

Proposed Consolidated Plan

2014 Volume 2



NYCTM PLANNING
DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING CITY OF NEW YORK

Effective as of October 10, 2013

Proposed Consolidated Plan

2014
Volume 2



Michael R. Bloomberg
Mayor, City of New York

Amanda M. Burden, FAICP
Director, Department of City Planning

NYCPLANNING
DEPARTMENT OF CITY PLANNING CITY OF NEW YORK

Department of City Planning
22 Reade Street, New York, NY 10007-1216

nyc.gov/planning

DCP# 13-09

Proposed 2014 CONSOLIDATED PLAN

October 10, 2013

VOLUME 2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Action Plan (continued)

D. Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for the Homeless and Other Special Needs Populations	I-133
1. Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for the Homeless	I-133
a. Homeless Prevention for Populations at Risk of Becoming Homeless	I-136
b. Homeless Families with Children and Homeless Adult Families	I-142
c. Homeless Individuals	I-145
d. Runaway and Homeless Youth	I-154
2. Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for Non-homeless Special Needs Populations	I-161
a. Mentally Ill, the Chemically Dependent and Mentally and Developmentally Disabled	I-161
b. Persons with HIV/AIDS	I-166
c. Victims of Domestic Violence	I-171
d. Elderly and Frail Elderly	I-181
e. Persons with Physical Disabilities	I-186

II. Other Actions

Introduction	II
A. Citizen Participation Plan	II-2
B. Relevant Public Policies and Barriers to Affordable Housing	II-17
C. New York City Housing Authority	II-31
D. Elimination and Treatment of Lead-Based Paint Hazards	II-54
E. Anti-Poverty Strategy	II-63
F. Institutional Structure	II-74
G. Governmental Coordination and Consultation	II-91
H. HOME HUD Requirements	II-103
I. Emergency Solutions Grant Requirements Grantee Requirements	II-109
J. HOPWA Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA)	II-114

VOLUME 1

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Included in this volume for reference)

Executive Summary	ES-1
Introduction	1
A. Statement of One-Year Objectives	I-3
B. Use of and Funding Amounts Expected to be Received	I-10
1. Funding Amounts Expected to be Received	I-10
Summary Table of Funding Sources	I-14
i. HUD Formula/Entitlement Programs	I-14
Community Development Block Grant Program	I-14
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	I-16
Emergency Solutions Grant	I-16
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	I-16
ii. NYCHA Funds	I-17
iii. HUD Competitive Programs	I-17
iv. State Funds	I-17
v. City Funds	I-17
vi. Private Funds	I-18
2. Use of Funds Expected to be Received	I-19
i. Section 215 Affordable Housing Goals	I-19
HUD Table 3B Affordable Housing Goals	I-22
ii. Proposed Provision of Supportive Housing for Person with HIV/AIDS	I-23
C. Program Descriptions	I-25
1. Description of Program Variables	I-25
i. HUD Formula/Entitlement Programs	I-25
Community Development Block Grant Program	I-25
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	I-40
Emergency Solutions Grant	I-44
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	I-46
ii. NYCHA Funds	I-48
iii. HUD Competitive Programs	I-49
iv. State Funds	I-51
v. City Funds	I-53
2. Description of Programmatic Activities	I-55
i. HUD Formula/Entitlement Programs	I-55
Community Development Block Grant Program	I-55
HOME Investment Partnerships Program	I-104
Emergency Solutions Grant	I-109
Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS	I-115
ii. NYCHA Funds	I-119
iii. HUD Competitive Programs	I-123
iv. State Funds	I-129
v. City Funds	I-131

VOLUME 3

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Included in this volume for reference)

II. Other Actions (continued)

K. Monitoring Standards and Procedures	II-119
L. Certificate of Consistency Chart	II-128
M. Certifications	II-130
N. Summary of Citizens Comments	II-139

Appendices:

1. Definitions	A1-1
2. Abbreviations and Acronyms	A2-1
3. Maps	A3-1
4. Dictionary of Program Description Variables	A4-1
5. Alphabetical Index of Programs	A5-1
6. Resources for Prospective Homebuyers	A6-1

Credits

D. Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for the Homeless and Other Special Needs Populations

This Supportive Housing continuum of care section will address the supportive housing services the City of New York currently undertakes and will continue to undertake during the next year for homeless families and individuals, and non-homeless special needs populations including the elderly, persons with a disability (mental, physical, and/or developmental), persons with a chemical addiction, and persons and families which include persons with HIV/AIDS and victims of domestic violence. This continuum of care also addresses homeless prevention/diversion programs for populations at risk of becoming homeless, including the precariously housed, such as those facing eviction, and very low-income households experiencing rent burden, individuals facing de-institutionalization and relocated families.

Programs for NYCHA residents with special needs are discussed in detail in the NYCHA Resident Initiatives subsection. In addition to the details provided in the Resident Initiatives section, NYCHA contributes to the City's Supportive Housing continuum of care in many ways, throughout this chapter NYCHA programs are referenced, as can be seen in the Homeless and the Domestic Violence subsections below. In addition, Part II., Section C., describes NYCHA programs for special needs groups such as the homeless, the elderly, the disabled, those persons who are chemically dependent, and others.

The continuum of care for the homeless works to prevent low-income individuals and families with and without children from becoming homeless, addresses the multiple needs of homeless individuals and families, and helps homeless persons rapidly make the transition to housing and independent living. This continuum of care aims to end homelessness, with an emphasis on chronic homelessness, among families and individuals. The homeless continuum of care components include: outreach, prevention and diversion services; assessment programs; transitional housing programs which include educational services, vocational training and job placement; health, mental health and substance abuse components, with an emphasis on access to mainstream resources; housing placement assistance that rapidly re-house families and individuals and targets supported housing to those most in need; and aftercare services to ensure that families and individuals remain stably housed. To ensure that people can move successfully through this continuum of care, services are flexible and client-based and clients are expected to be full participants in programs to help them become independent.

This continuum of care recognizes that homelessness is not an isolated problem and that housing is not always the single solution. Homeless families with children face different challenges than homeless single adults. A majority of emergency shelter and transitional housing facilities for homeless single adults offer the following services: employment training, educational counseling and services, mental health rehabilitation, specialized services for veterans, substance abuse treatment, intensive counseling and case management and other transitional services aimed at assisting residents to return to independent living in the community. Facilities housing homeless families provide access to services such as mainstream employment training and job placement, education programs, substance abuse prevention, and referrals and intensive counseling and case management.

1. Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for the Homeless

History of the Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for the Homeless

In the late 1970's and the 1980's, the shelter system provided few services to assist homeless families and single adults attain independence and move on to a permanent living situation. It was designed for emergency purposes and did not provide housing, but offered mainly hotels and congregate arrangements. In short, the system attempted to impose only emergency and temporary solutions, no matter how lasting the problems. This system tended to promote dependency, and made it incredibly difficult for individuals and families to regain independence. In response to this growing problem, the New York City Commission on Homelessness was formed and, in 1992, it published the results of its findings in "The Way Home Report."

The report indicated that the existing approach to homelessness was flawed because it failed to adequately consider other conditions like substance abuse and mental illness, that evidence indicated were often co-morbid characteristics accompanying homelessness. The evidence included in the report indicated that 42% of women and 18% of men reported that they had received treatment for mental or emotional problems, 51% of those surveyed had been in jail or prison at some time, 31% of the women had been physically or sexually abused as a child, 45% by a partner, and 6% tested positive for illegal substances. This data strongly indicated that many homeless people were in need of assistance beyond temporary housing to attain and/or maintain independence; as a result, the Commission recommended a more comprehensive approach to combating homelessness.

As a result of the Commission's recommendations, the responsibility for overseeing the provision of homeless services was transferred from the City's Social Services agency, the Human Resources Administration (HRA), to the newly established Department of Homeless Services (DHS), in the summer of 1993. In May 1994, DHS presented a plan for the delivery of services to homeless people, and the further development of the continuum of care, in a report entitled "Reforming New York City's System of Homeless Services". The report contained a plan to transform New York City's shelter system into the comprehensive system of services that had been recommended by the aforementioned New York City Commission on the Homeless, and supported by the New York City Council's Legislative Commission on the Homeless.

But as vast resources and energy had been focused on creating and maintaining this extensive shelter network, the discussion around how best to address homelessness was primarily a discussion about the provision of shelter, rather than long-term solutions.

As a result of these factors and a persistent and significant affordable housing crisis, the number of people in shelter continued to exist at high levels. During this time, the City's primary approach to the varying types of housing instability – i.e., rent arrears, potential eviction, household discord, medical emergency– was shelter. Despite the fact that the shelter system was designed specifically to protect people from the streets, shelter had become the de facto institutionalized response to wide-ranging needs – many of which could be better addressed with nuanced and more flexible interventions that help people stabilize housing, retain community ties, or transition successfully from institutional or custodial settings to community housing.

On January 17, 2003, under the leadership of Mayor Michael Bloomberg, an historic agreement was reached among The Legal Aid Society, the City of New York and the New York City Department of Homeless Services. The Agreement established the Family Homelessness Special Master Panel and charged it with a mandate to evaluate the functioning of various aspects of the shelter system for homeless families with children. In November 2003, the Special Master Panel issued its Family Homelessness Prevention Report and in February 2004, the Panel also completed a Review of the Legal Framework of the Homeless Shelter System.

In November 2003, Mayor Bloomberg has convened with a group of public, private, and nonprofit leaders to develop a then 10-year, multi-sector strategy to address these concerns and strengthen the City's response to New Yorkers who are most in need. A 41-member coordinating committee, as well as hundreds of task force participants and experts convened to develop the following nine-point strategy. As Mayor Bloomberg introduced this City strategic plan, he then charged his administration to achieve these innovative plans in five years as opposed to the original ten-year goal. This strategic plan aims to:

1. Overcome street homelessness
2. Prevent homelessness
3. Coordinate discharge planning
4. Coordinate city services and benefits
5. Minimize disruptions to families whose homelessness cannot be prevented
6. Minimize duration of homelessness
7. Shift resources into preferred solutions
8. Provide resources for vulnerable populations to access and afford housing

9. Measure progress, evaluate success, and invest in continuous improvement

Also, on June 23, 2004, the Special Masters Panel issued its report on The Emergency Assistance Unit and Shelter Eligibility Determination. The Panel recommended a complete restructuring of and significant improvements in application, eligibility determination, and support functions for shelter services. The Report sets out recommendations that tie together in an integrated, systematic, and flexible way, an approach to: preventing family homelessness; revamping the shelter application process; improving the eligibility determination practice; a more timely placement into shelter; the development of family safeguards; the expansion in the range, availability, and commitment of housing-related resources and supports to families; all in a physical setting designed to facilitate the core EAU functions.

On September 17, 2008, Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg and The Legal Aid Society announced an agreement dismissing the McCain, Lamboy, Slade, and Cosentino collection of lawsuits, which together have governed the homeless services system for families with children in New York City for 25 years. The agreement, signed by the parties, ends litigation and court oversight of the City's family shelter services system. A unanimous recommendation to end this litigation was put forward by the court-appointed Special Master Panel after their detailed evaluation of the homeless services system in 2004. This historic agreement now enables the City to regain full control and oversight of its family services system, no longer having to enforce over 40 highly-detailed court orders or spend precious staff time and agency resources complying with or litigating these cases.

On September 23, 2008, DHS released a report detailing significant progress in reducing street homelessness and homelessness among single adults and major transformations to the homeless system through the City's five-year action plan, *Uniting For Solutions Beyond Shelter*. Record numbers of individuals—including men, women, and children—have moved to work and permanent housing under the Bloomberg administration. The five-year plan outlined major changes needed to improve the adult and families systems, including the addition of prevention services, which prior to the Bloomberg administration was not part of DHS' work. DHS' efforts have led to progress in reducing homelessness among single adults, particularly in outreach to the chronically homeless. Outreach services are tailored in order to be most accepted by those chronically street homeless individuals who are resistant to the traditional shelter system by offering lower threshold housing such as Safe Haven beds.

In 2010, the United States Interagency Council on Homelessness released its federal strategic plan to prevent end homelessness, *Opening Doors*. The plans overarching goals are to:

- Finish the job of ending chronic homelessness in 5 years
- Prevent and end homelessness among Veterans in 5 years
- Prevent and end homelessness for families, youth, and children in 10 years
- Set a path to ending all types of homelessness

DHS embraces the goals established in the federal plan and already has key initiatives in place to support their realization. In alignment with the plan, DHS will continue to utilize its award winning prevention strategies. To assist families and individuals at risk of homelessness and to rapidly re-house individuals who have entered shelter. DHS will also continue its efforts around Veterans homelessness that began in 2007 and continues with a strong collaboration with the Veterans Administration and targeted short-term housing residences for Veterans. Also in concert with the plan, DHS believes that employment is the best way to ensure that homeless families and individuals move towards independence and self-sufficiency, and maintain in the community in homes of their own. DHS is enhancing its already strong focus on employment through greater collaboration with the Human Resources Administration.

In January 2012, the New York City Coalition on the Continuum of Care (NYC CCoC) held a three-day Strategic Planning Forum. The Strategic Planning Forum was intended to gather input from consumers, providers, government officials, and other stakeholders to inform development of a Continuum of Care (CoC)

Action Plan by the NYC CCoC Steering Committee. Since mid-2011, the NYC CCoC has been assessing its capacity and the performance of HUD-funded CoC projects as a part of a national effort to prepare for changed in federal Homeless Assistance funding, as well as to support achievement of the federal strategic plan to prevent and end homelessness (“Opening Doors”). In February 2012, CoC Action Plan was finalized by the Steering Committee in February and is being used to guide capacity and performance improvements across all HUD-funded CoC projects, ensuring local HUD-funded CoC resources are used to effectively and efficiently help persons who are homeless resolve their housing crisis and move to greater stability.

The NYC CCoC Strategic Action plan was revised in April 2013 to continuously improve the quality and performance of federally-funded Continuum of Care projects serving homeless persons, as well as to ensure a broader, positive impact on efforts to prevent and end homelessness in New York City (NYC).

a. Homeless Prevention for Populations at Risk of Becoming Homeless

Populations at Risk – The Precariously Housed

It is difficult to estimate the numbers of individuals and families at imminent risk of becoming homeless, however, there are a number of situations where a family or an individual can be considered precariously housed and at imminent risk of homelessness. These situations include imminent eviction, very low income and very high rent burdens, substandard housing, overcrowded conditions, and recent homelessness. Each situation is described in greater detail below.

Imminent Eviction

Studies have shown that a majority of people who appear in Housing Court for eviction proceedings do so without legal representation and that a substantial number of these people receive public assistance. Those lacking legal resources will most likely be at risk of legal threat or eviction.

Very low incomes (at or below 50 percent MFI) and high rent burdens and/or in substandard dwelling units

Households with very low incomes and very high rent burdens may be at risk of becoming homeless. Altogether, 503,000 very low income renter households in New York City in 2008 have a severe cost burden and may be at risk of becoming homeless. Among renter households with extremely low income (30 percent or less of area MFI), 75.1% or about 374,000 households, have a severe rent burden - greater than 50 percent of income, according to the 2008 NYC Housing and Vacancy Survey (HVS). In fact, their median gross rent/income ratio was a crushing 83.8 percent. Another 129,000 very low income renter households with incomes at 31 – 50% of Area Median Income for the household size also suffered this severe rent burden. That is a total of 503,000 very low income renter households with severe cost burden who may be at risk of becoming homeless.

Of the HUD household types, crowding is by far a problem of large related renter households: 101,000 or 65.3 percent of large related renter households are crowded. Among large related renter households with incomes less than 30% AMI, 68.6 percent are crowded. These large crowded households with low incomes experience multiple pressures that could lead some members to leave the household and become homeless. If overcrowding is considered to compound the hazard of low income to increase the risk of homelessness, approximately 53,000 very low income renter households (50 percent or less of MFI) are overcrowded and paying more than 50 percent of household income for rent. These renter households must be considered to be under severe pressure and possibly at risk of some members becoming homeless.

Another 38,000 renter households with incomes between 51 and 80 percent of area MFI also have a severe rent burden (over 50% of income) and may be at risk of homelessness. These numbers do not include households with zero or negative income, whose rent burden situation could not be calculated, but who may also have severe rent burden problems, or those reporting no cash rent.

According to the 2008 HVS, about 127,000 low income renter households (income at or below 80 percent of the area median family income) live in physically poor housing. Physically poor housing is defined as being either dilapidated, having three or more building defects, 4 or more maintenance deficiencies, or an incomplete kitchen or bath. Of these, 94,000 households are very low income renters (at or below 50 percent of MFI) living in physically poor housing. When physical conditions become dangerous and landlords fail to make repairs, these families and individuals may leave their homes and enter the shelter system. Most at risk must be the 19,000 low income (80% or less of AMI) renter households who are crowded and living in physically poor housing. Of these high risk households, more than 7,000 also pay a severe rent burden of 50% or more of income for rent.

Overcrowded Renter Households

Overcrowded families represent a pool of precariously housed people who could potentially seek shelter at any time. According to the 2008 HVS the rate of crowding was particularly high among large related low income renter households (at or below 80 percent of AMI), at 66.5 percent crowded.

Institutional Discharges

Another population at risk of homelessness are those people who have been living in institutions and are discharged from these institutions. Correctional, psychiatric and medical institutions often discharge individuals from their systems who are at risk of homelessness. Hospitals and correctional facilities do not always have the time or resources to engage in significant discharge planning for people who are poor, or who have lost touch with friends and family due to a long institutionalization.

Without adequate discharge planning, these people are referred directly into the shelter system, or are expected to find their way into the shelter system. These individuals, usually due to the length of time in an institution, may be isolated and unable to cope with the stresses of daily life. For those released from psychiatric and medical hospitalizations, a congregate shelter setting may be inappropriate, where it may be difficult to monitor medication regimens, or where they are at risk of infection.

Relocated Families

A significant percentage of families in the shelter system have either never had their own home or have not maintained their own household for a long period of time before entering the shelter system. When these families move into permanent housing, they often move into a new and unfamiliar neighborhood without any service or familial linkages. Without the necessary independent living skills households may revert tendencies/practices which result in the families being unable to maintain proper housing.

Employment and Education

One of the causes of homelessness is the lack of affordable housing. The City does, however, recognize the need to ensure that formerly homeless families obtain independent living skills so that they may successfully maintain themselves in permanent housing when they move out of the shelter system into permanent housing. The provision of educational services is integral to the creation of employment opportunities for the heads of homeless families. Social workers, case managers, employment specialists, and vocational counselors work closely with clients to help them improve independent living skills, by providing individualized services meeting their needs in the areas of employment and educational planning.

Homelessness Prevention Programs

The Continuum of Care begins with the provision of preventive services to divert families from the shelter system whenever possible. The City's Human Resources Administration (HRA) Diversion Program provides diversion services to individuals/families in crisis, including assistance in obtaining entitlements or special needs allowances to pay "back rent" or other costs, referral to legal or apartment locating services, and mediation with families and friends who could provide accommodations. Homelessness prevention also entails working to stabilize neighborhoods by improving housing conditions and providing support services to tenants, including tenants of City-owned buildings.

The Family Eviction Prevention Supplement (FEPS) program is a shelter supplement designed to assist Cash Assistance (CA) eligible families with children in maintaining permanent housing by issuing them a shelter supplement in addition to the CA shelter amount. FEPS can last for up to five years (with an extension for good cause), as long as the household maintains CA and FEPS eligibility. Applicants/participants with a court proceeding concerning the nonpayment of rent can apply for FEPS through a New York State Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA) authorized community-based organization (CBO), the Legal Aid Society or a Legal Services preparer, thereby avoiding homelessness.

HRA's Office of Housing & Homeless Services/Initiatives (OHHSI) manages several programs that assist HRA's CA applicants and recipients in maintaining affordable housing. OHHSI has established extensive linkages with other City housing agencies, including the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA), the Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) and the Department of Homeless Services (DHS).

Key OHHSI programs include the Homelessness Diversion Units (HDUs) including the HRA Diversion Unit at the DHS Preventive Assistance Temporary Housing (PATH) facility. The HRA Diversion Unit at the DHS shelter intake center for single male adults and adult families (East 30th Street), the DHS Franklin Ave. Bronx intake center for single women, the centralized Rental Assistance Unit (RAU), and the Housing Court Units throughout the City. These homelessness prevention programs enable HRA to achieve one of its goals: assisting families and individuals at risk of homelessness by helping them maintain permanent housing, thereby (1) providing a stable housing environment that will support the family's efforts to achieve maximum self-sufficiency and (2) averting the family's entry into the City's homeless shelter system. In addition, these programs provide early and aggressive negotiations with landlords to reduce rent arrears expenditures for New York City and New York State.

Homelessness Diversion Units - are located at all Job Centers and at the Department of Homeless Services' (DHS) Preventive Assistance Temporary Housing (PATH) intake facility for families with children, Bronx intake center for single women., the DHS East 30th Street intake center for single male adults families, and the DHS Franklin Ave. Bronx intake center for single women.. The mission of these teams is to maintain families/individuals in permanent housing and avoid placement in the City's emergency shelter system. The Diversion Teams negotiate, intervene and advocate on behalf of families/individuals that are homeless or at imminent risk of homelessness. HDU staff evaluate and verify existing situations, discuss possible solutions and develop a "Diversion Plan," which includes possible long-term alternatives such as payment of rent arrears, apartment search services, grants for relocation costs, FEPS intervention, Section 8 reinstatement and other alternatives that maintain or secure permanent housing.

HDU staff interview all individuals/families identified as at risk of homelessness. Of the 68,535 cases referred to HDUs during CFY 2013, approximately 50,339 cases had their housing problems resolved. Of these, HDUs maintained permanent housing or found alternate living arrangements for 27,992 (55.6%). This compares with 23,761 of 49,179(48.3%) families/individuals whose cases were resolved in CFY 2012.

Of those interviewed by HDUs at the Job Centers, 21,000 cases of families/individuals were found to be at imminent risk of homelessness during CFY 2013. HDUs maintained permanent housing or found alternate living arrangements for 19,819 (93.9%) of these families/individuals through the end of CFY 2013. For CFY 2012, comparable statistics were 17,692 of 19,212 (92.0%).

The HRA homelessness diversion team at PATH works to help applicant families with children find alternatives to the shelter system. In CFY 2013, the HDU at PATH diverted 6,412 families from the DHS shelter system, compared to 5,500 in CFY 2012. In CFY 2013, the HRA Diversion Unit at the DHS East 30th Street intake center diverted 249 single male adults/adult families from the DHS shelter system and the HRA Diversion Unit at Franklin Ave. diverted 147 single women from the DHS shelter system.

HDU staff works closely with NYCHA to avert evictions for PA recipients with rent arrears. During CFY 2013, HDU averted 445 Housing Authority evictions for “at risk” cases referred by the Housing Authority. During CFY 2012, HDU averted 833.

Rent Arrears Alert (RAA) - In CFY 2001 HRA initiated the Rent Arrears Alert (RAA) Program. HDU staff coordinates this program, which is active at all Job Centers. The RAA Program is primarily focused on early intervention and works with tenants who receive CA and have rent arrears. RAA staff also enter into negotiations with landlords to help tenants remain housed. HRA considers the program to have been very successful in its negotiations with landlords. In CFY 2013, HDU and Rental Assistance Unit (RAU) staff negotiated on behalf of applicants for ongoing CA, recipients of CA, and applicants for “one-shot” assistance, a total of \$28,239,895 thru June 30, 2013 in reductions of past due rent arrears. During CFY 2012, staff negotiated reductions of \$36,648,931.

Rental Assistance Unit (RAU) - The Rental Assistance Unit (RAU) is a “safety net” to prevent families and individuals from becoming homeless. RAU staff prevents evictions caused by non-payment of rent by gathering and reviewing information on the circumstances that cause a particular CA applicant or recipient to be at risk of homelessness. Rental assistance requests are reviewed by RAU on a case-by-case basis in accordance with NYS regulations/guidelines and HRA policy and procedures. Factors considered in evaluating requests for rental assistance include reasonable documentation for the cause of need, personal responsibility/utilization of available resources, affordability of housing, consideration of at-risk factors such as disability, and a viable future plan to pay rent going forward. RAU staff then makes a determination whether to approve a grant and resolve the housing emergency. RAU staff works closely with the Housing Court and HDU staff. As part of RAU, Housing Court Liaison Unit (HCLU) staff are out-stationed at the City Housing Courts located in all five boroughs. In addition, HCLU staff are stationed at the Harlem Community Justice Center and the Red Hook Court Justice Center. HCLU staff serve as liaisons between Cash Assistance recipients, landlords and Housing Court judges to forestall and prevent eviction. Staff interview families and individuals and make assessments of their housing problems to determine if evictions can be prevented. Based on the Housing Court liaison’s assessment of a housing situation, recommendations for payment or referrals are made to the appropriate Job Center HDU.

During CFY 2013, RAU issued rental assistance grants for 26,306 cases totaling \$89,154,601. This compares to rental assistance grants for 33,240 cases totaling \$102,066,660 for CFY 2012.

Homebase Prevention Program (DHS) - Launched in October 2004, Homebase is a neighborhood-based homeless prevention program. This innovative model serves as a pro-active approach to decreasing the number of individuals and families entering the shelter system. Through Homebase, DHS is now providing services to households at risk of becoming homeless to all communities in New York City. These prevention programs provide an array of services including case management, mediation, independent living skills training, and offer flexible financial assistance to help stabilize families permanently or temporarily while more suitable living arrangements can be found. These services are available to both individuals and families.

With the expansion of Homebase to a citywide model, the program offers community-based prevention services, rapid rehousing from shelter, as well as aftercare services to those households return to the community from shelter through the Advantage New York Housing subsidies. There are now 11 Homebase prevention contracts charged with assisting those at-risk, particularly non-lease holding individuals and families in “doubled up” living situations, through targeted services and financial assistance.

The Homebase providers are Ridgewood Bushwick Senior Citizen’s Council serving Brooklyn Community Districts 1, 4, 16; Church Avenue Merchants Block Association serving Brooklyn Community Districts 2, 3, 6-15, 17 and all of Staten Island; Bronxworks serving Bronx Community District 4, HELP USA serving Bronx Community Districts 1, 3, 5-8; Catholic Charities Neighborhood Services serving all of Queens and East New York, Brooklyn; Palladia serving all of Manhattan, and Catholic Charities Community Services serving Bronx Community Districts 2, 9-12. As of July 2013, Homebase has served over 46,000 community clients and over

90% served to date have not entered the shelter system. This initiative is part of DHS' commitment to strengthen neighborhood based services and foster community based solutions to homelessness.

Populations eligible for homeless prevention include individuals and families who are currently in housing but are at risk of becoming homeless; they may need temporary rent or utility assistance to prevent them from becoming homeless or assistance to move to another unit.

In 2009, New York City commissioned the first rigorous evaluation of homelessness prevention in the country that includes a random control trial, a quasi-experimental design study, hazard modeling and ecological regression analyses. The research team from Columbia University, Abt Associates, Vanderbilt University, University of Pennsylvania and the City University of New York found:

- There are several critical characteristics of families who enter shelter and by screening people for these characteristics; NYC can improve its ability to predict who will enter shelter by 30 percent.
- There are no barriers too high to serve with prevention services. HomeBase is at its most effective serving the most at-risk families.
- Services delivered through Homebase successfully prevented homelessness, thereby enabling more families to remain stably housed in the community. Most notably, the study found that Homebase intervention cut shelter applications nearly in half and reduced the number of days that families spent in shelter by 70 percent.

DHS has used these findings to develop a screening tool in order to assess an individual's risk of entering shelter. Prevention services will only be provided to those found to be most at risk of entering shelter.

Prevention Risk Factors While HUD's definition of homelessness is well-understood, it can be more challenging to identify persons who are housed but who have a very high risk of becoming homeless. Through the research described above, DHS's risk assessment tool screens clients for the following human capital, demographic, and housing variables. These risk factors are grouped by how they are weighted on the screening tool:

Low-weight risk factors: Pregnancy, having a child under age 2, no high school diploma/GED, no current employment, not a lease holder, reintegrating into the community, aged 23-28, 1-3 moves in the last year, 1-2 disruptive childhood experiences, moderate discord with landlord/leaseholder/household.

Medium-weight risk factors: Receiving PA, involved with protective services, evicted/asked to leave, applied to shelter in the last 3 months, 22 years old or younger, 4 or more moves within the last year, moderate to severe discord with landlord/leaseholder/household

Highest-weight risk factor: Previous shelter history as an adult.

Using the tool to assess risk and then future shelter entry, the study did show that "even at the highest level of measured risk, a majority of families managed to avoid shelter." (Shinn, M. & Greer, A.L, 2011) Thus, those determined to be at the highest risk of entering shelter are provided with the most services.

Family Eligibility

Families who have been exclusively assessed using DHS's risk assessment and who:

- a. Are at imminent risk of homelessness; and
- b. currently reside or are taking up residence; or
- c. are returning to a residence after a period of less than 90 days during which they have

- resided in an institution (e.g., correctional, substance abuse treatment, mental health, etc.) or have been living on the street or living in shelter;
- d. are experiencing a significant threat to their housing stability and is at risk of entering or re-entering shelter due to such factors as:
 - i. a shared living arrangement where there is significant overcrowding or discord; or
 - ii. the commencement of legal action that threatens to terminate the client's residency; or
 - iii. a need to stabilize housing where the client has reintegrated into the community after moving out of a shelter or other institution;
 - iv. or the end of an existing housing subsidy or is at risk of losing the subsidy.
- e. Household income must not exceed 200% of the federal poverty guidelines.

Individual Eligibility

Households without children who have been exclusively assessed using an evidenced-based risk assessment instrument provided by DHS and who:

- a. Are at imminent risk of homelessness; and
- b. currently reside or are taking up residence; or
- c. are returning to a residence after a period of less than 90 days during which they have resided in an institution (e.g., correctional, substance abuse treatment, mental health, etc.) or have been living on the street or living in shelter;
- d. are experiencing a significant threat to their housing stability and is at risk of entering or re-entering shelter due to such factors as:
 - i. a shared living arrangement where there is significant overcrowding or discord; or
 - ii. the commencement of legal action that threatens to terminate the client's residency; or
 - iii. a need to stabilize housing where the client has reintegrated into the community after moving out of a shelter or other institution;
 - iv. having a history of shelter use; or
 - v. is at the end of an existing housing subsidy or is at risk of losing the subsidy.
- e. Household income must not exceed 30% of Area Median Income.

Rapid Re-housing- Rapid re-housing assistance is available for persons who are homeless according to HUD's definition and ***for whom*** the ESG assistance can be used within the first 10 days of a shelter stay to re-house the family. Households that meet one of the following criteria in addition to the minimum requirements specified in the following section (Eligibility Determination) are eligible for ESG rapid re-housing assistance:

- Sleeping in an emergency shelter;
- Sleeping in a place not meant for human habitation, such as cars, parks, abandoned buildings, streets/sidewalks;
- Staying in a hospital or other institution for up to 90 days but was sleeping in an emergency shelter or other place not meant for human habitation (cars, parks, streets, etc.) immediately prior to entry into the hospital or institution;
- Graduating from, or timing out of a transitional housing program; and
- Victims of domestic violence.

Family Anti-Eviction Legal Services - DHS has 10 anti-eviction legal service contracts funded at 6 million dollars. The program is called the Homelessness Prevention Law Project (HPLP). Services include appearances at judicial and administrative hearings, trials, appeals, and other such forums as required to settle or try eviction cases, factual investigations; inquires into whether a tenant's rent level is correct, whether there are conditions which require repair, and whether these constitute defenses to a proceeding; legal research; preparation and filing of required agency and court papers; drafting briefs; ongoing client contact, including follow-up

interviews, when appropriate; and institution of appropriate remedial actions; assistance with pro se documents; drafting letters; and negotiations with landlords and/or other advocacy assistance.

b. Homeless Families with Children and Homeless Adult Families without Minor Children

The Division of Family Services oversees the emergency family shelter system for families with children or pregnant women in New York City. The Division of Adult Services provides services to adult families without children who are a legal family through marriage or verifiable co-dependence.

In City Fiscal Year (CFY) 2013, families with children constituted 84% of the total number of families in the DHS shelter system, adult families (without children) constituted 16%. A total of 21,398 families were provided shelter with 70,869 individuals making up those families. Approximately 56.5% of these family heads of household were African-American, 36.9% were Hispanic, .3% were White, .8% were Asian and Pacific Islander, Native American, or Alaskan and 3.2% were of unknown race or ethnicity.

In CFY 2013, a total of 3,987 adult families were provided shelter. Approximately 56% of these family heads of household were African-American, 33.1% were Hispanic, 7.1% were White, .8% were Asian and Pacific Islander, Native American, or Alaskan and 2.9% were of unknown race or ethnicity.

Emergency Services: DHS Intake Centers

DHS operates two separate family shelter intake locations. The first is the Prevention Assistance and Temporary Housing (PATH) Intake Center for families with children and pregnant women and the second location is the Adult Family Intake Center (AFIC) for adult families without minor children. PATH is located in the Bronx and AFIC is located in Manhattan.

PATH Intake Center. In CFY 2013, 20,292 unique families applied for shelter at PATH. After requesting shelter, a family may be given up to a ten-day conditional stay, while their application is reviewed in order to determine the family's eligibility for temporary housing. Families re-applying for shelter within 90 days of a determination that they have other housing available will not receive shelter during the review of their application for temporary housing assistance unless they demonstrate an immediate need for shelter. All eligibility determinations are evaluated by PATH Eligibility staff.

PATH is open 24 hours per day, including weekends and holidays. PATH processes applications during business hours (9 a.m. to 5 p.m.). Families who apply after 5 p.m. may be assigned a temporary shelter placement for the night and transported back to PATH the next morning to complete their application.

In addition, PATH offers the following social services on-site:

- Diversion services – HRA's Homelessness Diversion Unit meet with all families to assist them in avoiding shelter altogether, including exploring services such as anti-eviction legal services, one-shot deals, FEPS, and out-of-City relocations services.
- Medical assistance – Contracted staff screen clients for pregnancy, contagious illness, or other current and significant medical conditions to guide staff in determining medical priorities for intake and placement purposes.
- Domestic violence - HRA's No Violence Again (NoVA) office provides DV crisis counseling and placement into DV shelters for families who are eligible for those services.
- School-related supports through the Department of Education (DOE).
- Family support services through the Administration for Children's Services (ACS); services include family counseling, substance misuse counseling, child welfare housing subsidy information, as well as childcare and parent training services.
- Resource Room - DHS social workers transition applicants found ineligible for shelter services to return to available housing option(s) and/or access community-based organization services.

Adult Family Intake Center (AFIC). AFIC processes all emergency housing applications for adult families without minor children. In CFY 2013 2,154 unique adult families applied for shelter at AFIC. The goal of AFIC is to expedite the intake process and improve the delivery of services for the adult family population applying for temporary housing. After a restructuring in early 2009, the Division of Adult Services began overseeing the operations at AFIC.

Transitional Services: Families with Children

Homeless families (adults with minor children or pregnant women) receive services in transitional family residences that come in a variety of models, most of which offer apartment style units and a wide array of support services including employment training, educational services, intensive case management, substance abuse prevention, independent living skills training, and child care. All families are expected to work cooperatively with shelter staff to develop a mutually agreed upon independent living plan.

As of August 5, 2013, the Division of Family Services provided temporary shelter in 90 Tier II shelters, 51 hotels, and 13 cluster sites. Of these facilities, 5 are being operated directly by DHS.

The average number of families with children in shelter per day in CFY12 was 9,840.

Tier II residences are operated by the varying non-profit members of the service provider community in NYC. The vast majority of these providers are also represented as members of the NYC Coalition on the Continuum of Care. A comprehensive list of our non-profit provider partners is located on the DHS website http://www.nyc.gov/html/dhs/html/communications/provider_profiles.shtml.

Transitional Services: Adults Families (Families without Minor Children)

Transitional housing assistance and services for the majority of adult families are provided in adult family residences. Beginning in 2009, operations for adult families moved to the Division of Adult Services. This division oversees 18 adult family residences.

The average number of adult families in shelter per day in CFY13 was 1,723.

Supportive Services

Employment Services - The ability of a homeless family to find and maintain a job is key component to independent living and securing permanent housing. To ensure families receive the services they need to seek, secure, and maintain employment, shelter providers offer a variety of employment related services: resume writing, job readiness training, mock interviews, budgeting and parenting workshops, etc. Some facilities are able to offer on-site programming, while others refer out to community agencies, or City-sponsored programs.

In operation since 2006, Back to Work (BTW) is HRA's primary program to help New Yorkers find and keep jobs. Services provided to participants include individualized employability assessments, resume preparation, job readiness training, career counseling and advancement services, job placement referrals, interview skills and preparation, clothing referrals, if needed, child support assistance, and financial empowerment. As part of the model, BTW provide job retention services designed to ensure participants maintain their jobs after employment. These services are provided by contracted vendors, and often last two days a week, with the other three days of the week devoted to an assignment through the Work Experience Program (WEP). This program is designed to provide cash assistance recipients with the opportunity to develop and sustain good work habits as well as maintain and learn marketable skills through a simulated work week. During 2011, HRA successfully placed more than 89,000 cash assistance recipients in jobs. Participants who are unsuccessful at finding unsubsidized employment may be offered short-term positions with the NYC Parks Department or other public or private employers. In January 2013 HRA will launch the next generation of Back to Work contracts (B2Ws) which includes the same work first focus and 100% performance based contracts. As of 2013, the B2Ws are suggesting to incorporate the following services as follows:

- Specialized Services for Ex- Felons and One year homeless Shelter Stayers
- B2W Vendors are making available 3 tracks of literacy:
 - Contextualized/ Co-teaching literacy
 - Short Term GED
 - GED
- Hiring 1 full-time staffer to act as liaison with DHS Shelters

Education Services - DHS transitional family residences provide assistance in the area of educational planning, primarily through individual counseling. Education services at the shelters help adults to access GED programs, fill out enrollment forms, set educational goals, and utilize community educational and vocational training resources for themselves and their children. DHS works closely with on-site liaisons from the Department of Education to ensure all school-aged children are enrolled and attending school. In 2010, the City launched an Interagency Task Force to improve school attendance with an emphasis on students in shelter. The initiative has impacted the culture of school attendance whereby, the shelter staff work together to ensure children get to school. The facilities provide; wake up calls by knocking on doors, metro cards when needed and assist with request for school busing. In addition; the initiative incorporates increased data sharing between the Department of Education and the Department of Homeless Services to monitor and address students with poor attendance, designated education and shelter liaisons, and special initiatives to assist students in shelter with homework. Special homework centers were created to ensure that school children have quiet areas to do their homework. Many of these homework centers also provide access for computer use.

Mental Health Services - DHS is initially informed of families with mental illness through self-report – at PATH or once intake is completed at a shelter - which may or may not include information on those family members who have been treated at clinics, or those who have never sought treatment. While some DHS shelter system programs offer mental health services on-site, all of the facilities have the ability to refer clients to mental health services in the community as needed, through medical linkage agreements with community-based health care providers. Through the NY/NY III Supportive Housing program, families with mental health issues may qualify for permanent, supportive housing.

Mental Health First Aid Training - April of 2013, Family Services held Mental Health First Aid Training for staff at DHS Directly Operated Shelters and for DHS Program Analysts. The training was a two day, 12-hour training course designed to give DHS Staff key skills to help clients experiencing a mental health crisis. Approximately seventy – five (75) staff members in the family services division completed the training; DHS hopes to expand the training to our Contracted Providers.

Family Services implemented a Mental Health Pilot on April 9, 2012 at Jennie A Clarke Residence. The purpose of the pilot was to ensure that residents in need receive mental health services. At Jennie A. Clarke two hundred and eighty-two (282) Families have been screened utilizing the CARES Mental Health Screening tool. Forty-eight (48) families were found to need mental health services. As of May 1, 2013 Urban Family Center joined the pilot program. At UFC One hundred and three (103) families were screened and five (5) were found to need mental health services. Referrals were given to HRA Wellness, Comprehensive Assessment, Rehabilitation, and Employment (We CARE) Program and community based mental health providers such as Metropolitan Hospital and Floating Hospital.

Substance Abuse Services – Transitional facilities in the family system make referrals to Substance Abuse programs in the community for any family that demonstrates a need for substance abuse treatment. Through the NY/NY III Supportive Housing program, families with substance abuse issues may qualify for permanent, supportive housing.

Extra Support Services - DHS' Next Step Program provides a very intensive level of social services to families in need. DHS operates 2 Next Step shelters and oversees 6 contracted Next Step Shelters, brought on between June 2010 and January 2012. Case workers in these 8 sites have a small case load that enables them to provide

more attention and time to these families. Next Step families are escorted to all appointments, meet with case workers more frequently than in other shelters, and have limited recreation offerings so that they maintain focus on moving to permanent housing. In FY13, 751 families with children in the Next Step program moved out of shelter into the community in 6.6 months, on average, having been in the system just over 10 months before coming to Next Step.

Permanent Housing

Homeless families are assisted in accessing services to return to the community. Beginning in 2007, DHS collaborated with NYS Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA) and HRA to implement a rental subsidy, Advantage New York. Advantage, administered in conjunction with HRA, was a portfolio of rental assistance with a strong work emphasis. More than 20,000 families exited shelter with the Advantage program. As a result of the 2011-12 New York State budget which withdrew funding for the Advantage program, the program was discontinued for new participants in March of 2011.

Currently, families are supported in accessing temporary cash assistance, employment and work supports in order to exit shelter and live in the community. Employment is a cornerstone of DHS's efforts to help homeless New Yorkers move back to independence. DHS has a strong collaboration with the Human Resources Administration to connect families to its training and job placement services to help families gain sustainable employment and self-sufficiency.

DHS believes that successful targeting of high-risk clients for homelessness prevention, combined with effective diversion early in the shelter stay, will result in an overall reduction in the number of shelter entrants. Similarly, DHS believes effective aftercare services for clients who have exited shelter is critical for keeping clients housed. By allocating funding through our award-winning HomeBase programs, eligible participants will have access to short- and medium-term financial assistance, housing relocation and stabilization services, benefits advocacy, and case management services and will ensure more families and individuals stay stably housed in their communities and avoid the need for emergency shelter. DHS and its partners strongly believe that shelter should be used on an emergency, short-term basis. Therefore, strategies that either prevent homelessness in the first place or reduce the length of time that individuals reside in shelter are key priorities.

c. Homeless Individuals

The Division of Adult Services oversees the Agency's shelter system of emergency and transitional housing facilities for single adult men and single adult women. As of the end CFY 2013, there are 67 facilities with 10,286 beds in use. There are 29 facilities for women (2,796 beds) and 43 for men (7,490 beds), five of which are co-ed facilities. Six of these facilities are operated directly by the Department of Homeless Services and the rest are operated by non-profit organizations under contract with DHS. In CFY 2013, an average of 9,536 single adults (6,908 men and 2,628 women) resided in the shelter system each night and a total of 31,870 unique individuals were provided temporary housing during the year. 56.7% of these individuals are African American, 26.9% Hispanic, 10.7% White, .7% Asian or Pacific Islander, Native American or Alaskan, and 4.9% were identified as unknown.

Adult Services is organized into five main functional areas: *street homelessness solutions; shelter operations; adult families and veteran services; housing and program planning; and planning and administration.*

Outreach Services

Street Homelessness Solutions. In September of 2007, the NYC Department of Homeless Services (DHS) and the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH), reconfigured the provision of services to street homeless individuals. DHS and DOHMH terminated their former contracts and issued a joint RFP representing a new vision for Outreach and Housing Placement Services. Both agencies combined their outreach funding (approximately \$11 million), which previously was contracted to over a dozen different providers, and has now redistributed this funding to four new providers – each accountable for achieving a reduction in the street census in their respective borough-based areas. The providers have performance based contracts with the City through

which milestone payments are earned through the placement of chronically street homeless clients into housing. Since the fall of 2007 the teams have placed over 4000 clients into housing.

The outreach providers, embracing a Housing First and client choice philosophy, work with individuals on the street to help them obtain housing that is not necessarily conditioned on commitment to sobriety or program participation. DHS has been working to increase the housing placement options for the street homeless population. To this end, DHS has created over 449 Safe Haven units across the city since 2008. These facilities, much like the HUD-funded Safe Havens, are low threshold models. Clients must be chronically street homeless to be eligible and are solely referred in by outreach teams. DHS has also been identifying and developing other new resources including stabilization beds. These facilities, similar to Safe Havens are low threshold, smaller and more private but are for clients who are more stable than those entering a Safe Haven. In many cases, clients in stabilization beds are in the final stages of obtaining permanent housing.

Many outreach programs coordinate their services with the four DHS funded and one HUD funded drop-in centers throughout New York City. These drop-in centers have the capacity to serve 600 individuals per day. Drop-in centers primary mission is to provide interim housing to street homeless individuals. In CFY 2013, drop-in centers housed 637 clients. Drop-in centers also provide homeless individuals with meals, counseling, medical/psychiatric services, showers, laundry facilities, some clothing, recreational activities, referrals for employment, assistance in applying for benefits, and other social services for adults in New York City. Two Drop in Centers operate 24 hours a day seven days per week, the remaining three Drop in Centers adhere to a new model in which operation begin at 7:30 AM and ends at 8:30 PM. The new model is intended to reduce and eventually eliminate the incidence of clients sleeping in chairs. As such, DHS has entered into contract with community based organizations that coordinate a network of overnight accommodations in churches and synagogues around the city. This Faith Based Network includes over 100 churches and synagogues and provides on average 340 beds each night during peak cold -weather season (November through March). Services at these respite beds include overnight sleeping areas, dinner or snacks and continental breakfast. The respite sites serve on average between 6 to 15 individuals and are staffed primarily by volunteers. Clients are transported to and from these respite beds every night before the drop in centers are closed for the day.

Since 2005 the Department of Homeless Services has conducted an annual city-wide estimate of the street homeless population, the Homeless Outreach Population Estimate, or HOPE. The point-in-time count, conducted every January, requires DHS volunteers to methodically survey the City's streets, parks, and subway stations and trains. The results provide a consistent measure of the agency's progress toward reducing the number of people sleeping on the streets, and are used to evaluate and strengthen outreach strategies.

In HOPE 2013, DHS estimated 3,180 unsheltered individuals. This is a 28% decrease from the 2005 baseline estimate of 4,395 individuals.

Intake & Assessment Services

At Intake new admits into the shelter system and clients who have been out of the system for longer than one year are processed and assigned an identifying CARES ID #. All adult clients are enrolled in our AFIS finger-imaging database system that attaches a 5-point finger image to each client's picture in the database. *Intake* is also comprised of a basic information-gathering process and placement into an assessment bed. Beginning in May 2009, DHS implemented a strong diversion component at intake, where clients' resources are assessed with the goal of returning them to their families or other appropriate settings. If a diversion is not possible, the client is assigned to an assessment bed. DHS operates one centralized intake facility for men and two intake facilities for women. The three goals of Assessment include the completion of the Applicant Intake Document (AID), a physical exam, and a brief mental health assessment. These completed deliverables are tools utilized to best determine the most appropriate shelter placement for each individual based on their service needs. The Assessment process can be completed as soon as the three deliverables are achieved. There are a total of four assessment sites for men remaining.

Discharge Planning Policies

DHS, also, coordinates local discharge planning policies that ensure persons are not routinely discharged into homelessness, including the streets, emergency homeless shelters, or other homeless assistance housing programs.

Foster Care (Youth Aging Out): The NYC Administration for Children Services has a policy in place that prohibits youth from being discharged to homelessness. ACS caseworkers and contracted non-profit foster care providers are required to develop an individualized discharge plan that prevents homelessness. Youth are discharged to Independent Living and Transitional Housing programs that support youth in making the transition to independence. Youth aging out of care are prioritized for permanent supportive housing through NY/NY III; 200 units have been designated for this population and ACS serves on the NY/NY III Oversight Committee. Youth aging out of care are also prioritized for NYCHA public housing and NYCHA Section 8 vouchers, when available. For youth with SMI coming from OMH institutions that are in the care of the State, staff at nonprofits use OMH's Children's Single Point of Access (SPOA) to secure housing.

Health Care: DHS has a policy in place, which requires that all possible placement avenues are explored prior to hospital discharge, and that a shelter placement or referral to outreach teams is seen as the last resort. In 2010, DHS Office of Health Care Policy and Administration (OHCPA), in collaboration with representative hospital staff from the Greater New York Hospital Association, implemented a discharge protocol, from all hospitals in the greater New York area and other medical and psychiatric facilities, to DHS. Under this protocol, hospital staff communicate, directly, with DHS shelter staff, by completion and fax of discharge forms, reflecting the hospital course and ongoing needs of their shared patients/clients. This allows for a quick review and placement process for clients, and serves to identify those who are medically inappropriate for shelter placement, so, that alternative living arrangements can be made by the hospital. These can include prior living arrangements, return to family, nursing home placement, or placement in another facility, that is suited to meet the individual's medical needs. The discharge forms, for hospitals' staff use, are, now, easily accessible, via DHS website.

Mental Health: There are several policies in place to ensure that the mental health system is not discharging persons to homelessness. DHS' discharge protocol, from area hospitals, referenced above, requires that all possible placement avenues are explored prior to hospital discharge, and that a shelter placement or referral to outreach teams is seen as the last resort. The NYC Health and Hospital Corporation (HHC) Hospitals are prohibited, by the Koskinas lawsuit, from discharging mentally ill patients to shelter, and must develop a housing plan for discharge. All acute care hospitals are expected to apply for Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) for all eligible homeless inpatients. DHS coordinates with DOHMH 'sAOT teams to work towards discharge to supportive housing, instead of shelter. A monthly match with DOHMH is performed, to identify AOT clients that have ended up in the DHS shelter system. Efforts are made to connect these individuals to their respective AOT teams, in a joint effort to place these highly vulnerable individuals into permanent housing, as soon as possible. NYS OMH, similarly, has always required of hospitals and other care facilities, including licensed residences, that staff must document that all efforts were made to place the client outside the shelter system and that they have followed-up with and residences for which the patient was on a waiting list. OMH, also, has a Single Point of Access (SPOA) and the City's HRA, a protocol for applying, for all patients leaving hospitals to ensure that supportive housing is secured upon discharge. CoC providers will be monitored through the Evaluation Tool to ensure that they are only serving eligible clients. OMH and DOHMH are active, voting members of the CoC Steering Committee.

Corrections: DHS works with DOHMH's Forensic Behavioral Health Services to accommodate Brad H Class members released from NYC jails into DHS shelter system, assisting DOHMH in implementing their discharge plan from jail, and connecting these clients to mental health services within the community. Inmates with mental illness use the OMH Single Point of Access (SPOA) to access supportive housing, including Community Residences, Single Room/Community Residences, Apartment Treatment and Supported Housing.

Correctional Review Unit - In response to the Brad H., et al. v. the City of New York, et al. litigation, the NYC Department of Homeless Services (DHS) Correctional Review Unit (CRU) of the Office of Health Care Policy

and Administration, together with the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH), through the Division of Health Care Access and Improvement's Correctional Health Services Program (CHS), work collaboratively to place sentenced, seriously mentally ill, Brad H Class Members from jail, directly, into a DHS program shelter, immediately upon their release, provided that a bed is available in a designated mental health shelter, and the Class Member arrives, before curfew, on the day of release. Seriously and persistently mentally ill individuals are placed in mental health shelters, as capacity exists, and as DHS learns of their arrival in the shelter system. The CRU has access to the DOHMH-Division of Mental Hygiene's Brad H database, "Citrix", and obtains daily reports, which identify those homeless class members, who are due to be released within the next week, as well as those who have been released within the past 30 days. All those homeless, sentenced individuals, who suffer from serious mental illness and are slated to be released, to the shelter system, within the following week, are identified in CARES, such that an alert is generated, upon their arrival and, if they were unable to be placed in a mental health bed, upon arrival, weekly, thereafter, to facilitate transfer to a mental health shelter. Additionally, when homeless, sentenced, Brad H. Class Members, who are severely and persistently mentally ill (SPMI), have consented to release medical documents to DHS, and have orally agreed to be transported to a DHS shelter, upon release from jail, a "Transportation List" is sent to DHS, and the receiving shelter or Intake is notified of the Class Member's impending arrival; if capacity exists, a mental health bed is be assigned to that individual, prior to his arrival. The CHS unit routinely provides CRU with document packets for Brad H. Class Members, who appear on the DHS transportation list, within 3 days before discharge. The documents may include information from Riker's Island Intake System ("RIIS"), such as a history and physical, problem list, medication list, discharge plan, aftercare letter, psychiatric assessment, psycho-social summary, and HRA 2010e housing application and approval, if available. The same process is applied to those Brad H. clients, who arrive, on their own, at Intake or their official shelter. Provided that consent has been signed, while in jail, DOHMH sends the clinical information to DHS to forward onto the receiving shelter. If the client did not sign consent in jail, a consent form is shared with the receiving shelter, in order to offer the client the opportunity to release this information to DHS. CHS provides the documents to CRU, which, in turn, forwards them to a designated mental health program shelter staff, in their efforts to develop an independent living plan for that client, with the immediate goals of obtaining income, identifying available permanent housing options, and providing medical and mental health aftercare linkages in the community, so, that the client may move to his own permanent residence, independent of the shelter system. DHS generates monthly reports on the activity of the CRU, including numbers of sentenced Brad H. clients, who have come into the shelter system, and where they were placed.

NYS DOCCS has a policy in place that prevents discharge to homelessness, when possible. An active workgroup, including staff from DHS, NYS DOCCS, and NYS OMH (Forensic Services), meets regularly to trouble-shoot systems issues and to streamline unavoidable referrals to the shelter system, ensuring for those who suffer from serious mental illness, that supportive housing placement and case management linkage are expedited, through coordination with DHS' shelter staff.

Transitional Services

From Assessment Centers, homeless single adults are referred to one of the 67 transitional shelter residences and two short-term housing residences (both of these are specifically for veterans). All beds in the single adult shelter system are associated with program services, including assessment, employment training, "rapid-rehousing," mental health rehabilitation, and substance abuse treatment. All shelters offer case management and other services aimed at assisting residents to return to independent living in the community. Social workers, case managers, employment specialists, housing specialists, public benefits counselors, on-site medical staff and vocational counselors work closely with clients to help them become independent. An individualized approach is implemented to provide clients with the services needed to achieve their highest level of self-sufficiency.

Federal ESG funds are used to support social service programs at fifteen of these transitional shelters. Three of these transitional shelters are Next Step shelters.

The Next Step Program offers a more structured and service-intensive environment for clients who have been unsuccessful at completing the goals of their independent living plans. It is a highly structured environment

incorporating intensive case management where clients will receive assistance to achieve the goals of their independent living plans. Services are delivered in a consistent, intensive, and respectful manner with the objective of returning the client to independent living as quickly as possible.

Supportive Services

Public Benefits: DHS encourages all clients to apply for full public benefits. This includes Cash Assistance, Food Stamps, Medicaid, and Social Security Administration benefits, where applicable. Through this process, clients that are able to work are placed into the Human Resource Administration's Back-2-Work program. DHS maintains close communication with HRA throughout the application and maintenance process of public benefits. Additionally, as clients prepare to move into permanent housing, DHS assists clients in applying for financial assistance for clients moving into their own households.

Employment Services: DHS coordinates employment training for shelter residents, including providing innovative employment programs, some of which are specifically designed for individuals without work history or other barriers, such as substance abuse or ex-offenders. DHS contracts with non-profit providers to assist residents with employment readiness, including career counseling, job search assistance, and placement services. The Doe Fund operates three substance free shelters, and stresses the importance of saving money and returning to living independently. The Bowery Mission accepts clients who are either employed or employable and works with the individuals to maintain their employment status.

DHS contracts with non-profit providers to assist residents with employment readiness including career counseling, job search assistance, and placement services. These programs are highly structured and aim to give individuals in the shelter system, the skills they need to take advantage of employment program shelters. Another employment overseen by DHS is the Shelter Exit through Transitional (SET) Jobs. The program partners closely with HRA's Business Link, and intensely supports client to find a position quickly, then supports clients to move out with additional financial incentives. Providers who participate in this program are given financial support to provide additional Aftercare services for these clients. DHS has also partnered with Small Business Services to create a quick referral process into a Workforce1 Center for client who qualify for posted position. DHS also has a contract under the U.S. Department of Labor Job Corps program to provide educational and vocational training for homeless young adults between the ages of 18 and 24. Most of these contracted employment programs are also eligible to receive incentive payments for placements above their contractual targets.

Educational Services: Job training programs require a strong basic skills component. DHS operated or contracted facilities provide GED classes either on or off site as well as refer clients to other educational services in the community to prepare clients for employment responsibilities.

Mental Health Rehabilitation: There are a number of existing programs for shelter residents who are identified as being mentally ill. Most of these programs are operated by non-profit organizations and are funded with City, State, and Federal dollars. ESG funds are used by DHS to help support mental health programs at adult transitional residences in the DHS system. As of August 5, 2013 these facilities serve a total bed capacity of 2,572 for individuals with mental illness. Some mental health programs are funded to include psychiatric clinicians working on-site, including psychiatrists, psychiatric nurse practitioners, psychiatric social worker and other appropriately licensed mental health professionals. In some facilities, the New York State Office of Mental Health (OMH) provides evaluation, referral, and mental health services in the single adult shelter system, while at other sites providers contract with licensed mental health providers to bring such services on site. The DOHMH Mobile Crisis Teams also assist in evaluation and emergency transport of clients and the provision of emergency care as necessary. Some of the mental health programs provided include Community Support Services (CSS), Mental Health Rehabilitation Programs, Transitional Living Communities (TLCs), and Services for Mentally Ill Chemical Abusers (MICAs).

CSS programs are clinical case management programs that identify residents with serious and persistent mental illness and try to engage them in treatment. They provide a comprehensive array of services in a flexible, low-

demand environment. The primary goal of CSS programs is to help clients develop the skills necessary to move into permanent housing or into a transitional program that will lead to permanent housing. TLCs also provide mental health rehabilitation services to seriously mentally ill homeless persons. The TLC program model is administered via contract by non-profit agencies with oversight from DHS and the DOHMH's Division of Mental Hygiene. The goal of the TLC model is to place individuals into permanent housing by providing a structured transitional rehabilitative environment within the shelter system. These programs are funded through State Community Support services dollars and City expense funds.

The mentally-ill chemical abuser (MICA) programs provide case management, mental health, and substance abuse counseling to clients who are dually diagnosed with mental illness and substance abuse problems. The ten MICA programs in the adult system also provide on-site medical and psychiatric assessment and/or treatment, assistance in obtaining benefits and appropriate housing placements. The goal of the program model is to stabilize clients, to provide the support services and structure necessary for them to maintain a drug free lifestyle, and to make the transition from shelter to appropriate housing.

Specialized Services for Veterans: In 2007, DHS remodeled the Borden Avenue Veterans Residence into a short term housing facility for homeless male and female veterans. Clients have their own private spaces at the newly designed facility, and residential "neighborhoods" create a community-like feeling. Beginning in July 2008, the non-profit provider the Institute for Community Living (ICL) took over the contract for the facility and the new short term housing program began. The Borden Avenue residence located in Long Island City provides an array of social services for this population. In May 2008, DHS, in partnership with the Veterans Administration, opened the Multi Service Center (MSC) as a single point of intake into shelter for homeless veterans in the City. DHS staff work at the Multi Service Center alongside VA staff to provide an array of social services and permanent housing placement services to homeless veterans. In April 2009, The Doe Fund transformed 138 beds in its Porter Avenue Residence into short term housing beds for homeless veterans, making 381 short-term housing beds for homeless veterans available through DHS.

Substance Abuse Treatment: It is difficult to provide an accurate count of the number of adult residents who are in need of drug rehabilitation and treatment. In order to provide residents with the opportunity to live in a drug-free environment with supportive services, DHS oversees the operation of a number of residential substance abuse treatment programs within its contracted and directly run sites, and transitional housing programs. As of August 5, 2013, 10.6% of shelter beds were designated for clients in need of substance abuse programming.

A number of different program models are available in the shelter system for clients who are chemically dependent. These programs are designed to address substance abuse issues through intensive on-site services, as well as referrals to community-based day programs. On-site programs include Clean and Sober programs, Supported Work Programs (SWP's), Re-Entry programs, and Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) and Narcotics Anonymous (NA) meetings.

Clean and Sober programs complement community-based day treatment program attendance, by providing shelter-based support services in the evening. ESG funds are used by DHS to fund substance abuse counselors at one adult transitional facility. These counselors assess clients, refer them to appropriate outpatient services, and support them on-site with relapse prevention programs and group counseling.

SWPs (Supported Work Programs) are site-based programs based on the Alcoholic Anonymous 12-Step philosophy, which integrate a progressive work component providing a graduated salary-stipend into the recovery model. Intensive individual and group counseling are used to enhance the substance abusers commitment to recovery, and assist them in attaining financial independence, and making the transition into the community.

Specialized Case Management - The demand for specialized case management is apparent given the high rates of mental illness and substance abuse, as well as other social and medical problems among shelter residents. Strong counseling and case management services enable programs to promote independent living by providing

clients with on-site support with linkages to appropriate community-based follow up services. Specialized case management is available to varying degrees in the mental health, substance abuse, and employment program shelters.

Health Services

Family shelters are required to have medical linkages with community-based health providers, where families can go for medical and mental health care. There are several on-site medical clinics, in family shelters, funded through Federal Health Care for the Homeless grants.. In some contracted and directly-run Adult Services shelters, DHS facilitates clients' access to health care by funding, directly, or via sub-contract, on-site medical services. Additionally, on-site psychiatric services are available at all single adult assessment sites, mental health/MICA shelters, and some shelters caring for older clients or those suffering from substance use disorders.

The Office of Health Care Policy & Administration works collaboratively with various Bureaus of DOHMH, to ensure that public health concerns, among homeless families, adult families, single adults in shelter, and street homeless populations, are properly addressed and health outcomes optimized. The DOHMH Bureaus include Communicable Disease; HIV/AIDS Prevention, Control, and Treatment; Immunization; Environmental Disease Prevention, including Lead Poisoning Prevention Program; Epidemiology Services; Office of Vital Statistics; Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Prevention, Care and Treatment; School Health; Tuberculosis Control; Chronic Disease Prevention; Maternal, Infant and Reproductive Health; Office of Emergency Preparedness and Response; and the Division of Health Care Access and Improvement.

DHS works, especially, closely, with the DOHMH's Bureaus of Communicable Diseases and Immunization to address individual client's health concerns, to promote immunization as the best preventive measure, or, at times, to manage outbreaks of infectious illnesses.

DHS, also, works with the DOHMH's Bureau of Tuberculosis (TB) Control. Monthly, DHS and Bureau of TB Control perform a data match to identify shelter clients, who might have been lost to follow-up care for TB. Efforts are made to bring these clients back in treatment. If ever there is a reported case of TB, among the homeless population, DOHMH BTBC and DHS coordinate care for that individual, contact investigations, as necessary, and follow-up testing and treatment.

DHS works with Division of Mental Health (DMH). DHS matches, monthly, with DMH's database of clients, enrolled into the Court-mandated Assisted Outpatient Treatment (AOT) program, to monitor and AOT clients entering the shelter.

Also, within the same Division, DHS works with the Bureau of Alcohol and Drug Prevention, Care and Treatment to train DHS contracted and direct staff about ways to reverse an opioid overdose, by using intranasal naloxone, in an attempt to prevent death, related to opioid use. Individuals who successfully complete the training are certified as NYS Trained Overdose Responders. To date, from 2009 until the present, DHS has trained 1,347 individuals in Opioid Overdose Prevention, including more than 300 DHS Police.

DHS works with DOHMH's Forensic Behavioral Health Services to accommodate Brad H Class members released from jail into DHS shelter system, assisting DOHMH in implementing their discharge plan, from jail, and connecting these clients to mental health services within the community.

DHS participated in forums, hosted by DOHMH's Division of Health Care Access, to help educate and troubleshoot early issues in the implementation of Health Homes and Managed Medicaid, among homeless populations. OHCPA remains the primary liaison to NYS DOH and DOHMH, in this area.

Similarly, DHS works with DOHMH-OEM to ensure that homeless individuals and families, as vulnerable populations, are managed, appropriately, during environmental, infection-related, or man-made crises.

DHS' Office of Health Care Policy and Administration (OHCPA), in collaboration with DOHMH, HRA, and SDOH, has been very involved in the implementation of Managed Medicaid, among homeless populations. Medicaid Reform is seen in a positive light as a means for homeless populations to gain access to quality care and receive health care management, thus, improving continuity of care, and allowing for greater opportunities for preventive care and amelioration of chronic diseases. Weekly matches with NY Medicaid Choice identify those homeless clients, who are on track to be auto-assigned to a health care plan. Efforts are made on the shelter level, to make sure these clients contact NY Medicaid Choice to select the best and most suitable health plan for them, before auto-assignment takes place.

OHCPA staff continues to triage and troubleshoot for community and hospital providers, regarding families' applications through PATH, advocating for PATH exemptions of medically fragile children, who may be currently hospitalized, or who may be unable, medically, to be in an area with other children. Similarly, OHCPA consults, regarding appropriate placement of families with fragile children, and, formally, consults regarding authorization of air conditioners and other reasonable accommodations of health concerns, including, when necessary, transfers between shelters. Lastly, OHCPA receives direct communication from the Office of the Chief Medical Examiner (OCME), regarding the death of any homeless person, sheltered or unsheltered, in NYC.

Housing and Program Development

The Housing and Program Development Division of Adult Services is responsible for the referral of residents to appropriate permanent housing. The Division works closely with supportive housing providers and shelter staff to identify, engage, and place as many shelter and street homeless residents as possible into housing. This includes homeless veterans and persons recently released from correctional facilities who are currently in the shelter system.

Housing and Program Development is also responsible for developing and helping to fund supportive SROs by providing social service funding to non-profit SRO operators that house homeless and low income individuals. These funded services enable tenants to live independently in a safe and secure environment and provide needed support in their transition from the shelter to permanent housing. VASH provide other housing options for Veteran clients exiting the transitional system.

Permanent Housing

Social service programs throughout the continuum of care serve to assist homeless single adults in their return to permanent housing in the community be it an independent living arrangement or a supportive housing environment. Towards this end, the City of New York provides a variety of housing alternatives for single adults including emergency placement in commercial Single Room Occupancy (SRO) buildings, permanent placement into supportive SROs with on-site social services operated by not-for-profit organizations; transitional congregate housing with supportive services; permanent congregate housing with supportive services, (these housing alternatives are overseen by the DOHMH and DHS, please refer to the non-special needs section for more information) and independent housing.

A critical component of DHS' permanency strategy is to create supportive housing targeted to long term shelter stayers and difficult to place single adults and to place those individuals into existing and new housing. Several programs, including VASH, have been developed in coordination with a taskforce of government and nonprofit agencies as part of this strategy.

DHS has deployed ESG funded staff to implement many housing initiatives. These programs represent a combination of evidence-based practices (e.g. supportive housing and assertive community treatment) and innovative approaches to promoting permanency and utilizing housing options more effectively. DHS' ESG funded staff have been essential in developing and implementing these programs. These staff members ensure that housing and related services are targeted to the street and sheltered homeless clients who are at the greatest need, as measured by length of homelessness and clinical acuity.

A recent addition to the supportive services repertoire available to the chronically homeless is the Single Point Of Access (SPOA) service. The SPOA Housing Project is a joint demonstration project between OMH and DOHMH which focuses on housing services for eligible adults with severe and persistent mental illness in NYC. The main goals of the SPOA initiative are to identify scope and characteristics of chronic shelter users; establish new case management approaches to reduce the number of long-term shelter stayers; and target housing specifically to the chronically homeless.

In November 2005, the City and State of New York signed the New York/New York III agreement, a \$1 billion pact to finance and develop 9,000 new units of supportive housing (6,250 Congregate and 2,750 Scatter Site) in New York City over the next 10 years for 9 specific populations. Of these units, 5,450 will be for single adults who meet the criteria for 3 of these populations, Populations A, E and F. The DHS Adult Services Division, in its role as one of the four placement entities, is charged with referring clients to all 5,450 of these units, of which 3,700 are congregate and 1,750 are scatter sites. Oversight and funding for these units fall under the auspices of either The City (DOHMH and/or DHS) or the State (OMH or OASAS). (It should be noted that the number of units reflected above are a cumulative total of all funding sources (City and State). Also, please keep in mind that these self same agencies also provide oversight and funding for programs which service other populations for which DHS is not the referral entity.)

The clients for these units must meet one of the three following criteria: NY/NY III Population A - Chronically homeless (those homeless for one out of the past two years or two out of the past four years or one continuous year) single adults who suffer from serious mental illness or who are diagnosed as mentally ill and chemically addicted (MICA); NY/NY III Population E - single adults who have been homeless for at least 6 months of the last year who have a substance abuse disorder that is a primary barrier to independent living. The application must contain documentation from a qualified health professional that the client has an active substance abuse disorder; NY/NY III Population F - Homeless single adults who have completed a course of treatment for a substance abuse disorder and are at risk of street homelessness or sheltered homelessness and who need transitional supportive housing (that may include half-way houses) to sustain sobriety and achieve independent living.

As described in the Agreement, DHS has functioned as the placement agent for these units as described above. Kickoff meetings were held with housing providers to orient them to the role of DHS, eligible clients were identified through coordination with HRA, and the housing interviews were coordinated between DHS' Placement Facilitation, the shelter provider, and housing provider. These contract awards are managed by the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, NYS Office of Mental Health, or the NYS Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Services.

In CFY 2013, homeless single adults also received housing assistance from a variety of publicly supported permanent housing programs, including: supportive SROs; licensed residential facilities; Section 8 rental assistance; NYCHA units; HUD's Shelter Plus Care Program; units from HPD's non-SRO programs; and assistance through HRA's HASA Services. The supportive SROs into which DHS places homeless single adults are developed primarily through HPD's SRO Loan Program. HPD also receives Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation funding from HUD that helps to pay for the operating costs of these units. DHS, through Support Service contracts, provides the funding for the SRO supportive services. As the demand for supportive and service-enriched housing for the chronically homeless, as well as rental assistance for at-risk populations, is exceeding our supply, DHS is working to end chronic homelessness by increasing the supply of supportive housing/service-enriched housing as well as improving coordination of rental assistance policy across city agencies.

DHS relocated 8,526 single adults into permanent housing during CFY 2013, including 1,841 in Supportive housing, 217 in subsidized housing, 670 in other housing, and 5,798 returned to family or independent living.

d. Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)

Nature and Extent of Homeless Problem

According to the Runaway and Homeless Youth Act, "runaway youth" shall mean a person under the age of eighteen years who is absent from his legal residence without the consent of his parent, legal guardian, or custodian; and "homeless youth" shall mean a person under the age of twenty-one who is in need of services and is without a place of shelter where supervision and care are available. Youth become homeless for a variety of reasons. Many young people run away from home because of conflict with parents or others in the household. Some are rejected by their families because of sexual orientation, an unplanned pregnancy, problems at school, or use of drugs and alcohol. Others have been exposed to sexual exploitation, domestic violence, or parental neglect. Some find themselves without suitable housing arrangements after exiting juvenile detention or foster care, despite the best efforts of those systems to establish effective discharge plans. Whatever the cause of their homelessness, runaway and homeless youth tend to have multiple needs, all of which must be addressed if they are to get on track for success. In addition to a safe place to live, they typically require health, mental health, education, and employment services.

Runaway and Homeless Youth Services

In keeping with the federal Runaway and Homeless Youth Act (RHYA) of 1978 and current New York State RHYA regulations, the City's Department of Youth and Community Development (DYCD) funds programs that are designed to protect runaway and homeless youth and, whenever possible, reunite them with their families. In cases where reunification is not possible, these programs are designed to help youth progress from crisis and transitional care to independent living. Program activities and experiences are designed to assist youth in becoming healthy, caring, and responsible adults. Funding to operate these programs is provided in partnership with the New York State Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) and the New York City Department of Homeless Services (DHS).

DYCD provides its RHY services through a "continuum of care" system that includes drop-in centers for each borough, revised street outreach services, specialized residential services, and expanded shelter options. Overall, the new system is designed to make it easier for youth to access needed services, strengthen family connections, and create the foundation for more effective responses to RHY, including the best use of residential services.

In July 2012, DYCD renewed contracts to 12 community-based organizations that make up the RHY continuum of care system which consists of four service options: Borough-based Drop-In Centers, Crisis Shelters, Transitional Independent Living Programs, and Street Outreach Services. The new contracts will end June 30, 2014. A new RFP was released in June 2013 for contracts which will begin July 1, 2014.

DYCD's residential programs continue to meet the needs of all vulnerable young people, including the specialized needs of lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and questioning (LGBTQ) youth; pregnant and parenting youth; and sexually exploited youth. Innovative strategies for encouraging improved family relations continue to be emphasized, in light of research showing that family acceptance can affect short- and long-term outcomes for RHY, particularly those who are LGBTQ.

In CFY13, with a budget of \$11.9 million, the City, together with the City Council, funded a total of 253 beds for RHY, as well as seven drop-in centers and two Street Outreach contracts. Crisis Shelters operated at 7 sites, with a total of 116 beds; Transitional Independent Living Programs operated at 12 sites, totaling 137 beds; the 7 Drop In Centers were projected to serve 8,125 participants; and Street Outreach Services were projected to make 9,600 contacts.

Street Outreach & Referral Services

DYCD's citywide, vehicle-based, street outreach services focus primarily on those areas where youth are known to congregate at night, on weekends, and on weekdays. The purpose of the program is to distribute information about RHY services; provide resources, materials, and referrals; and transport youth to their homes, crisis shelters, or other safe environments. Contractors target public spaces, subway stations, and transportation hubs

such as those in Jamaica, Queens; Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn; and the Port Authority bus terminal in Manhattan.

By developing rapport with youth in the streets and elsewhere, outreach workers directly inform RHY and youth at risk for homelessness about available services and refer youth and their families to drop-in centers and other RHY programs, thereby serving as a point of entry into the wider DYCD RHY system.

Hours of Operation

Street Outreach services operate six days a week from Wednesday through Monday or Tuesday through Sunday. Required hours of operation will vary by season, as follows:

- Fall/Winter: 7:00 pm to 3:00 am
- Spring/Summer: 9:00 pm to 5:00 am

In CFY2013, Safe Horizon continued to operate Street Outreach Services for the five boroughs of New York City with two contracts for \$200,000 each.

Borough-based Drop-In Centers

The seven drop-in centers are resource centers for RHY and their families. Each drop-in center is staffed by a full-time program director and at least one community connections coordinator. Their role is to provide a range of services and information and facilitate access to other local resources so that families are better able to help youth develop into healthy, well-functioning adults, allowing crisis shelter and TIL programs to focus on youth with no other options.

The drop-in center services include: crisis intervention, assessment, counseling, and mediation; transportation to RHY residential programs or other safe locations; life skills and work readiness assistance; educational counseling; and referrals to other services, including, in particular, education and career development, health and mental health and substance abuse treatment programs.

The contractor is responsible for setting up direct linkages with health and mental health services, schools, and other RHY programs, including street outreach services, to create an interlocking network of services for youth. The contractor will establish working relationships with City agencies including ACS, DOE, DOHMH, DHS, DJJ, DOP, OCFS, and NYPD. In addition, drop-in centers provide informational literature and raise public awareness about homelessness through workshop presentations in schools and other appropriate venues.

Role of the Community Connections Coordinator

The community connections coordinator, working under the supervision of the program director, will help youth and families to access appropriate services and resources in their neighborhoods. A key role of the coordinator is to provide intensive case management, counseling, and assistance designed to prevent homelessness among at-risk youth and encourage precariously housed and homeless young people, to the greatest extent possible, to return to their homes. The coordinator establishes vital linkages with local resources, particularly those relating to education and career development such as schools, other RHY service providers, and City agencies, including the Borough Service Cabinet in that borough.

Hours of Operation

The drop-in centers are easily accessible by public transportation and operate at least six days a week from 12:00 noon to 9:00 pm on four weekdays and 12:00 pm to 6:00 pm on Saturdays and Sundays. Unless otherwise approved by DYCD, each drop-in center offers services on Saturdays and Sundays but is allowed to close one other day during the week.

The following organizations are currently contracted to provide drop-in services to runaway and homeless youth: The Door in Manhattan, Cardinal McCloskey Services in the Bronx, Safe Space in Queens, Project Hospitality in Staten Island, and SCO Family of Services in Brooklyn. With assistance from the City Council,

three additional drop-in center hubs have been funded in high-need areas and are operated by Safe Horizon and the Ali Forney Center.

Crisis Shelters

Emergency shelter is a critical component within the continuum of care for runaway and homeless youth and is the entry point for residential services in our system. These voluntary, short-term residential programs provide emergency shelter and crisis intervention services with the goal of reuniting youth with their families or, if family reunification is not possible, finding other appropriate transitional and long-term placements for them. Youth will be housed on a short-term basis for up to 30 days.

Crisis shelters provide comprehensive, on-site, short-term care and services that include but are not limited to the following: emergency services, including food, shelter, and clothing; entitlement services; intensive counseling for families, individuals and groups; medical and mental health care; dental care; HIV testing; educational services, including basic skills testing and proficiency exams; housing assistance; legal assistance; recreational activities; substance abuse education and prevention; transportation services; violence intervention and prevention counseling; ACS referrals, where required; and family mediation.

Discharge from Crisis Shelters occurs 30 days after entering the facility unless an extension of up to 30 days is required and approved by the Director of RHY. Programs must prepare a discharge report including date and time the youth was discharged, to whom the youth was discharged, and any plans for ongoing services. When a youth leaves the program and is not in the custody of a parent, guardian, or legal custodian, the program must notify the parent, guardian, or other appropriate person as soon as possible after the youth is discharged. Crisis Shelters must document any efforts to provide services to youth or their families after discharge.

Transitional Independent Living (TIL) Programs

The goal of these programs is to provide older homeless youth (16-20 years of age) with the training and skills necessary to establish a self-supporting, independent life. Youth may stay in the Transitional Independent Living Program for up to 18 months, during which time they receive educational services, vocational training, job placement assistance, counseling, and training in basic life skills such as cooking, home maintenance, and money management.

Residents live in a cooperative situation, where they have maximum responsibility for their daily lives but also have on-site access to counseling and support services. All Transitional Independent Living Programs are open 24 hours per day, 365 days per year. A young person in need of these residential services must first enter a Crisis Shelter.

Discharge from TIL programs occurs twelve months after entering the facility unless an extension of up to six months is requested and approved by the Director of RHY. Programs must prepare a discharge report, including date and time the youth was discharged, to whom the youth was discharged, and any plans for ongoing services. When a youth leaves the program and is not in the custody of a parent, guardian, or legal custodian, the program must notify the parent or guardian or other appropriate person as soon as possible after the youth is discharged. TILs shall continue to provide case management services for at least 90 days after discharge and all efforts must be documented.

DYCD has helped develop additional residential capacity for RHY through our partnership with OCFS, including 10 newly NYS-certified facilities since 2006.

NYC Commission for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, and Questioning (LGBTQ) Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)

In October of 2009, Mayor Bloomberg established a New York City Commission for LGBTQ RHY. Commissioner Mullgrav was the Director of the Commission. After seven months of research, Commission members delivered a report to the Mayor in June 2010 which outlined a comprehensive set of recommendations for improving the lives of LGBTQ RHY. The report listed 10 key recommendations with 37 corresponding

strategies which fell broadly into three main categories – prevention, improving services, and building support. DYCD has taken the lead in implementation of the report recommendations.

On July 1, 2011, based on the LGBTQ RHY Commission’s recommendation to “test innovative approaches to develop family support for LGBTQ homeless youth to prevent homelessness or shorten its duration” and with financial support from the MAC AIDS Fund, DYCD launched the Family Therapy Intervention Pilot. This pilot involved New York City homeless or at-risk LGBTQ youth, ages 16-20, and their families. Through the pilot, in CFY2013, 12 participants and their families received counseling based on Cognitive Behavior Therapy approaches over a three to four month period. Two DYCD contractors, Green Chimneys and SCO Family of Services implemented the pilot, which one of the two, SCO, will continue to run through March 31, 2014. An implementation study of the initial phase of the pilot was conducted by an independent evaluator which will be completed in fall 2013 and which is expected to outline challenges, including difficulty recruiting participants, and to suggest changes to the model which could be adopted in the future.

Placement of Children into Foster Care and Children Aging Out of Foster Care

The Administration for Children’s Services (ACS or Children’s Services) provides a range of supports and services to families and young people who are aging out of foster care. Statistics indicate that children who age out of the foster care system are at an elevated risk for homelessness. The City of New York is working to assist such youth in obtaining suitable and permanent housing. The following is a description of the housing supports and resources offered by ACS:

1. Resources for Families with Children

Family Unification Program (FUP)

In August 2002, Children’s Services, in cooperation with the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA), developed the Family Unification Priority (FUP) Code Program. Through the Family Unification Priority Code Program, ACS was able to obtain priority to a Public Housing apartment for any qualified family served by Children’s Services. This allows us to help keep families together when appropriate and safe, and reduce the amount of time some children may have spent in foster care. This program offers our families a reliable option to obtain stable and affordable housing within the five boroughs of New York City.

To qualify for this priority access, families must meet the following criteria:

- The family has at least one child currently in foster care.
- Lack of adequate housing is the sole barrier to family reunification, i.e., “but for the lack of adequate housing, the family could be reunified with the child(ren) in foster care”.
- The family has a stable source of income and the total household income is within the NYCHA Admission Income Limits (Based on Gross Income).
- All household members over the age of 16 are able to pass the NYCHA Criminal Background Check.

2. Resources for Youth Aging out of Foster Care

NYCHA Public Housing

Children’s Services, in cooperation with the New York City Housing Authority, has established priority access to Public Housing units for young adults (ages 18 – 25) leaving foster care who have a goal of APPLA, (formerly known as Independent Living). They have special priority access to NYCHA public housing apartments upon discharged from care. To qualify for this program, youths must meet the following criteria:

- Must be actively in foster care, under the legal authority of the Commissioner of the Administration for Children’s Services.
- At least 18 years of age and in care with an anticipated discharge date within the next 6 months; and, with income that is within the NYCHA Admission Income Limits (\$48,100/year for one person; \$55,000/year for 2 persons).

- Has no permanent discharge resource.
- Either employed, in school, or in a training program.
- If not employed, has another stable source of income.
- Able to pass the NYCHA Criminal Background Check; not all crimes are disqualifiers.
- No drug use in the past 3 years unless able to submit proof of satisfactory completion of drug treatment.

ACS certifies families and young adults that meet these requirements. In 2012, 328 young adults who transitioned from foster care to live independently, moved into Public Housing apartments, as did 107 families who reunified with children who were returning home from a foster care placement. The total amount of APPLA referrals made from ACS for 2012 was 617 for Public Housing while 261 family referrals were made. Since the NYCHA freeze on Section 8 occurred at the close of 2009, no ACS APPLA youth or FUP Family were afforded the opportunity to apply for, or acquire, a Section 8 voucher through ACS.

Development of Supportive Housing for Youth Aging Out of Foster Care and Families with Foster Care and Preventive Histories

ACS strives to ensure that youth leaving the foster care system have a stable place to live and a meaningful connection to an adult in the community. Youth are also actively involved in education and/or employment plans at the time of their discharge. To better serve our youth, Children's Services collaborated with the NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development, Common Ground Community, and Good Shepherd Services to develop the country's first Foyer Program – a residential career development program for young people aging out of the foster care system, who are homeless or at risk of being homeless.

The Foyer Program is designed to prevent homelessness by offering a comprehensive transitional experience to independent adulthood. Participants work over an 18-month period towards goals of permanent housing and stable employment with career skills by the time of graduation. Residents participate in employment, educational mentoring and life-skills training programs. The 40-unit program is based on a European model and is the first of its type in the United States. With this innovative program, Children's Services is helping young people develop the tools and skills necessary to avoid homelessness as adults.

In addition, Children's Services, in cooperation with a variety of private not-for-profit housing developers, continues to support the development of supportive housing for the children and families in our care.

- Operated by the Lantern Group, Schaefer Hall has 25 studio apartments for IL youth aged 18-23 in a facility with a total of 91 units. Supportive services include case management, employment and educational resources, entitlements assistance/advocacy, social and recreational activities, medical and mental health referrals, substance abuse counseling, independent living skills training, support and informational groups, health and nutritional counseling, and consistent emotional support.
- Community League of the Heights (CLOTH) is a community-based housing provider that has program components designed specifically for alumni of foster care. Community Access Network (CAN) provides the services component for the youth residing in these building through this program. Services provided include assisting tenants with entitlements and budgeting, counseling, referrals to schooling and job training, crisis intervention, referrals to medical, substance abuse, and psychiatric care, and household and wellness self-management.
- INDEPENDENCE STARTS AT HOME (ISAH) is a Local Initiative Support Corporation (LISC) Pilot Program. ISAH is a collaboration among LISC, selected Community Development Corporations (CDCs), and Children's Services that placed youth transitioning from foster care into quality, permanent housing with on-site supports for the youth. The apartments are largely studio and one bedroom apartments located in West Harlem neighborhoods in Manhattan and Bedford-Stuyvesant in Brooklyn.
- On February 16th 2007 New York City and New York State entered into the New York/New York III Supportive Housing Agreement. This landmark agreement calls for the development of 3,850 units of

supportive housing, including 300 units for youth of which 200 are specifically for young people aging out of foster care.

3. Resources Targeted for Families and Youth

Housing Subsidy Program for Youth and Families

ACS also operates a Housing Subsidy Program that targets certain families, as well as youth ages 18-21 who are being discharged from foster care to the permanency goal of APPLA (who intend to live in nonsubsidized, market-rate apartments until age 21). Families are eligible when a primary barrier to reunification is lack of adequate housing or when they are receiving Children's Services preventive services and the lack of adequate housing is a primary factor putting their children at risk of placement into care. Once deemed eligible, up to \$300 is available per month per client for up to three years to assist with paying rent or mortgage. The subsidy is subject to a lifetime cap of \$10,800 for each youth or family that participates in the program. The subsidy payments are made directly to the landlord to prevent any interference with public assistance grants.

There are two other components of the program that provide extra support to our clients. One-time grants of up to \$1,800 are available to assist with expenses associated with obtaining a new apartment, such as a security deposit, broker's fees, furniture (for foster care cases only), mover's fee, extermination, and essential repairs. Separate one-time grants can also cover up to \$1,800 in rental arrears. However, these one-time grants are counted against the lifetime cap of \$10,800.

Preparing Youth for Adulthood, ACS Strategy to Support Youth in and transitioning from Foster Care

Preparing Youth for Adulthood or PYA is Children's Services' comprehensive strategy to support youth in foster care and as they transition to adulthood promotes the following principles:

- Youth will have permanent connection with caring adults.
- Youth will reside in stable living situations.
- Youth will have opportunities to advance their education and personal development.
- Youth will be encouraged to take increasing responsibility for their work and life decisions, and their positive decisions are reinforced.
- Young people's individual needs will be met.
- Youth will have ongoing support after they age out of foster care.

Preparing Youth for Adulthood emanates from a strength-based, youth development philosophy that encourages youth participation in decision-making and planning for their own future and goals. In support of this philosophy, Children's Services has established the Office of Youth Development, which works with provider agencies and other stakeholders to uphold PYA principles through cultivating high practice standards, identifying resources to assist in the implementation of this practice and to help support the execution and monitoring of this work. To facilitate this, OYD offers technical assistance, training, supportive programming and a host of other services to ensure positive outcomes for youth in foster care.

