
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	47	54	55
Grade 1	56	55	57
Grade 2	69	52	57
Grade 3	68	64	54
Grade 4	54	68	66
Grade 5	-	51	67
All students	294	344	356

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	11%	9%	6%
% Free Lunch Eligible	33%	33%	33%
% Student with IEPs	16%	19%	21%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	4%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	25%	25%
% Temporary Housing	-	1%	1%
% Asian	19%	17%	19%
% Black	4%	4%	4%
% Hispanic	11%	13%	13%
% White	65%	64%	62%
% Other	0%	2%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

20K682 The Academy of Talented Scholars

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score	
Meeting Target	3.49	

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	180	3.10	2.41	2.72	2.89	3.09	3.32	4.04	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	180	57.2%	23.0%	37.3%	46.6%	57.2%	69.7%	4.00	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	127	58.0	49.5	57.5	64.4	69.3	80.8	2.07	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	45	57.0	54.8	63.3	70.7	75.8	88.1	1.26	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	50	3.22	1.10	1.75	2.26	2.62	3.48	4.70	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	180	3.47	2.51	2.90	3.12	3.37	3.67	4.33	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	180	76.1%	28.0%	45.1%	55.8%	67.9%	82.1%	4.58	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	126	58.5	44.2	54.3	62.9	68.9	83.3	2.49	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	43	59.0	51.6	60.9	69.1	74.7	88.3	1.80	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	50	3.87	0.92	1.85	2.57	3.08	4.35	4.62	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	48	96.9%	88.1%	93.5%	95.3%	97.4%	100.0%	3.76	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.42

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	23	12.8%	65.0%	26.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	1	0.6%	5.7%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained			0.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	23	12.8%	65.3%	47.8%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	1	0.6%	5.8%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
O ELL	20	15.7%	33.5%	20.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	1.55	0.030	0.004
Lowest Third Citywide	6	4.7%	4.6%	33.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	13	10.2%	18.1%	38.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	20	15.9%	32.6%	25.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.24	0.030	0.009
Lowest Third Citywide	5	4.0%	5.5%	80.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	12	9.5%	16.7%	58.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	19	5.3%	13.5%	68.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.07
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.49

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).


[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

The Academy of Talented Scholars

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.68	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.24	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.64	
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	90.5%	3.52	3370
HS			
Overall	90.5%	3.52	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS HS	0.16	2.18	
Overall	0.16	2.18	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.28	
ctive School Leadership	86%	3.92	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	86%	3.92	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	86% Section Score:	3.92 3.92	100%
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target			100%
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties			100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	Section Score:	3.92	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	3.92 4.56	
ective School Leadership	Section Score:	3.92 4.56	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target	93% Section Score:	3.92 4.56 4.56	100%



The Academy of Talented Scholars

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigoro	ous Instruction			•			•	
	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	92	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
• Cc	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.79	4.16
Co	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	uality of student discussion	Teachers	91	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.71	3.84
	n Results:		93%					3.68
allah	orative Teachers							
	ultural awareness:							
Ct	Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	98	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.73	
	Cultural awareness	Students	90	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.90	
			07	08.0	87.4	100.0	0.02	4.20
• In	Cultural awareness	Combined	97	04.3	04.6	100.0	0.82	4.28
	clusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.78	4.12
	uality of professional development	Teachers	85	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.68	3.72
	hool commitment	Teachers	94	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
	novation	Teachers	95	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.82	4.28
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.92	4.68
	eer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
	ocus on student learning	Teachers	96	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.88	4.52
	ollective responsibility n Results:	Teachers	91 94%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.74	3.96 4.24
	ortive Environment							
Sa	fety:	-						
	Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Cla	assroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	91	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.72	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
	Classroom behavior	Combined	91				0.72	3.88
• So	cial-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Pe	eer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Ne	ext-level guidance	Students						
Pr	ess toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	95	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.78	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
•	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	95				0.78	4.12
Pe	ersonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
	eer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	100	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.98	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
			100				0.00	4.96
•	Peer support for academic work	Combined	100				0.99	4.9h

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership	-						
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	97	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.86	4.44
Teacher influence	Teachers	63	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.53	3.12
 Program coherence 	Teachers	94	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.84	4.36
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	88	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.68	3.72
Section Results:		86%					3.92
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.99	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	98	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.92	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	99				0.95	4.80
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	86	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.83	4.32
Section Results:		93%					4.56
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	99	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.90	4.60
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.87	4.48
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	91	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.78	4.12
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.78	4.12
Section Results:		96%					4.32

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.10	2.82 or lower	2.83 to 2.92	2.93 to 3.00	3.01 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.50	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	57.2%	43.4% or lower	43.5% to 48.9%	49.0% to 53.1%	53.2% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.47	2.99 or lower	3.00 to 3.14	3.15 to 3.26	3.27 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.77	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.49	2.50 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	76.1%	51.5% or lower	51.6% to 58.8%	58.9% to 64.2%	64.3% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	96.9%	88.8% or lower	88.9% to 91.6%	91.7% to 93.8%	93.9% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained		1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.65	2.33 or lower	2.34 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.53	2.54 or higher			
SETSS		2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.49	2.50 or higher			
ELL	2.75	2.33 or lower	2.34 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.58	2.59 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained		2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.33	2.34 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.99	2.52 or lower	2.53 to 2.69	2.70 to 2.82	2.83 or higher			
SETSS		2.47 or lower	2.48 to 2.65	2.66 to 2.78	2.79 or higher			
ELL	3.24	2.68 or lower	2.69 to 2.87	2.88 to 3.02	3.03 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.19	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.18	2.19 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.14	2.15 or higher			
ELL Progress	68.4%	50.5% or lower	50.6% to 60.5%	60.6% to 68.0%	68.1% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	90.5%	80.2% or lower	80.3% to 85.2%	85.3% to 89.0%	89.1% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.16	0.13 or lower	0.14 to 0.21	0.22 to 0.27	0.28 or higher		