Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
Collaborative Teachers	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2	3	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School for Tourism and Hospitality

2014-15 School Quality Guide / HS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 9	88	93	102
Grade 10	-	68	82
Grade 11	-	-	56
All students	88	161	240

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	16%	22%	15%
% Free Lunch Eligible	84%	84%	86%
% Student with IEPs	19%	22%	21%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	7%	8%	11%
% Overage Under-credited	14%	12%	13%
% HRA Eligible	-	-	68%
% Temporary Housing	-	-	16%
% Asian	2%	1%	1%
% Black	28%	36%	35%
% Hispanic	68%	59%	60%
% White	0%	0%	0%
% Other	0%	4%	3%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.55	2.14	2.13
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.79	2.05	2.06

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score

			2014-15 Targets						
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15		Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Credit Accumulation									
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year	67	82.1%	57.3%	68.4%	76.1%	83.4%	95.0%	3.82	8.33%
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 1st Year	21	66.7%	32.2%	49.4%	61.3%	72.5%	90.6%	3.48	8.33%
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year	81	77.8%	51.0%	62.5%	70.3%	77.6%	90.0%	3.85	8.33%
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 2nd Year	21	57.1%	25.8%	43.4%	55.4%	66.7%	85.6%	3.15	8.33%
Percent of Students Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	92	73.9%	46.6%	58.8%	67.1%	74.9%	88.3%	3.87	8.33%
Percent of Students in School's Lowest Third Earning 10+ Credits in 3rd Year	28	60.7%	23.3%	40.8%	52.7%	63.9%	82.9%	3.71	8.33%
Regents Performance									
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	176	43.2%	24.3%	37.2%	44.5%	51.4%	67.5%	2.82	8.33%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - ELA	76	0.91	0.48	0.70	0.86	1.00	1.24	3.36	8.33%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Math	96	0.83	0.37	0.68	0.89	1.10	1.43	2.71	8.33%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Science	106	1.11	0.33	0.71	0.96	1.20	1.63	3.63	8.33%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - Global History	139	0.65	0.28	0.54	0.71	0.88	1.15	2.65	8.33%
Weighted Regents Pass Rate - U.S. History	30	0.47	0.35	0.63	0.82	1.01	1.30	1.43	8.33%
Graduation / Diploma									
4-Year Graduation Rate	2		45.6%	57.0%	68.4%	76.4%	87.6%		0.00%
6-Year Graduation Rate			57.5%	66.8%	76.4%	83.2%	92.1%		0.00%
4-Year Weighted Diploma Rate	2		101.7%	139.4%	178.1%	205.5%	242.5%		0.00%
6-Year Weighted Diploma Rate			99.6%	139.4%	182.4%	212.9%	250.8%		0.00%
College and Career Readiness									
College and Career Preparatory Course Index	2		0.0%	11.7%	27.1%	39.7%	56.3%		0.00%
4-Year College Readiness Index	2		0.0%	5.1%	11.8%	17.3%	27.3%		0.00%
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence			16.3%	27.3%	39.4%	49.2%	65.2%		0.00%
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months	2		21.1%	31.8%	44.0%	54.0%	69.4%		0.00%
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months			33.5%	43.5%	55.2%	64.7%	78.5%		0.00%

						2	014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
Four-Year Weighted Diploma Rate												
English Language Learners		0.0%	0.0%		25.9%	103.0%	163.9%	225.7%	320.1%		0.036	0.000
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS	1	50.0%	100.0%		0.0%	110.0%	197.0%	285.2%	420.0%		0.036	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	1	50.0%	75.1%		0.0%	71.2%	127.5%	184.6%	271.8%		0.036	0.000
Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1	50.0%	100.0%		0.0%	65.3%	116.9%	169.2%	249.2%		0.036	0.000
College and Career Readiness												
Students in Lowest Third Citywide, College and Career	1	50.0%	75.1%		0.0%	7.3%	13.0%	18.9%	27.8%		0.036	0.000
Preparatory Course Index												
Students in Lowest Third Citywide, 4-Year College Readiness	1	50.0%	75.1%		0.0%	1.4%	2.5%	3.7%	5.4%		0.036	0.000
Index												
Students in the Lowest Third Citywide, Postsecondary	2	100.0%	100.0%		0.0%	11.4%	20.4%	29.5%	43.4%		0.036	0.000
Enrollment Rate - 6 Months												

CtAG Additional Points

Overall Student Achievement Score

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

School for Tourism and Hospitality

08X559

Section Struction Proficient 3.40 Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Scor		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1	igorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00	_	Proficient	3.40	
Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 87% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Dillaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 83% 2.96 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Dillaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 83% 2.96 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Dillaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 2.24 Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS EMS Section Pating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: From Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: From Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score:		Developing		
Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Quality Review 4.2 Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 2.96 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score:			3.40	
Section Rating: Section Score: Outlity Review 4.2 Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Supportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 2.24 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS Soverall Since Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Scor		87%	3.28	
Oilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 83% 2.96 Section Rating: Section Score: Upportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 2.24 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 51.1% 2.84 Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Fifective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score:				
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section	Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score				
Section Rating: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Supportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 2.24 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Sturvey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Section	ollaborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Section Score: Upportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 2.24 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS Soverall 51.1% 2.84 Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Frust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	
Apportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 2.24 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 51.1% 2.84 Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score:	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	83%	2.96	
Apportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 2.24 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 51.1% 2.84 Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score:	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 2.24 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 51.1% 2.84 Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score:		55511511 5551		
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 2.24 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS 51.1% 2.84 Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score:				
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 77% 2.24 Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 51.1% 2.84 Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Fective School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Fust NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: Fust NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56		Proficient	3.40	
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 51.1% 2.84 Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Formula Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score:	·			
HS Overall Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56				
Overall 51.1% 2.84 Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: FORG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score:	EMS			
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score:	HS	51.1%	2.84	
environments EMS HS Overall Overall Overall Section Rating: Section Score: fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Section Score: Fong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Ust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	Overall	51.1%	2.84	
EMS HS Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
HS Overall 0.91 4.72 Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	environments			
Overall 0.91 4.72 Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Section Score: Section Rating: Section Score:	EMS			
Section Rating: Section Score: fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Section Score: rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Section Score: Pust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	HS	0.91	4.72	
fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Section Score: rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: ust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	Overall	0.91	4.72	
fective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Section Score: rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: ust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e·	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 81% 3.28 Section Rating: Section Score: rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score: rust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56			.	
Section Rating: Section Score: Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Section Score: Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	fective School Leadership			
rong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score: Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	81%	3.28	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score: Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 80% 3.68 Section Rating: Section Score: Pust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56				
Section Rating: Section Score: ust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56				
rust NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	80%	3.68	
NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56	Section Rating:	Section Scor	e:	
NYC School Survey - Trust 91% 3.56				
		91%	3 56	
Section Rating: Section Score:	Trie School Survey Trust	3170	3.30	
	Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	



				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	93	76.8	89.4	100.0	0.71	3.84
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	96	69.2	85.8	100.0	0.86	4.44
Course clarity	Students	82	77.5	86.9	96.3	0.26	2.04
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	75	55.8	77.8	99.8	0.43	2.72
Section Results:		87%					3.28
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	94.4	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	82.3	91.9	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	74	66.6	80.6	94.6	0.28	
Cultural awareness	Combined	90				0.68	3.72
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	96	82.7	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	75	50.4	75.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
School commitment	Teachers	82	53.7	82.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
 Innovation 	Teachers	74	65.9	84.5	100.0	0.23	1.92
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	87	85.1	95.3	100.0	0.25	2.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	89	75.2	90.6	100.0	0.55	3.20
Focus on student learning	Teachers	84	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.60	3.40
Collective responsibility	Teachers	69	58.1	80.7	100.0	0.25	2.00
Section Results:		83%					2.96
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	77	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.32	
Safety	Combined	77				0.32	2.28
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	69	68.3	82.1	95.9	0.04	
 Classroom behavior 	Combined	69				0.04	1.16
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	93	86.6	95.2	100.0	0.50	3.00
 Peer interactions 	Students	66	58.2	74.4	90.6	0.24	1.96
Next-level guidance	Students	81	71.4	83.6	95.8	0.37	2.48
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	83	76.3	86.1	95.9	0.35	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	83				0.35	2.40
Personal attention and support	Students	75	69.0	81.8	94.6	0.25	2.00
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	85	68.1	85.5	100.0	0.54	
Peer support for academic work	Students	51	41.0	61.6	82.2	0.23	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	68				0.39	2.56
Section Results:		77%					2.24