The Housing Academy Collaborative (HAC) was created in March 2013 as part of efforts by the Administration for Children's Services (ACS) to enhance the services provided to young adults as they transition from foster care to adulthood. HAC provides a series of core trainings to young adults ages sixteen to twenty-one to better prepare them to meet the challenges of renting an apartment and maintaining it long term. The trainings target key components necessary to becoming a successful resident, including:

- Employment Services Support
- Education Resources
- Financial Literacy
- General Knowledge Of Landlord and Tenant Rights
- Negotiating With Creditors

HAC will enhance the Intake Housing Application process by providing technical assistance. A review team of three senior HAC staff members will expedite court orders regarding housing issues for youth. The review team will also work with foster care providers to assess housing preparedness and make referrals to HAC specialists for one-on-one technical assistance, in the areas of education, employment and mental health.

2. Housing Continuum of Care for Non-Homeless Special Needs Populations

a. Mentally Ill, Mentally and Developmentally Disabled, and Chemically Dependent Populations

1. Housing Needs

People with disabilities face barriers beyond the expected problems of cost and location in their search for housing. Meeting the dual challenges of locating housing that is both accessible and affordable can be exceedingly difficult, particularly when accessibility relates not only to the dwelling place itself, but also to location on an accessible route to employment, services and other features of daily living which most people take for granted.

By most standard measures, the disability community in New York City is poorer and has a higher rate of unemployment and under-employment than other segments of the adult population. This makes it difficult for most people with disabilities to enter the city's high-priced housing market without the use of heavy rent subsidies, which are in short supply.

Hospitals and community-based service programs seek to coordinate discharge planning through the provision of transitional and permanent supportive housing opportunities. Hospital discharge planning policies and practices call for arranging aftercare and housing, if necessary, prior to the conclusion of an inpatient stay. If the client will be homeless upon discharge, discharge planners contact supportive residences to access housing and services.

Housing providers reach out to both hospitals and community-based service programs to inform them about the types of supportive housing available in which persons may live and continue their rehabilitation.

2. Inventory of Housing

Supportive housing programs for this population are predominately funded on the State level by New York State's Office of Mental Health (OMH), the Office for People With Developmental Disabilities (OPWDD) (formerly the Office of Mental Retardation and Developmental Disabilities (OMRDD)), and Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Services (OASAS). Many of the supportive housing and related housing service programs described in this section are operated by not-for-profit agencies. Mental Health services for homeless persons are discussed in the Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for the Homeless section.

The following housing services for homeless persons with a diagnosed mental illness are funded by the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) and the New York State Office of Mental Health:

1. Housing for Seriously Mentally Ill (SMI) Populations

Housing specifically targeted for individuals with mental illness is funded and developed by both OMH and DOHMH.

The State of New York continues to reduce the number of beds in State psychiatric institutions in order to convert to a network of community-based programs to support seriously mentally ill adults and seriously emotionally disturbed children. This transition was initially facilitated by the passage of the Community Mental Health Reinvestment Act in 1993. That act tied funding and development of community-based mental health services to the reduction of beds in State Psychiatric Hospitals by using a formula based on local prevalence rates of mental illness. Reinvestment Act monies were targeted to services that include crisis and emergency services; outpatient services; vocational, educational and recreational programs; and supported housing. While

the programs funded under the original Reinvestment Act continue, that source of funding has ended. However, in 2003, the State of New York passed the Community Mental Health Support & Workforce Reinvestment Program, which will provide OMH with monies to distribute at its discretion from savings due to the continuing closure of State psychiatric center beds. In Fiscal 2005, OMH received \$9.6 million from the closing of 100 adult beds and 21 children's beds. Although these funds were not distributed to localities pursuant to the formula used under the original Reinvestment Act, about \$7 million was used to fund 318 beds of scattered site supported housing for adults with mental illness in New York City. As of June 2010, 318 beds have been allocated and the beds have been developed.

The DOHMH capital development of congregate supported housing is accomplished with the assistance of the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD). Most of the subsidies for the operation and social service costs of the units developed by the City are funded by OMH. However, DOHMH has funded some scattered site housing with Reinvestment money, has supplemented OMH subsidies congregate housing with city tax levy dollars, and is planning to fund several new congregate housing programs for populations with mental illness using DOHMH funding.

There are four principal categories of housing: Congregate Treatment Residences; Apartment Treatment Programs; Congregate Support Residences; and Supported Housing.

Congregate Treatment Residences

Congregate Treatment Residences are licensed transitional (less than two years) residences for people with mental illness that are developed and funded by OMH. In addition to 24-hour supervised living, these residences provide a high level of support to assist the residents to progress to a more independent living situation. Services include counseling, self-care and community skills development, socialization, case management, crisis intervention, and medication management. Participation in services and structured day programs is strongly encouraged. Residents are eligible for Level II SSI benefits and receive a pre-determined personal needs allowance. As of June 30, 2013 there are 2,527 Congregate Treatment and Support Residence units in operation in New York City.

Apartment Treatment Programs

Apartment Treatment Programs are transitional programs that provide shared apartments for up to four individuals and are developed, funded and licensed by OMH. Services include counseling, self-care and community living skills development, case management, crisis intervention, and medication management. Counselors visit residents one to seven times weekly depending on the individual's need for support. Participation in services is encouraged and residents are required to participate in structured day programs. Residents are eligible for Level II SSI benefits and receive a personal needs allowance which is adjusted according to the level of responsibility for meal preparation and other personal expenses. As of June 30, 2013 there are 1,995 Apartment Treatment units in New York City.

Congregate Support Residences

Congregate Support Residences are extended stay residences that are developed, funded and licensed by OMH. They are also known as *Community Residences/ Single Room Occupancy Residences (CR/SRO)*. This type of housing is designed as studio apartments, or as suites containing single bedrooms combined with a shared living space. The CR/SRO provides on-site supportive services. Residents have Residency Agreements and are eligible for Level II SSI benefits. As of June 30, 2013 there are 1,989 congregate support units in operation in New York City.

Supported Housing

Supported housing provides permanent housing to individuals and families. It is developed by both OMH and DOHMH. Supported housing is not licensed, however it does operate based on an established set of guidelines and standards that have been developed by the funding agencies. Housing choices can include scattered site apartments or single site apartment buildings and Single Room Occupancy units. Tenants retain a lease for their apartment and are responsible for contributing 30% of their income toward rent and utilities. Case management

services are available to assist tenants in accessing all necessary community services and to otherwise assist successful integration into community living. This includes new housing for the following target groups: individuals referred from OMH Psychiatric Centers, Article 28 Hospitals, homeless shelters and other congregate settings; persons who are nursing home remedy members or from transitional adult homes; and individuals who are high users of Medicaid Services and referred from Health Homes. As of June 30, 2013, there are 7,891 available SOMH units of Supported Housing and 5,201 units of DOHMH Supported housing for those with serious mental illness.. Several important initiatives are creating new housing opportunities for this population.

New York/New York II

The 1999 New York/New York II Agreement provided for a joint City/State five-year effort to develop approximately 1,500 additional housing units for homeless adults with mental illness. The capital portion of the Agreement provided for 1,000 new units, with the State and City each committed to developing 500. By securing various other sources of funding, the City was able to increase its share of development to 707, the majority of which are being developed by HPD. All of the New York/New York II capital housing projects are operational the State has all 500 units open. Of the City's units, all units (707) were operational as of June 2010. The remaining 500 units not included in the capital development plan which are scattered site have already been completed, 190 by the State and 310 by the City. The State provides \$11,735 per unit annually to subsidize the social service and building operation costs and the City provides an additional \$1,000 annual subsidy per unit, for a total of \$12,735 per NY/NY II unit.

High Service Needs Housing I

A \$50 million City/State match for a congregate housing development program was initiated in Fiscal 2001 to provide approximately 800 new congregate housing units in NYC over five years for mentally ill persons with high service needs. The State made awards for the development of 320 service-enriched SRO units for single adults and 80 community residence units for children and youth. Ten of the adult residences comprised of 275 units and ten of the children's residential programs (totaling 80 units) opened as of June, 30, 2013 There is one project with 45 adult units in development. The City's match of 400 units are all for single adults. The State provides \$11,732 per unit annually to subsidize the service and building operation costs, and the City provides an additional \$1,000 annual subsidy per unit. Also, the City is able to use savings from Permanent Housing (formerly Shelter Plus Care), the federal rental subsidy, and add an additional \$768 per unit, for a total of \$13,500 per unit. As of June 30, 2012, all projected units or 392 beds were open and available across ten programs.

High Service Needs Housing II

A \$65 million and \$75 million City/State match for congregate housing development was initiated in Fiscal 2003 to provide another 1,600 units of supported housing for single adults with mental illness in New York City over the next five years. The State has awarded 800 beds to providers who responded to an RFP issued in the fall of 2003. A total of 471 units are available and others are in development and will open within the next few years. The City issued an RFP for its matching 800 units in February 2005. The State is providing \$13,233 annually per unit to subsidize the social service and building operation costs. Using funds including savings from Permanent Housing (formerly Shelter Plus Care), the federal rental subsidy, the City will contribute an additional \$1,655 so that the total annual funding per unit will be \$14,888. As of June 30, 2013, 21 programs housing 800 units were operational and the remaining expected to become operational by April 2013.

New York/New York III Housing

In November 2005, the City and State entered into the New York/New York III Agreement, a major initiative to provide 9,000 new units of housing over the next eleven years to a broad range of special needs populations, including persons leaving State psychiatric centers, homeless persons with mental illness, substance abuse disorders or HIV/AIDS, homeless families in which the head of the household has a mental illness, substance abuse disorder, HIV/AIDS or a medical disability, and young adults leaving foster care. The State is responsible for 5,125 units (3,125 capital and 2,000 scattered sites) and the City is responsible for 4,850 units (3,600 capital and 1,250 scattered sites) which include 1,000 units to be procured by the New York City HIV/AIDS Services

Administration (HASA) later this year. The annual per unit rates for the NY/NY III housing units vary by target population type and range from \$14,888 to \$25,000. Pursuant to RFPs issued in 2006 and 2007, the SOMH has awarded contracts for 1,000 scattered site units, all of which are operational. SOMH issued set-aside awards for 1,125 capital units. To date, eleven residences are open for a total of 457 units and 668 units are in various stages of development. All units have been sited. The State issued an RFP in the spring of 2011 to fund the services and supports in 1,025 units that are being developed using capital funding from the New York State Homes and Community Renewal (HCR) and/or the Office of Temporary Disability Assistance (OTDA). To date 146 units are available and others are in development. A total of 879 will be developed over the next five years.. The City issued two RFPs in early 2007, and has awarded contracts for 3,641 units (2,685 single site units and 856 scattered-site units). All of the scattered-site units are currently operational. Twenty six of the capital projects are open, while the remainder of the capital units will open gradually over the next years. New proposals may be submitted to DOHMH on a rolling basis until all capital units have been permanently assigned.

2. Housing for Developmentally Disabled Individuals.

The New York State Office for People with Developmental Disabilities (OPWDD) funds all residential services for this population. It plans for services, makes policy, and contracts for supplemental services such as employment and recreational services. The OPWDD Certified Housing Program provides a 3-tier system:

Semi-Independent Living Programs

Semi-Independent Living Programs are available to individuals who do not require 24-hour assistance and supervision. *Supportive Community Residences* provide home environments where individuals can acquire the skills necessary to live as independently as possible. *Family Care Homes* combine private homes with families, or unrelated parties, certified by OPWDD to provide care to the residents.

As of December 31st 2011 there were 944 Supportive beds, funded with \$61.2 million. In 2010 there were 425 Family Care beds 3 units being added, funded with \$9.4 million, available in the City. Currently there are 1,295 independent supportive beds. 25 ISS bed were added in 2010 costing \$375,000. Total ISS beds are 1,295 costing \$19.4 million.

24-Hour On-Site Assistance and Training Programs

24-Hour On-Site Assistance and Training Programs provide daily living skills development. *Supervised Community Residences* provide on-site housing staff, supplies and services for persons who require 24-hour assistance and supervision. The home environment permits individuals to learn skills necessary to live as independently as possible. *Individualized Residential Alternatives (IRAs)* are certified homes which may house one to fourteen individuals. They provide room, board, support services and individualized protective oversight.

As of December 31st 2011, there were 124 supervised beds added, funded with \$16.1 million, and presently there are 5,827 individuals living in IRAs, funded with \$689.3 million, available in the City. 94 IRA beds were added in 2010 at a cost \$11.3 million. There are a total of 3,204 ICF beds in New York City, 30 beds were added in 2010 at a cost of \$4.9 million. The current number of ICF beds is 3,204.

3. Housing for Chemically Dependent Individuals

The State Office of Alcohol and Substance Abuse (OASAS) funds a variety of residential services to assist chemically dependent individuals in New York City who are not in need of acute hospital or psychiatric care or chemical dependence inpatient services but are unable to maintain abstinence or participate in treatment without the structure of a 24-hour/day, 7 day/week residential setting. All of these residential programs are intended to serve persons in the non-acute disease stage who have been detoxified and are now intent on remaining sober and rebuilding their lives and improving social and coping skills without relying on chemical substances.

Three levels of residential services are offered: community residential services; supportive living services; and intensive residential services. Lengths of stay range from an average of four months in a community residential service to up to two years in the other residential categories. In 2012 in New York City, there are 693

community residence beds, 14 supportive living beds, and 4,475 intensive residential beds (4,447 intensive residential and 28 Residential Rehabilitation Services for Youth (RRSY)).

(Note: In addition, there are 1,436 intensive residential beds (1,272 intensive residential and 164 RRSY) that are located outside of the City but primarily serve New York City residents. Clients admitted to intensive residential intake programs located in NYC and transferred to the non-NYC programs for a significant part of their treatment episode. These clients are then transferred back to a NYC based re-entry program prior to completion of the treatment episode.

All service levels provide individual and peer group counseling, supportive services, educational services, structured activities and recreation as well as orientation to community-based services. Rehabilitative procedures can be provided directly or through referral and are based on individualized assessments and treatment plans designed to develop coping skills and self-sufficiency necessary to maintain abstinent lifestyles.

At least nine City agencies provide or fund prevention and treatment services to New Yorkers suffering from the effects of chemical dependency: DOHMH; HHC; HRA; DHS; NYCHA; DOC; DJJ; Probation; and the Department of Youth and Community Development.

In 2011, 9.6 % of New York City residents over 12 years of age were estimated to have a chemical dependence problem. It is estimated that one third (33.3%) of those who have a problem would seek treatment from the OASAS chemical dependency treatment system if services were available.

In 2010, there were 11,037 admissions to OASAS-certified Residential Treatment programs by New York City residents. Of those admitted to residential treatment in 2010, 9.6% were under the age of 18, 20.3% were between the ages of 18 and 25, 67% were between the ages of 26 and 55, and 3.2% were over 55 years of age.

Intensive Residential Services (includes Residential Rehabilitation Services for Youth)

Intensive Residential Services assist clients who are in recovery but unable to comply with treatment outside a 24-hour setting as evidenced by recent unsuccessful attempts at abstinence, unsuccessful outpatient treatment or clients who need ongoing management of medical and/or psychiatric problems. A minimum of 40 hours per week of services is provided within a therapeutic milieu. Services may include vocational assessments and training or parenting and social skills development.

Community Residential Services

Community Residential Services provide structured therapeutic environments for residents who are concurrently enrolled in outpatient chemical dependency programs which provide addiction counseling. Services may be provided directly or by referral and include vocational assessment, job readiness training, parenting, social and community living skills. Individuals appropriate for this level of care include individuals who are homeless or who otherwise would have living environments not conducive to recovery and abstinence.

Supportive Living Services

Supportive Living Services provide a minimum level of professional support to individuals who do not require 24- hour on-site supervision by clinical staff but require an alcohol and drug free environment with peer support of fellow residents to maintain abstinence. A weekly clinical staff member contact is provided as residents readapt to independent living.

OASAS also funds Crisis Services that include Inpatient/Residential *Medically Supervised Withdrawal Services* to manage the treatment of clients who are intoxicated by alcohol and/or substances, suffering from mild withdrawal complications, or who are in danger of relapse. These services are often provided early in a person's course of recovery and are relatively short in duration, typically in the three to five day range. They do not require physician direction but should provide a safe environment for complete withdrawal and then referral to the next level of care. Services include assessment, monitoring of symptoms and vital signs, individual and group counseling, level of care determination and referral to other appropriate services.

b. Needs of Persons with AIDS and HIV Related Diseases

The New York City Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (NYC EMSA) has a population of approximately 9.72 million residents, of which 86% reside in the five boroughs of New York City (Bronx, Brooklyn, Manhattan, Queens, and Staten Island) and 14% in the Lower Hudson Valley region (Putnam, Rockland, and Westchester Counties).¹ The New York City portion of the EMSA is densely populated, whereas the Lower Hudson Valley has a combination of both urban and suburban areas. In addition to having one of the nation's highest costs of living, New York City experiences notably high rates of poverty. Specifically, 19.4% of the City's population was living below the national poverty level from 2007-2011, with the Bronx (28.5%) and Brooklyn (22.1%) reporting the highest poverty rates.²

New York City remains the HIV epicenter of the United States (US). In 2012, New York City comprised 2.7% of the US population, but accounted for 6.9% of new AIDS diagnoses and 6.9% of new HIV diagnoses (among 50 states with confidential name-based HIV infection reporting).^{3,4} According to the latest available CDC surveillance data, in 2011, New York City comprised 10.9% of AIDS deaths in the nation and 9.9% of people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA) (among 50 states with confidential name-based HIV infection reporting).^{3,5} The New York State Department of Health reported that, as of December 2011, there were approximately 130,000 New Yorkers living with HIV/AIDS, the vast majority of whom were living in the NYC EMSA.⁶ More recently, as of June 30, 2012 the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) reported that there are 114,093 people living with HIV/AIDS in New York City.⁷ In 2011, 2,734 people were newly diagnosed with HIV (non-AIDS) and an additional 2,208 were newly diagnosed with AIDS in New York City. Furthermore, in 2010, New York ranked highest in the nation among major metropolitan areas for newly diagnosed AIDS case rates – nearly two times the national average.⁸ The NYC EMSA has more newly diagnosed AIDS cases as the cities of Miami and Los Angeles (the cities with the next two highest number of newly diagnosed AIDS cases) combined.

Similar to many large urban areas, the NYC EMSA struggles with complexities common to the HIV/AIDS epidemic. Some of the highest death rates among persons with HIV/AIDS are in the lowest-income communities in NYC: South Bronx, Central Brooklyn, and Harlem.³ In addition to HIV/AIDS, which is the third leading cause of premature death in NYC among those 35-54 years old, substantial disparities in a broad variety of health measures continue to exist among NYC neighborhoods and racial/ethnic groups.⁹ Historically marginalized populations have high percentages of co-morbidities, such as tuberculosis, Hepatitis B, and Hepatitis C. In areas where HIV prevalence is high, epidemics of substance abuse and mental illness overlap, contributing to an increase in risk behaviors associated with HIV transmission. The magnitude and complexity of the EMSA's epidemic result in significant challenges in providing essential medical, housing, and supportive services to PLWHA.

¹ U.S. Census Bureau. 2012 Census, 2012.

² U.S. Census Bureau. 2007-2011.

³ New York City HIV/AIDS Annual Surveillance Statistics. New York: New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2012. Updated December 18, 2012.

⁴ U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diagnoses of HIV Infection and AIDS in the United States and Dependent Areas, 2011, HIV Surveillance Report, Volume 23. 2011, Tables 1a and 2a.

⁵ U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diagnoses of HIV Infection and AIDS in the United States and Dependent Areas, 2011, HIV Surveillance Report, Volume 23. 2011, Tables 11a and 12a.

⁶ Bureau of HIV/AIDS Epidemiology, New York State Department of Health. New York State HIV/AIDS Surveillance Annual Report For Cases Diagnosed Through December 2008. June 2010.

⁷ New York City HIV/AIDS Annual Surveillance Statistics. New York: New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 2012. Updated April 2013.

⁸ U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Diagnoses of HIV Infection and AIDS in the United States and Dependent Areas, 2011, HIV Surveillance Report, Volume 23. 2011, Table 23 and Table 24.

⁹ Bureau of Vital Statistics, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. Summary of Vital Statistics 2011: The City of New York. December 2011.

As the number of PLWHA increases, the populations most affected by the disease continue to change. When the epidemic first started in 1981, White men who have sex with men (MSM) accounted for the largest group of AIDS diagnoses. By 1988, injection drug use (IDU) became the primary transmission category associated with AIDS diagnoses. At that time, Black and Hispanics accounted for 83% and females 30% of persons newly diagnosed with AIDS in the IDU transmission category. AIDS diagnoses began to decrease among IDUs in the mid-1990s, and in 2002 MSM again became the primary transmission category for new AIDS diagnoses. In the first half of 2012, 4.9% (n=65) of HIV (non-AIDS) diagnoses were associated with IDU transmission, 55.3% (n=730) with MSM transmission, and 18.9% (n=249) with heterosexual transmission.⁷ Transmission mode was unknown for 20.6% (n=272) of HIV (non-AIDS) diagnoses.

In addition to being the primary transmission category, the NYC MSM population has begun to display alarming trends in incidence by age and race/ethnicity. Young MSM (<30 years of age), particularly among those of color, have seen an increase in the number and proportion of new diagnoses of HIV. In 2001, 32% of new HIV (non-AIDS) diagnoses in MSM were among those younger than 30 years old. By 2011, the proportion rose to 51.1%.¹⁰ White, Hispanic, and Black MSM under 30 years old all experienced growing numbers and proportions of newly diagnosed cases of HIV (non-AIDS), but young Black MSM, who represent 22.0% of all newly diagnosed cases of HIV (non-AIDS) among MSM in NYC in 2011, have been particularly affected. In 2008, almost two-thirds (64%) of the 513 newly diagnosed Black MSM were younger than 30 years old, while proportions for Hispanics and Whites were 53% and 35%, respectively.¹¹

Subgroups become more susceptible to acquiring HIV infection as the HIV prevalence among those subgroups increases. However, it is important to note that HIV prevalence in NYC is neither evenly distributed throughout the 5 boroughs, nor among sub-populations. Unfortunately, the lowest-income communities of NYC also have the highest proportion of minority racial/ethnic groups, and the most concentrated HIV/AIDS prevalence areas. Through the first half of 2012, Blacks and Hispanics together represented 77.0% of all persons living with HIV/AIDS in NYC. Meanwhile, the proportion of newly diagnosed AIDS cases in NYC among Whites decreased from 48.8% in 1981 to 14.3% in the first half of 2012. Blacks comprised approximately half of persons newly diagnosed with HIV (43.9%) or AIDS (52.6%) in the first half of 2012.⁷ Viable prevention, treatment, and care approaches that intervene at multiple levels for these populations should continue to be a priority.

The high prevalence of HIV/AIDS in the NYC EMSA among people who are homeless or unstably housed significantly increases the cost and complexity of NYC's HIV/AIDS care system. Without safe, appropriate shelter, persons with AIDS are unable to adhere to complex antiretroviral drug regimens and also are exposed to conditions that threaten their health and well-being.

Findings from the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development's *2011 New York City Housing and Vacancy Survey (HVS)* showed that the citywide rental vacancy rate was only 3.12%. Vacant units available for low rents continue to be extremely scarce. The rental vacancy rate in 2011 for units with asking rents under \$799 was just 1.10%; for units with asking rents of \$800 - \$999 it was 2.58%. The vacancy rate for rent-stabilized units was 2.63% in 2011. The availability of so few affordable apartments makes it extremely difficult for low-income persons living with HIV/AIDS to find appropriate, affordable housing, and poses challenges for organizations that may wish to employ a scattered site housing model to provide housing for PLWH.

In City Fiscal Year 2011, the Department of Homeless Services (DHS) served 31,982 single adults, 23,255 families with children and 3,807 adult families accessing DHS shelter services for the first time. DHS refers

¹⁰ HIV Epidemiology and Field Services Program, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. New York City HIV/AIDS Annual Surveillance Statistics. Updated December 31, 2012.

¹¹ HIV Epidemiology and Field Services Program. New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene. HIV Epidemiology and Field Services Surveillance Slide Sets. February 2013.

individuals who self-identify as HIV-positive or living with AIDS to the HIV/AIDS Services Administration for medically appropriate emergency housing placement. However, due to confidentiality concerns and laws, DHS cannot track HIV/AIDS within the shelter system.

In December 2005, DOHMH and DHS published a report on the health of sheltered homeless persons in New York City during the time period 2001–2003. The report found that of the 88,014 New Yorkers who were known to be living with HIV/AIDS from 2001 through 2003, 3,108 of those persons used the homeless shelter system for at least one night during the study period. In addition, the report found that the prevalence of HIV/AIDS among users of the single adult shelter system was more than twice as high as the prevalence in the NYC adult population.

The City's population density, its aging housing and transportation infrastructures, its attraction for new immigrants and its diverse low-income communities have combined to make the HIV/AIDS epidemic here especially entrenched and complex. As the demographics of people with AIDS have changed, low income communities of color have experienced both increasing numbers of AIDS cases and a growing need for extensive social services and housing.

The Mayor of the City of New York, the official grantee of the NYC EMSA HOPWA grant, has designated the NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) as grantee of the HOPWA Program. The Bureau of HIV/AIDS Prevention & Control (BHAPC) within DOHMH serves as the grantee, giving them responsibility for the planning and coordination of the HOPWA grant. HOPWA-funded programs are implemented by the New York City Human Resources Administration - HIV/AIDS Services Administration (HASA) and the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene.

As the designated grantee for the HOPWA formula grant, BHAPC serves as the coordinator and administrator for the HOPWA program for the entire New York City Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA). The EMSA is comprised of the five boroughs of the City of New York together with Westchester, Putnam, and Rockland Counties in the Lower Hudson Valley. BHAPC works with these three counties and the eligible localities therein to plan and evaluate their use of HOPWA funds and to ensure the consistency of their efforts with those of the rest of the EMSA. In turn, Westchester County acts as the administrator for HOPWA funds received by the cities of Mount Vernon and Yonkers.

BHAPC convenes regular meetings with HOPWA-funded City agencies to ensure effective collaborative planning and execution of the HOPWA grant. BHAPC also receives detailed reports from City agencies utilizing HOPWA funds on their use of these funds during the previous year and their plans for proposed HOPWA programming in the upcoming year. These meetings focus on setting specific priorities and recommended spending levels based upon anticipated HOPWA and City Tax Levy revenues. The role of BHAPC as the designated grantee includes negotiation and oversight of the planning, implementation, and monitoring of the use of HOPWA funds for (1) capital development of HIV/AIDS housing projects through HPD, (2) delivery of housing and related supportive services through HASA, (3) delivery of housing and related supportive services within DOHMH.

Overview of the Current HIV/AIDS Housing Portfolio

Enhanced rental assistance, the expansion of existing programs, and the addition of new permanent and transitional units for adults and families are the foundation of the City's continuum of housing and supportive services for persons living with HIV/AIDS. The numerous supportive services that the City provides help to maintain individuals and families in housing and enhance their quality of life in both new and existing settings. The City seeks to relocate persons with AIDS who are inappropriately housed, such as doubled-up families and individuals, those with inadequate bath or kitchen facilities, or those in situations of inaccessibility. Whenever possible, the City places individuals and families in appropriate-sized apartments or efficiencies with private baths. Several facilities with multiple units have congregate meals prepared, or have specialized staff to provide nutritional counseling for residents who prepare their meals individually.

Given the current state of knowledge about HIV/AIDS, the linkage to primary health care for each individual throughout his or her life is the organizing principle for the housing continuum of care. This includes access to antiretroviral medications and other related HIV/AIDS medications, substance abuse treatment services, mental health services, case management, and home care. Funding for the City's program is provided primarily by City Tax Levy and matching State and Federal Medicaid funds. Additional Federal dollars accessed through HOPWA, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, and Part A of the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Treatment Modernization Act (HATMA) expand the considerable efforts the City has committed to meet the needs of this population. Access to a continuum of services is facilitated, in cooperation with HASA, by a network of community-based organizations.

The New York City government agencies that receive HOPWA funding provide or subsidize units under the following housing models:

- Rental subsidies (cash subsidies through Public Assistance for independent, private sector, non-supportive housing);
- Emergency commercial single room occupancy hotels (SROs) and family apartments;
- Permanent scattered site housing with supportive services;
- Transitional congregate housing with supportive services;
- Permanent congregate housing with supportive services;
- Public housing (NYCHA project apartments).

HASA provides a substantial portfolio of independent and supportive housing for its clients. As of June 2013, HASA's total caseload was 31,975, of which three-quarters were in receipt of some type of housing service.

As of June 2013, HASA provides Rental Assistance to 26,900 individuals and families living in independent housing in apartments; 878 individuals and families in transitional supportive housing; 2,065 individuals and families in permanent supportive congregate housing; and 2,553 individuals and families in scattered-site supportive housing operated.

Housing Preservation and Development's (HPD) mission is to preserve, maintain and improve the City's existing supply of affordable housing, as well as to produce new housing units for low-income persons, including PLWH. HPD is responsible for developing and arranging capital funding—through its Supportive Housing Loan Program—for the acquisition and renovation of buildings that will be owned and operated by nonprofit, community-based organizations that specialize in providing housing and supportive services to persons living with HIV/AIDS and other special needs populations. Development funds for these units are provided with a combination of HOPWA, Federal HOME funding, and City capital investment.

Community-based organizations also provide supportive housing, rental assistance, and support services through programs that directly contract with DOHMH. The services funded by DOHMH supplement and enhance the programs funded by other City agencies, as well as stand-alone programs.

The City utilizes several service models, strategies, and options to address the housing needs of people with AIDS and HIV-related illnesses.

Human Resources Administration – HIV/AIDS Services Administration (HASA)

Case Management and Support Services

HASA case management and support units provide the foundation for the City's network of services for persons with HIV/AIDS. Due to the increased need for HIV/AIDS housing in New York City, HASA continues to shift HOPWA funding committed to case management services to subsidize supportive housing units. HASA is chartered to offer a full range of social services to individuals and families with HIV/AIDS, as well as to other family members who are not infected. Case management teams respond to the complex support needs of

HIV/AIDS clients and their families. The HASA case manager facilitates client access to -- and maintenance of -- emergency, transitional, and permanent supportive housing, as well as rental assistance and enhanced rental assistance. HASA case management units ensure that clients are placed in appropriate housing and that they receive the supports necessary to maintain their housing. In addition to housing issues, HASA clients and their families often present a multiplicity of needs that the case management unit works to address. After completing comprehensive assessments, case management and eligibility staff develop service plans for all clients to determine the benefits and services needs of the clients, which might include cash assistance; nutrition and transportation grants; and housing related benefits such as rental assistance, rent arrears payments, establish of home grants, moving costs, or security deposits. Case managers facilitate client access to Medicaid, home care, homemaker services, Food Stamps, federal disability benefits and community based mental health and substance abuse programs. In Grant Year 2014, HASA anticipates serving a caseload of over 32,000 cases including nearly 5,000 family cases.

Rental Assistance

HASA clients who are capable of maintaining an independent apartment may be eligible for rental assistance. Individuals and families may be eligible to receive enhanced rent supplementation based on family size – above the standard cash assistance amount for rent subsidy for Safety Net/TANF cases – provided through Public Assistance. Costs are funded primarily by the City and State, with a federal contribution for TANF-eligible cases. Upon submission of documentation of a landlord's intent to lease, individuals may obtain an advance for payment of a first month's rent, security deposit and broker's fee. As of June 2013, HASA provides long-term rental assistance to 26,900 individuals and families living in independent housing in apartments. In addition to ongoing rent, HASA also provides for rent increases, home furnishings and sundries, client rent arrears, and moving and storage fee expenses.

Emergency Placement in Commercial SRO Hotels

Newly admitted HASA clients who are homeless require direct emergency housing placement services. Such a demand, when matched with housing development time frames and availability of transitional and permanent supportive housing and independent housing within the private sector, can necessitate the utilization of single room occupancy hotels on an emergency basis. To accelerate placement out of commercial SROs, the City has developed a Housing Placement Unit within HASA to provide intensive housing assistance and case management services to individuals residing in commercial SRO hotels and transitional housing facilities in order to assist them with finding permanent housing.

Transitional Supportive Housing

Transitional supported residences provide on-site case management, group work, assistance with Activities for Daily Living, mental health and substance abuse counseling, and assistance with securing financial benefits and services, all with a focus on preparing the client to maintain successfully a permanent household. Staffing includes a Housing Specialist who assists the resident in obtaining permanent housing. Placements in transitional housing facilities typically last between 30 and 180 days.

Permanent Public Housing

The City also provides apartments through the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) and the Department of Housing Preservation and Development. The adults and families living in these apartments continue to receive case management services, rental assistance, transportation and nutrition allowances, and linkages to appropriate care. Public Assistance provides rent supplements to clients for this housing.

Scattered-Site Supportive Housing

Community-based organizations (CBOs) are contracted to locate, lease, and maintain apartments in the private sector and to provide supportive services. Both single adults and families are referred to the CBOs by the HASA Housing Unit and the CBOs work to place them in contracted scattered site apartments. The CBOs provide a continuum of services to enable clients to move to greater levels of self-sufficiency, including intensive case management, mental health and substance abuse services, advocacy and referral linkages to medical and other services. Scattered Site housing is supported with a combination of Federal, City, and State funding.

Permanent Supportive Congregate Care Facilities

HASA contracts out to community-based organizations to provide supportive housing in renovated or newly constructed supportive facilities which contain efficiency apartments or multiple bedroom apartments. Case management and on site supportive services are also provided primarily through facility operating contracts with community-based organizations. The facilities may either be exclusively for a population living with HIV/AIDS or may be “mixed” facilities that also provide housing to other populations, specifically the elderly, the mentally ill, and/or low income individuals. Operating costs are supported with a combination of City and State funds through the above-noted operating contracts, rental assistance, and, in a few cases, with other grants leveraged by providers.

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH)

Targeted Housing Programs

BHAPC contracts with community-based organizations to provide targeted housing services that serve a number of special need populations living with HIV/AIDS. These targeted programs include: women with mental illness, women with children, seniors age 55 and over, difficult to serve individuals; dually diagnosed individuals and families in need of harm reduction services; LGBT street-youth who are HIV-positive or at risk for HIV infection due to prior histories of prostitution or substance abuse; and parolees/releases living with HIV/AIDS.

Housing Placement Assistance

Funding is available to community-based organizations, through HOPWA and Part A of the Ryan White HIV/AIDS Treatment Modernization Act, to provide assistance to persons living with HIV infection. Services include locating and securing apartments and obtaining rental assistance entitlements.

Rental Assistance

DOHMH utilizes a combination of Ryan White Part A and HOPWA funds to provide short-term and long-term rental assistance to individuals and families living with HIV/AIDS who have difficulties in accessing and maintaining permanent housing. A limited amount of HOPWA funding is also used to provide rental-start up to a number of qualifying individuals and families, and assists such clients in securing and maintaining housing.

c. Victims of Domestic Violence

Victims of domestic violence represent a group of individuals and families who, in order to escape the violence, could potentially access the City’s shelter system at any time. Despite the availability of transitional shelters for domestic violence victims, insufficient financial resources make it difficult for victims and their families to secure affordable housing.

1. Citywide Coordination of Services

In November 2001, New York City residents voted to amend the City Charter to establish a permanent office that would comprehensively address issues of domestic violence. Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg appointed Yolanda B. Jimenez as the first commissioner to head the new office, which is one of only a few municipal government offices in the United States focused solely on the issue of domestic violence.

The Mayor's Office to Combat Domestic Violence (“OCDV”) develops programs and policies aimed at reducing domestic violence and works with diverse communities to increase awareness about domestic violence. Through outreach to community leaders, health care providers, city agencies, and representatives from the criminal justice system, it holds batterers accountable and creates solutions that are critical to preventing domestic violence in New York City.

A description of domestic violence initiatives by OCDV and the City agencies it oversees is listed below.

Domestic Violence Fatality Review Committee

The Domestic Violence Fatality Review Committee (“FRC”) examines information related to domestic violence fatalities in the City and develops recommendations regarding services for the victims. Based on findings from its third annual report, the FRC developed a plan for a community needs assessment in Community Districts 4, 5, 6, 7 and 9 of the Bronx and 3, 8 and 16 in Brooklyn. The Bronx assessment was completed in the fall of 2010 and the Brooklyn assessment in the fall of 2012. The community assessments found that: (1) community members, including victims, do not always understand that domestic violence need not include physical abuse;; (2) some victims’ perception of a lack of resources, such as access to financial assistance and housing options, affects their ability to leave a relationship; and (3) challenges exist in connecting undocumented immigrant victim to services. Actions have been taken to increase knowledge of services through: (1) strategically placed messaging in local business locations and supermarket circulars; (2) training of City employees at the Department of Homeless Services and the New York City Housing Authority; (3) outreach to non-domestic violence community-based service providers to raise awareness of the domestic violence services available; and (4) partnering with the Mayor’s Office of Immigrant Affairs to reach out to immigrant communities throughout the City about domestic violence and immigrants’ right to services.

New York City Family Justice Center Initiative

The New York City Family Justice Center Initiative is an initiative of OCDV in partnership with the District Attorneys’ Offices. The Centers are located in the Bronx, Brooklyn, and Queens. With public and private funding, these innovative Centers help domestic violence victims break the cycle of violence by streamlining the provision of supportive services. Clients receive their choice of services that are made available in their language, while their children play in the next room. Since opening in July 2005 through December 2012, the New York City Family Justice Center in Brooklyn has served 52,658 new clients seeking domestic violence services, and 10,205 children made use of the Center’s Children’s Room, Margaret’s Place. There have been 116,680 adult client visits to the Center since it opened. Since opening in July 2008 through December 2012, the New York City Family Justice Center in Queens has served 17,306 new clients seeking domestic violence services and 3,452 children were supervised in the Center’s Children’s Room. There have been 46,004 client visits to the Center since it opened. Since opening in April 2010 through December 2012, the New York City Family Justice Center in the Bronx has served 13,037 new clients seeking domestic violence services and 3,191 children were supervised in the Center’s Children’s Room. There have been 35,147 client visits to the Center since it opened.

Staten Island Domestic Violence Response Team

In 2011, OCDV launched the Staten Island Domestic Violence Response Team (DVRT), a coordinated model of services for high-risk domestic violence victims to receive efficient and effective services in a prompt manner. The DVRT Team, which consists of City and State agencies and community based organizations, meets monthly to assess the service and safety needs of DVRT clients and develop a plan for each client to ensure they are receiving the appropriate services. Based on the DVRT Team discussions, recommendations will be developed to enhance the delivery of services to domestic violence victims Citywide.

The DVRT Team also frequently participates in public education activities across Staten Island to raise awareness of the services available to domestic violence victims. The public education activities include handing out pamphlets, palm cards and brochures at local retail locations, public transportation hubs and festivals. During 2012, the DVRT Team distributed more than 10,000 pieces of public education material.

New York City Family Justice Center, Brooklyn, Early Victim Engagement (BKFJC EVE) Project

In April 2008, the New York City Family Justice Center in Brooklyn launched the Early Victim Engagement Project in collaboration with the Kings County District Attorney’s Office, two nonprofit organizations and three government agencies. The BKFJC EVE Project is funded by the U.S. Department of Justice, Office on Violence Against Women. The goal of the BKFJC EVE Project is effective, early engagement with domestic violence victims whose abusive partners have interacted with the criminal legal system. This early contact provides victims with timely, reliable information about the criminal justice system in their language and allow them to

make informed decisions about their safety. In 2012, over 8,580 domestic violence victims were assisted by EVE.

Domestic Violence Prevention: New York City Healthy Relationship Academy

In 2005, OCDV established the NYC Healthy Relationship Training Academy in partnership with the Department of Youth and Community Development and the Avon Foundation through the Mayor's Fund to Advance New York City. This program seeks to prevent abuse by primarily educating teens and young adults to recognize abuse within a relationship as well as understand what aspects of a relationship contribute to making it healthy. The Academy offers educational workshops and training sessions on topics concerning domestic violence for young people ages 11 to 24 of especially vulnerable populations, their parents and organizational staff. Since its inception in 2005 through December 2012, the Academy reached 31,251 young people through 1,550 peer education workshops. These have proven to be highly successful based on data from pre- and post-workshop questionnaires.

2. Homelessness Prevention

Fleeing violence in the home can lead to homelessness for victims and their children. OCDV coordinates a wide range of programs and initiatives that aim to prevent domestic violence and provide safety and services to victims.

Public Education

Public education is a critical component of OCDV's strategy to reduce domestic violence and prevent homelessness in New York City. Effective public education helps to reduce the number of people who become victims and refers those who are victims to appropriate services.

Public Awareness

The OCDV website, www.nyc.gov/domesticviolence, serves as the only citywide clearinghouse for comprehensive domestic violence information. In July 2008, Mayor Bloomberg signed Executive Order (EO) 120, creating a centralized language access policy for New York City. In 2009, as part of OCDV's Language Access Plan, content on OCDV's website was reviewed and translated into Arabic, Bengali, Chinese, Haitian-Creole, Korean, Russian and Spanish. OCDV continues to monitor language services and the language needs of our clients through language service questions incorporated into the customer satisfaction surveys collected at the Family Justice Centers.

OCDV and the Verizon Wireless HopeLine® Program

OCDV continues to collaborate with Verizon Wireless's HopeLine in urging all New York City residents to help survivors of domestic violence by donating their no-longer-used wireless devices.

Raising Awareness of the Right to a Healthy Relationship

Since the spring of 2010, OCDV has partnered with other City agencies and local retailers to encourage people to call 311 for the New York City Domestic Violence Hotline or 911 in an emergency. The program consists of a poster and palm card informing people that they have a right to a healthy relationship and letting them know that help is available if their partner is physically or emotionally hurting them. Since June 2010, the posters and palm cards have been displayed in over 1,300 pharmacies, banks, financial services locations, fast food restaurants and other retail locations. The campaign materials were also placed at several City agencies including the Administration for Children's Services, Department of Consumer Affairs, Department of Homeless Services, Human Resources Administration, Department of Parks and Recreation and New York City Housing Authority in addition to medical providers' offices. In 2012, this campaign was displayed in over 200 C-Town Supermarket and Petland retail locations across the City.

“Know Your Rights” Community Forums

The Mayor’s Office of Immigrant Affairs (MOIA) conducts community forums across the City to ensure that immigrants in New York City know what services are available to them and help them utilize their resources. OCDV and staff members of the Family Justice Centers have attended many of these meetings to address any domestic violence related questions that may arise.

OCDV is currently working with MOIA to establish a domestic violence outreach effort through the Queens Public Library. Through this initiative, we have trained 67 library branch managers on existing services and provide brochures and other material that will be displayed in the Queens libraries.

Don’t Be a Victim of Fraud Program

The “Don’t Be a Victim of Fraud” program educated over 423 domestic violence survivors, service providers, City agencies’ staff, and others on issues of financial abuse and credit care related to detecting and countering financial fraud and to economic barriers to escaping abusive environments. The program put together a day-long conference for 298 registrants from economic justice, domestic violence, and community-based organizations from all five NYC boroughs. OCDV also presented four workshops in Spanish and English for clients at each of the Family Justice Centers and the Staten Island Domestic Violence Response Team.

October Domestic Violence Month

Since 2002, OCDV has collated information regarding domestic violence-related activities being hosted in the City each October in honor of Domestic Violence Awareness Month. These activities are organized into a useful resource calendar which is widely distributed and posted on the OCDV website.

Since October 2009OCDV partnered with Alpha1 Marketing, the parent company of C-Town, Bravo and AIM Supermarkets, to place a public education message - “If you or someone you know is being abused, please call 311 or 1-800-621-HOPE (4673)” - on the back page of a weekly circular during October, which is Domestic Violence Awareness Month. Last October, the circular message was displayed for two consecutive weeks. This circular was then distributed in 123 C-Town, Bravo and AIM Supermarkets.

New York City Housing Authority Conference on Domestic Violence

NYCHA holds Annual Conferences on Domestic Violence, primarily for NYCHA residents, to increase sensitivity and provide useful information about this issue. However, due to Super Storm Sandy, NYCHA’s 11th Annual Domestic Violence Conference which was scheduled for Saturday, November 3, 2012, was cancelled.

Human Resources Administration’s Teen Relationship Abuse Prevention Program (RAPP)

This school-based program is one of the most comprehensive domestic violence prevention programs in New York City, and is critical to ending relationship abuse among young people. Through a comprehensive curriculum, students learn to recognize and change destructive patterns of behavior before they are transferred to adult relationships. The program is now serving 62 schools citywide. During the 2011-2012 school year the RAPP social workers offered individual counseling to 7,100 students. Over 4,500 students completed the three course prevention workshops, with 90 percent of the students showing an increase in knowledge of teen relationship abuse.

Peer education is an important component of the RAPP program. One of the goals of the RAPP program is to promote active student involvement as peer partners, peer educators and mentors. During the 2012-2013 school year, 7,136 students received counseling services and over 3,389 students completed the workshop series. Outreach was conducted to over 50,000 students citywide.

Training

Agency personnel and other service providers must be well-trained in order to effectively deliver programs and initiatives that have an impact on reducing domestic violence. This is especially true of frontline workers who directly assist victims and are regularly called upon to provide clear, accurate and often culturally appropriate information and assistance.

The Administration for Children's Services Domestic Violence Screening and Assessment Tools and Training

ACS has updated and enhanced the domestic violence screening and assessment tools for child protective staff, developed and implemented updated domestic violence trainings for new and experienced staff (attorneys, child protective staff, supervisors, and managers) across divisions. In addition, ACS continues to provide ongoing training, consultation, technical assistance and capacity building citywide to community based preventive service and foster care programs directly and through oversight of two contracts; the Community Empowerment Project administered through CONNECT, Inc. (formerly the Urban Justice Center) and the Domestic Violence and Child Welfare Initiative administered through the Children's Aid Society's Family Wellness Program. These efforts are crucial because a substantial overlap exists between domestic violence and child abuse and neglect, and many victims of domestic violence come into contact with child welfare service providers before they are ready to seek assistance from domestic violence service providers or the criminal justice system. The implementation of domestic violence screening and assessment and related ongoing trainings continues to improve the ability of child welfare staff to assess and respond to child safety issues while providing victims of domestic violence with necessary safety planning assistance, intervention and referrals to appropriate community resources.

New York City Elder Abuse Network

The New York City Department for the Aging (DFTA) established the New York City Elder Abuse Network in 2006. The Network was formed by a nucleus of agencies who indicated a strong desire to expand and strengthen their activities in the area of elder abuse. The Network has a broad membership of over 50 agencies, including law enforcement personnel, district attorneys, city agencies, academic institutions, nonprofit providers of victim services as well as support services to the elderly, financial service providers, and other interested community professionals. The Network's focus for this coming year will be in the following areas: 1) developing a speaker bureau; 2) coordinating providers serving elder abuse victims; 3) exploring services for diverse populations including immigrants and people with disabilities; and 4) advocacy. DFTA provides ongoing administrative support to the Network.

Intervention and Outreach

A number of domestic violence programs and initiatives operated by City agencies are designed to intervene in the lives of victims before they become homeless and involve outreach to victims and their families. Outreach and services are provided to victims through the City's Domestic Violence Hotline; criminal justice services; social services, including health and human services; and alternatives to shelter.

New York City Domestic Violence Hotline

Domestic violence services offered in the City can be accessed through the City's toll-free Domestic Violence Hotline which operates 24-hours, seven days a week and provides interpretation services in more than 150 languages and dialects. During the 2012 calendar year, the Hotline answered 108,181 calls, averaging over 295 calls per day.

Criminal Justice Services

Fear for personal safety is a major reason that victims leave their homes and OCDV has made the effective delivery of criminal justice services a critical element of its strategy to reduce domestic violence. Criminal

justice personnel respond to calls for help, make arrests, provide referrals and follow-up visits to victims and are responsible for incarcerating and monitoring batterers.

New York City Police Department (NYPD) Domestic Violence Unit

The NYPD Domestic Violence Unit coordinates the department's overall domestic violence strategy, including the training of officers. There are over 380 Domestic Violence Prevention Officers, Domestic Violence Investigators and Domestic Violence Sergeants in the City's seventy-six (76) police precincts and nine (9) Housing Police Service Areas. In 2012, the Domestic Violence Unit conducted forty-eight (48) domestic violence training sessions involving 1,241 uniformed and civilian members from recruits in the Police Academy to Executives.

New York City Police Department Intervention Programs

The Department has many initiatives aimed at prevention, intervention and outreach including a Domestic Violence High Propensity List, which targets households that have a demonstrated tendency toward domestic violence and the Home Visit Program, where Domestic Violence Prevention Officers visit residences that have had domestic violence incidents in the past in an effort to prevent future incidents.

New York City Police Department Domestic Violence Police Program (DVPP)

The Domestic Violence Police Program (DVPP) combines experienced Safe Horizon counselors with uniformed police officers who jointly contact and counsel NYCHA families where there has been a police report of domestic violence. In January of 2009, Safe Horizon's contract with NYCHA was transitioned to HRA and is now funded by the NYC City Council and they continue to provide services to NYCHA residents. Currently, the DVPP is operational in nine Police Service Areas and three precincts (PSA 1, 2 and 3 in Brooklyn; PSA 4, 5 and 6 in Manhattan; PSA 7 and 8 in the Bronx; PSA 9 in Queens and the 44th, 46th and the 52nd precincts in the Bronx).

During the year 2012, police officers prepared 263,207 Domestic Incident Reports (DIRs) and made 47,271 domestic violence arrests.

Domestic Violence Intervention, Education and Prevention (DVIEP) Program

This contracted program is administered by HRA's Office of Domestic Violence. The program combines experienced Safe Horizon case managers with uniformed police officers who provide outreach to victims of domestic violence who have filed a report with the police. Currently, the DVIEP program is operational in nine Police Service Areas (PSA 1, 2 and 3 in Brooklyn; PSA 4, 5 and 6 in Manhattan; PSA 7 and 8 in the Bronx; and PSA 9 in Queens and four police precincts—the 44th, 46, and 52nd precincts in the Bronx and the 120 precinct in Staten Island).

During Fiscal Year 2013 the Safe Horizon DVIEP program case managers reviewed 15,500 domestic incident reports and provided services to more than 7, 300 victims. The case managers conducted almost 200 police sensitivity training sessions, and almost 100 education seminars were conducted in the community.

New York City Housing Authority's Witness Relocation Program

Through the Witness Relocation Program, District Attorneys, US Attorneys, or other appropriate law enforcement agencies refer intimidated witnesses who are applying for public housing or Section 8 assistance.

From January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013, 58 cases were received and reviewed by the unit, and 57 that were deemed to have met the Intimidated Witness criteria and were forwarded to NYCHA's Applications and Tenancy Administration Department for processing.

Social Services

The City provides a number of health and human services to meet the immediate needs of victims and help them avoid homelessness. OCDV is committed to having these services delivered in a coordinated manner.

The Administration for Children's Services Domestic Violence Policy and Planning Unit

The Domestic Violence Policy and Planning (DVPP) Unit in the Office of Child and Family Health works to inform Administration for Children's Services (ACS) delivery of services and practice so that families and children who are involved in the child welfare system and are affected by domestic violence are identified and receive the services they need. DVPP supports capacity building and adherence to best practice, and achieves its goals through consultation, training, interagency collaboration and community outreach. The unit conducts strategic planning related to domestic violence and the child welfare system; directs policy development; formulates practice guidelines and protocols; and collaborates internally and externally on developing domestic violence policies, practices and recommendations. The unit is also responsible for the development and implementation of the agency's domestic violence training strategy, the delivery of these trainings, and supporting 15 domestic violence clinical consultation specialists, and their adequate support in the field on certain high-risk cases. In 2009 the James Satterwhite Academy in collaboration with DVPP revised and updated the three day, DV Core Phase II training curriculum for Child Protective Specialists and created the DV ToolKit. The DV ToolKit contains all of Children's Services' domestic violence policies and procedures for best practices when assessing and intervening with families experiencing domestic violence. DVPP developed and began delivering the DV ToolKit training for supervisors and managers in the Division of Child Protection to update on the changes in the revised curriculum.

Domestic Violence Policy and Planning (DVPP) also oversee two initiatives, the Domestic Violence and Child Welfare Initiative (DVCWI) administered through the Children's Aid Society's Family Wellness Program and the Community Empowerment Program (CEP) administered through CONNECT. The Domestic Violence and Child Welfare Initiative (DVCWI) provides ongoing training and education, case consultation, technical assistance and capacity building to all preventive and foster care agencies in New York City with the goal of developing the agencies' capacity to work effectively with families struggling with current or past domestic violence.

Another initiative of the Domestic Violence Policy and Planning Unit is the Domestic Violence Subcommittee. The mission of the Domestic Violence Subcommittee is to enhance and sustain best practice where there is an intersection of child welfare and domestic violence. The DV subcommittee provides a forum for exchange of information between the Administration for Children's Services and key community stakeholders. Most recently, the Subcommittee has addressed the challenging area of housing by reviewing how families transition between systems, by identifying the barriers to smooth transitions and making recommendations for improved service delivery.

The Office of the Commissioner oversees the City Council funded Community Empowerment Program (CEP) initiative through CONNECT. The Community Empowerment Program (CEP) which is funded by the City Council and is focused on domestic violence prevention and early intervention through work with community based agencies in New York City. CEP is a multi-faceted program that incorporates: transformative education, strengthening of existing infrastructure, network building and technical assistance with resource development.

All of these efforts are crucial because a substantial overlap exists between domestic violence and child abuse and neglect, and many survivors of domestic violence come into contact with child welfare service providers before they are ready to seek assistance from domestic violence service providers or the criminal justice system. The implementation of domestic violence screening and assessment tools and related on-going training has improved the ability of child protective specialists and preventive

program staff to assess and respond to child safety issues, while providing survivors of domestic violence with necessary safety planning assistance and referrals to appropriate community resources.

The Administration for Children's Services Clinical Consultation Program

In 2002, ACS launched the Clinical Consultation Program, which placed 12 domestic violence consultants in the Children's Services child protective field offices throughout the city. The program has since grown to include 15 domestic violence consultants. These consultants work as part of a multidisciplinary team that also includes mental health and substance abuse specialists and a team coordinator and a Medical Services Consultant. The domestic violence consultants, with other team members when needed, provide case specific consultation, office based training, and assistance with referrals for community based resources. Consultations are available to caseworkers, supervisors, and managers to help assess the client for the presence of domestic violence and plan appropriately. In addition, consultants may attend case conferences or have direct contact with clients to provide a more informed consultation and model intervention strategies. Specific office based trainings related to domestic violence and informed by best practices are developed depending on the training needs of a location. Lastly, the domestic violence consultants identify and develop connections to domestic violence related neighborhood based resources to facilitate referrals. A significant change that occurred during this review period was the elimination of the substance abuse consultant line resulting from budget cuts that took effect in June 2010. The impact was seen in a reduction in the number of cross consultants involving domestic violence and substance abuse. Overall during calendar year 2010, domestic violence experts conducted 5,241 consults (domestic violence only); 579 (domestic violence and substance abuse); 805 (domestic violence and mental health); 343 (domestic violence/mental health/substance abuse); 13 (domestic violence/mental health/medical services/substance abuse); 19 (domestic violence and medical services); 27 (domestic violence/medical services/mental health) consultations on domestic violence cases and conducted over 304 office based training sessions (domestic violence only), as well as 12 (domestic violence and mental health); 11 (domestic violence, mental health and substance abuse); 6 (domestic violence, mental health substance abuse and medical services); 6 (domestic violence, medical services) and 5 (domestic violence and substance abuse). These figures represent the fact that the total number of consults exceeds the documented number of formal consults. There are many more instances when the Domestic Violence Consultants are approached with questions that are characterized as informal consults. The consultations included instances when domestic violence was the single issue; and cross consults when there were overlapping issues of substance abuse, mental health or medical services. Similarly, the office-based training activities included the singular topic of domestic violence, and other instances of cross-cutting topics that focused on domestic violence in combination with substance abuse and mental health. This is an aspect of how domestic violence consultation has evolved to increase awareness of the interconnection with other issues that impact children and family functioning. A further enhancement of efforts to address domestic violence has been the collaboration of the Domestic Violence Consultants with the agency's Investigative Consultants and Family Court Legal Services. A continuing aspect of the Clinical Consultation Program's development has been its close relationship with the Domestic Violence Policy and Planning Unit within the Office of Child and Family Health under Family Support Services. These partnerships and linkages have resulted in even more capacity building that helps to strengthen the agency's response. Borough Commissioners have expressed the need for more domestic violence consultants to handle an increasing demand based on evidence of escalating rates of domestic violence and related abuse. Another area of concern is the heightened risk to safety and well-being of children who are witnesses of domestic violence. Additional resources are indicated to address the needs of children and families impacted by domestic violence. The Clinical Consultants have been actively involved in numerous conferences related to family safety, in addition to performing training and case specific consultation. The need to address other issues related to such things as family violence has emerged as a gap in our current service structure. Despite a myriad of challenges and budgetary constraints, we continue to work collaboratively using existing resources as efficiently and effectively as possible.

New York City Health and Hospitals Corporation Domestic Violence Program

The Domestic Violence program at each of NY City Health and Hospital's Corporation (HHC) 11 acute care hospitals is a multi-service program that provides social work services to survivors of domestic violence 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. In calendar year 2012 the hospitals provided a range of services to approximately 2,000 domestic violence (DV) victims, of which the highest referrals were 60% from the Emergency Department (ED), 10% from the Women's Health Clinics (OB/GYN, Family Planning/Prenatal) and 6% from the Psych/Psych ER. In addition to individual counseling and culturally specific resources, each facility's DV program is enhanced by a myriad of services. For example, at Harlem hospital several group services are provided including a Women's Empowerment Group for survivors to begin the process of healing and to understand their own experiences in a safe, supportive, non-judgmental environment; a 12 week session Mother's Empowerment Group to assist mothers with developing parenting strategies to overcome the effects of DV their children have been exposed, with the aim of improving the child's potential for healthy psychological adjustment and growth. The facility also has a Men's group facilitated by a male social worker, and is especially designed for men who have been victims of violence. At Elmhurst hospital, efforts are being made to increase collaboration with the psychiatric social work team to provide additional consultation and support to aid staff treating patients suffering from mental illness who may need assistance accessing domestic violence services. Efforts are also being made to encourage DV victims presenting with children to the Emergency department and other clinics, to consider scheduling follow up appointment in the Child Protection Clinic to further assess the impact of domestic violence on their children. However, social work staff have anecdotally reported that patients are often reluctant to schedule such appointment because of concern of the future involvement of child protective service agencies. The facility is providing special education to staff on how to address these concerns with patients so that they are more likely to schedule an appointment with the Child Protection Clinic to further address the emotional impact domestic violence is having on their children.

Community Education and Outreach

All the HHC hospitals conduct community outreach health fairs and programs to educate the community at large about domestic violence and the many services available for victims. Data from HHC's Project H.E.A.L. (Health Emergency Assistance Link) data base shows that in 2012 over 67 community outreach events were conducted. North Central Bronx Hospital conducted a health fair that included a collage of images and expressions created by Survivors of Domestic Violence, while at Lincoln Medical & Mental Health Center survivors from the DV Support Group participated in the Annual Brides March in September to heighten the public's awareness of domestic violence.

To ensure patient's seeking care at any of the HHC hospitals who are victims of domestic violence are identified and provided with the highest level of care and referred to appropriate services all new employees are trained in the identification, treatment and management of domestic violence victims. In addition, all levels of staff are provided an annual continuing education and when possible, attend education sessions provided at the Family Justice Center within their borough.

Of special note, in March 2012 the DV coordinator at Metropolitan Hospital and Office of Victim Services grant funded social worker presented a workshop entitled "The Domestic Violence Group Worker: A Catalyst to Mutual Aid and Well-Being for Abuse Survivors" at the National Conference on Health and Domestic Violence Conference in San Francisco.

Human Resources Administration (HRA) Domestic Violence Liaison Unit

HRA created a Domestic Violence Liaison (DVL) Unit in 1998 as a result of the Federal Family Violence Option, part of welfare reform legislation. During Fiscal 2012, a total of 7,400 families affected by domestic violence received employment and child support enforcement waivers in order to maintain their safety.

Human Resources Administration Project NOVA (No Violence Again)

HRA addresses the needs of domestic violence victims seeking emergency housing from the Department of Homeless Services. During Fiscal 2013, 6,800 families were assessed by NOVA to determine eligibility for domestic violence services. Of these referrals, more than 1,000 were determined to be eligible for services based on an assessment of the client's safety

Human Resources Administration Non-residential Domestic Violence Programs

HRA contracts with community based organizations to provide non-residential domestic violence programs. These programs maintain hotlines, provide crisis intervention, counseling, referrals for supportive services, advocacy and community outreach in all five boroughs. During Fiscal 2012, a monthly average of 3,300 clients were served through non-residential programs and a monthly average of almost 1,000 clients received legal services in addition to the core services.

Human Resources Administration Domestic Violence Aftercare Program

The Domestic Violence Aftercare Program (DVAP) provides intensive home-based social services to victims of domestic violence within NYCHA developments who have been approved for an Emergency Transfer. Services include counseling, advocacy, assistance with relocation, safety planning, in home case management and referrals for job training and GED classes. In February 2010, this program was transferred to HRA's and is currently implemented by the HRA Office of Domestic Violence. During City Fiscal 2013, DVAP served an average caseload of 220 families a month.

Supportive Outreach Services (SOS)

Supportive Outreach Services assists residents in improving their social functioning. Staff conduct needs assessments, design treatment plans, make referrals for direct social services and coordinate service utilization. 7,607 new referrals were assigned during 2012.

The Furniture Distribution Program is a component of SOS that secures donations of furniture, bedding and an assortment of household items from hotels and motels throughout the Metropolitan Area in order to assist relocated families who have lost their possessions due to a fire or other calamity and Victims of Domestic Violence who transferred through the Emergency Transfer Program. During 2012, approximately 121 families were assisted through the program.

3. Alternatives to Shelter

Human Resources Administration Alternative to Shelter Program (ATS)

The program gives domestic violence victims and their children the option of remaining safely in their own homes through the provision of state-of-the-art security technology and a coordinated response. This approach emphasizes keeping the abusers out of victims' homes. In Fiscal 2012, ATS served an average of 160 clients per month.

4. Housing and Supportive Housing

Domestic violence victims who are seeking emergency shelter are referred through the citywide domestic violence hotline to emergency shelter services.

Temporary Housing and Emergency Shelter

Domestic violence victims who are seeking emergency shelter are referred through the citywide domestic violence hotline to emergency shelter services.

The Office of Domestic Violence Services of the Human Resources Administration (HRA) administers 52 state licensed emergency domestic violence shelters, including one directly operated by HRA. Domestic violence victims are provided with a safe environment and a range of support services,

including counseling, advocacy, and referral services. During Fiscal 2011, the emergency shelter capacity increased to 2,228 beds. During Fiscal 2013, 3,800 families entered the domestic violence shelter system. HRA administers seven transitional housing shelters (Tier II) shelters with a capacity of 243 units.

In City Fiscal Year 2013 (which began July 1, 2012), HRA allocated approximately \$84.5 million for the Office of Domestic Violence Services, which is a unit of the Office of Domestic Violence and Emergency Intervention Services.

New Permanent Housing

New York City Housing Authority's Emergency Transfer Program

This program is available to NYCHA residents who are victims of domestic violence, intimidated victims, intimidated witnesses, or child sexual victims. The program provides a confidential transfer to another development. Between January 1, 2013 and June 30, 2013, 889 emergency transfer requests were received, of which 441 were for the victim of domestic violence category. During the period, 453 cases were approved for transfer, of which 263 were for the victims of domestic violence category.

d. Elderly and Frail Elderly

1. Housing Needs of the Elderly

Population Characteristics

The population of New York City age 60 or over, which accounts for 38.2% of the elderly in New York State, is represented by 1.41 million individuals, as per the 2010 U.S. Census. This is an increase from 1.28 million seniors in the City in 2000 and represents 17.2% of New York City's current population. During the latest decade, the older population increased by 12.4%, representing 155,429 persons. The increase is largely due to the first cohort of baby-boomers who turned age 60 starting in 2006.

The over-60 population has also grown faster (12.4%) than both the City's total population (2.1%) and population under 60 (0.2%). In addition, the City's elderly population continues getting older, with those 85 and over growing rapidly at 16.2%. Moreover, there has been a dramatic increase among the young elderly ages 60 to 64 (31.9%), and this trend will continue in the years ahead as the number of older population 65 plus is expected to increase dramatically by 45% to 1.35 million during the years of 2010 to 2030, when every member of the large baby-boomer cohort will be at least 65 years old.

Women still outlive men, but the gender gap among the older population is narrowing. The number of older men 60 plus in New York City increased by 16.1%, faster than the 10% growth rate for 60 plus women. Among oldest age 85 plus, men had an increase of 24.9%, nearly double the 12.7% growth rate for women. Meanwhile, the City's male-female ratio has been increased to 70.2 in 2010 from 66.4 in 2000 for the population age 60 plus.

The growth in very old New Yorkers indicates an increase need for supportive services, especially for long-term care. Especially critical is the need for expansion of social and health care services to homebound individuals who are disabled, frail, and/or have chronic diseases. This also highlights the importance of having an appropriate, affordable residence in which to receive services, and will allow the older individual to successfully age in the community.

Diversity

The racial and ethnic profile of the elderly population in New York City has changed dramatically within the past two decades. ACS data shows that from 2000 to 2010, the non-Hispanic white older population decreased whereas the number of minority members of that group grew rapidly. In 2010, 53% of New Yorkers 65 and

older were members of minority groups, compared to 43% in 2000 and 35% in 1990. Between 2000 and 2010, the black population increased by 16%, the Hispanic population by 42%, and the Asian population by 65%.

In addition, 25% of New Yorkers' primary language is not English, and almost 50% speak another language at home. Racial, cultural, and linguistic differences – nearly 200 languages are spoken in New York City – when coupled with the challenges of aging and disability, can result in different help-seeking patterns. Many of the City's minority elders experience difficulty accessing basic services. Some are immigrants who do not have health coverage and may not qualify for Medicare, Medicaid, or other Federal assistance programs. Clear, concise, and reliable information and assistance about benefits, services, rights, and options in multiple languages is essential.

Because seniors of minority communities are more likely to have lower incomes and less access to market-rate housing, healthcare and social services than their white counterparts, this dramatic rise has had a significant impact on the rise in demand for affordable housing and subsidized services. The percentage of minority elderly in the population is only expected to increase in the coming decades.

Living Alone and Social Isolation

One of the primary factors for successfully aging in place in the community is connection to family, friends and community. Those living alone as well as those living in poverty are more vulnerable to social isolation. In 2010, 32.7% of persons age 65 and over and nearly one-half of persons 85 and older in New York City were living alone, and those living alone had the highest poverty rate (30%) among all older households. However, social isolation is a complex concern for older adults, and it is important to note that these are not the only factors that may contribute to vulnerability. Other factors include disability, inadequate access to primary care, and the population density of older people, which measures the number of persons 65 and older in an area.

Frail Elderly

A frail elderly person is defined as an individual that has reported a disability, mobility impairment, and/or self care limitation. The number of frail elderly persons in our City continues to increase with the increase in longevity, and points directly to a growing need for support services in the communities where seniors live. Bringing support services into existing homes and/or housing communities for the frail elderly may prevent the elderly from having to seek alternative housing, such as in an adult home, assisted living situation, and/or a nursing home. For example, minor home repair services provide funding assistance to seniors in needs of maintenance and/or upkeep of their homes when an individual no longer is physically and/or financially capable. The ability of a senior to age in place, by staying in one's home often proves to be the most beneficial and appropriate option. As the City's population of elderly continues to grow, the demand for more supportive services to support seniors will remain on the rise.

Yet the existence of our City's supportive service programs does not mitigate the ongoing need of some frail elderly who are no longer able to live in their existing homes for either safety and/or health reasons. In the case of these frail elderly individuals, a continuum of long-term, supportive housing is the required alternative that enables them to age confidently in place.

Income

Finding safe, affordable housing in New York City is a chronic and complex problem for most New Yorkers and is not limited to the aging population. The elderly face even greater challenges in a difficult housing market, as many live on low fixed incomes, and are not able to find affordable rentals and/or cope with the rising expenses associated with owning a home. In 2010, the median household income for older New Yorkers was \$28,407, 21% higher than the 2000 median of \$23,388; however, it continues to remain lower than the nation's median of \$32,500. Median income also varies significantly by race. In 2010, the median income of Hispanic households was \$22,500 (31% less than the white population) and the median for Asian and Black households was \$27,500 (15% less than the white population, who earned a median household income of \$32,500.)

Whereas the United States has experienced a decline in the national poverty rate for older people, from 12.8% in 1990 to 9.0% in 2010, New York City's older adults have experienced a slight increase in poverty from 16.5% to 17.2% for the same time period. The current federal poverty level is \$11,170 for a single person and \$15,130 for a couple, and the current average Social Security benefit is \$1,230 per month, or \$14,760 a year for a retired worker. The number of older women living below the poverty level is approximately double that of their male counterparts. This average benefit is often inadequate to cover the high cost of living in New York City but does not allow many to qualify for public assistance benefits.

Elderly headed households pay a higher percentage of their income for housing than does the rest of the City's population, but for single-parent households. Elderly renters have lower household incomes than owners, and their income levels continue to decrease with age, putting at high risk of displacement. Seniors are faced with greater risks associated with displacement due to the income losses and low fixed incomes, high housing costs, competing healthcare expenditures, and physical limitations that must be addressed by in-home care or structural modifications, which leaves many seniors in financial need.

Many elderly New Yorkers rely on a dwindling supply of existing rent regulated apartment. According to the 2008 Housing and Vacancy Survey, the median age for those in rent controlled apartments was 68 years. Nearly 60% of all rent controlled householders and 17% of all rent stabilized householders were 65 years or more. In Mitchell Lama units, 26.4% of the householders were seniors 62 years and older while in Public Housing, that percentage was nearly 31%.

In addition to elderly living below the poverty level, there is a large number living near poverty. This group's incomes may be slightly above the level to qualify for public assistance or government subsidized housing, but inadequate to meet their increasing housing, health and service needs. This creates a severe disadvantage for this population in opting for market-rate housing or assisted/supportive living.

For many reasons, a large number of older New Yorkers have remained in their homes of many years. Some have remained by choice, in order to maintain social networks and access to familiar neighborhood resources, and others have remained because more suitable options are not financially feasible. In many instances, where large concentrations of residents have "aged in place" over a period of time, Naturally Occurring Retirement Communities (NORCs) have evolved. This phenomenon is evident nationwide, and has grown rapidly in New York City. NORCs in New York City range from single-building, middle income cooperatives to large public housing complexes with multiple buildings of rental units for low-income tenants. Since NORCs were not designed for the elderly and are not usually managed with paramount attention to the needs of the aging, they often lack the support services, as well as the physical amenities, needed by a growing number of older residents.

2. Inventory of Housing for the Elderly and Frail Elderly

Housing Programs and Resources

Housing and housing based services for the elderly consist of various types of programs and residential settings, funded through a variety of sources, which form a continuum of care and housing options. This continuum ranges from programs that help elderly to "age in place" in their own homes and communities, to apartments built or set aside for this population, in which the well elderly can live independently, to various levels of supportive/assisted living which provide up to the most intensive supervision and care possible without being a skilled nursing facility.

Programs that Assist with Aging-in Place

Rather than provide for the development of new housing for the elderly, these programs help seniors meet housing and utility costs, keep up with home repair, or bring needed services into the home, thereby playing a large part in helping seniors remain in their own homes and communities.

- Senior Citizen Rent Increase Exemption Program (SCRIE) offers eligible tenants with exemptions from rent increases. The owners of such buildings receive a corresponding credit against their real estate taxes from the City of New York. Tenants must be at least 62 years of age, have a household income no greater than \$29,000, reside in housing regulated by NY State Division of Housing and Community Renewal, and pay more than 30% of the annual household income on rent.
- Senior Citizen Homeowner Exemption Program (SCHE) (Formerly the Sr. Citizen Real Property Tax Exemption Program), administered by the Department of Finance, provides older owners of 1-,2-, and 3-family houses, condominiums, or cooperative apartments with exemptions of 5% to 50% on their New York City real property tax. Property owners who are 65 or older and whose federal adjusted gross combined income is less than \$37,399 a year are eligible for this benefit.
- Senior Citizens Homeowner Assistance Program (SCHAP) Administered by HPD and the Parodneck Foundation, SCHAP provides deferred loans, forgivable loans, and low-interest loans for repairs and rehabilitation to income-eligible senior citizen owner-occupants of one- to four-family dwellings.
- The Federally-sponsored Home Energy Assistance Program (HEAP) program assists many low-income elderly with heating and weatherization costs. In addition, CDBG, State and City funds are utilized for various home repair and modification programs that help seniors maintain or adapt their surroundings for maximum safety and mobility.
- New York City Naturally Occurring Retirement Community Initiative The Department for the Aging administers City funding to coordinated housing-based supportive service programs for low and moderate-income elderly residing in Naturally Occurring Retirement Communities. These services include, but are not limited to, case assistance and case management, healthcare management and assistance, social services, educational and recreational programs, and transportation services. NORC supportive service programs are designed and administered as a partnership among social service and healthcare providers, housing owners and managers and elderly residents.

The program brings together owners and managers of multi-family housing, as well as NORC residents, to create an aging-friendly environment. The NORC community with a full supportive service program has many of the benefits of senior housing, yet allows the individual to remain within the familiar, secure, and multi-generational atmosphere of his or her home and immediate community. Through this initiative, the Department funds 28 NORC Supportive Service Programs housing locations, serving more than 8,000 elderly New Yorkers.

The Department for the Aging also funds a wide array of community-based and in-home services through contracts with local service providers. These services include congregate meals served in senior centers and home delivered meals for those elderly who are unable to travel to the senior center; care management in which trained professionals assess the needs of an elderly individual and make appropriate referrals for specific services; transportation programs that take seniors to and from appointments and activities; assistance with housekeeping, personal care and other activities of daily living when needed; crime prevention programs; legal assistance programs; health promotion activities; an extensive senior employment program, and many other needed services that enable elderly New Yorkers to live as independently as possible. The Department directly provides specialized assistance to elderly crime victims, including those who allege elder abuse, and has Resource Centers for Grandparents Raising Grandchildren, for Caregivers of elderly with chronic needs, and for the families of elderly suffering from Alzheimer's disease and other forms of dementia.

Independent Living

Throughout the five boroughs, developments have been built or set aside exclusively for the elderly who are relatively independent, but who may be in need of affordable housing or some light services. Buildings in this category may be with or without supportive services, and include housing built with funds from a variety of

Federal, State, and City sources as well as from private funding. Waiting lists often can be years long. Major sources of housing for the elderly include 190 residences of Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly, contributing over 17,000 units; NYC Housing Authority, with 56 Developments that include senior-only developments and senior-only buildings (and units) in mixed developments, contributing more than 10,000 units; 18 City and State supervised Mitchell Lama sites for seniors-only or with major set asides for the elderly, contributing 3,533 units.

Community-Based and In-Home Services

The Department for the Aging offers community-based and in-home services to the older adult population in an effort to enrich the quality of life of our aging community that allows seniors to age in place and to become more active participants in civic activities. In FY 2012 more than 10 million meals were provided in Senior Centers and delivered to home-bound elderly. In addition, almost 824,000 hours of personal care and housekeeping services, and just under 500,000 hours of case management were provided to frail, homebound seniors.

Section 202 Supportive Housing for the Elderly

This is the only Federal program exclusively for the development of housing for low-income seniors. This program provides interest-free capital advances to eligible non-profit sponsors to finance the development-- either new construction or substantial rehabilitation -- of housing with support services and rental subsidies for income-eligible persons age 62 or over. HUD's FY 2013 Very Low Income limits for the five boroughs of New York City are \$30,100 for a single-person household and \$34,400 for a two-person household.

As residents of Section 202 and other independent living developments have aged, their needs for services change. To bridge the gap between independent and assisted living, HUD has made funds available to operators of federally-assisted housing to hire Service Coordinators. They perform a range of functions that link residents with needed services and community resources, thus helping them to age in place. Additional services offered must be provided by the operator. Typical services offered in many Section 202 buildings include social services, recreation and social activities, transportation services, and other services deemed necessary for maintaining independent living.

Assisted/Supportive Living

This section of the continuum of care for elderly has a continuum of its own, in that there are facilities and programs that provide for increasing levels of care within a residential setting. These facilities/programs include the following:

Assisted Living Program (ALP) This New York State program operates in adult homes or enriched housing units, and is designed to bring health and nursing services into these facilities which otherwise do not provide them. The program provides a Medicaid/SSI rate for services beyond those of the Adult Home or the Enriched Housing Program, but short of skilled nursing. Non-Medicaid eligible residents pay privately in these facilities.

Currently, Assisted Living Programs in New York City provide 4,033 beds in residential settings. The ALP must be in a facility that also has either an Adult Home or Enriched Housing certification from the NYS Department of Health.

Adult Homes are state-licensed and regulated facilities that provide long-term residential care, room, board, housekeeping, and personal care to five or more dependent, ambulatory frail elderly or mentally ill adults. Nursing and medical care are not included. Although most adult homes are proprietary, some accept social security, supplementary security income or social security disability (SSI level II) amounts as payment; others charge private rates. Adult homes are licensed and monitored by the New York State Department of Health.

Currently, there are 8,031 beds in certified adult homes in New York City, including those designated for ALP programs.

Enriched Housing: The Enriched Housing Program enables elderly persons to remain in a home-like, community-based setting by providing housing with support services to five or more adults aged 65 or over. This program includes an efficiency apartment and makes available services such as housekeeping, one daily congregate meal, personal care, case management, transportation, and other non-medical services. The program may be based in either publicly-subsidized housing, such as Section 202 housing, or privately-owned sites. The Enriched Housing program is certified and inspected by the New York State Department of Health.

Currently there are 594 beds in NYS Enriched Housing programs operating in NYC, including those designated for ALP programs.

Family Type Home for Adults: Family type homes for adults (FTHA's) constitute a long term foster care arrangement, which includes room and board, housekeeping, personal care, and non-medical supervision in a private home to no more than four frail elderly or mentally ill adults. FTHA providers are private individuals, not agencies or organizations. This housing program is regulated by the NY State Office of Children and Family Services.

In addition to the NYS program, however, a number of non-licensed, market-rate facilities have been developed in the City. These residences have been developed and operated primarily by large, for-profit (often national, public) companies, and provide a wide range of hospitality and social services. Personal and nursing care services are usually provided at additional fees.

e. Persons with Physical Disabilities

People with disabilities face barriers beyond the expected problems of cost and location in their search for fair housing. Meeting the dual challenges of locating housing that is both accessible and affordable can be exceedingly difficult, particularly when accessibility relates not only to the dwelling place itself, but also to the location on an accessible route to employment, services and other features of daily living which most people take for granted.

With the definition of “disability” expanding, there are more than 2million people with disabilities living in New York State according to the 2009-2011 American Community Survey - of these approximately 832,167 live in New York City..

The disability community in New York City has a higher rate of unemployment and under-employment than other segments of the adult population. Over 731,000 residents of New York City receive Social Security Income (SSI) according to the 2009-2011 American Community Survey. In New York City a person living alone who receives SSI earns approximately \$797.00 per month. HUD’s Fair Market Rate for a one-bedroom apartment in the City far exceeds that figure, leaving subsidized housing as the only option, other than sub-standard housing, for this community.

New York City’s primary mode of inter-borough transportation, the subway system, is undergoing large-scale renovations in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the New York State Public Buildings Law and the New York State Transportation Law. Work includes the installation of elevators and other accessibility features in over 100 major stations, allowing access for people with mobility impairments and greatly improving access between boroughs. Housing designed to meet the needs of people with disabilities will have to take into account transit linkages when sites are being planned.

Low-income tenants and homeowners make use of a HUD-funded Project Open House (POH) program, administered by MOPD. The program assesses and removes architectural barriers such as narrow doorways,

and provides such adaptive equipment as wheelchair lifts and tub seats in bathrooms in dwelling units to make them accessible.

The search for affordable and accessible housing in all five boroughs continues to be a major problem for people with disabilities.

People with disabilities in New York City make use of a wide range of housing and related services provided by government at the local, state and federal levels. Some programs offer financial support for developers to operate housing that is accessible and affordable to the disability community. Other programs remove barriers from dwelling units, thereby expanding the variety of housing choice open to people with mobility impairments. Still other programs provide critical on-site services that allow a person to manage independently, but offer support to a resident in a crisis situation. Following is an inventory of government-funded housing and related services available to people with disabilities in New York City.

Housing Information and Education Service: Administered by the New York City Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities (MOPD), this service provides affordable and accessible housing referrals for people with disabilities living in New York City. These resources can also be obtained on our web site. Disability community-based not-for-profit organizations are kept apprised of housing related activities initiated and performed by MOPD and HPD. Among the organizations are United Spinal, Centers for Independent Living, United Cerebral Palsy of NY, Inc., and others. Other referrals are also provided to governmental agencies: the State's Crime Victims Board that makes funds available to crime victims who have acquired a disability as a result of the crime; the State's Adult Career and Continuing Education Services-Vocational Rehabilitation (ACCES-VR) gives assistance so that employment or education can be pursued.

The Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities educates architects, builders, landlords, building managers, homeowners, and tenants about accessible housing for people with disabilities. Education programs cover design, construction, owner/builder obligations and tenant/owner rights. Federal, state, and local laws are addressed.

Project Open House Program: Administered by the New York City Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities. Low-income homeowners and/or tenants submit requests to Project Open House, which in turn assesses and removes architectural barriers in residential dwellings to make them accessible.

Section 811 Supportive Housing for People with Disabilities: HUD provides direct federal capital advances to private, non-profit corporations and consumer cooperatives for the new construction or substantial rehabilitation of city-owned or private sites for housing for people with disabilities. There are now over 400 units of Section 811 housing in the City of New York.

Supportive Housing Program (formerly known as the SRO Loan Program): Administered by the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD), the program funds the acquisition and rehabilitation of properties as well as new construction for developing new permanent housing for low-income adults, many of whom have some disability. Currently over 5,000 dwelling units have become available as a result of this program. The majority of the dwellings are offered to people who have some mental, developmental, or physical disability.

The Disabled Rent Increase Exemption Program (DRIE) provides physically disabled tenants with exemptions from future rent increases and provides landlords with a tax abatement which can be used to reduce property taxes. To qualify, an applicant must rent an apartment as defined as eligible under the law (i.e. rent-controlled, rent-stabilized, Mitchell Lama); be named on the lease or rent order, be the tenant of record, or be the spouse with a disability of either; receive eligible state or federal disability-related financial assistance; meet the DRIE income eligibility requirement (\$20,148 for a single-person household, \$29,100 for a household of two or more members); and pay more than one-third of their household's aggregate disposable income for rent.

MOPD Resource Center: MOPD maintains a website that provides a wide array of resources, including its Disability Community Resource Network, a new online search tool for New Yorkers who want to find organizations that support people with disabilities; information regarding the Disabled Rent Increase Exemption, which provides a rent freeze to qualified disabled tenants; and information about city housing programs affecting the disabled community provided by other city agencies.

Affordable Housing Resource Center: MOPD is also a partner of the Affordable Housing Resource Center, a multi-agency partnership where the user will find information on all aspects of City housing, including renting an apartment, buying a home, and apartment maintenance issues.

II. OTHER ACTIONS

Introduction

This section includes the Consolidated Plan requirements that address: citizen participation; policies that foster and maintain affordable housing, or remove barriers to affordable housing; public housing authority activities including institutional structure, governmental coordination and resident initiatives; the elimination of lead-based paint hazards; the City's anti-poverty strategy; the institutional structure and coordination between public and private housing and social service agencies; the HOME HUD requirements; Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) requirements; and the HOPWA Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA) requirements.

The section concludes in Volume 3 with the monitoring standards and procedures which ensures the City's compliance with the statutory provisions of the National Affordable Housing Act; the certificate of consistency reference chart for applicants for various federal competitive grant program funds; certifications of compliance with applicable federal statutes and regulations; and, the summary of citizens' comments and Agencies' responses.

The federally-required Anti-Displacement Plan which describes the steps the City will take to minimize the displacement of families and individuals from their homes and neighborhood as a result of federally-funded project activities is on file and available for review at the Department of City Planning

A. Citizen Participation Plan

The Consolidated Plan regulations, Section 91.105, state that a citizen participation plan is required to be adopted by the City unless a plan that complies with section 104(a)(3) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 has previously been adopted. New York City has had such a complying plan in effect for many years as an integral part of its budget process. This process, specified in the City Charter provides for citizen participation, as described below, on all programs, projects and services funded by the city's expense, capital and Community Development Block Grant budgets. Since the existing citizen participation plan complies with section 104(a)(3), adoption of a new plan is unnecessary; the following sections describe the existing citizen participation plan and process including a schedule of proposed activities.

The City of New York uses the calendar year January 1 to December 31 for the Consolidated Plan Year. The City's budget process outlined below follows the City's Fiscal Year which is July 1 to June 30. Please note that because the city fiscal year overlaps the Consolidated Plan year by six months, (the first six months of the Consolidated Plan year is the last six months of the City Fiscal Year) the programs and budgets identified in the Consolidated Plan were actually adopted by the City Council in June. Thus, the Consolidated Plan programs and budgets will be subject to an amendment if needed. A substantial amendment will be presented to the public with a 30 day review period when and if programs are added, deleted or adjusted in their allocations as described in the Substantial Amendment section below. The substantial amendment is submitted to HUD after the public review period.

As stated in Volume One, this document, the Consolidated Plan, is the City's application for the four HUD Office of Community Planning and Development Entitlement Programs, CDBG, HOME, ESG, and HOPWA. The allocation of these funds will be for housing, homeless, supportive housing and community development programs and are determined in the City's Budget Process. The Consolidated Plan focuses on the money expected to be received from HUD and the matching funds that the City uses primarily from City tax levy; however, funds from the State, the private sector, and nonprofit organizations are also described.

The Department of City Planning has placed the Consolidated Plan in its entirety on the Department's web site at:

<http://www.nyc.gov/planning>

The City's budget is required to be adopted on or before July 1 every year. Citizens are encouraged to get involved in this decision-making process. The City's budget process which is voted on by the City Council and as outlined below is subject to extensive public review and participation. The City has an established citizen participation process that is divided into three phases: 1. needs assessment and budget preparation (May to November); 2. preliminary budget (November to April); and 3. executive and adopted budget (April to July). The Budget Process solicits citizens comments at several stages before the final budget is adopted.

Citizen participation in developing the budget is mandated by the City Charter. Through months of consultations with the 59 community boards, expense and capital budgets for operating agencies are established. Additionally, public hearings may be held by the individual agencies to assist in the development and enhancement of their respective programs and operations. This provides the agencies with a significant understanding of community priorities for capital project and service delivery improvements.

