influence Te	achers	39	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.16	1.64
 Program coherence Te	achers	66	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.14	1.56
 Principal instructional leadership 	achers	70	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.22	1.88
Section Results:		66%					2.00
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents Te	achers	89	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.27	
Teacher outreach to parents Pa	rents	89	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.26	
Teacher outreach to parents Co	ombined	89				0.26	2.04
Parent involvement in the schools Pa	rents	81	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.66	3.64
Section Results:		85%					2.84
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust Pa	rents	90	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust Pa	rents	91	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust Stu	udents		64.6	85.2	100.0		
o Teacher-principal trust Te	achers	58	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.04	1.16
o Teacher-teacher trust Te	achers	75	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.05	1.20
Section Results:		79%					2.08

19K013

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	2015-16 Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.29	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.91	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	17.1%	8.9% or lower	9.0% to 14.0%	14.1% to 17.9%	18.0% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.28	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.44	2.45 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.85	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	15.8%	12.1% or lower	12.2% to 19.1%	19.2% to 24.4%	24.5% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	91.0%	84.2% or lower	84.3% to 88.2%	88.3% to 91.2%	91.3% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	2015-16 Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.79	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.88	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
SETSS	1.79	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
ELL	2.17	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.73	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.80	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 or higher		
SETSS	1.93	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
ELL	2.13	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	44.4%	41.6% or lower	41.7% to 51.6%	51.7% to 59.1%	59.2% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	65.9%	57.4% or lower	57.5% to 64.2%	64.3% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.16	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher			