## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Exceeding Target				4.68
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Exceeding Target				4.76
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.68	
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.32
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.36	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.12
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.51	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 121 Queens

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	113	141	157
Grade 1	122	143	161
Grade 2	138	129	135
Grade 3	130	137	129
Grade 4	146	117	145
Grade 5	128	149	125
All students	810	852	888

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	3%	4%
% Free Lunch Eligible	77%	77%	75%
% Student with IEPs	11%	12%	12%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	2%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	48%	42%
% Temporary Housing	-	2%	2%
% Asian	29%	36%	44%
% Black	19%	19%	19%
% Hispanic	12%	12%	11%
% White	1%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	32%	25%

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.51									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	<ul> <li>Top of</li> <li>Target Range</li> </ul>	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		387	2.78	2.25	2.55	2.74	2.95	3.21	3.19	10.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		387	40.1%	14.4%	28.6%	38.4%	49.5%	63.1%	3.15	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		254	64.0	47.5	55.8	63.0	68.1	80.1	3.20	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		94	69.5	54.3	63.1	70.8	76.2	89.0	2.83	10.00%
Early Grade Progress		124	2.15	1.17	1.78	2.25	2.57	3.36	2.79	10.00%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		387	3.21	2.32	2.71	2.96	3.24	3.59	3.89	10.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		387	61.5%	18.5%	35.6%	47.0%	60.1%	75.9%	4.09	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		255	66.0	44.8	54.6	62.8	68.6	82.4	3.55	10.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		87	73.0	51.3	60.4	68.5	74.1	87.5	3.80	10.00%
Early Grade Progress		124	2.87	1.19	2.05	2.67	3.11	4.24	3.45	10.00%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students				72.4%	80.2%	85.8%	92.1%	100.0%		0.00%
									Weighted Average Score	3.39

						2	014-15 Targets	6	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	12	3.1%	15.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
<ul> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> </ul>	30	7.8%	39.6%	10.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.11	0.030	0.023
SETSS	10	2.6%	24.8%	10.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	12	3.1%	15.4%	16.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	30	7.8%	39.8%	43.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	10	2.6%	25.0%	20.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.28	0.030	0.025
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	11	4.3%	9.2%	45.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	51	20.1%	27.7%	41.2%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.29	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	7	2.8%	7.1%	14.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
o SC/ICT/SETSS	34	13.4%	27.2%	32.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.81	0.030	0.006
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	13	5.1%	10.5%	38.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	31	12.2%	16.8%	71.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	4	1.6%	4.2%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	33	12.9%	26.5%	48.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.74	0.030	0.021
ELL Progress	36	4.2%	10.7%	61.1%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.12
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.51