This schedule emphasizes the participation of the community boards at the local level because, by mandate of the City Charter, these boards are charged with monitoring city service delivery, proposing budget priorities and reviewing development and land use proposals at the community level. The 59 local community boards are the primary mechanism for citizen participation in the budget process in New York City. Others wanting input into the city's budgetary decisions find it appropriate and useful to obtain a community board's endorsement of their proposals. Each board is composed of up to 50 people who live or work in the community district. All members of the community board are unsalaried volunteers appointed by the Borough President. Half of the members are

appointed from a list submitted to the Borough President by members of the City Council who represent the district. The other half are selected directly by the Borough President. Each board is allocated a city-funded budget to rent office space, dispense information and hire a District Manager and staff to carry out its objectives. Boards have a number of standing committees, such as health and hospitals, housing and zoning, budgeting, parks and recreation, and transportation. Many boards actively encourage non-board members to become committee members. In some boroughs, such "public" members have the right to vote. Community boards have existed in some parts of the city since the early 1960's. The Charter was amended in 1975 and 1989 to further institutionalize and broaden their advisory powers.

In April during the preliminary budget phase, the Consolidated Plan committee holds a Public Hearing to hear comments on how the housing, homeless, supportive housing and community development funds should be spent. The Public Hearing is to collect comments on the preliminary budget and the formulation of the Consolidated Plan. A brief question and answer session regarding the formulation of the Proposed Plan follows the Public Hearing. The comments received are summarized, and if appropriate, incorporated in this Plan. By October, the Consolidated Planning Committee consolidates the information and releases a Proposed Consolidated Plan for public review.

In early November, the Committee holds a public hearing to hear the public's comments on the Proposed Plan, which is followed by a question and answer session with City agency representatives in attendance. The public's comments are incorporated into the submission version of the Plan. The City submits the Consolidated Plan to HUD each year on November 15 in order to receive the federal funds on the first day of the Consolidated Plan Year, January 1st.

Opportunities for individuals, community boards, and other organizations to participate in planning and budgeting occur at many points in the following budget process schedule:

Phase 1: Needs Assessment/Budget Preparation

May/June	Community Boards assess community needs to prepare District Needs Statement.
Mid-June	District Needs Statements are submitted to the Department of City Planning.
June/July	District consultations are held between agency local service chiefs and community boards.
Early July	Reservations for borough consultations are submitted by all community boards to the Office of Management and Budget's Office of Community Board Relations (OCBR).
Mid July	Agendas for borough consultations are submitted by all community boards to OCBR.
By August 15	Agency policy statements are submitted to OCBR.
August	Budget request forms and instructions sent to community boards.
August	Budget consultation materials are sent to community boards and agencies.
September to early Oct.	Borough consultations are held between community boards and agencies.
September to October	Public hearings are held by community boards in their communities on budget requests and district needs.

Early October to early Nov. Public comment period for the Proposed Consolidated Plan. To receive comments on the use of funds for housing, homeless, supportive housing and community development activities for the Proposed Consolidated Plan.

November 1 Final budget requests with priorities are submitted to OMB (at least 30 days before departmental estimates due date).

Phase 2: Preliminary Budget

Early November Second Public Hearing on the Proposed Consolidated Plan to receive comments on the HUD submission.

Early November Budget requests are sent to agencies by OMB for evaluation as part of the departmental estimates.

By Nov. 15 Consolidated Plan scheduled to be submitted to HUD.

By Nov. 15 Citywide Statement of Facility Needs: community boards and Borough Presidents may comment within 90 days.

Mid December: Budget requests are returned by the agencies to OMB with response.

January 1 Consolidated Plan Year begins.

January 16 Mayor's Financial Plan and Preliminary Budget, which includes the agency departmental estimates, is released.

January 16 Register of Community Board Budget Requests for the upcoming city fiscal year is sent to the community boards. This includes agency funding recommendations for community board requests.

February Agency heads write to boards to explain negative response to budget requests.

By Feb. 15 Public hearings are held by the community boards on the preliminary budget.

By Feb. 15 Statement on the Preliminary Budget is sent by the community boards to the Mayor, OMB, City Council, Borough Presidents, and Borough Boards.

By Feb. 25 Borough Board public hearing is held on the Preliminary Budget and Statement of Borough Priorities is submitted prior to Borough President executive budget submission.

By March 10 Borough Presidents Capital and Expense Budget allocations are submitted (5 percent share) to the Mayor and City Council for inclusion in the executive budget. Borough Presidents recommend changes to the Preliminary Budget.

Mid-March to late March Public comment period for Proposed Consolidated Plan Annual Performance Report (APR). To receive comments on the City's use of federal funds for housing, homeless, supportive housing and community development activities for the previous Consolidated Plan Year.

By March 25 Public hearings on the preliminary budget are held by the City Council.

By March 31	Consolidated Plan Annual Performance Report is scheduled to be submitted to HUD.
Mid-April	First Proposed Consolidated Plan Public Hearing held to receive public comments on the formulation of the next year's Plan and the past year's use of funds for housing, homeless, supportive housing and community development activities funded by entitlement programs: CDBG, ESG, HOME, and HOPWA.

Phase 3: Executive/Adopted Budget

April 26	Executive budget is released by the Mayor.
April 26	Register of community board budget requests are sent to the boards which includes OMB funding recommendations.
May 3	Borough Presidents Modify Executive Budget Borough Allocations (5 percent share), when necessary.
By May 6	Borough Presidents Modify Executive Budget Recommendations, when necessary.
By May 25	Public hearings on the Executive Budget are held by the City Council.
May-June	Mayor writes to boards to explain negative responses to budget requests.
June 5	City Fiscal Year/CD City Fiscal Year Budgets are adopted by the City Council.
By June 6	The Budget is certified by the Mayor, Comptroller and the City Clerk.
July 1	City Fiscal Year begins.
July	The Mayor updates the Financial Plan - 30 days after adoption.
August	Borough Presidents may propose a reallocation of personnel and resources.

Citizens have an opportunity to participate in the above process in several ways: participate locally with the community board and organizations represented on community board committees; attend and testify at local hearings held by community boards and those held by the City Council. Hearings held in the fall facilitate the community boards developing their Statement of Community District Needs and Priorities and their Capital and Expense/CD Budget project/program requests. In February, citizens have an opportunity to testify at a community board public hearing on the Preliminary Budget in relation to community needs. Public hearings are held by the City Council in May on the Executive Budget and the Proposed Community Development Budget. In addition, the Consolidated Plan Committee will hold public hearings on the Consolidated Plan (both before and after the publication of the proposed plan). Notice of public hearings is made by means of mailed notices, newspaper notices and/or notice in the City Record. Information pertaining to programs and projects subject to public hearings is made available at the offices of the Department of City Planning, OMB and other agencies.

The citizen participation plan regulations specify required elements in seven areas. The required elements are incorporated in the schedule shown above. They are restated here by category to respond specifically to the regulatory language:

(1) Encouragement of citizen participation. The advance notice of public hearings, the provision of technical assistance and information to community boards and others, the schedule of multiple public hearings, and the availability of line agency staff to discuss proposals at community board meetings are part of the existing City's

budget process and are all designed to encourage widespread citizen participation in the development of the Consolidated Plan, any amendments to the plan, and the performance report.

(2) Information to be provided includes budget consultation materials, budget request forms and instructions sent to community boards, consultations between agency local service chiefs and community boards, agency policy statement and other materials made available during the budget process described above. Such information includes proposed budgets for programs and projects that would benefit persons of very low- and low-income and plans to minimize displacement of persons and to assist any persons displaced. The city plans to publish the proposed Consolidated Plan in early October and to hold at least one public hearing, in accordance with the schedule noted above. The plan is scheduled to be submitted to HUD by November 15. Copies of the Consolidated Plan will be available at all offices of the Department of City Planning and other city agencies. The City will provide at least 30 days to receive comments from citizens on the plan before it is submitted to HUD.

(3) Access to records. The city provides widespread access to records through the consultations, meetings and other communications during the budget process described above. Reasonable and timely access to information and records relating to the Consolidated Plan and its use of assistance for component programs during the previous five years will be assured as it has been during the Community Development Budget process. In addition, copies of the Consolidated Plan will be made available in alternative formats to the public in buildings accessible to persons with a disability.

(4) Technical assistance to groups representative of persons of very-low and low-income has been provided for many years in the budget formation process described above. Technical assistance is provided to all community boards by the Department of City Planning and OMB regularly, and by other agencies as needed. Community boards receive technical assistance during their committee meetings, board meetings, consultation with line agencies, etc., on identifying and promoting programs, projects and service improvements that will benefit their constituent population and economic conditions.

(5) Public hearings on the Consolidated Plan are required on at least two occasions during the year. The hearings will focus on housing, homeless, supportive services, and community development needs, development of proposed activities, and review of program performance. The Department of City Planning held a public hearing in April and has scheduled an additional public hearing on the plan in November. The first hearing was held as required for comment before the proposed Consolidated Plan was published. Notice of the hearings will include locations to obtain copies and data about the plan elements so that informed comments are facilitated. The hearings will be held at times and locations that are convenient to the population and will provide accommodation for persons with a disability, including a sign language interpreter. The plan will identify how the needs of non-English speaking residents will be met where public hearings can be reasonably expected to be attended by a significant number of non-English speaking residents.

(6) Comments and complaints. The Consolidated Plan will include consideration of any comments or views received in writing, or orally at the public hearings, in preparing the final Consolidated Plan. Attached to the plan will be a summary of the comments or views, including comments or views not accepted and the reasons for non-acceptance. The City budget process calls for agency heads currently to notify community boards in writing of the reasons for non-acceptance of their recommended programs or projects. The HUD requirement that a substantive written response to every written citizen complaint be made within an established period of time (within 15 days where practicable) will be met within the existing structure.

Substantial Amendments

Following are the criteria for what constitutes a substantial amendment to the Consolidated Plan in New York City:

- * if a site or area changes from one borough to another;
- * if the city deletes an activity or adds one to the Consolidated Plan; and
- * if a change results in a reduction greater than fifty percent (50%) of total activity category funding.

According to federal guidelines, a substantial amendment is also required by a locality if the amount actually received for a specific entitlement grant (CDBG, HOME, ESG and/or HOPWA) is fifteen percent (15%) greater or less than the locality's requested entitlement grant's amount (as outlined its Proposed Consolidated Plan).

The public is notified of any substantial amendments through public notices posted in three newspapers with city-wide circulation, an English-language, a Spanish-language and a Chinese-language. In addition, notices are mailed to the Consolidated Plan mailing list of approximately 1,800 citizens, public officials and organizations. An announcement informing the public of the substantial amendment is placed on the Department of City Planning's internet website. Lastly, a notice was placed as a public service message on the New York City-operated local cable television access channel. The notices included news about the availability of information and data contained in the amended Plan to better facilitate informed comments. The public is allowed 30 days to review and comment on the amendment before it is submitted to HUD. Public notices concerning substantial amendments are posted throughout the year. An updated Consolidated Plan with both substantial and minor amendments is submitted to HUD during the month of September.

Individuals and/or organizations who wish to be placed on the Consolidated Plan mailing list may contact: Charles V. Sorrentino, New York City Consolidated Plan Coordinator, Department of City Planning, 22 Reade Street 4N, New York, New York, 10007, or telephone (212) 720-3337.

Computerized Geographic Files for Mapping

The Department of City Planning, through the BYTES of the BIG APPLE project, provides the public with several base map files, data files and an application of New York City. GIS software is needed to use the base map files. Several free readers are available that can display, print and perform other mapping functions with these files. These free readers include ESRI's ArcExplorer (www.esri.com) and MapInfo's ProViewer (www.mapinfo.com). The data files can be used with database, spreadsheet or GIS software.

Free download of some of these files, along with descriptions, metadata, samples and/or user guides for all of the products, are available at the Department's website, <http://www.nyc.gov/planning>. The BYTES of the BIG APPLE pages can be directly accessed at: <http://www.nyc.gov/html/dcp/html/bytes/applbyte.shtml>

The following sets of files can be downloaded for free from the Department of City Planning website:

- **DCPLION Single Line Street Base Map** in ESRI's File GeoDatabase format. This base map contains a single line representation of the City's streets with address ranges, street names and other geographic information. A nodes file (representing intersections in LION) is included in the download. A LION Differences file documenting changes between releases of LION is also available for free download.
- **PLUTO™** - Extensive land use and geographic data at the tax lot level in ASCII comma-delimited format. The PLUTO files contain more than seventy fields derived from data maintained by city agencies.
- **MapPLUTO™** - PLUTO data merged with the Tax Lot features of the Department of Finance's Digital Tax Map (DTM).
- **NYC GIS Zoning Features** – this dataset includes five polygon feature classes representing the city's zoning districts, commercial overlay districts, limited height districts, special purpose districts and subdistricts, and zoning map amendments. These features are provided in ESRI shapefile and file geodatabase formats.
- **Geosupport Desktop Edition™** - a Windows® based geocoding package boasting a 99% hit rate that allows for the standardization, validation and processing of geographic locations throughout New York City.

- **Administrative and Political Districts** are available as ESRI/ArcView Shapefiles. These files contain district outlines and district numbers. Citywide base map files are available for the following districts: Census Blocks, Census Tracts, Projection Areas, Police Precincts, Fire Companies, Health Areas, Health Center Districts, School Districts, Community Districts, Boroughs, Election Districts, City Council Districts, State Assembly Districts, State Senate Districts, Municipal Court Districts and Congressional Districts.
- **Selected Facilities and Program Sites** - Data providing the location, type and capacity of public and private community facilities in New York City, including schools, parks, libraries, public safety, day care, foster care, special needs housing, health and mental health facilities and programs. To facilitate analysis and mapping, the data is geocoded and available in two formats: ASCII delimited text files and Microsoft Access database.
- **PAD™** - The PAD (Property Address Directory) file contains additional geographic information at the tax lot level not found in the PLUTO files (see below). This data includes alias addresses and Building Identification Numbers (BINs). It consists of two ASCII, comma delimited files: a tax lot file and an address file.
- **Street Name Dictionary (SND)** – Contains a set of geographic feature type names for New York City. It is in ASCII fixed-field format.
- **Neighborhood Tabulation Areas** – Neighborhood Tabulation Areas are aggregations of census tracts and subsets of NYC’s 55 Public Use Microdata Areas (PUMAs). They are available as an ESRI shapefile. An excel spreadsheet of equivalencies between census tracts and Projection Areas is also available.
- **NHoodNames** – This is an ESRI shapefile of neighborhood labels as shown on the New York: A City of Neighborhoods map.
- **Areas of Interest** – An ESRI shapefile of areas of interest labels as shown on the New York: A City of Neighborhoods map.
- **FRESH Zoning Boundaries** – An ESRI shapefile showing areas where zoning incentives apply to promote the development and expansion of full line grocery stores and supermarkets.
- **Inclusionary Housing** – An ESRI shapefile showing Inclusionary Housing Designated Areas created to encourage the creation and preservation of affordable housing in medium and high-density neighborhoods.
- **Lower Density Growth Management Area** – An ESRI shapefile showing the approximate area in Staten Island and Bronx Community District 10 where new development is required to comply with rules and regulations concerning off-street parking, yards and open space.
- **Sidewalk Cafes** – ESRI feature class showing a spatial representation of sidewalk café regulations in the NYC Zoning Resolution.
- **NYC Publicly Accessible Waterfront** – NYC Waterfront Parks shapefile, Publicly Accessible Waterfront Spaces (PAWS) shapefile and Publicly Accessible Waterfront Spaces (PAWS) Database.
- **Waterfront Access Plan** – ESRI shapefile representing areas existing Waterfront Access Plan areas.

- Coastal Boundary – An ESRI shapefile showing the geographic scope of New York City’s Waterfront Revitalization Program.

Not-for-Profit Participation in the City's Consolidated Planning Process

In addition to the citizen participation outreach activities conducted in relation to the formulation and publication of the 2013 Plan, the Consolidated Plan Committee member agencies individually conducted citizen participation outreach activities relating to their own agency's area of expertise.

Department of City Planning

The Department encourages and fosters citizen participation in the planning process through the dissemination of publications such as, maps and reports which give citizens the opportunity to formulate informed comments.

Maps and publications include both citywide and local area planning and zoning reports; reference and statistical reports; 2010 census-based demographic reports; rules and regulations; various city-wide and borough-based maps; zoning maps, land use maps; and tax block and lot map atlases. These maps and publications are available for purchase at the Department of City Planning Bookstore, 22 Reade Street, New York, N.Y. 10007, (212) 720-3667.

Another approach available for citizens to participate in the City's planning process is called, a 197-a Plan, named after Section 197-a of the New York City Charter. In its plan, a community can portray its vision for the future by recommending strategies to address any or all of a range of concerns that may include land use, housing, economic development, environmental or social issues. The Plan may take several forms. It may be comprehensive in scope, addressing a wide range of concerns throughout the community district, or it may focus on a single issue in all or part of the community district.

Plans for the development, growth and improvement of the city and of its boroughs and community districts may be initiated by (1) the mayor, (2) the City Planning Commission, (3) a borough board with respect to land located with two or more community districts, or (4) a community board with respect to land located within its community district. A community board or borough board that initiates any such plans shall conduct a public hearing on it and submit written recommendation to the City Planning Commission.

In order to assist communities formulate their Plans, the Department has released two publications: 1) Rules for the Processing of Plans Pursuant to Charter Section 197-a, which documents the rules governing the creation, submission, and review of the proposed plan; and 2) 197-a Technical Guide, which describes the standards regarding the proper form and content of the 197-a and to foster a sound planning policy.

In addition, New Yorkers are given the opportunity to participate in land use-related planning issues through the City's Uniformed Land Use Review Procedure (ULURP). As described in the ULURP Guidelines and Procedural requirements, the review process affords citizens the opportunity for input at public hearings held on various levels of municipal government: at the Community Board level, either as a Community Board member or as a resident of the Community; the Borough President, City Planning Commission, and City Council levels; and for hearings on special permits or applications for a variance to the City's Zoning Resolution, at the Board of Standards and Appeals.

City Planning Commission public hearings are held on alternate weeks throughout the year. To obtain information on the date and times of the Commission hearings, an individual or organization may access the Department of City Planning's Internet web site at: <http://www.nyc.gov/planning>.

Please refer to Section B., Relevant Public Policies and Barriers to Affordable Housing for a description of which land use actions that require public review, i.e., ULURP.

Department of Housing Preservation and Development

HPD consistently welcomes comments and advice from the not-for-profit community to improve our programs and performance. Further, HPD is committed to a policy of providing access to non-profit organizations who seek to participate in HPD's development and rehabilitation programs, as well as in HPD's numerous community-based alternative management programs. HPD reaches out to the non-profit community by hosting regular Vendor Opportunity Sessions, which provide information on HPD and other City contract opportunities. HPD maintains a directory of not-for-profit agencies that do business with the Agency which is also used for direct outreach purposes. Firms in the directory regularly receive informational materials. Firms seeking information regarding the directory may contact the Economic Development Unit in the Office of Community Support Services and Equal Opportunity at (212) 863-7928.

Department of Homeless Services

HUD Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) Process

The New York City Coalition on the Continuum of Care (NYC CCoC) encompasses an extraordinarily broad range of homeless stakeholders to ensure a decision-making process that is inclusive of and relevant to the City's evolving needs and resources and avoids an overlap, duplication or contradiction of efforts. The NYC CCoC is the representative body of providers, consumers, community members and government that regularly meets to handle all the details involved with the HUD NOFA for the Continuum of Care Program. Representation on the NYC CCoC Steering Committee by the provider coalitions (each of which serve a unique element of the homeless population) ensures that the needs and concerns and the planning activities of all homeless providers are carried to the Steering Committee. The NYC CCoC's overall structure is designed to foster an ongoing exchange of information among consumers, direct providers, advocates, and the committees represented by the NYC CCoC.

The Steering Committee meets monthly and is responsible for responding to the HUD NOFA. This includes: Analyzing gaps and needs in the homeless and housing systems; establishing priorities and criteria for funding; establishing annual priority setting processes; evaluating renewing programs in cooperation with DHS; and endorsing evaluation criteria and process of renewal evaluation;. It is also responsible for reviewing and planning appropriate actions with regard to advocacy and policy issues of the New York City Continuum of Care and other homelessness related topics.

Currently, The Coalition Steering Committee consists of representatives from each of the following constituencies:

- Coalitions of providers of services to homeless people. The Steering Committee represents eight coalitions who in turn collectively represent more than 100 not-for-profit organizations throughout NYC. The eight coalitions representing all non-public entities include the Homeless Services United, VOCAL (AIDS housing), the Association of Housing for Runaway and Homeless Youth Organizations, the Coalition of Voluntary Mental Health Agencies, the NYC Coalition of Domestic Violence Residential Providers, the Supportive Housing Network of New York (SHHNY), the Association for Community Living (ACL), and United Neighborhood Houses. Each member coalition appoints one representative and an alternate to the Coalition Steering Committee. This representation makes the New York City Coalition on the Continuum of Care a very unique and dynamic process in which the appointed representatives convey information about the planning process to the member organizations as well as carry information from the organizations back to the Steering Committee. The coalitions are elected by the provider organizations who have received McKinney Vento Continuum of Care funding in the past three NOFA years.
- Consumers. Eight consumer representatives sit on the Steering Committee. Each consumer representative speaks for a specific constituency of people receiving homeless services: those in the single adult shelter system, veterans, domestic violence, HIV/AIDS, substance abuse, mental health, formerly incarcerated / parolee, and those in permanent supportive housing. The consumer representatives are elected directly by their peers through a series of meetings held prior to the beginning of the new NOFA year cycle. Consumers receive a stipend for their participation and travel expenses.
- At-Large Members. The at-large members represent the diverse communities and interests affected by homelessness. These three members could include: foundations, advocates for homeless people, survivors

of domestic violence, formerly homeless people, veterans, community development organizations, the faith community, and the academic community. At-large members apply through the Nominating Committee and are elected by the Coalition Steering Committee.

- Government Agencies. The government representation consists of relevant staff from the following government local and state agencies: NYC Department of Homeless Services (DHS), NYC Department of Housing and Preservation Development (HPD), NYC Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH), NYC Human Resources Administration (HRA Department of Mental Health (OMH), the NYS Office of Alcohol and Substance Abuse Services (OASAS). and NYS Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA).

The NYC CoC has a website www.nychomeless.com for all parties interested to keep up to date on happenings within the Continuum. The NYC CoC has a fully operational Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) with over 95% participation rate among CoC provider agencies.

In 2009, the Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing (HEARTH) Act was enacted. While federal regulations are still being developed, the HEARTH Act codified into Federal law the requirement that communities demonstrate a collaborative, coordinated planning process for the expenditure of Federal Homelessness Assistance funding. The Interim Continuum of Care Rule was issued in late July 2012 and the grants issued or renewed since the 2012 competition are subject to this rule.

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene/Office of AIDS Policy Coordination

For a discussion on the opportunities individuals and not-for-profits are given to participate in HOPWA-related activities, please refer to Part I, Section D2., Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for Special Needs Populations, b. Persons with HIV/AIDS.

New York City Housing Authority

NYCHA's Annual Plan process, a HUD-mandated report, includes multiple opportunities for resident and general public involvement. The Plan is developed in consultation with 75 duly elected public housing resident leaders, the Resident Advisory Board (RAB), which consists of 58 delegates, 17 alternates, and 5 participants from the Section 8 program. In addition, NYCHA holds five (5) Community Roundtable meetings and one public hearing on the formulation of the Plan, which are open to the general public. NYCHA's Community Operations Department facilitates and supports the activities of the RAB as they seek input from residents and fulfill their responsibility to (1) make recommendations and provide advice to NYCHA as it develops the Agency Plan and (2) disseminate information regarding the Plan to NYCHA residents.

NYCHA's Department of Community Operations works cooperatively with public, community-based and other not-for-profit agencies to facilitate the delivery of essential social, cultural, health, educational and recreational services to public housing residents. These services may be provided at community, senior, day care, and Head Start centers on the grounds of public housing developments or at non-NYCHA sites. Service providers may contract with NYCHA or another not-for-profit agency, operating under a sponsorship agreement with NYCHA. Center sponsorship agreements may be developed through direct application to NYCHA.

If a government agency or not-for-profit organization assists unemployed or under-employed public housing residents to achieve self-sufficiency through job readiness, workforce development, employment placement, financial literacy and asset building programs, they are encouraged to contact NYCHA's Office of Resident Economic Empowerment and Sustainability at 787 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn New York 11238.

Not-for-profits interested in program sponsorships should contact NYCHA's Department of Community Operations at 90 Church Street, 5th Floor, New York, N.Y. 10007.

Department for the Aging

DFTA develops a Four Year Plan, updated annually, which outlines senior citizen needs and the Department's plans in all areas of services to the elderly, including community development and housing. Input from the

public assists the Department in updating its plans for the City Fiscal Year and in enhancing its long-range planning efforts on behalf of the City's elderly. DFTA invites the public, and especially New York's seniors to attend annual public hearings, held each fall in all five boroughs, to present testimony, offer recommendations and deliver comments on the Plan and all issues of concern to older New Yorkers. The Plan is available on DFTA's website. After the public hearings, DFTA prepares an executive summary and response which is available for viewing on the DFTA website at: www.nyc.gov/aging.

To obtain information on the date and times of hearings, an individual or organization may contact 3-1-1 in September, or access the Department for the Aging website at <http://www.nyc.gov/aging>.

In addition to the public hearings, DFTA regularly hosts meetings with a Senior Advisory Council of elderly consumers and community partners, who represent a wide range of expertise, interests and perspectives. DFTA meets formally with many organizations who work with the elderly to solicit further community input and participation. Information on senior-related activities in the community may be obtained from each community board office.

Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities

MOPD maintains a working relationship with a variety of community-based not-for-profit organizations reflecting its role as a referral provider and liaison to the disability community, and its responsibility for developing and coordinating City policies that affect people with disabilities. Among the organizations MOPD works with are the Independent Living Centers, United Cerebral Palsy of N.Y., Inc., United Spinal, the Lighthouse for the Blind, Disabled in Action, N.Y. Society for the Deaf, Open Housing Center, Jewish Guild for the Blind and others. These, and other organizations and individuals, are informed of the housing activities initiated and performed by MOPD, and are given the opportunity, through mailings, public presentations and MOPD's Internet web site, to share their ideas and perspectives. Organizations and individuals are invited to participate in the Consolidated Planning process, including hearings on the draft of the proposed Consolidated Plan. To obtain information on the date and time of hearings, an individual or organization may also contact MOPD directly by telephone (212) 788-2830, FAX (212) 341-9843, or TTY (212) 788-2838 or web site <http://www.nyc.gov/mopd>.

Human Resources Administration (HRA)

Commissioner Doar reestablished the HRA Commissioner's Advisory Committee (CAC) meeting which is held three times each year. The CAC was initially established under State Executive Order 521 on July 7, 1975. The state regulation requires that the CAC function in an advisory capacity in an effort to ensure public participation in the provision of social services within the City of New York. The CAC is comprised of approximately 30 representatives from the social service community such as the Food Bank for New York City, Safe Horizon, and the Children's Aid Society, as well as consumers of HRA services. Its mission is to serve in an advisory capacity to the Commissioner with regard to the policy and funding decisions pertaining to the use and delivery of social services provided by the City of New York.

HRA funds over 400 social service contracts with Community Based Organizations with an annual value over \$1.2 billion. HRA sends notifications of planned contract awards to the five Borough Presidents for distribution to all the 59 Community Boards. HRA notifies an extensive bidder's list that includes not for profits human services providers throughout the City of contracting opportunities. Human services providers can request to have their program added to the City's bidders list by requesting an application from the Vendor Enrollment Center, Office of the Mayor, Office of Contracts, 253 Broadway, 9th Floor, New York, NY 10007. The vendor can also obtain an application by calling the Vendor Enrollment Center at (212) 857-1680. The Vendor Enrollment Center can only distribute the NYC FMS Vendor Enrollment Application to vendors. The vendor needs to fill out the NYC FMS Vendor Enrollment Application, available on line at (www.nyc.gov/selltonyc). Community Based Organizations are also encourage to consult the HRA website (www.nyc.gov/hra) to review current opportunities to provide services, including solicitations and Concept Papers.

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene encourages and fosters citizen participation through Citywide mechanisms for citizen input such as budget hearings, Town Halls and Community Board Meetings. Its Community Relations Unit acts as an interface between DOHMH and other government agencies and citizens, as well as the City's 59 Community Boards, various civic and block associations. The Unit provides outreach related to episodic or crisis issues and handles complaints related to public health and mental hygiene issues. The Department places particular emphasis on planning community-based strategies to address public health issues, targeting services on a neighborhood-by-neighborhood basis and tracking the effectiveness of interventions.

The Division of Mental Hygiene, which is responsible for planning and providing an integrated, community-based system of contracted mental hygiene services, funds services through not-for-profit community-based organizations, municipal hospitals and other City agencies. Service provider agencies, consumers, advocates and others participate in planning mental hygiene service delivery through established networks and planning and advisory bodies. The Division routinely works with mental hygiene professionals and consumers in developing service plans. The Planning Office prepares and disseminates planning and resource documents and hosts public forums and public hearings to assist planning mental hygiene services.

Planning Councils have been established for each of the three mental hygiene components -- mental health, developmental disabilities and chemical dependency --in each of the City's five boroughs. The Councils provide valuable input into needs assessment, planning and evaluation. Each Council has representatives from the provider and consumer/advocate networks. The Councils conduct monthly meetings to address problems, priorities and policies as they relate to the borough neighborhoods and input directly into plans and actions under review by the City and the State mental hygiene sectors.

Two advisory bodies provide important input into the Division's policy development. The Community Services Board meets on a monthly basis and is comprised of fifteen citizens appointed by the Mayor. The New York City Federation for Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Alcoholism Services is a comprehensive structure which represents not-for-profit organizations, consumers and advocates. It is comprised of borough council representatives and other public/private participants. It convenes its Executive Committee on a bi-monthly basis for briefings from the Deputy Executive Commissioner for Mental Hygiene and to provide input into service planning and policy development. The Division uses formal records of these and other meetings and workgroups as planning tools in establishing goals and in constructing Local Government Service Plans.

The Department produces a number of publications that report important initiatives and community events, examine policy issues, and review new diagnostic tools and treatment methodologies. It also maintains and publishes comprehensive monthly schedules of community meetings and other resources available to the public. It responds to citizen inquiries, prepares informational mailings, periodically holds public hearings, provides training for professionals and maintains the Internet WEB. The Office of Communications is responsible for developing media campaigns that educate the public regarding important health and mental hygiene issues.

The Division of Mental Hygiene's Office of Consumer Affairs was established in 1994 to inform and educate consumers and advocates. It affords another formal mechanism for public input. Its publication, *From the Edge*, provides information and perspectives on issues of vital importance to consumers and providers as well as a forum for consumer input on a wide variety of topics and experiences through poems and other writings. A Resource Page provides names and phone numbers of key contacts for information and support.

Persons interested in participating in Departmental activities can contact the Office of Communications by visiting the Department's web site at: <http://www.nyc.gov/health>.

Department of Youth and Community Development

Public Participation Activities of the Department

The Department of Youth and Community Development (DYCD) receives input from the public through numerous advisory boards: The New York City Youth Board; the Workforce Investment Board Youth Council; the Neighborhood Advisory Boards; the Community Action Board; the Joint Youth Services Planning Committee; the Interagency Coordinating Council on Youth; and the interagency work group comprising DYCD, the Administration for Children's Services, and the Human Resources Administration, which prepares the Child and Family Services Plan for the New York State Office of Children and Family Services. In addition to the overview provided below, information regarding these entities, and minutes, can be accessed via the agency's website at: <http://www.nyc.gov/html/dycd/html/advisory/advisory.shtml>

The New York City Youth Board/The Workforce Investment Board Youth Council

The New York City Youth Board serves as an advisory body to DYCD. The Board identifies youth priorities and recommends strategies and services that address the needs of youth, makes recommendations on the formation of programs and policies that promote youth development, advocates for youth with the executive, administrative, and legislative bodies of government and the community at large. The 28 members of the Youth Board are appointed by the Mayor, half of whom are recommended by the City Council. The membership comprises leaders from business, academia, government, foundations, and community-based organizations, as well as two youth representatives.

The Youth Council of the Workforce Investment Board makes recommendations on youth services that would strengthen the capacity of education, youth development, and training programs to provide high-quality services that are aligned with workforce skills required for employment and civic success in New York City. The Youth Council consists of youth representatives and representatives from industry, human service organizations, and government agencies who have special interest or expertise in youth workforce development policy. The Youth Council and the Youth Board share members and jointly conduct meetings.

The Youth Board/Youth Council appoints advisory groups and committees as needed to carry out its work. Currently, these include: a Youth Board Executive Committee; a Funding and Resources Allocation Committee; a Standards, Rules and Nominations Committee; and an Out-of-School Time Sustainability Committee. Youth Board/Youth Council initiatives include: working with DYCD to develop programs and partnerships that address the needs of disconnected youth; developing corporate sector youth employment opportunities; overseeing implementation of the Out-of-School Time initiative and other youth-oriented programs; advising on DYCD's concept papers for upcoming Requests for Proposals; and advocating for youth development and workforce programs.

Neighborhood Advisory Boards

The Neighborhood Advisory Boards (NABs) participate in the community development planning process for their low-income communities, designated as Neighborhood Development Areas (NDAs). The NABs identify the communities' service needs and guide DYCD in allocating federal Community Service Block Grant (CSBG) funds. These funds support community-based human service programs in areas such as education, employment, health, housing, immigrant services, senior services, and youth development. NABs are composed of local community residents within each of the 43 corresponding NDAs. Each NAB has up to 12 members appointed by DYCD, six of whom are nominated by public officials representing the area.

The Community Action Program

This program was instituted as a result of the Economic Opportunity Act (EOA) of 1964 to address the causes and consequences of poverty in the United States. CSBG funds support the operation of networks of local Community Action Agencies (CAAs) that create, coordinate, and deliver many programs and services to low-income Americans. In keeping with federal and state mandates, CAAs use allocated funds to mobilize additional resources from local businesses and foundations, as well as other public sources, to assist low-income individuals to achieve self-sufficiency and combat the central causes of poverty in their communities. As the CAA for New York City, DYCD distributes CSBG resources to 43 Neighborhood Development Areas (NDAs)

that qualify for CSBG funding in the City's five boroughs. In FY 2013, CSBG funds supported more than 400 Community Action Programs to provide a broad range of services that combat poverty, offer emergency services to low-income people, and empower people to achieve self-sufficiency which leads to revitalizing low-income communities in the NDAs. These services address the unique needs and assessments of each community and its residents. Services include youth academic support and leadership programs, adult literacy instruction, immigrant support, fatherhood, housing assistance, seniors and family support services, and health insurance enrollment. Through a new solicitation to be released Fall 2013, it is anticipated that new programs will be funded starting July 2014 in slightly modified NDAs based on updated poverty data.

The Joint Youth Services Planning Committee:

The Joint Youth Services Planning Committee (JPC) serves as an advisory body to DYCD in fulfilling its City Charter responsibilities concerning neighborhood youth services. The JPC was originally created as a forum for DYCD officials and local government representatives to discuss youth issues and programs, and to provide an opportunity to plan for and coordinate neighborhood youth services. The JPC consists of 20 representatives, including the five Borough Presidents or their representatives and 15 Community Board members, three from each borough selected by the respective Borough Presidents.

The Interagency Coordinating Council on Youth:

The Interagency Coordinating Council on Youth (ICC) was created in 1989 to promote interagency collaboration on issues relevant to New York City youth. Pursuant to provisions of the New York City Charter, the ICC comprises representatives of each city agency providing services to youth, as well as representatives of the DYCD Youth Board and the City Council. The ICC is directed by DYCD Commissioner Jeanne B. Mullgrav. The ICC meets quarterly; meetings are rotated among member agencies. The ICC holds at least one public hearing annually. Work groups on special topics are formed as needed. Current work groups include the following. The Court-Involved Youth Work Group was created to develop and strengthen interagency collaborations to improve the effectiveness of services to court-involved youth and their families. The After School Work Group promotes quality after-school and extended-learning opportunities for New York City youth. The Accessing Information Work Group's goal is to identify and map existing resources and to develop a plan to communicate this information to youth, families, providers, and government agencies. The Supporting LGBTQ Youth Work Group is charged with examining the important role youth-serving agencies can play to foster an LGBTQ sensitive workforce, improve access to services for LGBTQ youth, and explore ways to expand employment opportunities for LGBTQ youth.

Additional information, including the ICC's annual report, can be accessed via DYCD's website at <http://www.nyc.gov/html/dycd/html/advisory/icc.shtml>.

Commission on Human Rights

The Commission on Human Rights may receive input from citizens through Commissioners' meetings, its website, the City's 311 line, public hearings, and community activities where the Commission serves the public directly.

Meetings of the Commissioners open to the public. The Commissioners are appointed by the Mayor and serve without compensation. They come from the diverse communities of New York City and advise the Commissioner/Chair on matters of policy pertaining to the mission of the Commission on Human Rights. Members of the public may learn about and comment on Commission policies and activities by attending meetings of the Commissioners. Members of the public interested in attending the meetings may check with the Public Information Office of the Commission [(212) 306-7530] to verify the time and place of Commission meetings. Names and bios of the Commissioners can be obtained from the Public Information Office or the Commission's website: <http://www.nyc.gov/cchr>.

Citizens may also reach the Commission on Human Rights with questions or concerns by going to the website and sending an e-mail via the Commission website, or by calling the City's 311 system.

The Commission may hold public hearings on issues relevant to the agency's mission. Public officials, experts and members of the public are invited to testify.

The Commission's 5 borough-based Community Service Centers provide community-centered services. Staff members regularly attend hundreds of community board meetings, block association meetings, and community-wide events. The Commission's Community Service Centers are open five days a week and welcome groups and individuals with Commission-related concerns to contact them. Commission staff regularly works with not-for-profit organizations, neighborhood associations, tenant groups, and private and public officials on education and advocacy projects. Organizations concerned with discrimination in housing, public accommodations, and employment or with cultural diversity and intergroup relations co-sponsor or participate in Commission events. In addition, the Commission often helps these groups to organize activities.

Please refer to Volume 1, Part I., Action Plan, Section C., Program Descriptions, for Commission on Human Rights Neighborhood Human Rights Program locations and telephone numbers of the Community Service Centers.

Other information about the Commission, including downloads of its publications, can be obtained through the Commission website at <http://www.nyc.gov/cchr>.

B. Relevant Public Policies and Barriers to Affordable Housing

Although this Section addresses the HUD Consolidated Plan regulations titled, Barriers to Affordable Housing, the following discussion describes the ways the City of New York's strategy promotes the construction of new low income housing as well as the preservation of existing low income resources which would remove or ameliorate negative effects that serve as barriers to affordable housing. In addition to providing direct funding for the construction and rehabilitation of low income housing, the City has also encouraged the development of these resources through various means, including its zoning resolution and the real property tax system.

In addition, this Section will explain how the City's public policies address the cost of housing and provide incentives to develop, maintain, or improve affordable housing. In particular, the City's policies including tax policies affecting land and other property, land use controls, zoning ordinances, building codes, fees and charges, growth limits and policies that affect the return on residential investment help accomplish this goal.

Tax Policies

Tax incentive programs are integral part of the City's effort to produce affordable housing. The incentives provide a method of inducing developers to either construct new housing or rehabilitate existing housing for low- and moderate-income households without the increased costs associated with increased property assessments. By limiting the tax assessment, developers are able to maintain a margin of profit without the need to increase existing rents to cover the costs associated with the increased assessment. The City sponsors two tax incentive programs; 421(a), and J-51 which assist in the production of thousands of units. In previous years the City also offered the 421(b) exemption program for new private housing. The 421(b) exemption, which expired effective July 1, 2006 had been periodically extended throughout the ensuing years. For the 2012 Consolidated Plan program year, the exemption applied only to projects whose construction started between July 1, 2002 and July 1, 2006 and who reached completion by July 1, 2011. For CFY13 approximately \$60.5 million in City property taxes was exempted for new private homes that were constructed during this time period.

421(a) Partial Tax Exemption Program

The 421(a) Partial Tax Exemption Program refers to Section 421(a) of the Real Property Tax Law of the State of New York and Section 11-245 of the New York City Administrative Code which provides for partial property tax exemption benefits for new multiple dwellings. Newly constructed Class A Multiple Dwellings of three units or more, including cooperatives or condominiums, are eligible provided they meet all program requirements. The site on which any qualifying multiple dwelling is constructed must have been vacant, predominantly vacant, underutilized, or nonconforming, as of 36 months prior to the commencement of construction.

Properties which receive 421(a) "Certificates of Eligibility" are partially exempt for a set period of years from taxation on the increase in assessed valuation resulting from the construction or improvement. Depending on where a property is located, whether the units are developed with substantial government assistance and whether the units are developed under the Low Income Housing Production Program, four tiers of benefits are provided for these buildings: 10, 15, 20 or 25 year exemption.

The 421(a) Program benefits the City's renter (elderly renter, small-related, large-related, and other renter household); homeless (homeless individuals, homeless families with and without children, and homeless youth), and homeowner (existing and first time) populations in all income categories (very low-, low-, moderate-, and other moderate-income) while addressing slums and blight conditions in low- and moderate-income areas.

For rental properties, HPD will set the maximum rents which may be charged, and the units receiving benefits are fully subject to rent regulation during the period for which they are receiving tax exemption.

In CFY13 approximately \$1,004.7 million in City property taxes were exempted for New Multiple Dwellings under the 421(a) program for residential properties.

J-51 Tax Exemption/Abatement Program

The J-51 Tax Exemption/Abatement Program refers to Section 489 of the Real Property Tax Law of the State of New York and Section 11-243 of the Administrative Code of the City of New York provides a real estate tax exemption and/or abatement to property owners who either rehabilitate existing dwellings or convert other buildings to multiple dwellings. In order to receive benefits under the HPD-administered J-51 Program, eligible improvements must be completed within 36 months after it begins. Originally enacted in 1955 to encourage landlords to upgrade cold water flats, the program has expanded to provide benefits for major capital improvements (such as the replacement of heating, plumbing or roofing systems, installation of new windows, or exterior and parapet wall repointing), substantial rehabilitation of existing multiple dwellings, conversions of other buildings, and the moderate rehabilitation of occupied buildings.

Under the program's tax exemption provisions, eligible properties may receive an exemption from taxation of any increase in assessed valuation which results from the qualified (approved by HPD) improvement. The program provides a 100 percent exemption on the increase in assessed value for a specified period of years. This is followed by a period in which the exemption percentage declines until it becomes fully taxable. While most eligible properties will be exempt from taxation on increases in assessed valuation for 14 years, improvements qualifying as a moderate rehabilitation of a substantially occupied building will receive a 34-year exemption.

Under the tax abatement provisions, eligible properties may receive an abatement of their annual tax bill equal to 8 1/3 percent of the Certified Reasonable Cost (CRC) of the improvement for a maximum of 20 years. The majority of eligible projects may utilize up to 90 percent of the CRC, while moderate rehabilitation projects may utilize 100 percent of the CRC, and eligible conversions in Manhattan may utilize up to 50 percent of the CRC to abate real estate taxes. Government-assisted moderate and substantial rehabilitations, or conversions receive an annual abatement equaling 12.5 percent of the CRC. These projects may utilize 150 percent of the CRC, or the actual cost of the improvement, whichever is less.

In many cases an exemption is not applied because the work performed did not result in a reassessment of the building. For example, when only major capital improvements are done the building's assessed valuation does not ordinarily increase. Therefore, no exemption is necessary.

In addition, since tax exemptions are given according to the increased assessed valuation resulting from improvements a property may benefit from more than one exemption and/or abatement in one year.

In CFY13, approximately \$165.7 million and \$84.2 million in City property taxes on residential property were exempted and abated under the J-51 program, respectively.