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	76.5	88.9	100.0	0.63	3.52
Teacher influence	Teachers	64	40.6	71.4	100.0	0.39	2.56
Program coherence	Teachers	73	57.0	82.6	100.0	0.37	2.48
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	96	58.2	84.6	100.0	0.89	4.56
Section Results:		81%					3.28
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	90	75.2	89.0	100.0	0.59	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	76.7	88.1	99.5	0.70	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.65	3.60
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	68	41.2	61.0	80.8	0.68	3.72
Section Results:		80%					3.68
-							
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	84.9	93.1	100.0	0.63	3.52
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	96	84.5	93.7	100.0	0.75	4.00
 Student-teacher trust 	Students	74	68.5	81.1	93.7	0.20	1.80
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	97	55.0	84.0	100.0	0.93	4.72
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	75.7	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Section Results:		91%					3.56

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Credit Accumulation								
10+ Credits in 1st Year - All Students	82.1%	64.3% or lower	64.4% to 72.3%	72.4% to 77.9%	78.0% or higher			
10+ Credits in 1st Year - School's Lowest Third	66.7%	50.9% or lower	51.0% to 62.0%	62.1% to 69.7%	69.8% or higher			
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - All Students	77.8%	64.3% or lower	64.4% to 71.8%	71.9% to 77.1%	77.2% or higher			
10+ Credits in 2nd Year - School's Lowest Third	57.1%	47.0% or lower	47.1% to 57.7%	57.8% to 65.2%	65.3% or higher			
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - All Students	73.9%	57.4% or lower	57.5% to 65.0%	65.1% to 70.4%	70.5% or higher			
10+ Credits in 3rd Year - School's Lowest Third	60.7%	36.9% or lower	37.0% to 47.5%	47.6% to 59.9%	60.0% or higher			
Regents Performance								
Average Completion Rate for Remaining Regents	43.2%	32.2% or lower	32.3% to 39.1%	39.2% to 43.9%	44.0% or higher			
Average Regents Score - English (non-Common Core)*		64.8 or lower	64.9 to 68.1	68.2 to 70.5	70.6 or higher			
Average Regents Score - English (Common Core)*	72.0	68.8 or lower	68.9 to 72.6	72.7 to 75.2	75.3 or higher			
Average Regents Score - Living Environment*	66.0	59.9 or lower	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 to 66.9	67.0 or higher			
Average Regents Score - Global History*	60.0	57.4 or lower	57.5 to 61.0	61.1 to 64.9	65.0 or higher			
Average Regents Score - US History*	60.0	63.8 or lower	63.9 to 67.7	67.8 to 70.5	70.6 or higher			
Average Regents Score - Algebra I (Common Core)*		55.5 or lower	55.6 to 59.9	60.0 to 64.9	65.0 or higher			
Graduation / Non-Dropout								
4-Year Graduation Rate		56.7% or lower	56.8% to 63.9%	64.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
6-Year Graduation Rate								
4-Year Non-Dropout Rate		76.7% or lower	76.8% to 81.0%	81.1% to 84.0%	84.1% or higher			
6-Year Non-Dropout Rate								
College and Career Readiness								
College and Career Preparatory Course Index		17.2% or lower	17.3% to 26.0%	26.1% to 32.1%	32.2% or higher			
4-Year College Readiness Index		11.1% or lower	11.2% to 16.8%	16.9% to 20.7%	20.8% or higher			
6-Year College Readiness Index with Persistence								
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months								
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 18 Months								

Because it is not possible to accurately predict who will take the Regents exams in advance, the table above provides estimated targets for the Regents score metrics. These targets will be adjusted based on the students at the school who actually take the exams.

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics**	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Four-Year Graduation Rate					
English Language Learners		40.5% or lower	40.6% to 51.3%	51.4% to 58.8%	58.9% or higher
Self-Contained / ICT / SETSS		36.9% or lower	37.0% to 48.4%	48.5% to 56.4%	56.5% or higher
Lowest Third Citywide		37.0% or lower	37.1% to 48.0%	48.1% to 55.7%	55.8% or higher
Black / Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		31.4% or lower	31.5% to 43.2%	43.3% to 51.5%	51.6% or higher
College and Career Readiness of Students in Lowest Third Citywide					
College and Career Preparatory Course Index		8.3% or lower	8.4% to 12.6%	12.7% to 15.6%	15.7% or higher
4-Year College Readiness Index		3.0% or lower	3.1% to 4.5%	4.6% to 5.6%	5.7% or higher
Postsecondary Enrollment Rate - 6 Months					

^{**}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	51.1%	49.9% or lower	50.0% to 59.9%	60.0% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.91	0.46 or lower	0.47 to 0.61	0.62 to 0.71	0.72 or higher			