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
28Q121
```

P.S. 121 Queens

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.08	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.68	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	96%	4.48	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.76	
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	98%	4.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	75.5%	1.44	3370
HS			
Overall	75.5%	1.44	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments EMS HS	0.18	2.36	
Overall	0.18	2.36	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
ctive School Leadership	01%	4.22	1000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.32	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.36	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.12	100%

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range				Score
Rigorous Instruction			-			Percent of Range	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.79	4.16
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	93	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.78	4.12
Section Results:		95%					4.08
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.86	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	97		0711	20010	0.81	4.24
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.94	4.76
Quality of professional development	Teachers	96	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.92	4.68
<ul> <li>School commitment</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.88	4.52
Innovation	Teachers	95	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.83	4.32
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.86	4.44
<ul> <li>Focus on student learning</li> </ul>	Teachers	98	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
Collective responsibility	Teachers	93	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:	redeners	96%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.01	4.48
Supportive Environment Safety:	Tarahasa	00	00.0	04.6	400.0	0.05	
Safety	Teachers	99	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.95	
Safety	Students	00	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.05	4.00
• Safety	Combined	99				0.95	4.80
Classroom behavior:					400.0	0.04	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	97	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.91	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	97				0.91	4.64
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.93	4.72
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:		~=	== 0	<b>C C C C C C C C C C</b>		0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	97	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.88	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	97				0.88	4.52
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	99	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.94	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students	~=	50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.84	4.36
Section Results:		98%					4.60

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			<b>a</b> . <b>b</b>			
	Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
						3.84
Teachers	74	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.70	3.80
Teachers	98	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.95	4.80
Teachers	98	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
	91%					4.32
Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.87	
Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Combined	95				0.69	3.76
Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.48	2.92
	86%					3.36
Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teachers	96	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.91	4.64
Teachers	92	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.69	3.76
	95%					4.12
	Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Parents Parents Parents Parents Students Teachers	Teachers74Teachers98Teachers9891%91%191%191%191%191%191%191%191%191%191%192195195195196196196196196196196192	Parents       94       79.3         Teachers       74       28.8         Teachers       98       60.0         Teachers       98       61.6         91%       91%       91%         Teachers       98       84.5         91%       91%       91%       91%         Teachers       98       84.5         91%       91%       91%       91%         Teachers       98       84.5         92       86.0       90.9       90.9         Parents       96       90.9       90.9         Parents       96       82.7       5         Students       64.6       64.6       64.6       64.6         Teachers       96       56.4       7       56.4       7	Parents         94         79.3         90.9           Teachers         74         28.8         60.8           Teachers         98         60.0         85.2           Teachers         98         61.6         87.0           91%         91%         91%         91%           Teachers         98         84.5         94.5           92         86.0         92.6         92.6           Combined         95         95         95           Parents         76         62.4         76.6           86%         95         93.3         93.3           Parents         96         82.7         93.3           Students         64.6         85.2         93.3           Students         96         56.4         85.0           Teachers         92         74.1         90.5	Survey % Positive         Bottom of Range         City Avg         Top of Range           Parents         94         79.3         90.9         100.0           Teachers         74         28.8         60.8         92.8           Teachers         98         60.0         85.2         100.0           Teachers         98         61.6         87.0         100.0           Teachers         98         61.6         87.0         100.0           91%          91%          100.0           Teachers         98         84.5         94.5         100.0           91%         Teachers         98         84.5         94.5         100.0           Teachers         98         84.5         94.5         100.0           Parents         92         86.0         92.6         99.2           Combined         95              Parents         76         62.4         76.6         90.8           86%               Parents         96         82.7         93.3         100.0           Students         6	Survey % Positive         Bottom of Range         City Avg         Top of Range         Percent of Range           Parents         94         79.3         90.9         100.0         0.71           Teachers         74         28.8         60.8         92.8         0.70           Teachers         98         60.0         85.2         100.0         0.95           Teachers         98         61.6         87.0         100.0         0.95           91%                 7eachers         98         61.6         90.9         0.00         0.95            91%                  7eachers         98         84.5         94.5         100.0         0.87            91%

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.78	2.54 or lower	2.55 to 2.64	2.65 to 2.72	2.73 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.21	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	40.1%	28.6% or lower	28.7% to 34.1%	34.2% to 38.3%	38.4% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.21	2.78 or lower	2.79 to 2.94	2.95 to 3.06	3.07 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.61	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.38	2.39 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	61.5%	41.9% or lower	42.0% to 49.2%	49.3% to 54.7%	54.8% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		88.4% or lower	88.5% to 91.4%	91.5% to 93.6%	93.7% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.86	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.10	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
SETSS	2.11	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.23	2.24 or higher			
ELL	2.49	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.55	2.56 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.30	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.91	2.35 or lower	2.36 to 2.52	2.53 to 2.65	2.66 or higher			
SETSS	2.67	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.54	2.55 or higher			
ELL	3.05	2.62 or lower	2.63 to 2.82	2.83 to 2.97	2.98 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.39	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.14	2.15 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.11	2.12 or higher			
ELL Progress	61.1%	43.8% or lower	43.9% to 53.8%	53.9% to 61.3%	61.4% or higher			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	75.5%	74.0% or lower	74.1% to 80.6%	80.7% to 85.6%	85.7% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.18	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.29	0.30 or higher			