In addition, HPD administers the federal Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) Program, a subsidy program for the creation or rehabilitation of rental housing for persons at or below 60% of the area median income. HPD programs that use LIHTCs include the Multifamily Rental-Mixed Program and the Supportive Housing Loan Program.

In addition to competitive 9% credits, HPD also issues so called 4% "as-of-right" credits for HDC tax-exempt bond projects through programs such as the 80/20 Program.

In allocating the credits HPD works with various tax credit syndicators although historically the New York Equity Fund, which is affiliated with the Local Initiatives Support Corporation and Enterprise Community Partners Inc, has syndicated the majority of projects.

Rent Regulations

New York State Law provides for a system of rent regulation in times of emergency shortages of housing. About two-thirds of the housing units in the city are rental units, which is a much larger proportion than in other areas of the country, and 45% of these units are regulated. The aim of these regulations is to protect tenants

while at the same time preserving the owners' interest in maintaining the rental housing stock. There are several mechanisms for controlling rents such as the rent control law; rent stabilization law; and the Senior Citizen Rent Increase Exemption (SCRIE). A discussion of the SCRIE program is found in the Continuum of Care for Non-Homeless Special Needs Populations; the Elderly and frail Elderly.

Rent controlled units are in private occupied rental building in existence before February 1, 1947 in which the tenants has been living in continuous occupancy since before July 1, 1971. Rent controlled units are regulated in New York City under the New York State rent control law. Rent protection applies to the unit and is not transferable with the tenant if he moves from a rent controlled apartment. The rent control law is administered by NYS-DHCR. All increases in rent are set and must be approved by DHCR. Annual rent increases of up to 7.5 percent are permitted until the unit reaches its maximum base rent, which is set by DHCR. If a rent controlled unit is voluntarily vacated, it is decontrolled, unless it is in a building with 6 or more units. In that case, the unit may become rent stabilized providing the legal monthly rent cannot be increased above \$2,500.

Rent stabilization in New York City provides regulation of rents for housing units in structures with 6 or more units built between 1947 and 1973. Tenants in buildings, of six or more units, built before February 1, 1947, who moved in after June 30, 1971, are also covered by rent stabilization. In addition, units built later which received a tax abatement are covered by rent stabilization. The rent stabilization law is administered by NYS-DHCR. Rent protection applies to the unit and is not transferable with the tenant if he moves from a rent stabilized apartment. Renewal-lease rent adjustments are determined annually by the Rent Guidelines Board, which takes into consideration operation and maintenance costs, tenant and owner testimony and many other factors.

Maximum increases for the year beginning October 1, 2013 and ending September 30, 2014, are 4% for one-year lease renewals and 7.75% for two-year lease renewals.

Under rent stabilization, an owner is entitled to additional rent increases under certain conditions:

If increased services or space are provided in an apartment, an increase is allowed as a permanent adjustment to the monthly rent.

If there is a building wide major capital improvement (MCI), an increase is allowed. The major capital improvement provides for a rent increase based on the cost of the improvement. However, the rent increase is permanent after the cost has been recouped.

Owners are provided with some protection when they can show hardship, for example, where income is insufficient to yield an adequate return as defined by law.

Increases under MCIs may not exceed 6 percent in any year.

Both rent controlled and rent stabilized units may be subject to deregulation dependent upon income and legal monthly rent levels. As stated previously, if a rent controlled unit is voluntarily vacated, it is decontrolled, unless it is in a building with 6 or more units. In that case, the unit becomes rent stabilized. However, if the legal monthly rent for the vacated unit can be legally increased to \$2,500 or more, the apartment may then be deregulated. Similarly, if a rent stabilized unit is voluntarily vacated and the legal monthly rent can be increased to \$2,500 or more, the apartment may also be subject to deregulation.

If households occupying either a rent stabilized or rent controlled unit earn \$200,000 or more for two consecutive years and their legal monthly rent is \$2,500 or more, the apartment may also be deregulated.

Land Use Controls and Zoning Ordinances

The following discussion on land use controls and zoning ordinances shows that these laws are designed to protect the public health and safety of residents and at the same time provide for orderly development when needed.

Uniform Land Use Review Procedure (ULURP)

The Uniform Land Use Review Procedure (ULURP), as mandated by the City Charter, prescribes the City's land use review process, including public hearings and several levels of government approvals. At each level, review of ULURP applications by government and non-government sectors is conducted within discrete, Charter-specified time periods. The resulting timeline is structured to move an application through the review and approval process within a specified maximum time frame.

ULURP is triggered when a project involves any one of the following government actions:

- Changes to the official City Map (e.g., the addition, removal or remapping of a street or park);
- Designation of zoning districts, including conversion from one land use to another land use;
- Acquisition of land by the City, and disposition, sale, lease or by other means of City-owned property;
- Site selection for City facilities;
- Urban renewal and housing plans pursuant to city, state and federal housing laws;
- Special permits from the City Planning Commission (CPC);
- Landfills; and
- Franchises, concessions or revocable consents with significant land use issue impacts.
- Mapping of Subdivisions or platting of land

ULURP applications are reviewed by the affected Community Board(s), where a public hearing is conducted and recommendations are sent to the City Planning Commission. The Borough Board, if the application affects more than one community board, may conduct a public hearing. The Borough President of the affected borough, and the Borough Board, may also submit recommendations to the CPC or waive the right to do so. The CPC also conducts a public hearing as part of the ULURP process. Depending upon the particular nature of the application, a City Council review, and approval, may be required.

Not every housing project requires a ULURP action. A majority of housing preservation, rehabilitation and renovation projects, as well as new construction may be done as-of-right (e.g., the rehabilitation of privately-owned housing stock without landmark status or located outside of a special historical district) or are exempt from ULURP under the accelerated UDAAP (e.g., the new construction of less than four (4) housing units on disposed City-owned property).

The following table indicates projects that require city review:

<u>Type of Activity</u>	<u>Action</u>
Rehabilitation of any # of units on City-owned property to be disposed.	ULURP Exempt (City Council Project Approval Required under Accelerated UDAAP)

New Construction of 1-4 units on City-owned property to be disposed.

ULURP Exempt (City Council Project Approval Required under Accelerated UDAAP)

New Construction of >4 units on City-owned property to be disposed.

ULURP Review Required (the action reviewed is both the project and the disposition)

Projects which require change in zoning or special permits (e.g., commercial to residential)

ULURP Review Required

City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR)

The City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) process is defined in Executive Order No. 91 of 1977, City Environmental Quality Review, and the Rules of Procedure of 1991, and is considered the City's equivalent to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). The CEQR process is required for all discretionary ULURP actions. Although independent of ULURP application review, the CEQR process must also be conducted in a timely manner. Review of a site's environmental quality is necessary to ensure that the health, safety and well-being of the future occupants will not be endangered.

The CEQR process is conducted by each lead City agency (the agency which has submitted the ULURP application or is principally responsible for approving, funding, or executing the proposed project) pursuant to Section 8 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law 6 NYCRR Part 617, New York City Executive Order No. 91 and the CEQR rules of procedure as amended 1991. The CEQR process identifies and discloses potentially significant adverse environmental impacts of proposed projects and discretionary government actions. The CEQR process ensures that the City Planning Commission and City Council make informed decisions prior to taking official action.

The CEQR Technical Manual (2012 edition), is intended to guide lead agencies and standardize analytical methods. The CEQR manual guides the Department of City Planning's efforts to prepare and implement area-wide rezoning actions. Area-wide rezoning actions, particularly from manufacturing or commercial use to residential use, may increase as-of-right housing development, and spur private investment.

Zoning

New York City has the highest density residential zoning in the nation. At the same time, care has been taken to provide a healthy and attractive environment. New approaches have been developed since passage of the 1961 Zoning Resolution. These include incentive zoning, contextual zoning, special districts, air-rights transfer and restrictive covenant techniques. These approaches have been used to make zoning a more responsive and sensitive planning tool.

Density controls are one of several ways to control the intensity of development. The following table shows the maximum density in each zoning district.

<u>Zone</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Maximum Dwelling units per acre</u>
R1	Single-family detached residences	4 to 7
R2	Single-family detached residences	11 to 15
R3	Two-family detached, semi-detached, and general residence districts	26 to 42
R4	Two-family detached, semi-detached, and general residence districts	30 to 65
R5	General residence districts (provides a transition between lower and higher density neighborhoods)	65 to 80

R6	General residence districts (medium density housing between 3 and 12 stories)	129 to 192
R7	General residence districts (medium density apartment houses with good access to public transportation)	192 to 322
R8	General residence districts (high density residential districts)	258 to 427
R9	General residence districts (high density residential districts)	444 to 495
R10	General residence districts (highest density residential district)	551 to 700

These density controls have no negative impact on the affordability of housing. Zoned densities are generally correlated with the distance from the central business district and the availability of mass transit.

The lowest cost housing to build is in the R3-2, R4 and R5 districts. These are the lowest density zoning districts in which multiple dwellings are allowed and are widely mapped in the boroughs outside Manhattan. The densities permitted in these districts are greater than the densities permitted in most areas of the country. Moreover, they permit a variety of housing types, including low-rise rowhouses, garden apartments, and multiple dwellings. These housing types, such as the two-story back-to-back rowhouse, are among the lowest cost housing types to build. In addition, two-family houses in all districts, except R1 and R2, can allow a small second unit which provides rental income.

Housing affordability is also enhanced by the Quality Housing Program in R6 through R10 districts. This expands the potential for new residential development in the city by establishing as-of-right requirements permitting lower-rise, higher coverage apartment house development (thus allowing for more economical types of construction). In 1994, the City adopted numerous changes to the Quality Housing Program to facilitate and simplify development. The changes include the facilitation of development on irregularly-shaped lots in a manner consistent with neighborhood context, more economical building envelopes and greater design flexibility. This makes many more sites available for multifamily housing by making smaller sites easier to develop, while maintaining standards for housing quality.

Inclusionary Housing Program

The Inclusionary Housing Program provides a floor area zoning bonus for multiple dwelling developments in return for new construction, substantial rehabilitation, or preservation of permanent affordable housing. The Program is designed to preserve and promote a mixture of low-income, moderate-income, middle-income, and market-rate housing, particularly within neighborhoods experiencing increases in market values.

The original Inclusionary Housing Program, part of New York City's zoning since 1987, was confined mainly to Manhattan's highest density districts (R10). In 2005, the expanded Inclusionary Housing Program, which can be applied in areas being rezoned to medium- and high-density residential districts, combines a zoning floor area bonus with a variety of housing subsidy programs to create powerful incentives for the development and preservation of affordable housing. Developments taking advantage of the full bonus in the new program must devote at least 20 percent of their residential floor area to housing that will remain permanently affordable to lower-income households.

Since 2007 the Inclusionary Housing Program has been applied in several rezonings to promote new housing development. Developments providing affordable housing are eligible to develop additional floor area through an Inclusionary Housing Bonus (IHB), within height and bulk regulations tailored specifically to each district.

Zoning District	Base FAR in Zoning Districts that are Not in Designated Areas	IH Designated Areas	
		Base Floor Area Ratio (FAR)	Maximum FAR with Bonus
R6 ¹	2.20	2.20	2.42
R6 ²	3.00	2.70	3.60
R6 ^{2,3}	2.43	2.70	3.60
R6	3.00	2.70	3.60
R6B	2.00	2.00	2.20
R7-2 ^{1,3}	3.44	2.70	3.60
R7-2 ²	4.00	3.45	4.60
R7A	4.00	3.45	4.60
R7D	4.20	4.20	5.60
R7X	5.00	3.75	5.00
R8 ^{1,3}	6.02	5.40	7.20
R8 ²	7.20	5.40	7.20
R8A	6.02	5.40	7.20
R9	7.52	6.00	8.00
R9A	7.52	6.50	8.50
R9D	9.00	7.50	10.00
R10	10.00	9.00	12.00

1 – for zoning lots, or portions thereof, beyond 100 feet of a wide street.

2 – for zoning lots, or portions thereof, within 100 feet of a wide street.

3 – for all zoning lots within the Manhattan Core.

Lower-income housing units used to earn the Inclusionary Housing Bonus may be new units on the same site as the development receiving the bonus, or new or preserved units in a separate building off-site. Off-site affordable units must be located within the same community district, or in an adjacent community district on a site within a half-mile of the site receiving the bonus.

In July 2009, the Inclusionary Housing Program provisions of the Zoning Resolution were further amended to include a permanently affordable homeownership option; increased the number of permanently affordable units that can be created and preserved under the original program, which applies in the highest-density residential districts, by extending provisions of the program expanded in 2005; and, made technical improvements to the program based on the experience of agencies, developers, and affordable housing groups.

Under the recently adopted amendments, affordable units earning a floor area bonus may be either rental units or affordable homeownership units. Homeownership units must be initially affordable to households at or below 80 percent of Area Median Income (AMI), and their sale price may increase only at a set appreciation rate, in order to allow a modest return on the homeowner's investment while ensuring that these units remain permanently affordable.

Building Codes and Enforcement

Scope of Agency Operations

The Department of Buildings ensures the safe and lawful use of more than 975,000 buildings and properties by enforcing the City's Building Code, the City's Zoning Resolution, New York State Labor Law and New York State Multiple Dwelling Law. In FY2013, the Department reviewed more than 63,000 construction plans, issued more than 150,200 new and renewed permits, performed more than 292,000 inspections, and issued 29 types of licenses and registrations. The Department facilitates compliant construction by continually streamlining the permit application process, and delivers services with integrity and professionalism.

Codes

All construction projects in New York City must comply with the NYC Construction Codes and the City's Zoning Resolution. In an effort to improve New York City's construction codes governing building standards and address current practices, the Buildings Department recently amended the Electrical and Plumbing Codes.

Electrical Code

In June 2011 the Electrical Code was updated with several technical and administrative amendments. The code is designed to keep the Electrical Code current. The amended law adopts the 2008 version of the National Electrical Code and tailors national standards to the specific needs of New York City's high density urban environment. There are new provisions reflecting the latest industry standards for transmission of electricity for light, heat, power, signaling, communication, alarm and data transmission. Under the new NYC2011 Electrical Code, beginning July 1, 2012, the Department started issuing Environmental Control Board (ECB) violations for Electrical Code violations. These code improvements will impact construction standards for the next few years.

NYC Energy Conservation Code

The Greener, Greater Buildings Plan helps New York City building owners embrace green retrofits and dramatically reduce energy use. As of 2013, owners of large buildings must conduct an energy audit once every ten years to identify potential energy upgrades to base building systems and establish energy-efficient maintenance practices. Additionally, new buildings and all alterations must comply with the NYC Energy Conservation Code, which regulates the energy efficiency standards of buildings.

Plumbing Code

Since July 2012, the maximum flow rates and water consumption of bathroom fixtures was reduced. Showerheads, private lavatory faucets and toilets are required to meet the lower specifications required by the federal WaterSense program, a program of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. New federal standards require a third-party certification by an independent laboratory of the performance and efficiency level of installed plumbing fixtures.

Fees

Application filing fees for construction jobs are normally included in construction project budget. The scope and payment of fees must be factored into a project's total cost of construction and can impact a project's availability of funds.

Fee Deferral

The Buildings Department may defer filing fees for residential, multiple dwelling and commercial construction until the issuance of a certificate of occupancy at a project's completion. Fee deferrals may be applied to housing owned or managed by NYC Department of Housing Preservation and Development and designated to be transferred to private ownership once the property receives the final certificate of occupancy. The deferral of fees until a project's completion helps to lighten fiscal constraints until the project can become financially self-supporting.

Fee Exemption

The Buildings Department exempts not for profit owned properties, government-owned properties and certain government-supported construction projects from standard agency fees assessed for filings, permits and inspections. The properties must be used exclusively for government, religious, charitable or educational purposes. The fee exemption applied to NYC owned buildings and other government construction projects, which helps to facilitate construction by reducing financial encumbrances resulting from agency fees.

Enforcement

Licensing and Permits

To raise our safety standards, the Department currently administers licensing exams for all construction trades. We have also strengthened licensing and testing requirements.

Licensee Certification

The Department grants the privilege to holders of certain licenses issued by the Department to certify that the completed work meets all applicable laws, rules and regulations. Beginning December 17, 2012, the Department of Buildings will administer all construction trade licensing exams. In addition, updated fees will be in effect for written and practical licensing examinations and background investigations. Failure to comply will result in disciplinary actions against licensee; thus, strengthen the Department's emphasis on safer construction projects.

Illegally Converted Apartments

The Buildings Department has distributed more than 160,000 flyers in multiple languages to warn New Yorkers about the dangers of illegally converted apartments. The Department has also issued a guide for New Yorkers, available at www.nyc.gov, with tips on how to recognize an illegally converted apartment and avoid renting one.

NYC Development Hub

At the Development Hub in Lower Manhattan, licensed professionals can electronically submit digital plans for review in a virtual environment. Licensed professionals can electronically submit digital plans for new buildings and major construction projects and resolve any issues with City officials in a virtual environment.

The plan review center is made up of the Department's senior plan examiners, who collaborate with representatives from six other City agencies involved in the construction project approval process.

Through the Department's website, applicants can create online accounts complete the necessary electronic forms and upload the proper documents in order to receive approvals and obtain construction permits. Other electronic filings at the Department (formerly known as eFiling) also are being coordinated through the Development Hub, including Electrical Applications and Limited Alteration Applications.

These enhancements result in shorter lines and fewer appointments; making the approval process easier for most construction projects in New York City.

Community Partnerships

Affordable Housing Collaboration

The Department continues to participate in building affordable homes by volunteering a day to assist in the constructing of affordable homes alongside Habitat-NYC. Habitat-NYC is the local branch of the nationally renowned non-profit organization that builds affordable housing program for individuals and families in need. These efforts are crucial to our City's housing plans.

Homeowners' Night

The Department hosts weekly informational sessions for residents to meet with Department representatives. Homeowners' Night is every Tuesday night from 4:00 pm to 5:30 pm at each borough office.

NYC Cool Roofs

NYC Cool Roofs is an initiative to mobilize volunteers to coat the rooftops of buildings with reflective, white coating. Coating all eligible dark rooftops in New York City could result in up to a one degree reduction of New York City's ambient air temperature. This is a step in the right direction in ensuring New Yorkers have safe and affordable housing as well as conserving needed resources.

Increasing Information on Construction Site Signs

In 2011, the department launched the Construction Information Panel Pilot Program to encourage contractors and building owners to consolidate required construction signage and permits into a single new standard. On July 1, 2013 the Department continues this effort by further regulating signage at construction sites to provide more useful information to the public and minimize the visual clutter of signage at construction sites throughout the City. The current sign must include a rendering of the building, a description of the intended use and the anticipated completion date of the project. This will provide knowledge to New Yorkers on what is being built

in their neighborhoods.

Construction in your Community

The Buildings Department has several resources that allow you to track construction in your neighborhood in order to build a safer New York. Through the Buildings Information System (BIS) all Department records are available online, where you can find a variety of information on any property within New York City.

Building on My Block

Building on My Block is an extension of the Department's Building Information System (BISWeb). It allows members of the public to access online list of construction activities specific to their neighborhood. Search can be performed for new building permits, major alterations, and demolitions. You can view construction activity in your neighborhood through the Department's [Building on My Block](#) web search. Search by property address or community board to find major projects near you. Architects and Engineers are required to submit simple, 3-D representations of new buildings and enlargements. These diagrams are available through [Building on My Block](#) and allow you to view visual depictions of major construction projects in your neighborhood.

3D Site Safety Plan

The Department's new 3D Site Safety Plans program uses Building Information Modeling (BIM) software to allow the construction industry to create and electronically file site safety plans. The program enables the Department to virtually tour sites and see step-by-step how a building will be built and visualize its complexities and challenges. Under the program, site safety plans are digitally submitted, amended and reviewed, improving the compliance review process and accelerating the approval process. This ground-breaking and highly innovative program will be a substantial improvement in areas of site safety as risks can be identified early in the process before issues arise in the field. Also, site safety plans submitted through this program will be reviewed, modified and approved – all through the online document management website. This initiative will increase safety as well as rapidly increase the time from filing to reviewing like never before.

Customer Services

The agency offers support services for construction projects designated as affordable housing by the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development or a New York State agency. Support serves may include project advocacy, and expedited plan examination and inspection, and/or fee exemptions and deferrals.

Project Advocacy

When requested by a supporting governmental agency, the Department assigns a project advocate within the agency to monitor a City or State supported job from its inception to completion. A project advocate interfaces with various units of the Buildings Department and other agencies as required to facilitate the review and approval process.

Hurricane Sandy Consultation

In February 2013, the Department kicked off a new program offering free design consultations to property owners and design professionals who are planning to reconstruct buildings damaged by Hurricane Sandy. During these consultations, the Department's senior officials, technical experts and plan examiners work closely with homeowners on submitting applications and construction plans for properties in special flood hazard area. The program is designed to accelerate the approval process, assist homeowners with their decisions on reconstruction, and better ensure that new flood recommendations and standards are incorporated into the design and construction of these affected buildings.

Expedited Plan Examination and Inspection

On a case-by-case basis, the Department will expedite the plan review and inspection of an affordable housing project if the need is substantiated by a supporting City or State agency. The expedited process is designed to shorten the start of construction and thus the construction job. Similarly, the Department may expedite the application process, plan review and inspection of inclusionary housing when a percentage of a project's market-rate dwelling units are designated as affordable dwelling units.

Rebuilding after Hurricane Sandy

The Department's work, knowledge, and experience have never been more valuable than during Hurricane Sandy. With an all-hands response in the days after the storm, the Department performed more than 80,000 rapid assessments of homes and buildings.

Many buildings that were damaged after Hurricane Sandy were constructed prior to today's zoning regulations and were deemed "lawfully noncomplying." Post Hurricane Sandy, for reconstruction, these buildings must receive a permit from the Department of Buildings prior to work being done.

For all new building applications where the existing structure is to be demolished, a Demolition Application shall be required for a permit as per Article 14 of Subchapter 1 of the Building Code.

Prior to the issuance of a permit for any Alteration Application where more than 50% of the area of exterior walls is being removed, or where, as determined by the applicant, the stability of the adjoining building may be affected by the proposed demolition or removal work, only a pre-demolition inspection by the Department shall be required, along with compliance with §27-169, notice to adjoining owners and §27-171. These efforts will promote safer building and raise our safety standards which are crucial to our City's safety and success.

Construction Safety Week

The Department kicked off Construction Safety week with the [Build Safe | Live Safe Conference](#), on Monday April 29, 2013 at the New York Marriott Downtown in Lower Manhattan. The Department of Buildings' engineers, architects and construction experts outlined recent industry trends and discussed their vision for the future of construction operations in the City. They also lead multiple construction safety courses for professional credit.

Heating Cost Relief

In addition to rent, utility costs (water, electricity and heating) impact housing affordability. New York City administers various programs which provide heating cost relief to low-income households.

The Home Energy Assistance Program (HEAP) is a federally sponsored program that assists many low-income persons with heating and weatherization costs. This program was created by the Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program Act of 1981. The act authorizes federal block grant funds for allocation to income eligible households to assist in meeting the costs of home energy.

The HEAP Program provides financial assistance to low-income households to help defer energy costs and to supplement fuel for income eligible households or their households vendor. Financial assistance is provided in one of three ways: as a regular grant, which is paid directly to the household's vendor that qualifies for the program; as an emergency grant, which is provided only to those households that pay for their own heat and have a verified threat of discontinuance of heat in the home; and through the Weatherization Program that helps reduce the energy cost of the households.

New York City's Human Resources Administration (HRA) certifies the HEAP eligibility of low-income families. As New York State's largest single social services district, HRA issued approximately 800,000 non-heating, regular heating and emergency grants totaling \$33,000,000 for HEAP XXX from November 2012 through April 2013. Of this amount, HRA issued approximately \$23,500,000 in automatic payments to Cash Assistance, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) households that were eligible during the HEAP season.

Please refer to Part I, Section D2. [Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for Special Needs Population, d., Elderly and Frail Elderly](#) and Part I, Action Plan: One Year Use of Funds, Section C. [Program Descriptions](#) for various home repair and modification programs which assist the elderly, reduce heating through energy efficiency and modernization activities.

Barriers to Accessibility for Persons with a Physical Disability

Historically, land in New York City was subdivided into tax lots typically 20-25 feet wide by 100 feet deep. The main entrances of most multiple dwellings were raised above the level of the adjacent public sidewalks to increase privacy for the first floor residents. The buildings, accessed by steps, created barriers to housing for people with mobility impairments. This was also typical of older structures covering larger lots. No laws required them to be accessible to people with disabilities and generally no thought was given to this concept. Many of these buildings are still occupied today, some never renovated. Often it is impossible to make entrances to these buildings accessible because there is not enough property on which to construct a usable ramp.

It was not until 1968 that New York City's Building Code was amended to include provisions for accessibility in housing and other structures. When the code was amended, provisions covering accessible entrances and an accessible route to elevators were added. No provisions covering the design of the dwelling units were included.

An August 1987 Building Code amendment introduced significant features so that buildings, including housing, when newly constructed or renovated, included access features for people with disabilities. These provisions, known as Local Law 58 of 1987, cover areas such as the interiors of the dwelling units and common spaces. The interiors of existing buildings, when renovated, must include accessible features even when it is impossible to make the building entrance accessible.

The Department of Housing and Urban Development reviewed Local Law 58 of 1987 when it sought to draft the Federal Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988. Unlike previous Federal laws covering access for people with disabilities in housing, the City's law requires that all units in multiple dwellings with elevators and ground floor units in buildings without elevators be accessible to people with disabilities, a strategy meant to increase housing options for people with disabilities at all income levels, since it covers both public and private housing.

The majority of construction in the City involves renovation since much of the land already contains structures. Over time, new housing, and renovated housing to the extent possible, will be accessible. To enhance the possibility of making housing built before 1968 accessible, the 1987 amendments to the Building Code included a provision permitting building owners to build ramps on a portion of the public right-of-way. Further enhancement efforts by the City's Department of Transportation (DOT) allow, with special permission, even greater encroachment into the sidewalk. DOT is also making all curb cuts accessible.

Beginning in July 2008, a new building code for the City of New York was put into effect that contains many of the provisions laid out in Local Law 58 of 1987. The new building code is largely based on the national International Building Code, which meets federal standards for accessibility.

For the first time, in 1996, the New York Housing and Vacancy Survey (HVS) included a number of questions meant to produce information regarding housing accessibility. The raw survey data indicates that approximately 62% of all housing units surveyed are in buildings with inaccessible entrances¹. However, this same raw data for rental units where rents are below market rate (public housing, Mitchell-Lama, and rent stabilized, built 1947 or later) consistently show that the number of units in buildings with accessible entrances outweighs the number of units in buildings without them. To advance policy decisions, the City retained these questions in subsequent Surveys to track the expected increases in access.

After previous surveys in 1999, 2002 and 2005, the HVS collected accessibility data in 2008. The survey asked a number of questions regarding accessibility of the building entrance, dwelling unit door, and elevator cab sizes. According to the 2008 HVS, in all renter-occupied housing units with elevators in the City, 685,115 (an

¹ For the Survey's purpose, the following items were considered: the presence of steps only, at the building entrance and vestibule (if provided); door widths at same locations.

increase of almost 52,000 from 2005) out of a total of 1,122,599 elevators (61%, representing a 0.2% increase from 2005) have been determined to be accessible to people with disabilities. Further, out of 958,294 renter-occupied housing units that have an elevator, 532,206 (55.5%) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair from the outside sidewalk to the elevator without using stairs (representing an increase of more than 40,000 of the total number of these units from 2005). Out of 2,081,953 renter-occupied units providing direct access from the sidewalk to the unit itself without using stairs (or an elevator), 543,064 of the units (26.1%) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair (representing an increase of almost 55,000 of the total number of units available in 2005). Finally, out of 2,081,953 renter-occupied housing units, 878,200 (representing an increase of 103,013 more than the total number of units available in 2005) of the units' building entrances (42.2%, a 3.5% increase from 2005) and 1,087,807 (representing an increase of more than 23,000 units more than 2005) residential unit entrances (52.2%) have been determined to be accessible for people with disabilities requiring use of a wheelchair.

The 2008 HVS Survey also provided information regarding vacant units. Accessible, vacant rental units represent a potential pool of accessible, affordable housing for persons with limited mobility or disabilities. Out of 62,499 vacant-for-rent units, 24,277 (representing an increase of 1,160 more units than 2005) of the units' building entrances (38.9%, a 2.7% increase over 2005) and 28,653 residential unit entrances (45.9%) have been determined to be accessible for people with disabilities requiring use of a wheelchair.

In the same units, 17,824 out of a total of 29,473 elevators (60.5%, a 3.9% increase over 2005) have been determined to be accessible. Further, out of 23,874 of these units that have an elevator, 13,531 (56.7%) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair from the outside sidewalk to the elevator without using stairs. Out of the 62,499 of these units providing direct access from the sidewalk to the unit itself without using stairs (or an elevator), 14,696 of the units (23.5%) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair from the sidewalk.

As for all other vacant units not considered "vacant-for-rent" units, out of 164,598 of these units, 63,842 (representing an increase of more than 5,000 over 2005) of the units' building entrances (38.8%, a 0.4% increase over 2005) and 65,160 residential unit entrances (39.6%) have been determined to be accessible for people with disabilities requiring use of a wheelchair.

In the same units, 47,317 (an increase of almost 800 over 2005) out of a total of 84,354 elevators (56.1%) have been determined to be accessible. Further, out of 65,751 of these units that have an elevator, 43,877 (66.7%) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair from the outside sidewalk to the elevator without using stairs (representing an increase of 1,867 over 2005). Out of the 164,598 of these units providing direct access from the sidewalk to the unit itself without using stairs (or an elevator), 46,268 of the units (28.1%) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair from the sidewalk (representing an increase of over 1,000 from 2005).

Again in 2011, the HVS collected accessibility data. The survey again asked a number of questions regarding accessibility of the building entrance, dwelling unit door, and elevator cab sizes. According to the 2011 HVS, in all renter-occupied housing units with elevators in the City, 666,561 out of a total of 1,074,483 elevators (62%, representing a 1% increase from 2008) have been determined to be accessible to people with disabilities. Further, out of 991,039 renter-occupied housing units that have an elevator, 620,848 (representing an increase of 88,642 from 2008) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair from the outside sidewalk to the elevator without using stairs (62.6%, representing an increase of 9.1% from 2008). Out of 2,104,816 renter-occupied units providing direct access from the sidewalk to the unit itself without using stairs (or an elevator), 618,604 of the units (29.4%, representing an increase of 3.3% from 2008) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair (representing an increase of almost 75,540 of the total number of units available in 2008). Finally, out of 2,104,816 renter-occupied housing units, 866,260 (41.15%) of the units' building entrances and 1,083,401 residential unit entrances (51.47%) have been determined to be accessible for people with disabilities requiring use of a wheelchair.

The 2011 HVS Survey also provided information regarding vacant units. Accessible, vacant rental units represent a potential pool of accessible, affordable housing for persons with limited mobility or disabilities. Out of 67,818 vacant-for-rent units, 29,091 (representing an increase of 4,814 more units than 2008) of the units' building entrances (42.9%, representing a 4% increase over 2008) and 31,663 (representing an increase of 3,010 more units than 2008) residential unit entrances (46.7%, representing an increase of 0.8% over 2008) have been determined to be accessible for people with disabilities requiring use of a wheelchair.

In the same units, 18,783 (representing an increase of 959 more than 2008) out of a total of 31,421 elevators (59.8%) have been determined to be accessible. Further, out of 27,182 23,874 of these units that have an elevator, 16,968 (representing an increase of 3,437 more than 2008) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair from the outside sidewalk to the elevator without using stairs (62.4%, representing an increase of 7.7% over 2008). Out of the 67,818 of these units providing direct access from the sidewalk to the unit itself without using stairs (or an elevator), 18,838 (representing an increase of 4,142 more than 2008) of the units (27.8%, representing an increase of 4.3% over 2008) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair from the sidewalk.

As for all other vacant units not considered "vacant-for-rent" units, out of 195,342 of these units, 91,478 (representing an increase of 27,636 more than 2008) 63,842 of the units' building entrances (32.7%) and 86,683 (representing an increase of 21,523 more than 2008) residential unit entrances (44.4%, an increase of 4.8% over 2008) have been determined to be accessible for people with disabilities requiring use of a wheelchair.

In the same units, 71,321 (an increase of 24,004 more than 2008) out of a total of 101,070 elevators (70.6%, an increase of 14.5% over 2008) have been determined to be accessible. Further, out of 91,377 of these units that have an elevator, 67,250 (representing an increase of 23,373 more than 2008) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair from the outside sidewalk to the elevator without using stairs (73.6%, representing an increase of 6.9% more than 2008). Out of the 195,342 of these units providing direct access from the sidewalk to the unit itself without using stairs (or an elevator), 67,965 (representing an increase of 21,697 more than 2008) of the units (34.8%, representing an increase of 6.7% over 2008) are accessible to people with disabilities requiring the use of a wheelchair from the sidewalk.

As stated previously, the year in which a building was constructed is generally a strong predictor of its degree of accessibility. It is expected that future Housing and Vacancy Surveys will disclose increases in the number of accessible public housing units. This expectation arises from a growing public-sector response to the needs of tenants with disabilities, and compliance with Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act. Similarly, it is expected that increasing residential construction and renovation, an expanding market for New York City rental units, and growing private-sector awareness of accessibility requirements, has and will continue to contribute to increases in the number of accessible private-sector units.

C. New York City Housing Authority

The following section describes the activities of the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA or the Authority). The section satisfies the federally-required Consolidated Plan information concerning public housing authorities: institutional structure, public housing resident initiatives, government coordination and consultation; program descriptions for Public Housing Capital Fund; the Continuum of Care for public housing residents with special needs; and relevant public policies.

Please refer to Section D., Elimination of Lead-Based Paint Hazards for NYCHA's lead-based paint abatement activities, and Section A., Citizens Participation, for a description of the Authority's outreach to not-for-profit organizations, respectively.

Funding Sources

The New York City Housing Authority is funded through HUD Public Housing Capital Fund and Public Housing Operating Subsidy funds. In addition, NYCHA has applied for, and in the past, received other HUD Competitive Grant funds (e.g., HOPE VI, ROSS). Please refer to Volume 1, Part I, Action Plan; One Year Use of Funds for a description of the funding sources received by the Authority.

Institutional Structure

The NYCHA Board will be comprised of seven (7) members appointed by the mayor, to include three resident members. The mayor will designate one of the members as the Chair. The Chair will be the chief executive officer of the Authority and will have general charge and supervision of the business and affairs of the Authority. The members will elect from among themselves one member to serve as a Vice-Chair and in the event of a vacancy in the office of Chair, or during the Chair's inability to act, the Vice-Chair will preside at meetings of the Authority. Members duties include voting on contracts, resolutions, policies, motions, rules and regulations at no fewer than ten regularly scheduled meetings per year. NYCHA's General Manager and Executive Vice-Presidents are responsible for day to day operations. A majority of the departments within NYCHA are clustered into seven groups: Operations, Administration, Finance, Information Technology, Community Programs and Development, Capital Projects, and Leased Housing, each reporting to the General Manager.

The Executive Group consists of the following: the Law Department, the Office of the Secretary, the Department of Communications, Office of State and City Legislative Affairs, the Audit Department, the Department of Equal Opportunity, the Development Department, Research and Management Analysis Department, and the Enterprise Program Management Office.

The Operations Group consists of the following: Technical Services Department, Applications and Tenancy Administration, the four Borough Management Departments, Emergency Services, the Customer Contact Center, Management and Customer Relationship Systems, Mixed Finance, and Family Services. Each of the departments in this group runs a primary service operation, helping to provide decent, safe and sanitary shelter to New York's low- and moderate-income community.

The Capital Projects Group consists of the following Departments: Bronx / Queens Program Unit, Brooklyn / Staten Island Program Unit, Manhattan Program Unit, the Office of Design, Technical Support and Capital Projects Administration.

The Administration Group consists of the following: the Office of Facility Planning and Administration, Human Resources Department, General Services, Office of Security, Supply Chain Operations, Procedures Development and Administration.

The Finance Group consists of the following Departments: Accounting and Fiscal Services, Budget and Financial Planning, Energy Finance and Sustainability Management, Office of Business and Revenue Development, Risk Finance, and Strategic Initiatives.

The Community Programs and Development Group consists of the four Borough Community Operations Departments, Administration, Citywide Programs and Assessment, Department of Resident Engagement, the Office of Public and Private Partnerships, and the Department of Resident Economic Empowerment and Sustainability.

The Inspector General's Office reports to the New York City Department of Investigation.

NYCHA Resident Initiatives

The Authority is committed to developing and operating housing in wholesome living environments for low and moderate income households with innovation, sensitivity, and excellence through a partnership with its employees, residents, and communities. Meeting this mandate represents a significant challenge in light of substantially decreased federal operating subsidies and limited modernization dollars.

Within NYCHA's Department and Community Programs and Development Division, a group of departments coordinate resident programs, community relations, and initiatives to improve the quality of life of NYCHA's residents. Within NYCHA's Department of Operations, the Department of Family Services also administers various programs for the residents. The following is a description of those initiatives by department.

1) Department of Community Operations

As of July 1, 2013, NYCHA housed a total of 84,146 seniors age 60 and over who are legal residents of public housing; 25.4% (21,408) of the senior residents are 75 to 84 years of age; 8.8% (7,366) are 85 to 94 years of age; and nearly 1% (710) are 95 years of age or older. Some of the Authority's initiatives to address the needs of its seniors and other populations are listed below.

NYCHA Community Centers

NYCHA's Community Centers serve as a hub for a great variety of programs and services for residents of public housing and their neighbors in the community. There are 65 community centers which are operated directly by NYCHA and an additional 64 operated by our community service partners (as of August, 2013). All of our centers host a wide range of educational, recreational, arts, and cultural activities. During the school year, September through June, Community Centers are open from 2:00pm to 10:00pm, Monday through Friday and residents can rent center space on the weekends for special events. In the summer months, July and August, Community Centers are open from 9:00am to 5:00pm, Monday through Friday. Community facilities also serve as emergency facilities during heat emergencies, power outages, snow storms, hurricane alerts, and other emergencies when activated by the Office of Emergency Management (OEM).

21st Century Community Learning Center - Green Futures Club

New York City Housing Authority was awarded the 21st Century Grant to operate the 21st Century Community Learning Center Green Futures Club in July of 2009. This program is a collaborative pilot project between The State Department of Education, New York City Housing Authority, Henry Hudson Middle School (I.S 125x) and Rainbow Youth and Family Services to develop a new 21st Century Community Learning Center design model to serve low-income, high and at risk youngsters age 9 to 16 who live in housing developments operated by NYCHA.

In 2011, the Green Futures Club program has engaged 148 middle school students over the past year in a mandatory academic enrichment component, and optional youth development piece. One hundred fifty six (156) adults have benefited from the community/ adult education component which included but was not limited to College/High School Readiness, Financial Literacy, Resume Preparation, etc. Through the grant 47 people also gained some form of employment (full and part time staff) as consultants and instructors. The main focus of the program at Monroe Community Center has been 'Going Green'- activities range from planting and

organizing an organic garden, analysis of industries impact on the environment and ways of reducing the carbon footprint and sustainable energy options. Students perform research activities to enable them to develop their skills set and obtain information on a range of emerging green opportunities. This approach is intended to develop an interest in green activities and provide them the educational exposure & experience to make it in this emerging industry.

The 21st Century Learning Center- Green Futures Club has provided youth with academic enrichment, including, high school readiness and tutorial services to help students, particularly students who attend low-performing schools meet State and local academic achievement standards in core subjects such as English and Mathematics, other subjects offered are Social Studies, History, Science, ESL and Spanish. The program also focuses on youth development programs- social, communicative and leadership skills through programs such as pregnancy prevention program, 'go green' activities, woodworking, girls and boys basketball, art, dance, robotics, journalism and photography, graffiti art, violence prevention; anti-bullying program, chess, volleyball, cheerleading, yoga, zumba, soccer and softball.

The program operates out of Henry Hudson Middle School and Monroe Community Center within James Monroe Housing Development. The grant was initially scheduled to end in June of 2012. However, it was extended for one year until June 2013, in lieu of issuing a new Request for Proposal (RFP) for a new grant term. During the program's extension, services were provided at Intermediate School 125 and James Monroe Community Center. At James Monroe Community Center, educational, literacy-improvement and tutorial services were provided for elementary and middle school children, in addition to the "green" workshops which took place on a bi-weekly basis over the course of the extended period. Please note the program ended in May 2013 (but the grant-required administrative close out extends until September 2013).

After School All Stars

The After School All-Stars (ASAS) of NYC is a comprehensive 4-week program geared toward education, athletics, career development, and other activities for NYCHA youth between the ages of 7-13. ASAS target 1,000 youth and operates at St. John's University's Queens Campus.

ASAS consists of an intensive 10-day academic, computer and athletic program. One half of each day program is devoted to two periods of literacy instruction, one period of math instruction in small groups and one in a computer lab. The literacy instruction is administered by certified New York City's Department of Education teachers. The other half of the program youth engage in various athletic activities, implemented by Division I college athletes, and includes basketball, soccer, softball, obstacle courses, dance and fitness, sessions. At the end of each two-week session 18 children, for an overall total of 36, are identified to receive \$1,000 "scholarships" that can be utilized at the St. John's University Campus should they choose to attend the school upon high school graduation. Selection of scholarship awardees are based on a student's overall academic and athletic performance, as well as, the adherence and consistency to established ASAS social themes: The Dangers of Substance Abuse, Gang Prevention, Respect for the Community and Environment, and Strive to be a Good Person and Citizen and Anti Bullying.

Boys & Girls Citywide Basketball League

NYCHA is home to one of the largest inner-city basketball league in the tri state area. Over the years, the Boys & Girls Citywide Basketball League has showcased the talents of rising stars, such as top players like WNBA LA Sparks former guard Shannon Bobbitt of King Towers, former Knick Stephon Marbury of O'Dwyer House, and Kemba Walker of Sackwern Houses, currently a guard with the Charlotte Bobcats. The Boys & Girls Citywide Basketball League consists of approximately 250 teams and serves NYCHA residents, approximately 3,000 youth, in all 5 boroughs, ages 18 and under. League registration is free and open to all NYCHA residents and community center participants. Games are played simultaneously at various NYCHA gymnasiums throughout the city beginning in January of each year. In 2012 NYCHA was the recipient of a generous donation from Enyce, a hip hop fashion label owned by Sean Combs. After viewing an online video showing the rich history of the league, Enyce approached the Sports Manager for the Education through Sports Unit, Citywide Programs to make the donation. ENYCE's donation marked the first time that NYCHA

has obtained sponsorship to underwrite the cost of the uniforms in the 40 plus years of the program. ENYCE has committed to being the uniform donor for the league potentially through 2015 at an estimated saving of \$400,000 for NYCHA.

BRIDGES

The Salvadori Center, a non-profit educational organization, is working in partnership with the National Science Foundation and the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) to operate the B.R.I.D.G.E.S. (Build, Research, Invent, Design, Grow and Explore through Science) Program. This after school program, which is geared to youth 8-12 years of age, is designed to inspire and improve youths understanding of science, engineering, mathematics, design and technology through the use of real-world projects. Once a week, an architect-educator from the Salvadori Center works with youth at the community center on special projects to help the students extend their math and science learning. Because children in the program work mainly in small groups, they also learn to cooperate with one another, analyze problems to find solutions, and share what they have learned through writing and oral presentations. Some of the project based educational activities include: building scale models of the NYC Bridges (mathematics); create drawings of their communities (art); figure out why buildings stand up (physical science); construct models of skyscrapers (engineering); learn patience and precision in their work (life skills). In 2013, the BRIDGES program operated at 27 sites throughout the five boroughs and serviced approximately 275 youth weekly. The grant ended in June 2013.

Broadband Technology Opportunity Program (BTOP)

The National Telecommunications and Information Administration, (“NTIA”), United States Department of Commerce is providing funding under the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program (“BTOP”). In partnership with DoITT and other City institutions, the Authority submitted a joint application through the NYC Connected Communities initiative established by DoITT. As a partner in NYC Connected Communities, NYCHA will bring broadband internet technology to community centers in 12 public housing developments throughout the five boroughs. These centers will serve vulnerable populations residing in these developments together with other members of the general public living within proximity to the Centers. There is a growing need for low-income New Yorkers, predominantly African-American and Latino-American, to improve their Broadband opportunities for success in school and in life. The unemployed, senior citizens, children and people with disabilities will benefit from greater access to Broadband Internet resources, and from a variety of Internet employment training and job search skills. The NYC Connected Community initiative also allowed NYCHA to deploy a mobile computer lab, which will service additional Connected Communities locations that do not have access to Broadband technology. The BTOP program services approximately 450 persons weekly. The program began in January 2012.

Cops and Kids Boxing

The Berry Boxing gym located at Berry Houses is a joint venture between Dr. Theodore Atlas Foundation and NYPD Cops & Kids boxing program. The gym also has support from Assemblyman Cusick. Similar to the youth boxing programs operating in Flatbush Gardens Brooklyn and Park Hill in Staten Island by NYC Cops and Kids, the Berry Housing gym will primarily serve youth ages 13-21. The program expects 100 youth to participate. The youth participating in the program are also registered at the Community Center. The gym operates from 5pm-8pm year round. The goal of the program is to teach respect, discipline and create a sense of self worth for the participants.

Fatherhood Initiative

Since the 2010 launch of its Fatherhood Initiative, NYCHA continues to conduct annual family building events, workshops and a series of engagement activities in connection with Father’s Day. This year NYCHA facilitated the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) Father’s Day 2013 event, and for the first time each borough held their own event. The HUD initiative aimed to strengthen the bond between children and their fathers, who are often absent from the lives of their children who live in public housing. Concurrently, the initiative had the goal of connecting dads to supportive services in their communities including, job training, health screenings and education opportunities. Father's Day 2013 events and activities brought together fathers and their children to celebrate fatherhood and family, while at the same time connecting fathers to local

programs and services that help build a quality of life for residents. The events were tailored to create opportunities for positive engagement between fathers and their children through participation in recreation, education, and social activities that promote an overall healthy physical, emotional and social relationship. NYCHA was also able to partner with the Kings County Tennis League through the United States Tennis Association to help bolster the event. The events encouraged and inspired fathers and their children to build healthier relationships together.

Foster Grandparents Program

Brooklyn Community Operations has partnered with DFTA (Department for the Aging) to utilize senior volunteers in the Foster Grandparent Program within our NYCHA Community Centers. The Foster Grandparent Program is a volunteer program that offers seniors age 60 and older a paid non-taxable stipend to serve as mentors, tutors and caregivers for children and youth. Foster Grandparents can serve 20 hours per week within your after-school program. Foster Grandparents tutor elementary school students, offer emotional support and mentor teens and young parents. In the process, the volunteers will help strengthen Brooklyn Community Operations by providing necessary staff and creating intergenerational opportunities. Foster Grandparents receive a modest tax-free stipend, reimbursement for transportation, a daily meal during service. The program gives participants the opportunity to share a lifetime of experience with our youth, and join the half million older Americans who are strengthening communities across the country as members of the National Senior Service Corps.

Gardening and Greening

In 1963, the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) initiated a Citywide Resident Garden Competition from which NYCHA's current Garden and Greening Program was born. Starting strictly as a Flower Garden Contest, the Garden Competition expanded to include the Vegetable and Theme Gardens categories. The NYCHA Garden and Greening Program Competition is one of the oldest non-botanical garden-based urban gardening programs in the country celebrating its 50th Anniversary in 2013.

The Garden and Greening Program is a beautification and environmental education program that benefits NYCHA residents city wide as well as at senior, community, and day care centers. The program provides year-round technical assistance as well as, free seeds and flowering bulbs in the spring and fall, and other garden resources to resident gardeners citywide. The gardens add color and charm to NYCHA developments and yield beautiful flowers and delicious vegetables throughout the seasons. Although success cannot be measured in numbers this year, the Garden and Greening Program provided gardening and greening education to more than 2,500 seniors, adults, children, and youth resident gardeners.

In 2005, the Garden and Greening Program expanded its mission to include the planting of large trees and shrubs; implemented an expansive year-round environmental education programming which includes coordinating workshops and field trips to the city's botanical gardens, parks, museums, and increased the production of and access to nutritional organic produce.

Most development's gardens are entered in the Annual Citywide Garden Competition and judged by a diverse panel of horticultural professionals. A citywide winner in each category is chosen from first placed borough winners. Selected winners are recognized at the Annual Citywide Garden Competition Awards Ceremony. Approximately 664 gardens have been identified in 2012 at NYCHA developments during the Competition's preliminary judging phase.

Global Partners Junior Program

The Global Partners Junior Program is a collaboration between the Office of the Mayor and NYCHA that connects students in New York City with students around the world as a way to foster global understanding through internet-based exchanges. The Global Partners Junior Program operates for 3 to 5 hours every week at eight NYCHA community centers, serving approximately 8-10 students at each site. Supervised by a coordinator or consultant who has been trained to implement the program's curriculum, students work together on a curriculum developed by New York City Global Partners staff. Focusing on topics such as sustainability,

the environment, city parks, history and culture, amongst others; students undertake research, hold discussions, create projects, and post messages to their international peers on the internet forum. During the 2012-2013 program year, Global Partners serviced 90 youth participants

I Have a Dream (IHAD)

The IHAD Foundation collaborates with NYCHA, local not-for-profit organizations, learning institutions, and private financial institutions to provide a comprehensive education support program to NYCHA youth. NYCHA provides space and an annual cash grant to the IHAD Foundation for each participating site. The IHAD Program currently operates three programs in NYCHA developments: Chelsea-Elliott and DeHostos Houses in Manhattan and Ravenswood Houses in Queens.

The program has “adopted” third-graders from the above-referenced developments and will continue to follow these children’s progress from elementary through high school graduation. The goal of the program is to keep this group of residents (called “Dreamers”), in school. IHAD provides tuition assistance to those Dreamers who graduate from high school and attend college or vocational college. The program also offers the Dreamers social and cultural activities that increase the Dreamers’ chance for success.

There are 71 Dreamers enrolled at Chelsea-Elliott, 43 at DeHostos and 60 at Ravenswood Houses.

Mark Morris Dance Group

Mark Morris Dance Group (MMDG) and Brooklyn Community Operations offer a collaborative Dance Program to over one hundred NYCHA Sponsored Community and Senior Center participants. MMDG Instructors travel to NYCHA facilities as well as host classes at their newly renovated downtown Brooklyn dance studio for participants enrolled in the program. Currently Saratoga Square Senior Center, Saratoga Village, Stuyvesant Gardens, Red Hook, Van Dyke and Seth Low Community Centers participate in the program. NYCHA Community Center participants receive free dance instruction at their local community center and at the MMDG site during the 8 month program. MMDG instructors travel to NYCHA community centers to provide quality instruction on site to residents of all ages. NYCHA Seniors have participated in Classic and Jazz Dance. Teens and after-school aged children have studied African, Modern and Hip-Hop Jazz. A traveling pianist provides live music during the senior center sessions. Community Center instructors provide materials such as CD stereo equipment etc. to facilitate the classes. Mark Morris Dance Group also provides participants with free tickets to their performances and exhibitions.

Martial Arts

The Martial Arts Program provides our youth with an opportunity to learn self-defense skills while gaining flexibility, strength, and endurance. Sessions are broken up into two levels, instructional and competitive to ensure that each participant receives the personal attention that he or she deserves so they can grasp the true meaning of living a martial arts way of life – staying humble, respectful and courteous at all times. The goal of the Martial Arts Program is to ensure that each session serves as a vehicle to instill the values and skills necessary to combat the peer pressures associated with at risk behaviors. Each Martial Arts instructor is charge with the task of making sure they follow the NYCHA curriculum and core philosophy to stresses the vital importance of a healthy mind and body necessary to lead a productive life.

Neighborhood Explorers

Manhattan Community Operations has collaborated with the Museum of the City of New York to offer the Neighborhood Explorers Program. The program educates afterschool students about the principles of architecture, landscape architecture, urban planning and design and empowers them to become active participants in shaping their community. Participants investigate the East Harlem neighborhood, exploring real world urban issues and proposing innovative solutions. Working with local community partners including HOPE Community, Union Settlement, Concrete Safaris, The 116th Street Block Association, and others, students have revitalized community spaces, designed gardens, and created exhibitions to display new ideas about the neighborhood. Funding for Neighborhood Explorers is provided by the Honorable José M. Serrano, State Senator, 28th District; the Rhodebeck Charitable Trust; and the Rochlis Family Foundation. This year

participants were able to go out and investigate the East Harlem neighborhood, exploring real urban issues, and propose innovative solutions. With New York City's growing population, architect, designer and urban planners are now faced with the challenge to create smaller multi-functional spaces to live. Twenty-three (23) youth from Clinton and King Towers Community Centers participate weekly.

New York City Early Literacy Learning (NYCELL)

In January 2005, The Mayor's Office, in collaboration with the Department of Education and the New York City Housing Authority launched a pilot program, New York City Early Literacy Learning (NYCELL) Program. The goal of the NYCELL Program is to strengthen the language and pre-reading skills of children between the ages of 1 and 3.9 years, in order to enter school ready to learn and succeed. Currently, this program is being offered at five NYCHA Community Centers: Bronx Classic at Melrose Houses and Justice Sonia Sotomayor in the Bronx; Van Dyke in Brooklyn; and at King Towers and Rutgers Community Centers, in Manhattan. During the 2012-2013 program year, NYCELL serviced 175 children and their parent/caregiver.

NFL Officiating Academy

The National Football League and NYCHA are continuing this strong partnership that developed this first-of-its kind football officiating training program in public housing. The objective of the program is to provide men and women, ages 17-24, with the critical skills necessary to become a game official and to date 45 men and women have been certified to referee public school football games. The program also teaches valuable life skills, and provides participants with potential opportunities for careers in sports officiating.

PAL Play Street

The Police Athletic League (PAL) Play Street program provides a safe, secure and fun filled recreation/educational program for youth ages 6-14 years of age at 10 New York City Housing Authority developments citywide through a six-week program. Through outdoor, adult-supervised recreational, educational and developmental activities in their communities during the summer months, youth also engage in weekly rap sessions on drug prevention and a drug-free and a crime prevention curriculum developed by the National Crime Prevention Council. Youth are introduced to the many local resources available to them through a community connections component; youth learn how to identify their local NYPD precinct as well as their local elected officials, to foster stronger working relations. The summer Play Street experience culminates with a borough day celebration.

Performing Arts Program

Lincoln Center (LC) is thrilled to welcome NYCHA to the David Rubenstein Atrium at Lincoln Center (Broadway between 62nd and 63rd St.) to participate in Target® Free Thursdays on August 15, 2013. LC has invited NYCHA to showcase a variety of performers associated with the NYCHA Performing Arts Program and previous NYCHA Talent Show winners in an upcoming performance at Lincoln Center.

Lincoln Center partners with Target to sponsor free performances in the David Rubenstein Atrium every Thursday night at 7:30 PM. Curated by Lincoln Center, the series features artists from around the world as well as the New York metropolitan area. Since opening in 2009, over 600,000 people have visited the Atrium. The partnership with LC will result in a \$1,000 grant to NYCHA. The rental of the venue and all costs related to the actual production at LC will be given in-kind to NYCHA and are valued at 40K – 50K.

Theaterworks USA donated over 500 tickets to NYCHA community centers to attend performances of an educational dramatic musical about the Civil War during the months of July and August. The donation is valued at \$5,000.

Rachael Ray and the Sylvia Center Fun Food, Smart Food

In partnership with celebrity chef and author, Rachael Ray, NYCHA has the Fun Food, Smart Food program. Fun Food, Smart Food peels back the layers on what it takes to cook quality food in a healthy way. The program ranges from seven to 14 weeks and receives support from Rachael Ray's Yumo! organization. Both Ray's

organization and the Fun Food program allow young people to learn about cooking in new ways, including how to make better food choices without sacrificing great taste. Through this program children come to understand what food is, how it is grown, and, perhaps most important, that they themselves can choose to make good food for their own bodies. The initiative includes classes hosted in NYCHA community centers: Drew Hamilton, Wagner and Manhattanville in Manhattan, Williamsburg and Red Hook in Brooklyn, and St. Mary's in the Bronx.

Senior Choir

The New York City Housing Authority received \$12,500 from the Aetna Better Health Sponsorship Program which is half of the \$25,000 donation to fund up to six performances by the NYCHA Senior Chorus. Aetna will generate the balance after the third performance. For their donation NYCHA will acknowledge Aetna's contribution at each of six funded events that the Senior Chorus performs, as well as in the NYCHA Journal, NYCHA web site, and any event flyers. Additionally, NYCHA will invite Aetna to attend the six Senior Chorus concerts in 2013 and distribute literature and information.

The Senior Chorus Program was established in December 2002 to provide older New Yorkers with the opportunity to share their love of music by performing in a choral ensemble. Through the Chorus the members receive the opportunity to engage peers in an interesting activity and build vital social connections for often isolated seniors. The Senior Chorus is led by a professional choir director along with several musical consultants. These professional musicians conduct workshops, at the Polo Grounds Senior Center in Manhattan and the Marcus Garvey Senior Center in Brooklyn, and provide the choir members with vocal training during their rehearsals. The NYCHA Senior Choir sings a repertoire of Gospel, Pop, and R&B music and each year the Chorus's 60 members perform between 15 and 40 times at various venues throughout the city.

Write Girls

The Write Girls program is a literacy program for girls in the fourth, fifth and sixth grade living in the Brownsville and Bedford-Stuyvesant sections of Brooklyn. Utilizing elements of the Girl Scouts' Journeys Curriculum, the Write Girls program provided literacy support through reading and writing activities concentrating on women in literature. The Write Girls program had monthly family literacy nights and activities that include scrapbooking and group discussions, and an end of year Publishers Party of the girls' accomplishments. The Write Girls participated in theme-based trips to places and environments that shape the world through literacy including the United Nations, Washington, D.C., and local media outlets. The program operated at 7 sites and expanded to 4 additional sites in January 2012. The instruction portion of the program ended on June 19th. The culmination of this program included a Write Girls Pulitzer Prize Awards Dinner, a formal event celebrating the girls for their hard and creative work during the year. Participants competed for awards on the following categories: Best Poem, Best Essay, Best Short Story, and Best Collaboration.

Youth Chorus

The NYCHA Youth Chorus was awarded a \$20,000 grant from the Directors of the David Rockefeller Fund to augment performances, hire a piano teacher for a year, and enhance the overall programming to NYCHA program participants.

NYCHA Youth Anti Violence Project

With funding from the New York Community Trust, NYCHA has developed and implemented a holistic approach to aid in combating youth violence in its developments. NYCHA staff works cohesively with four Cure Violence organizations and two youth service providers as part of a public health approach to preventing violence before it occurs. The goal is to reduce youth violence in NYCHA developments by connecting community and service providers dealing with at-risk youth and their families with prevention education, policy and community organizing as well as support and resource identification.

Senior Benefit & Entitlement Fair (SBEF) –

The 8th annual Senior Benefit & Entitlement Fair’s theme was “*Money Matters*” and special emphasis was placed on the upcoming elimination of the paper check option for the delivery of Federal benefits such as Social Security. A video presentation on the 2 electronic options available (Direct Deposit, Direct Express Debit Card) ran repeatedly throughout the day. This information was also presented at a large “Money Matters” workshop that also covered more in depth topics including: How to Manage a Bank Account, Using Debit Cards and Preventing Identity Theft. Representatives from banks and financial advocacy organizations provided one-on-one financial counseling and answered questions. Health information and free health screenings were offered, including screenings for Diabetes/Glucose, hearing, asthma, HIV/STDs and blood pressure. Balance testing and foot screenings were also conducted. Flu shots are also administered. Application assistance is provided for benefits including food and transportation. In addition, NYCHA’s IT Department and various CBOs provide one-to-one hands-on instruction in the use of laptop computers, i-Pads, cell phones, Nintendo Wii, and tele-health devices. Group exercise classes, dancing, massage therapy and one-on-one nutrition counseling are also provided. Over 1,500 seniors attended from over 65 developments throughout the city. Seventy (70) agencies and senior focused organizations participated. Nearly all expenses were covered by outside contributions. The SBEF took place at Riverbank State Park.

The 2013 SBEF will take place on September 18 at Riverbank State Park. The theme of the Fair is “Green & Healthy.” Several activities will focus on the health and financial benefits of going green.

NYCHA Operated Senior Centers

NYCHA directly operates 37 senior center facilities (as of August, 2013) and provides educational, recreational, cultural and social activities. Programs at these centers vary according to the level of staffing, availability of overall funding, physical space, and funding for meals and/or satellite lunches provided directly or from nearby DFTA-funded Senior Centers. In some cases, discretionary funds from the City Council Members subsidize expenditures for volunteer lunch programs or center activities. In addition to meal programs, many centers provide music programs such as singing and keyboard instruction; arts and crafts such as ceramics and painting; workshops on entitlements and nutrition; drama and playwriting classes; basic computer education classes; ESL classes; gardening; exercise and a host of other activities. The centers celebrate many holidays as well as, cultural and historical events, such as Thanksgiving, Christmas, Chanukah, Kwanzaa, Hispanic Heritage, Black History Month and Women’s Month. They also organize day trips to plays, talk shows, family resorts, fishing, shopping and other activities.

“Senior News” column in NYCHA Journal –

Several articles designed to keep senior residents informed about issues of importance to them were printed on our monthly newspaper. Most topics were health-related and/or concern benefit programs. Simplified instructions to re/apply or receive more information were included.

In 2012 special emphasis was placed on the Federal government’s plan to eliminate paper checks for benefits such as Social Security by March 1, 2013

The table below lists all topics covered in 2012:

Month	Topic
January	Social Security Benefit Increases New Disenrollment Period for Medicare Part D
February	Hearing Loss
March	Free Tax Help - Beware of Refund Anticipation Loans
April	Important News About Your Federal Benefit Check/Go Direct

May	Colonoscopies Save Lives – Get Checked Glaucoma Screening
June	Avoiding Scams
July/August	Emergency Cooling Centers West Nile Virus Prevention
September	Extra Help Program/Prescription Drugs
October	Diabetes Expo
November	Postponed due to Hurricane Sandy
December	Medicare Enrollment Flexibility for Hurricane Sandy Victims Social Security Benefit Increases

The table below lists topics covered in 2013 as of Mid-July:

Month	Topic
January & February	Federal Benefits Go Completely Electronic On March 1 New Hours for Social Security Field Offices
March	Protect Your Social Security Benefits
April	Resources for Drinking Problems
May	Epic Program Benefits Restored
June	Reporting Elder Abuse
July/August	Free swimming programs for seniors by NYC Parks Department

2) Office of Resident Economic Empowerment & Sustainability (REES)

The Office of Resident Economic Empowerment & Sustainability (REES) develops and implements programs, policies and collaborations to measurably support residents’ increased economic opportunities with a focus on financial literacy and asset building, employment and advancement, adult education and training, and business development.

In accordance with PLAN NYCHA, NYCHA’s strategic plan, REES is committed to connecting residents to critical programs and services. In order to increase capacity and improve quality, NYCHA is working to provide place-based service coordination. NYCHA’s new outcome-driven resident economic opportunity platform—the Zone Model—is focused on service coordination, strategic partnerships, leveraging localized external resources and services, and NYCHA resources to support residents in increasing their income and assets. To accomplish this, NYCHA is taking a multi-pronged approach that includes:

1. Collaborating with economic opportunity service providers around outcome-focused projects;
2. Providing additional capacity and technical assistance to economic opportunity service providers to better support NYCHA residents in reaching their goals;
3. Driving additional public and private investment into public housing neighborhoods to support outcomes for NYCHA residents including supporting the replication of proven economic opportunity models where resources don’t currently exist as well as testing new approaches; and
4. Building a learning community across New York City that develops expertise around supporting public housing residents to reach their economic goals. The learning community within and across NYCHA Zones will test, implement, iterate and replicate best practices in collaboration with residents and other stakeholders.

NYCHA is one stakeholder within a broader community economic development ecosystem - community colleges, City agencies, non-profit social service organizations, workforce agencies, financial institutions, employers, residents, and philanthropies. Rather than duplicate services provided by other organizations, REES has a developed new structure for working with service providers that leverages the best of both NYCHA's and the providers resources and expertise.

In September 2012, NYCHA launched the first for service coordination Zones: South Bronx, Lower East Side, Upper Manhattan and Downtown Brooklyn. Through Zone Partnership, providers in these areas worked in concert with NYCHA to execute a portfolio of strategic projects that support resident outcomes in the areas of employment, adult education, financial literacy and asset building, and business development. In 2013, NYCHA launched the Zone Partner application process in additional Zones. REES is set to active service coordination networks in place in 10 Zones by the close of 2013 with citywide services and local projects available to residents in all 15 Zones through REES' central office. Through Zone Partnership, REES has increased the volume of residents served and has made a more comprehensive suite of services available to residents. REES is also to serve residents closer to home, reducing wait and travel time.

REES continues to provide outreach, pre-screening, referral and placement assistance services through our central office. In addition, REES launched Opportunity NYCHA (www.opportunitynycha.org), a resident-facing web portal that connects residents to critical economic opportunity programs and services available through REES and our Zone Partners. Through this portal, residents are able to search for available services by their housing development and neighborhood, make self-referrals, sign up for relevant recruitment initiatives, and learn about rent incentives, and current opportunities. All programs and partners available on the site have been vetted through REES' Zone Partner Application process.

NYCHA Resident Training Academy (RTA)

The NYCHA Resident Training Academy is a public/private initiative funded by Robin Hood - a non-profit organization whose mission is to fight poverty in New York City. The pilot program was launched in August 2010 - with the objective of training residents in janitorial services and basic construction for employment at NYCHA developments, or construction-related jobs with NYCHA contractors. The program exceeded first-year goals with 138 NYCHA residents completing training; 85 percent of graduates (118) were hired by NYCHA or NYCHA contractors. Due to the success of its first year, the NRTA expanded in its second year of program operations to also include a pest control training track.

The overall goal for year two of the NRTA (August 2011 to August 2012) is to facilitate 300 public housing residents into career track jobs at NYCHA and with NYCHA contractors and partners. In Year 2 the NRTA trained 458 NYCHA residents across four training tracks (janitorial, construction, maintenance, and pest control) and placed 406 residents into jobs (89% of graduates).

As of January 28th, 2013, the NRTA has completed 4 out of 10 planned trainings for Year 3. To date, 98 residents have graduated and 87 (89% of graduates) have already been placed into jobs. All positions created with this funding are guaranteed for one year. NYCHA is committed to seeking funds to continue the program if it is successful.

BEOC Zone Pilot Initiative:

In the winter of 2012-2013, REES and Zone Partner, Brooklyn Educational Opportunity Center ("BEOC"), piloted an initiative where intensive literacy and math training was offered to previous applicants to the NYCHA Resident Training Academy who did not meet the basic testing requirements to apply for the training by BEOC. Of the 19 graduates from the class, 16 successfully raised their scores to the required level for entry and are currently applying to enter the Academy. REES is using the model established with BEOC in the Downtown Brooklyn Zone to work with other Adult Education providers to link ABE services with employment-linked training opportunities such as the NRTA.

Jobs-Plus Sites

Jobs-Plus is a proven place-based employment program that offers services to all working-age residents in one or a cluster of public housing developments. Jobs-Plus, when implemented well, has been proven to increase earnings for public housing residents by more than 16%. The first City-sponsored site launched in late 2009 at Jefferson Houses in East Harlem as a collaboration between the Center for Economic Opportunity (CEO), CUNY, Human Resources Administration and NYCHA. Since its inception, the site facilitated over 450 NYCHA residents in jobs over three years and has helped hundreds more connect to education, training, and supportive services that will increase their earning potential. The Jobs-Plus site in the South Bronx, a program supported by the Corporation for National and Community Service, Bloomberg Philanthropies, Morgan Stanley, and Tiger Foundation as part of the federal Social Innovation Fund (SIF) grant awarded to CEO and the Mayor's Fund to Advance NYC, has placed over 300 NYCHA residents in jobs since fall 2011 and is preparing hundreds more for employment. New York City is now investing \$24 million to expand the program to up to seven new public housing sites as a signature component of Mayor Michael Bloomberg's new Young Men's Initiative. The Jobs-Plus expansion marks a key milestone in NYCHA's new approach to better support its residents to increase their income and assets by working with public and private partners to identify gaps in service offerings and to develop strategies that attract high quality resources and proven economic opportunity models, like Jobs-Plus, into public housing neighborhoods. The Jobs-Plus expansion represents a significant collaborative effort among several City agencies, with HRA as the lead agency. Partners include NYCHA, CEO, the Department of Consumer Affairs Office of Financial Empowerment (OFE) and the Department of Small Business Services (SBS).

Green Jobs Initiative

Green City Force ("GCF"), Clean Energy Corps is a 6 month full time service training program that provides college preparation and hands on work experience in the field of Green Energy. Since 2009, GCF has partnered with NYCHA to fill their entire cohort of participants with qualified NYCHA residents between the ages of 18 to 24. To date, a total of 100 NYCHA residents have graduated from the program including 29 that most recently graduated on January 24, 2013. Currently, there are 45 NYCHA residents enrolled in the winter 2013 cohort that began February 4, 2013. NYCHA residents interested in becoming Clean Energy Corps members undergo a highly competitive multi-stage application process before they are accepted that include an information session, TABE, Interview and Try Out. For this current cohort, we conducted citywide outreach and hosted a total of 16 information sessions. 11 information sessions were hosted at the REES central office and 5 information sessions were conducted at the local NYCHA Community Centers in Williamsburg, East Harlem, West Harlem, South Bronx, and the Lower East Side. According to Green City Force's latest report, 320 attended an information session, 275 applications were received, 116 NYCHA residents qualified and 48 were 'pre selected' to enroll in the program.

Barclays Arena:

In the summer of 2012, REES partnered with the New York City Department of Small Business Services (SBS) and Public Housing Communities, Inc. ("PHC") to recruit NYCHA residents for nearly 2,000 job openings at the new Barclays Center Arena in Downtown Brooklyn. As a result of an aggressive outreach campaign to NYCHA residents and to the broader community, over 31,000 job seekers registered to attend a pre-screening event, just under half of whom were NYCHA residents. Over 500 NYCHA residents had obtained employment at the arena as of September, 2012.

National Emergency Grant:

NYCHA received a \$7.2 million grant from the U.S. Department of Labor to create 441 temporary positions for Caretakers, Custodians, Community Outreach Workers, and Supervisors for Community Outreach Workers. The temporary workers hired through this grant will perform repair, restoration, and outreach work at developments impacted by Hurricane Sandy. NYCHA residents were prioritized for available positions through a series of pre-screening events hosted by REES and the Department of Small Business Services at impacted developments. As of January 2013 nearly 150 NYCHA residents have been hired through this initiative.

Brooklyn Navy Yard

NYCHA is continuing its partnership with the Employment Center at the Brooklyn Navy Yard Development Corporation (BNYDC). The BNYDC is actively recruiting NYCHA residents for a variety of job opportunities available with employers in the Brooklyn Navy Yard and surrounding community. BNYDC and NYCHA want to make sure that residents have access to the available jobs.

To connect residents with these positions, NYCHA co-hosted several recruitment events in 2012 at the developments surrounding the Navy Yard. At these events, NYCHA and BNYDC staff pre-screened residents for current openings and entry into BNYDC's hiring database for future opportunities. In October of 2012, NYCHA co-hosted a job readiness workshop for young adults interested in employment at the Navy Yard or in vocational training programs offered through training partners that work to place graduates in jobs at the Navy Yard. In addition, BNYDC shares job orders with NYCHA on an ongoing basis, and NYCHA staff refer residents for specific job opportunities as they arise. The open positions range from security/public safety, customer service, bookkeeping, maintenance and many other areas.

Section 3 and Resident Employment Program (REP)

Section 3 is a HUD mandate that stipulates employment and other economic opportunities generated by federal assistance to public housing authorities shall be directed, to the greatest extent feasible, to public housing residents and other low and very low income persons. Section 3 requires that 30% of all new hires be residents of public housing, and/or low or very-low income residents of the community. The first priority for hiring under Section 3 is for residents of the developments. Section 3 goals also apply to contracting with resident-owned businesses and training. To further support compliance and maximize employment opportunities for public housing residents, the Resident Employment Program (REP) requires 15% of the labor costs on a contract be expended on resident hiring. With few exceptions, REP applies to construction contracts valued in excess of \$500,000.

In 2012, a total of 981 job placements were facilitated. These job placements included 512 hires leveraged through NYCHA's contracting expenditures as required by the federal Section 3 mandate, 405 residents (Section 3) hired directly by the Authority through NYCHA's Resident Training Academy, and 64 residents (non-Section 3) hired by private businesses in New York City. Section 3 is the HUD mandate that stipulates employment and other economic opportunities generated by federal assistance to public housing authorities shall, to the greatest extent feasible, be directed to public housing residents and other low and very low-income persons. Section 3 requires that 30% of all new hires are residents of public housing, or low or very low income residents of the community. NYCHA's first priority for hiring under Section 3 is for residents of its developments. Section 3 goals also apply to contracting with resident-owned businesses.

Resident Employment Program (REP) is a NYCHA-sponsored program that requires that 15% of the labor costs on a contract be expended on resident hiring. With few exceptions, REP applies to construction contracts valued in excess of \$500,000.

CHOICE Neighborhoods Planning Grant

REES was part of NYCHA's successful 2012 Choice Neighborhoods Planning Grant application for the Mott Haven neighborhood in the South Bronx. Choice Neighborhoods grants transform distressed neighborhoods and public and assisted projects into viable and sustainable mixed-income neighborhoods by linking housing improvements with appropriate services, schools, public assets, transportation, and access to jobs. The target development is Betances Houses, which will be the focus of a two-year neighborhood planning process focused on coordination of services throughout the neighborhood. The South Bronx Zone Coordinator will work to advance the economic development portion of the NYCHA's interdepartmental CHOICE planning process.

ROSS Service Coordinator Grant

With a grant from HUD, NYCHA has launched the implementation of the Zone Model by identifying a set of Zone Coordinators to service public housing communities in the South Bronx, Downtown Brooklyn and Upper Manhattan. Zone Coordinators are neighborhood-based NYCHA staff that use local knowledge to create

economic opportunity, service-delivery networks that serve public housing residents. Each Zone Coordinator oversees a geographic “Zone” that is comprised of 11,000 public housing households on average. Zone Coordinators manage key relationships with local and central NYCHA staff, local stakeholders (e.g. Resident Association Leadership), and Economic Opportunity Service Providers. Zone Coordinators are charged with bundling NYCHA’s resources to support strategic projects that bring these three sets of stakeholders together to increase residents’ income and assets.

In early 2012, Zone Coordinators in Upper Manhattan and the South Bronx launched a pilot referral system at a set of target developments. Through this pilot referral system, frontline NYCHA were able to refer residents to local economic opportunity service providers that were in partnership with REES. This new place-based approach allowed residents to access a more comprehensive suite of services closer to home. From January 2012-March 2012 165 residents were referred for economic opportunity services including workforce development, adult education and financial counseling. Across service providers, nearly 40% of referrals converted to actual appointments.

The REES pilot referral system is a solid demonstration of how PHA’s can advance HUD’s goal to use housing as a platform. NYCHA’s frontline staff interacts with residents on a regular basis through activities such as property management, community and senior center programming, and social service delivery. Zone Coordinators worked with NYCHA frontline staff to train them on the mechanics of making a referral as well as ways to identify residents that can benefit from services in their daily work. Zone Coordinators also provided ongoing technical assistance to NYCHA frontline staff and created feedback loops between REES and the service providers.

REES completed a successful application for the 2012 ROSS Service Coordinator grant and was awarded \$729,000 to continue the program through 2015. The ROSS grant will be targeted towards economic opportunity service coordination at developments in REES’ Brownsville, East Harlem and Lower East Side Zones.

Housing Opportunity and Services Together

REES, in partnership with the Urban Institute, invited proposals from organizations in New York City to be the HOST Service Provider, for the provision of intensive case management and service coordination services for public housing residents in Brownsville, Brooklyn as part of the Housing Opportunities and Service Together (“HOST”) Demonstration project.

HOST is a multisite demonstration, administered by the Urban Institute that will test place-based models of innovative, wrap-around services for low income families with children who are living in public and mixed income housing communities. The overall goal of the demonstration is to develop comprehensive, coordinated service models that can help address key barriers to self-sufficiency, including poor physical and mental health, low levels of literacy, lack of a high school degree and history of weak connection to the labor force.

A cornerstone of REES’ new model for economic opportunity services is to attract proven models to public housing communities. In early 2013, NYCHA will embark on a fundraising campaign with the selected service provider and the Urban Institute to implement HOST.

Prospect Plaza Credit Counseling & Financial Management:

Under the HOPE VI grant, NYCHA is contracting with a financial management and education provider, Neighborhood Trust Financial Partners (formerly known as Credit Where is Due), to provide financial planning, credit repair and counseling support to former residents of Prospect Plaza interested in becoming qualified for new affordable housing units being developed. In order to return to the new development, all applicants must pass a credit check. Neighborhood Trust Financial Partners launched its official program services on August 1, 2011. Services include both one-on-one financial counseling and workshops and seminars. As of the end of 2012, 193 former Prospect Plaza Residents or NYCHA residents of Community Board 16 have created financial action plans and are pursuing one on-on-one counseling with Neighborhood Trust Financial Partners. 100

individuals have participated in financial education workshops. In year one of the program (August 2011-July 2012), 38% of residents participating in the program increased their credit score and 42% reduced debt.

Financial Independence Today (“FIT”)–United Way/ERDA Initiative:

FIT is an innovative public/private partnership between NYCHA, the United Way of NYC, and the East River Development Alliance (ERDA) aimed at increasing the financial stability of public housing residents who find themselves in rental arrears. FIT is a two year program-to-policy demonstration project that operates in six housing developments in Western Queens. Through FIT, residents of the Astoria, Pomonok, Queensbridge North and South, Ravenswood, and Woodside Housing developments are able to access ERDA’s financial counseling and education services, ranging from short-term financial crisis counseling and financial education workshops, to longer-term one-on-one financial counseling focused on asset building. As of the end of 2012, FIT has served a total of 1,263 individuals. Of those in rental arrears at intake:

- 60% of residents transitioned from rental arrears counseling to long term financial counseling
- Of the clients engaging in 6 counseling sessions or more, the average increase in credit score was 34 points and the average increase in savings was \$3,000
- 332 residents created a budget and began tracking expenses regularly
- 221 residents received access to food stamps
- 109 residents were enrolled in free public medical insurance
- 75 residents opened bank accounts
- 3 opened long term savings accounts

NYCHA Family Self Sufficiency:

The Housing Choice Voucher or Section 8 Family Self-sufficiency (“FSS”) Program is a HUD initiative that promotes economic self-sufficiency among participating families by referring them to educational, career counseling, money management, job training as well as job placement services. Participants receive a savings account which grows as the family’s earned income increases. Upon completion of the five-year FSS Contract of Participation, the family receives the money accumulated in the account, provided that no member has received cash public assistance in the preceding twelve months. The money may be used as a down payment on a home, pay for higher education, start a business or pursue other personal goals. Participating families run no risk to their Section 8 voucher and may continue to receive Section 8 assistance upon graduating from the program as long as they continue to meet Section 8 eligibility

As of December 2012, there were 143 participants in the program. Twenty-four of these had active escrow accounts with an average balance of \$1,712.

Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC)/Tax Assistance

In 2013 NYCHA’s Office of Resident Economic Empowerment and Sustainability (REES) coordinated the annual campaign to maximize the participation of qualified residents utilizing the city’s free volunteer income tax assistance (VITA) sites. Approximately 30,000 NYCHA families are eligible for important tax credits such as the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) and can receive as much as \$7,764 in refunds when they file their federal, state and city returns. Through collaboration with the Borough Offices and REES, flyers and posters highlighting free or low-cost tax preparation services were disseminated to all property management offices and community centers across the city. Information was also posted on NYCHA’s Facebook and Twitter pages throughout the tax season and sent to residents via e-mail marketing. Notices were placed on rent bills encouraging residents to call 311 to identify the nearest free tax assistance site.

3) Family Services

Naturally Occurring Retirement Community (NORC) Program

The NORC Program was developed to address the needs of concentrations of seniors who have aged in place, in non-elderly housing. The program was designed to provide comprehensive support and health care services for

well and frail elderly residents, 60 years of age and older, who continue to live independently in their apartments and communities. As of July 1, 2013, 20.9% of the NYCHA population was over age 60, and not all live in senior-designated buildings.

The NORC program provides services including on-site assessment, information and referral services, case management, counseling, education/prevention/wellness programs, recreational/socialization programs, and volunteerism. One of the key components is the assistance to access needed health care services, which includes nursing, health screenings, in-home assessments, medication management, and home visits by doctors, when needed. Additionally, the program provides ancillary services such as transportation, shopping, financial management, housekeeping, personal care, support groups, and intergenerational activities, among many others.

DFTA sponsors 80 senior centers in NYCHA developments and also provides funding for 10 NORC programs.

Based on the DFTA's reports, for the City Fiscal Year 2013 the NYCHA NORC program provided the following core services:

	<u>Units of Service</u>
Case Management	- 10,864
Case Management Assistance	- 11,165
Health Care Management	- 2,845
Health Care Assistance	- 2,549
Residents receiving Core Services	- 8,857
New This Fiscal Year	- 561

Grand Street Settlement Baruch Elder Services Team (B.E.S.T. Program)

The Cabrini Center for Nursing and Grand Street Settlement provide age appropriate, culturally sensitive services to senior adults residing in the NYCHA Baruch Houses with the goal of building a strong community of caring in order to foster, support and maximize each member's overall personal well-being. The BEST Program also provides comprehensive services in Baruch Houses and Baruch Addition that will improve the quality of life for the seniors, enabling them to remain in their homes and helping them to lead independent, healthy and active life-styles within their home community. These services include advocacy, health promotions services, social work services and opportunities for socialization.

Bilingual Social Services – case assistance, case management, entitlement/benefits assistance, service linkage and coordination, crisis intervention, support services, advocacy.

Recreational Services – group activities, trips, bingo, arts & crafts, physical fitness activities, dance and music activities, light snacks.

Bilingual Health Services – health education services, health screening, health promotion and prevention, linkage to appropriate follow-up services.

Services For Home-Bound Seniors – friendly visits, telephone reassurance calls, escorts.

Based on B.E.S.T. reports, for the City Fiscal Year 2013 the Grand Street Settlement NORC program provided the following core services to the following number of residents:

	<u>Residents</u>
Case Management & Assistance	- 1,379
Health Care Management & Assistance	- 351
Residents receiving Core Services	- 815
New This Fiscal Year	- 16

Service Coordinator Program

The Service Coordinator Program is operated in clusters of developments in upper Manhattan, Brooklyn, Queens and Staten Island. Service Coordinators provide services during office hours, which include helping elderly/disabled residents to access government benefits, assistance in daily living, monitoring health care needs, "Meals on Wheels", and other types of assistance as needed.

From July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013 the program provided 38,490 units of supportive services to an average of 937 residents monthly, and conducted 8,858 home visits.

Senior Resident Advisor Program

The Senior Resident Advisor Program consists of trained paraprofessionals (some who live on-site) who provide crisis intervention services and case management coordination. Services provided include assistance in obtaining entitlements, health services, mental health services, assistance in maintaining independent daily living, home care services, senior legal services, outreach, meals for the homebound, and recreational activities (through NYCHA Senior Centers). Each program includes a substantial resident volunteer component (Floor Captains) to ensure daily contact with each elderly resident; these Senior Resident Advisors (SRA's) are supervised by certified social workers. NYCHA operates this program at twenty-two senior-only developments.

From July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, the program provided 43,949 units of support services to an average of 1,512 residents monthly, and conducted 13,363 home visits.

Senior Companion Programs

This program, which is a cooperative project with the New York City Department for the Aging, the Henry Street Settlement and the Corporation for National Service, provides assistance to sick, socially isolated, and frail elderly residents at selected NYCHA developments. Working with a corps of resident volunteers called Senior Companions; the program provides friendly home visits, crisis intervention, telephone reassurance, and errand and escort services. From July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, the Senior Companion Program conducted 3,122 home visits, and provided 188 Escort Services to approximately 32 elderly residents monthly.

Supportive Outreach Services (SOS)

Supportive Outreach Services assists residents in improving their social functioning. Staff conducts needs assessments, design treatment plans, make referrals for direct social services and coordinate service utilization. From July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, 8,177 new referrals were received.

The Furniture Distribution Program is administered by Family Services Department. This program secures donations of furniture, bedding and an assortment of household items from the private sector, hotels and motels throughout the metropolitan area in order to assist relocated families who have lost their possessions due to a fire or other calamity and Victims of Domestic Violence who transferred through the Emergency Transfer Program. From January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 approximately 121 families were assisted through the program. From January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013 approximately 82 families were assisted through the program.

4) Department for Development

For a description of NYCHA's HOPE VI-Programs at Ocean Bay and Prospect Plaza, please refer to Volume 1, Part I., Action Plan: One Year Use of Funds, Section C., Program Descriptions; New York City Housing Authority Funds.

Homeownership Opportunities

NYCHA's website includes a link to the City's Affordable Housing Resources website, <http://www.nyc.gov/html/housinginfo/html/home/home.shtml>, which provides information about affordable

homeownership programs in New York City. In addition to HPD's HomeFirst campaign, NYCHA promotes homeownership among residents on an ongoing basis through the following activities:

Through the collaborative effort between NYCHA and HPD under Mayor Bloomberg's New Marketplace Initiative, homeownership opportunities are being made available to NYCHA residents. The redevelopment of Markham Gardens in Staten Island has provided 25 attached two-family townhouses for homeownership with purchase priority given to former Markham Gardens residents. Affordable homeownership opportunities will also be provided in the Bronx through development of 16 two-family townhouses as part of a larger rental project at Soundview Houses. NYCHA residents interested in purchasing a home will be required to enroll in a homeownership education course. Potential homebuyers may be eligible for down payment and closing cost assistance for up to 6% of the purchase price, up to \$15,000 through HPD's HomeFirst program.

FHA Repossessed Houses

NYCHA currently owns and manages 262 public housing properties known as the "FHA Homes." These 262 properties remain from over 700 such properties the Authority acquired from HUD beginning in the 1970s as the result of foreclosures and FHA insurance fund payments. The properties currently include 236 single-family and 26 multi-family buildings, with 155 single-family and 14 multi-unit buildings occupied.

The Authority is seeking to divest itself of these properties through several means including sales of single-family homes to the public housing residents who currently occupy them, and transfer of vacant properties to qualified not-for-profits that will rehabilitate the properties and resell them as affordable, first-time homebuyer opportunities to low and moderate-income families. Current plans include conveyance of the approximately 77 vacant single-family homes to Habitat for Humanity (38 homes) and Neighborhood Restore (39 homes).

NYCHA is also offering all qualified public housing occupants the opportunity to purchase the home they currently occupy pursuant to the Authority's HUD-approved 5(h) Project HOME Homeownership Plan. This Plan provides the opportunity for residents to purchase their rental home on satisfactory completion of homeownership counseling and demonstrated ability to afford the monthly carrying costs of the home. NYCHA is currently inspecting homes to assess conditions and the scope of any needed repairs in order to put the properties in the conditions required by federal regulations for their sale to public housing tenants. Residents found not eligible or interested in purchasing will remain public housing tenants.

NYCHA Continuum of Care for Public Housing Residents with Special Needs

NYCHA serves the elderly through several programs, which have been described above.

Families at-risk

Family Unification and Independent Living Programs

The Family Unification Program provides public housing apartments and Section 8 rental assistance to families, who are not NYCHA residents and who are at-risk for having their children retained in foster care due to the lack of adequate housing. Once adequate housing is provided, children are returned to their families. The Independent Living Program provides public housing apartments and Section 8 rental assistance to young adults leaving foster care who have a goal of Independent Living. The Administration for Children's Services (ACS) certifies families and young adults that meet these requirements.

From July 1, 2009 to June 30, 2013, there were a total of 136 apartment units rented as a result of the issuance of Section 8 vouchers to persons serviced through ACS' Housing Support and Services (HSS) unit. Of that total, 100 apartments were rented to Independent Living youths and 36 apartments were rented to families reunified with their children. Additionally, there were 1,246 public housing units rented as a result of HSS services, for the same time period. Of that sum, 908 public housing units were rented to Independent Living youths and 338 public housing units were rented to families being reunified.

NYCHA assigns a high transfer priority to those families that have been referred by ACS for the purpose of Family Unification. For example, applicants with children in foster care whose only barrier to reunification is housing and who are not eligible for rental assistance through the City's Housing Stability Plus Program will be assigned a high transfer priority.

Persons with Disabilities - Section 504

In accordance with the Voluntary Compliance Agreement (VCA) signed jointly with the Department of Housing and Urban Development in 1996, NYCHA agreed to make five percent of its total units, equivalent to 9,100 apartments, handicap accessible and made available to residents / applicants with mobility impairments. In addition, NYCHA will provide reasonable accommodations and 504 modifications to existing conventional apartments.

As of June 30 2013, NYCHA has converted 7,694 units to 504 and completed approximately 12,427 partial modifications in NYCHA units including, but not limited to, widened doorways, roll-in showers, modified kitchen cabinets, lowered kitchen sink counters, bathroom grab bars, raised or lowered electrical outlets, raised or lowered toilet seats as well as audio/visual alarms. NYCHA also offers reasonable accommodations in policies, procedures and practices that will make non-dwelling facilities, services and programs accessible to persons with disabilities.

Domestic Violence

For information on NYCHA's Domestic Violence programs: Outreach & Referral to Problem & Relocated Families; Emergency Transfer Program; Domestic Violence and Intervention Program; and the Aftercare Program, please refer to Part I. Section D., Continuum of Care for Homeless and Other Special Needs Populations; Victims of Domestic Violence for program descriptions. All programs are administered by the Department of Community Operations.

Relevant Public Policies

Wicks Law Reform

As of July 1, 2008, changes have been enacted to the New York State Wicks Law (Section 151-a of the Public Housing Law), which formerly required NYCHA and other New York State housing authorities, on certain public works contracts exceeding \$50,000, to award separate construction contracts for plumbing, electrical and heating/ventilation/air conditioning. Although the revised legislation increased the threshold from \$50,000 to \$3,000,000, it added an additional bid requirement. Each bidder must now submit with its bid, a sealed envelope containing the names of the subcontractors they intend to use to perform the work and the cost for each subcontract. After the bid submission, the selected contractor can only change subcontractors, or the amounts such subcontractors are to be paid, upon showing a "legitimate construction need" for such change and, with the approval of the Authority.

Mixed-Finance Development Method

The Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act (QHWRA) of 1998, provided public housing authorities (PHAs) with an unprecedented level of financial flexibility, encouraging mixed-finance and mixed-income housing development for both public housing families and other families within a range of income levels.

NYCHA has undertaken the development of new housing units using mixed-finance development initiatives in connection with its Prospect Plaza HOPE VI revitalization effort in Brooklyn. The first and second phases of the Prospect Plaza Redevelopment Project have been completed. The first phase included construction of thirty-seven, two-family homes. All homes were completed and occupied during the summer of 2005, with thirty-two of the homes purchased by first time home buying, public housing residents. The second phase was completed in the summer of 2009. This phase included the construction of 150 affordable rental units, with 45 units set aside for relocated Prospect Plaza and other public housing residents.

In May 2011, HUD granted conditional approval of the Prospect Plaza HOPE VI Revitalization Plan Amendment, which includes demolition of the three remaining vacant Prospect Plaza buildings containing 240 apartments. The balance of the Prospect Plaza project (third phase) will be accomplished through a mixed finance, mixed-income development comprised of rental units and public housing units owned and managed by a private, third party development and management entity. The development will include 80 public housing units and approximately 284 affordable rental units. The non-public housing units will be affordable to households making up to 60% AMI. In addition, the project will include a supermarket, a community center and space for recreation. Design of the third phase of the project was based on input received from former Prospect Plaza residents and other community stakeholders during the three-day design workshop (“Re-Vision Prospect Plaza”) held by NYCHA in June 2010.

In December 2011, NYCHA and the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) released a Request for Proposals (RFP) for development. Responses to the RFP were submitted in April 2012. A development team was selected in January 2013. A demolition contractor has been procured by HPD and demolition work will commence in May 2013.

Construction will start in early 2014. Preference for the lease up of the public housing apartments will be given to relocated Prospect Plaza residents in good standing, who wish to return to the redeveloped community. A site-based waiting list created from the Authority’s existing public housing waiting list will be used to tenant the public housing units.

Additional NYCHA Affordable Housing Development Initiatives

Brook Willis Apartments (BWA)

In June 2006, title to eight tenement buildings, formerly part of Betances Houses, were conveyed to a developer selected via a Request for Proposals issued in 2004. The properties, consisting of 121 apartments located on 136th, 143rd, 145th and 147th Streets in Community District 1 in the Bronx, have been rehabilitated for occupancy by low-income tenants.

Ten percent of the BWA units are reserved for the homeless, and 25% for NYCHA families living in the Bronx who are eligible to receive Section 8 vouchers, to current Section 8 voucher holders who are seeking transfers, and eligible families from the Authority’s Section 8 waiting list. NYCHA has contributed property, land and Section 8 vouchers; project financing was provided by the Housing Development Corporation, the Department of Housing Preservation and Development, and the Low Income Housing Tax Credits program, among other sources. The first four buildings were completed in 2007, and the remaining four buildings were completed in summer 2008. Forty-two NYCHA families currently occupy the redeveloped Brook Willis apartments. All of the 121 rental units are tenanted.

Markham Gardens

On December 28, 2006, NYCHA transferred title to a 9.4 acre portion of the 12.4 acre Edwin Markham Gardens housing development, located on Staten Island, to the Markham Gardens Tenants Housing Development Fund Company, Inc. It is the third NYCHA site to be redeveloped through collaboration between NYCHA, HPD and HDC as part of the Mayor’s New Housing Marketplace Plan. The redevelopment initiative at Markham Gardens has created a total of 290 affordable residential units, including 240 rental apartments and 25 “for-sale” two-family homes. Eligible former Markham Gardens’ residents have priority to rent the apartments or purchase the townhouses.

Financing for the project's rental portion included \$25 million in tax-exempt bonds from the New York City Housing Development Corporation (HDC), \$16 million in equity generated from the sale of 4% low income housing tax credits from the New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development and \$17 million from the sale of 421-a negotiable certificates.

Of the 240 mixed-income rental units, 150 were reserved for Section 8 voucher recipients referred by NYCHA, including former Markham Gardens' residents. Rental of the remaining 90 units were targeted at residents with incomes between \$30,082 and \$85,080 for a family of four.

Designed with environmentally sustainable, energy-efficient building techniques, the redevelopment included 50 units in 25 for-sale two-family homes (one owner and one rental unit in each) for moderate-income families, as well as a park, outdoor seating areas, and a recreational center.

About an acre of the site was set aside for development of an 80-unit senior residence. The Sisters of Charity organization was awarded Section 202 funding from HUD and construction began in June 2011. NYCHA has obtained HUD's approval to allow 25% of the units to be set aside for eligible NYCHA senior residents.

Metro North Rehabs

Metro North Rehabs was a NYCHA-owned, project-based Section 8 development consisting of 17, six-story walk-up tenement buildings in Manhattan with a total of 321 units. Five buildings are located on East 100th Street, six buildings on East 102nd Street and six on East 103rd Street, all mid-block between First and Second Avenues. Phipps Houses, along with Urban Builders, were selected pursuant to an RFP issued in December 2006 to rehabilitate the 100th Street buildings and redevelop the 102nd Street and 103rd Street properties, for the creation of a total of 340 residential units under a long term ground lease. Former Metro North Rehab residents in good standing who choose to return will be given rental priority. Up to 300 units will be rented to residents eligible for Section 8 vouchers. Closing on Metro North occurred June 30, 2009 and Phipps Houses completed new construction on the 102nd Street site and substantial rehabilitation of the 100th Street buildings in 2011.

West Side Sites

In collaboration with HPD as part of the Mayor's New Housing Marketplace Plan, NYCHA issued a Request for Proposals in December 2006 to develop three sites at Harborview, Elliott/Chelsea and Fulton (described below).

Harborview

NYCHA selected a developer in September 2007 to construct two buildings with a minimum of 210 units on the Harborview parking lot mid-block on West 56th Street between 10th Avenue and 11th Avenue. The required ULURP land use review procedure was completed in November 2008; however due to changes in the City's inclusionary housing program and the affordable housing finance markets, this project is currently being reevaluated by NYCHA and HPD.

Elliott/Chelsea

NYCHA selected Artimus Construction in September 2007 to construct a building with approximately 128 units on the Chelsea Houses parking lot at West 25th Street and 9th Avenue. The approved development has increased in height to 22 stories for a more economical building with 168 units and retail stores on the ground floor, following review of affordability levels and unit mix with community stakeholders including elected officials, residents and community board members and the City's Department for City Planning. The necessary public and governmental land use approvals were obtained before conveyance in July, 2010 for development. Construction was completed in January 2012 with 34 units set aside for rental with preference to NYCHA residents.

Fulton

NYCHA selected Artimus Construction in September 2007 to construct a building with approximately 100 units on the Fulton Houses parking lot on West 18th Street (mid-block) between 9th Avenue and 10th Avenue. Following completion of the Elliott Chelsea project, the developer recently proposed a 158-unit building for households earning up to 165% of area median income. Resident consultation and environmental assessment are underway before NYCHA submits a land disposition application to HUD for approval.

Stapleton

A 105 unit, low-income senior housing development was approved for a portion of the Broad Street parking lot located at NYCHA's Stapleton Houses public housing development. Closing took place in April 2009. Construction is complete for both the new senior building and the grounds improvements to the public housing development. All units are now tenanted.

Pomonok

In 2009, NYCHA disposed of a parking lot with access off 71st Avenue between Parsons and Kissena Boulevard to a non-profit housing sponsor to facilitate the provision of low income housing for seniors requiring supportive services. The sponsor won an allocation of Section 202 funding from HUD for the 8 story, 78-unit senior housing development. Construction was completed in December 2011. Pomonok and other NYCHA seniors filled the 20 units that were set aside with rental preference for NYCHA.

Bronx Affordable Housing

In collaboration with HPD as part of the Mayor's New Housing Marketplace Plan, NYCHA issued a Request for Proposals in September 2007 to develop four vacant sites at Highbridge Gardens, Soundview, Forest and University Avenue Consolidated (described below).

Highbridge Gardens

NYCHA has disposed of a vacant site at the intersection of University Avenue and West 167th Street. A developer was selected to build two buildings with approximately 220 rental units for low-income households. Highbridge Terrace, the first sixty-four unit building is completed and occupied. Highbridge Overlook is a 155nit building to be completed by the end of 2013. It is currently being leased up for occupancy in 2014. Highbridge Overlook will include a community room with a Resident Services Plan focusing on recreational activities for youth and services for seniors.

In addition NYCHA conveyed a site on West 167th Street to the School Construction Authority (SCA) for a 390-seat middle school, which has been completed and will open for the 2013-14 school year. In May 2013, the Authority leased the abutting Highbridge Gardens Basketball for joint use by the newly-constructed Middle School 285X and the housing development residents. Under the provisions of the unique, 60-year lease, SCA will refurbish the facility and assume certain maintenance, operations, and repair responsibilities.

Soundview

NYCHA recently disposed of a vacant site including an under-utilized parking area at Rosedale Avenue and Lacombe Avenue along Soundview Park for construction of a 120-unit low income rental building in Phase I, an 86-unit senior building in Phase II and possibly for-sale townhouses in Phase III.

Forest

NYCHA disposed of a lot within Forest Houses at the corner of Tinton Avenue and E. 166th Street to Blue Sea Development for construction of a 124-unit building for households earning up to 60% of area median income. The building with a commercial roof top green house was completed in early 2013 and close to 25% of the units has been rented to NYCHA preference tenants.

University Avenue Consolidated

Renovation of 10 vacant buildings with a total of 463 apartments was completed in 2010. The first six buildings (270 units) were conveyed to Arista Development in June 2009; and the remaining four UAC buildings (173 units) were conveyed to Bronx Pro Real Estate Management at the end of 2009. Both developers set aside 25% of their rehabilitated units for NYCHA with rental preference to former UAC residents who wish to return and are eligible for Section 8 rental subsidies. All units are tenanted.

East 173rd Street and Vyse Avenue

NYCHA conveyed a site for an 84 unit low-income housing in December 2009 as the first phase of a 224 unit housing development project. With funding and financing from both the State and City agencies, Phase I was

completed in February 2012. Income eligible NYCHA residents and Section 8 voucher holders have tenanted 25% of the units set aside for rental priority. Closing for Phase II development of another 84-unit building took place in June 2013. Construction is expected to be completed for tenanting in 2015.

Redwood Senior

In June 2013, NYCHA conveyed a parcel of land at Linden and Boulevard Houses for the development of an 80 unit senior housing development with S. 202 funding from HUD and supportive services. Twenty Five percent (20 units) will be set aside with rental preference for NYCHA seniors. Occupancy is expected in April 2015.

Stanley Commons

In December 2013, NYCHA plans to convey a parcel of land at Linden and Boulevard Houses for the development of 200 units of affordable rental housing and a community center. Twenty five percent (50 units) of the residential rental units will be rented with preference for NYCHA residents or voucher holders. Occupancy is expected in October 2015.

George Washington Houses

NYCHA conveyed a parcel of land at George Washington Houses for the development of a 450 seat Charter School serving grades K-8, as well as approximately 90 units of affordable housing. This project closed in 2013.

1070 Washington Avenue

NYCHA has applied approximately \$5.3 million of replacement housing factor funds to finance Bronx Pro's construction of a 49-unit building containing 21 public housing units on privately-owned land. The building is scheduled for completion in 2013.

Land Lease Opportunity

NYCHA is committed to providing safe, affordable housing for low- and middle-income New Yorkers. Fulfilling this mission has become more challenging in light of a steady and substantial decline in government funding for more than a decade, NYCHA has been exploring new sources for sustainable revenue. Since 2006, with the development of the Plan to Preserve Public Housing ([Plan NYCHA](#)), the Authority has been considering opportunities to leverage one of its most valuable assets: its land. NYCHA is proposing to build new market-rate and low-income housing on eight campuses in Manhattan, below 110th Street, while ensuring that no public housing apartments will be demolished and no families will be displaced. The eight impacted developments are Baruch Houses, Campos Plaza, Carver Houses, Douglass Houses, LaGuardia Houses, Meltzer Tower, Smith Houses and Washington Houses.

NYCHA's proposed plan would lease – not sell – 14 parcels of land located within these eight (8) developments to private developers who would finance, construct, and operate the new residential buildings. The income generated through land leases would be dedicated to building improvements at the eight developments and other public housing properties citywide. Approximately 80% of the apartments would be market rate and no less than 20% of apartments would be permanently affordable to low-income residents.

The plan would generate between \$30 million-\$50 million on a yearly basis. The proceeds would fund critical capital improvements that would enhance quality-of-life for NYCHA residents. Additionally, this initiative would generate approximately 800 permanently low-income housing units for eligible low-income New Yorkers. NYCHA residents would receive a preference for the low-income units.

New development will provide additional benefits to public housing residents including construction and permanent job opportunities; security enhancements to NYCHA buildings; and alternative power for elevators, heat and hot water service during blackouts and other emergencies.

D. Elimination and Treatment of Lead-Based Paint Hazards

Lead-Based Paint (LBP) abatement activities were conducted by the Department of Housing Preservation and Development, the City's local housing agency, the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA), responsible for public housing and homeownership developments under its direction, and the Department of Homeless Services (DHS), responsible for shelters and transitional housing for homeless individuals and families.

Housing Preservation and Development (HPD)

The City of New York places a high priority on preventing childhood lead poisoning and reducing lead paint hazards. The City is sensitive to the potentially detrimental effects of lead exposure upon children. Lead hazard reduction activities target residences of children less than 6 years of age, who are especially at risk for lead poisoning. Intervention efforts are also provided to children with elevated blood levels

As a result of the tremendous concern regarding this issue, the use of lead paint on interior residential surfaces was banned in New York City in 1960.

In December 2003, the City Council enacted its third version of a law related to protecting children from the hazards of lead-based paint. Local Law 1 of 2004 ("Local Law #1") became effective on August 2, 2004. The law continues the requirement from previous laws that owners maintain units free of lead based paint hazards. However, the law additionally requires that when any work is performed that disturbs lead based paint in a dwelling unit in a multiple dwelling building (3 units or more) with a child under 6 (the age of the child in the original legislation was child under 7), whether the work is done in response to issuance of a violation, or is just a routine repair or renovation, the workers performing such work must be trained, and safe work practices must be utilized. Local Law #1 requires that owners affirmatively ascertain which units are occupied by children under age 6 and perform annual inspections for lead based paint hazards. Owners must also remediate and in certain cases abate lead paint hazards in units when they become vacant, prior to re-occupancy. HPD and the New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH) worked together to implement the law. Each agency promulgated rules that included standards for trained workers and safe work practices.

Under Local Law #1, when DOHMH receives a report of a child with an EBL of 15 micrograms of lead per deciliter of blood or greater, DOHMH inspects the child's residence to identify possible sources of lead exposure and orders the owner of the property to abate any lead paint hazards found. If the landlord fails to correct the condition, a referral is made to HPD's Bureau of Emergency Repair and Environmental Hazards unit. Upon verification that the property owner has failed to comply, HPD assigns a contractor to abate the condition. Both HPD and DOHMH inspect completed work to verify that the condition has been abated.

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) has issued extensive regulations and guidelines under the Residential Lead-Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992. In October, 1999, HUD issued final rules concerning notification, evaluation and reduction of lead-based paint hazards in housing receiving federal assistance. The City relies upon a variety of federal programs to achieve its housing and community development objectives, including the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program, HOME, HOPWA and the McKinney Homeless, Housing Programs. The rules became effective on September 15, 2000. However, HUD granted several extensions of time to comply with the rules. On August 3, 2001, HUD issued a notice granting a final extension until September 10, 2001 for jurisdictions, like New York City, that had applied for earlier extensions. HUD also granted a further extension until January 10, 2002, for jurisdictions that submitted an updated transition implementation plan by September 10, 2001. The City did not submit a plan, and on September 10th, the rules became effective in New York City. However, due to the events of September 11th, at the City's request, HUD granted a new extension of time to comply with the rules until April 10, 2002.

On April 22, 2002, HUD granted HPD's Bureau of Emergency Repair and Environmental Hazards (BEREH) an exemption from Subpart J (Title X). Nevertheless, BEREH has implemented safeguards when conducting work

which may affect lead based painted surfaces (i.e., breaking walls), including: XRF testing, requiring contractors to use safe work practices, and notifying tenants and owners of the presumption or presence of lead based paint hazards. On July 12, 2007, HUD renewed its approval of the request of a waiver for *in rem* housing. The waiver will expire at the time that a building undergoes substantial rehabilitation, or in two years, whichever is less. HPD will continue to implement lead poisoning prevention activities in its *in rem* properties that are at least as stringent as the requirements under Local Law #1.

One-Year Plan

The City operates several programs to investigate, treat and eliminate lead-based paint hazards. The City investigates, abates and remediates lead-based paint hazards in City-owned dwellings and in privately owned dwellings where owners are unwilling or unable to do so.

DOHMH and HPD run a coordinated program to address hazards where there is a lead-poisoned child identified by the DOHMH. In August 2004, the blood lead level at which DOHMH initiates environmental intervention was changed to one blood lead test of 15µg/dL or greater. Previously, environmental investigations occurred for children with one blood lead level of 20 µg/dL or greater or two BLLs of 15-19 µg/dL taken at least three months apart. In calendar year 2011, 331 NYC children (ages 6 months to less than 6 years old) with blood levels initiating environmental intervention were identified. Of these, 151 children had a first-time blood lead level of 20 µg/dL or greater and 180 children had a first-time blood level that was between 15 and 19 µg/dL.

Where a lead-poisoned child is identified, the DOHMH orders the owner to abate lead paint hazards. If the owner fails to do so, HPD's Bureau of Emergency Repair and Environmental Hazards will do the work and place a lien against the property for the cost. HPD currently maintains six (5) contracts of approximately four million eight hundred thousand (\$4,800,000) dollars total for remediation and abatement and three (3) contracts of approximately three hundred thousand (\$300,000) for dust wipe analysis.

The DOHMH also administers several primary prevention initiatives that focus on lead paint hazard remediation in homes of young children who do not have elevated blood lead levels. For these children, the DOHMH orders the owner to remediate lead paint hazard(s). If the owner fails to do so, HPD will do the work and place a lien against the property for the cost. HPD and DOHMH have established protocols for joint inspections where necessary and assigning staff to act in a project-management capacity in order to facilitate lead abatement work in cases where the landlord or tenant may impede the performance of such work. In calendar year 2011, 217 jobs to abate DOHMH violations were completed by BEH in privately owned buildings.

As a result of Local Law #1, DOHMH amended the safety rules to be used when lead-paint violations are being corrected in units where a lead poisoned child has been identified. These rules specify the approved methods of abatement, and the required safety procedures, including clearance testing prior to re-occupancy of a dwelling. Owners are required to file with the DOHMH prior to commencement of each job and are subject to work-in progress inspections. The rules also require safe work practices when repair and renovation work that disturbs paint is performed in units with children under 6. HPD's rules contain the same requirements. HPD performs additional lead hazard reduction in City-owned buildings and informs tenants of the dangers of lead based paint. In addition to the above efforts in which the City is responding to complaints regarding lead based paint hazards, HPD also acts affirmatively to alleviate potential hazards by improving conditions in targeted residential properties. First, the City's moderate rehabilitation loan programs serve to reduce lead paint hazards by funding the removal or repair of existing hazards in buildings undergoing rehabilitation. In FY 2009, the City commenced moderate-rehabilitation work on 2,909 units of occupied housing.

HPD has also sought specially targeted funding for lead hazard reduction consistently since the 1990s. The City received a \$6.75 million HUD grant in 1994 (completed in May, 1999), resulting in the lead treatment of 697 units. In September 1996, HPD and DOHMH were awarded an additional \$1.6 million and treated 220 units through the Primary Prevention Program, a low-level lead treatment program. HPD has also received an additional \$3 million grant in Round VIII of this program (completed November 2004), completing 397 units. In September 2003, HPD was awarded \$500,000 under the HUD Lead Outreach grant program. In addition, HPD

received the HUD Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant in September 2003 in the amount of \$2.6 million. This grant ended in March, 2007, and resulted in the treatment and completion of 306 units or 6 units above goal. City Capital Match funding supported 100 units of this grant initiative. During the two-year Lead Outreach grant, HPD enrolled and completed 175 units by September 2005, supported by City Capital match funding. In July 2004, HPD also received HUD's 2004 Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant in the amount of \$4 million and a 2004 Lead Outreach Grant for \$500,000. The 2004 Demonstration Grant completed 439 units by March, 2009. The Lead Outreach 2004 Grant enrolled and completed 150 units. In September 2005, HPD received a 2005 Lead Outreach Grant for \$500,000; a 2005 Demonstration Grant for \$4 million; and a Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control Grant for an additional \$3 million: under the three grants, HPD planned to enroll and treat a total of 620 units. The 2005 Outreach grant enrolled and completed 120 units by October 30, 2007. The Demonstration 2005 grant ended on June 30, 2009 and the Lead Hazard Control 2005 grant ended on September 30, 2009. Both grants completed 615 units, or 89 units above goal.

In September 2007, HPD was awarded two additional grants, the Demonstration Grant for \$4 million and the Lead Hazard Control Grant for \$3 million. Under the two grants, HPD planned to enroll and treat a total of 612 units. Both grants completed and cleared 900 units, or 288 units above the original goal, by July 2011. City Capital and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Match funding supported 412 of the 900 units completed and cleared under both grant initiatives.

In March 2012, HPD was awarded the 2012 Demonstration Grant for \$3.0 million. Supported by a City Capital Match commitment of \$400,000, the goal for this grant is the completion and clearance of 240 units by May 30, 2015. City Capital Match funding will support 40 of the planned 240 units under this grant initiative.

(For a description of both the Lead Outreach and Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration Grant Programs, please refer to Volume 1, Part I, Action Plan, Section C.2., Description of Programmatic Activities, respectively.)

Primary Prevention Program

The Primary Prevention Program (PPP) is a low-level interim treatment scope of work funded by HUD's Federal Lead-Based Paint Hazard Control Grant. The program began in the Fall of 1996, with funding provided by HUD under Rounds II and IV and VIII of its Lead Hazard Control initiative, the Lead Outreach grants of 2003, 2004 and 2005, the Lead Hazard Reduction Demonstration grants of 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2010 and 2012, as well as the Lead Hazard Control grants of 2005 and 2007. In conjunction with the DOHMH, HPD's PPP targets areas with high incidence rates of childhood lead poisoning. The goal of this program is the primary prevention of lead poisoning in high-risk areas. The existing target areas now include: Flatbush, Borough Park, Bushwick, East New York, Bushwick-Bedford Stuyvesant, Kensington-Windsor Terrace, Greenpoint, Williamsburg, Sunset Park and Cypress Hills in Brooklyn; Wakefield, Morrisania, Highbridge, Tremont and Belmont-Fordham-Bedford Park areas in the Bronx; and Corona, Ridgewood-Glendale and Jackson Heights in Queens.

Under the program's selection criteria, a building can qualify for PPP funding only if there is a pregnant woman or a child under six years of age in residence. Each building must be built prior to 1960, must contain a substantial number of dwelling units with children under six years of age in residence. In addition, households earning less than or equal to 50 percent of the area median income must occupy 50 percent of the dwelling units in the building. The remaining units must be occupied by households earning less than or equal to 80 percent of area median income. The scope of work for the PPP interim control treatment measures includes: wet scraping; spot patching; and restoration of all painted surfaces to an intact condition. All friction and impact surfaces, including windows, doors and cabinets, are also treated to reduce the creation of lead dust. Some components will be abated by way of removal and replacement with new components, depending on their existing condition.

PPP plans to treat approximately 186 units in Fiscal Year 2013. The average cost for each unit is between \$10,000 and \$10,500. Under the Demonstration 2003, 2004, 2005, 2007, 2010 and 2012 Grants, the Lead Hazard Control 2005 and 2007 Grants, and the Lead Outreach 2003, 2004 and 2005 Grants, HPD has completed 2,587 of its committed 2,889 units. Of the completed units, 1,369 apartments were supported by City Capital funds as part of HPD's match contributions under the current HUD grants. The owner is obligated for five years

from the completion of the intervention work to continue to rent to low-income families, and to give preference to families with children under six years of age at turnover of the rented apartments during that same five-year period.

Five-Year Plan

The City has also been working with the New York State legislature to obtain the passage of a bill to license lead-paint inspectors and contractors. To date no such bill has passed.

Other elements of the City's plan include:

- Increasing public awareness of dangers of lead paint through community campaigns in schools and media.
- Petitioning the State and Federal governments to increase funding for lead-paint testing and hazard reduction.
- Devising and implementing cost-efficient methods for lead-based paint hazard reduction.
- Continued training and certification of HPD's lead staff to ensure an informed and professional response to lead hazard reduction at all levels of complexity and scope.
- Training contractors, workers and developers in safe work practices.
- Continued maintenance of an HPD contract for medical exams and blood tests to monitor the lead levels of all staff that may be exposed to lead paint or dust.

Marketing and Inventory Conditions

ESTIMATE OF OCCUPIED UNITS WITH LEAD-BASED PAINT

Year Built	Total Units	Estimate of Percent of Units with LBP	Estimated Units with LBP	LBP Occupied Families Than or Equal to 50% of Median	Units By Less Than or Equal to 80% of Median	LBP Occupied Families Than or Equal to 80% of Median	Units By Less Than or Equal to 80% of Median
1960 +	779,347	0%					
1947 - 1959	458,592	69%	316,428	119,294		170,555	
Before 1947	1,800,057	90%	1,620,051	562,158		840,807	
TOTAL	3,037,996		1,936,479	681,452		1,011,362	

New York City prohibited the use of lead-based paint in residential dwellings in 1960. Therefore, our estimate assumes that housing units built after 1960 do not contain lead-based paint.

New York City has approximately 2.9 million units of occupied housing, the vast majority of which were built before 1960. Since our survey breaks down age of building by pre- and post-1947, we have used 1947 for estimating purposes rather than 1946.

New York City's Housing and Vacancy Survey for 2005 shows that approximately 35% of units built prior to 1947 and 38% of units built between 1947 and 1959 are occupied by households earning less than 50% of area median income. For the same periods, approximately 17% and 16%, respectively, of units are occupied by households earning between 50% and 80% of the median. We used these percentages against the estimated units with lead-based paint to estimate the number of very low-income and low-income households residing in units with lead-based paint. (Calculations based on unrounded numbers.)

New York City Housing Authority

NYCHA complies with Federal, State, and City regulations concerning lead and executes HUD directives regarding lead-based paint (LBP). NYCHA identifies hazards posed by paint, dust and soil, and implements programs designed to control or mitigate such hazards safely and efficiently.

In an effort to prevent lead exposures to the housing population and workforce, NYCHA educates residents and staff on how to live safely with LBP and LBP hazards (e.g., Lead Disclosure Program, lead specific procedures and directives), and implements a strategic framework for lead hazard control. The framework is a combination of evaluating and controlling LBP hazards, (i.e., any condition that causes exposure to lead from dust-lead hazards, soil-lead hazards, or LBP that is deteriorated or present in chewable surfaces, friction surfaces, or impact surfaces). NYCHA evaluates LBP hazards through a combination of inspections and Risk Assessment Reevaluations (Reevaluation). An inspection is a surface-by-surface investigation to determine the presence of LBP; a Reevaluation is an on-site investigation combining visual assessment with collection of environmental samples to determine if a previously implemented lead-based paint hazard control measure is still effective and if the dwelling remains lead-safe. Reevaluations are required at developments where LBP hazards were identified during an initial Risk Assessment. A Risk Assessment is an on-site investigation that determines the existence, nature, severity, and location of LBP hazards. At this time NYCHA has performed Risk Assessments at all required developments.

After LBP hazards have been identified by a Reevaluation or by inspection, NYCHA reduces the hazards through either abatements or interim controls. Abatement is the elimination of LBP hazards using strategies such as paint removal, enclosure or component replacement. Interim controls temporarily reduce exposures to lead by correcting LBP hazards and stabilizing LBP through activities such as repainting, specialized cleaning and implementing procedures to reduce lead hazards that may be caused by operation and maintenance activities.

Program Highlights

NYCHA manages various lead hazard reduction programs and projects. The following are brief descriptions of major programs and projects:

Department of Health Violations DOHMH & Litigation Support Program

Children with blood lead levels equal to or greater than 15 micrograms per deciliter ($\mu\text{g}/\text{dl}$) are considered lead-poisoned. If a lead-poisoned child or Elevated Blood Level (EBL) is identified, the New York City DOHMH will inspect the child's residence for the presence of Lead Based Paint (LBP). The intent of the inspection is to identify if there are any sources of lead within the apartment that may contribute to the child's EBL. If the DOHMH identifies LBP on friction, impact, mouthable or defective surfaces, a Health Code violation for LBP is issued to the landlord. The violation mandates the landlord to make specific corrective actions. The landlord can either implement the corrective actions or contest the violation by testing the cited surfaces. After either correcting the cited conditions or successfully contesting the violation, the DOHMH will dismiss the violation. NYCHA contests each DOHMH LBP violation, and if LBP is present, performs the corrective action specified by the Health Code.

Risk Assessment Program

Developments constructed before 1980 are assessed for Lead Based Paint (LBP) hazards. Consultant firms under contract with NYCHA provide the Reevaluation services and subsequent report, which explains the results of the investigation and options for reducing LBP hazards.

Local Law 1 of 2004

On August 2, 2004, Local Law 1 went into effect, calling for the comprehensive prevention of childhood lead poisoning through the remediation of lead-based paint hazards in housing and day care facilities (child occupied facilities). Local Law 1 applies to apartments and common areas of all buildings built before 1960, or between 1960 and 1978 if Lead Based Paint (LBP) is present, and where a child under 6 years of age lives. The initial law was for children under the age of seven, but as of October 1, 2006, the law was changed to include children under the age of six. NYCHA has identified 89 developments totaling 84,439 apartments constructed prior to 1960 or between 1960 and 1978 where LBP is present or presumed to be present. NYCHA has submitted a request for exemption of 113 properties built prior to 1960 or between 1960 and 1978 that were identified as not containing LBP in apartments. As of June 30, 2013, 67 developments have been exempted.

The Law requires NYCHA to:

- Inquire at initial leasing and at renewal if a child under 6 years old resides in the apartment.
- Notify residents of their rights under the law (Provide DOH Pamphlet at lease signing).
- Send an annual notice to tenants inquiring as to whether there is a child under 6 years old in the apartment.
- Conduct investigations annually, to determine whether there are lead hazards.
- Remediate all lead hazards in common areas and apartments with children under 6 using trained workers; a third party must collect clearance wipes for projects that disturb more than two square feet.
- Make apartments lead safe when they become vacant (abate doors and door frames).

In response to the new regulation, NYCHA has tested over 23,000 apartments and abated approximately 11,200 that tested positive for Lead-Based Paint. The balance was submitted immediately to HPD for exemption.

LBP Inspection & Abatement Program

NYCHA conducts LBP testing in dwelling units and public spaces in all pre-1978 developments, where children under the age of 6 live or are expected to live. NYCHA will test entire developments, (i.e., for multifamily housing, only a random sample of dwelling units needs to be inspected to determine if LBP is present.), individual dwelling units, public spaces, and common areas for LBP. The testing is performed in response to HUD mandates, DOHMH Violation, Court Order or requests from any of the following internal departments:

- Capital Projects Department
- Development Manager or Resident with a child under the age of 7 (including privately managed developments)
- Community Operations Department
- Facility Planning Department

LBP Disclosure Program:

The Residential Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 requires NYCHA to disclose to its tenants any information relevant to LBP and LBP hazards that may exist in housing built before 1978. The program is complex and requires coordination with all NYCHA Departments and Management.


Summary of Activities

<u>Description</u>	<u>January 2013 (through June 30, 2013)</u>
New DOH Violations Received*	13
Number Of Violations Dismissed	4
Number Of Violations Rescinded	8
Total Number Of Apartments Abated (LBP)	368

<u>Description</u>	<u>January 2013 (through June 30, 2013)</u>
Number Of Child Occupied/ Multi-Use Facilities Inspected For LBP (XRF)	0
Total Number Of Apartments Tested For LBP (XRF)	702
Total Number Of Public Spaces Tested For LBP (XRF)	0
Number Of Apartments Tested For Elevated Lead Dust Levels (Dust Wipes)	499
Number Of Public Spaces Tested For Elevated Lead Dust Levels (Dust Wipes)	960
Distribute LBP Disclosure Information to developments Constructed Prior To 1980 (Development Wide Disclosure, Reevaluation)	17
Distribution of Single Family LBP Disclosure Packages In developments Constructed Prior To 1978	545
Development Wide Testing Of Developments Constructed Prior To 1978 For LBP (i.e., Reevaluation)	8
Local Law 1 – Move Out Apartments Tested	676
Local Law 1 – Move Out Apartment Lead Abatements Completed	345

New York City Housing Authority

Strategic Framework for Lead Hazard Reduction



Department of Homeless Services

The Department of Homeless Services oversees and manages several initiatives to investigate, treat and eliminate lead-based paint hazards in homeless shelters that are operated by the agency, or are operated under contract with the agency. All construction, renovation and repair work at DHS facilities must be preceded by certain lead-based paint related activity. Such activity may consist of inspecting, sampling, air monitoring, laboratory analysis, encapsulation or abatement. These tasks are contracted out by the agency. The contractors, available on-call, responding on an as-needed basis to emergency situations are used also for planning long term projects. In-house staff at DHS ensures that all contracted services are conducted in conformance with HUD/EPA established guidelines.

A major component of DHS' policies concerning lead-based paint is risk assessment. Adhering to the goal of the Agency's Office of Technical and Construction Services (OTCS) in providing a safe environment for its staff and clients, Lead Inspectors conduct lead paint hazard investigations on a routine basis. If lead paint presence is known or detected, remedial steps are taken to eliminate the risk of exposure. DHS will continue its efforts to create comprehensive lead-based paint profiles of each city-owned DHS operated or contracted site, with family shelters being the first priority. This effort is not necessarily limited to facilities operated by DHS, but encompasses all city-owned shelters under the jurisdiction of the agency.

Lead inspectors respond to calls for inspections from DHS shelter staff concerned about possible exposure during renovation, construction, or maintenance activities. An outside contractor is called for bulk sampling, if there are indications of chipping and peeling paint when renovation work or construction work is planned or if maintenance activities are planned that may disturb existing paint. During activities where lead paint is disturbed, an outside contracted third party air monitor and inspector are also brought on-site and an accredited laboratory analyzes dust wipes and/or air samples.

With Local Law 1 of 2004 in effect since August 2004, the Agency has dedicated special attention and concentrated efforts to identify, inspect and remedy lead paint hazards at eighteen (18) DHS family facilities built prior to 1960, where children under seven years of age reside. A follow-up inspection program has been instituted for all dwellings and common areas where lead-based paint has been identified to include re-inspections when tenants move out. It must be noted that not all eighteen (18) family facilities (1,114 units) contained lead. At lead paint containing sites, follow-up inspection results have indicated that an estimated 52% of the units require remediation.

The Office of Construction and Technical Services (OCTS) continues to compile a comprehensive 'Lead Paint Hazard Checklist' for all of their owned/operated/contracted facilities where such hazards once identified, are slated for remedial action by licensed and certified contractors. During Fiscal Year 2013 DHS has responded to lead paint related activities at eleven (11) Adult and Family facilities on thirty-six (36) occasions.

E. Anti-Poverty Strategy

This section describes the City's goals, policies, and procedures accomplishments in reducing the number of poverty level households during the last Consolidated Plan Program Year.

The City of New York has engaged a multi-pronged approach: 1) reduce the number of men, women, and children living in poverty in New York City; and 2) diversify and strengthen sectors of the City's economic base in order to decrease its reliance on the financial sector (Wall Street) as the main driver of the local economy.

The Center for Economic Opportunity (CEO) fights the cycle of poverty in New York City through innovative programs that build human capital and improve financial security. Launched in 2006 and with an annual budget of approximately \$100 million, CEO has initiated more than 60 innovative programs in partnership with 20 City agencies and nearly 200 nonprofit organizations. More than 525,000 individuals have been served by CEO programs, securing more than 35,000 job placements, more than 10,000 paid internships, more than 10,000 enrolled in college or occupational training, and over \$115 million in increased tax credits claimed. CEO's poverty measure was adopted by the Census Bureau as a more accurate measure of poverty, and several CEO initiatives are being replicated nationally under the federal Social Innovation Fund and locally as part of the Young Men's Initiative (YMI). Some highlights are described below.

Asset Development Programs

More than 825,000 New Yorkers do not have any bank accounts and rely on check-cashing enterprises concentrated in low-income and immigrant neighborhoods for most of their financial needs. CEO in partnership with the Office of Financial Empowerment has spearheaded efforts to increase access to mainstream banking for the City's unbanked adults and to help low-income families save and build their assets.

- The Office of Financial Empowerment (OFE) within the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA) was designed to educate, empower, and protect city residents with low incomes and help them make the best use of their financial resources. OFE helps New Yorkers manage their finances, link them to financial education classes and counselors, as well as provides them with information on how to get out of debt, open a bank account, and spot a scam through the Financial Education Network and Financial Empowerment Centers. In addition, OFE assists New Yorkers get and save financial resources through programs like the Earned Income Tax Credit through the City's Tax Credit Campaign.
- Earned Income Tax Credit Mailing ensures that all eligible New Yorkers receive the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC). The City Department of Finance mails pre-populated amended tax returns to potentially-qualified households who did not claim the benefit on their submitted returns. Recipients are asked to verify their income and dependent child information, provide their Social Security number, and sign and mail the amended return to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) in order to apply for the Credit. DOF provides ongoing support for this initiative.

Child Care Tax Credit provides eligible low-income families with a refundable tax credit to help pay for child care expenses. When combined with the Federal and State child care tax credits, a New York City family can receive over \$6,100 to help offset the cost of childcare in a given year. New York City is one of the only two cities nationwide to offer this local credit. The credit is available to all qualifying New York City families and take-up for the program has ranged from 50,210 households in the first year to approximately 17,800 in Tax Year 2011.

Workforce Programs

The CEO Poverty Measure estimates that over 17 percent of New Yorkers who live in family with at least one full-time worker remain in poverty. To improve the lives of low-wage workers and unemployed New Yorkers, CEO has developed a range of programs that build the skills of low-wage workers, meet the needs of employers, and promote job placement, retention and advancement. The Center's workforce programs monitors service

utilization and participants outcomes such as occupational certifications attained, individuals placed in employment, wages earned and individuals retained in employment.

- Community Partners connects job-ready residents of high-poverty communities who are engaged with community-based organizations to the WorkForce1 Career Centers' (WF1CC) employment opportunities. A CEO evaluation found that Community Partners Program participants are 4.3 times as likely to be placed in a job than a comparison group served through the general public workforce system. The evaluation also documented that the program successfully engages with a more disadvantaged population than the typical WF1CC clients.
- The Sector-Focused Career Centers are a new type of job placement and training one-stop center that focus services on a single economic sector. The Centers meet the needs specific to businesses within the sector as well as provide low-income workers with access to good jobs with career advancement opportunities. Currently there are two sector-focused career centers funded by city funds and federal funds - Industrial and Transportation, and Health Care. An independent data evaluation of the Transportation Center found that compared to a similar population served by the general public workforce system, participants in the Sector Centers are 3 times more likely to be placed in a job or receive a promotion; earn about \$1.90 more per hour; and work, on average, 4 more hours per week.
- Several CEO employment programs are specifically tailored to incarcerated or previously incarcerated persons which provide employment opportunities with the goal of economic self-sufficiency. For example:
 - The Employment Works initiative helps individuals who are on probation to obtain and retain jobs, build skills and receive educational training. The initiative coordinates programming among the public workforce system, the City's Department of Probation, and workforce development providers to provide the necessary education, training and support services.
 - The Food Handlers Certification Program offers Food Protection certification courses to individuals currently detained or sentenced to the Rikers Island correctional facility. This initiative, in partnership with the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, trains and certifies inmates as food handlers, providing them with a tangible employment asset for re-entry.

Young Adults and Court-Involved Youth

With nearly a quarter-million young adults between the ages of 16 and 24 living below the poverty line in New York City, CEO offers educational, employment, and health programs tailored to young adults. To meet the needs of this varied population, CEO programs range from basic literacy to higher education; innovative approaches to pregnancy prevention such as community service opportunities for students; and employment programs for disconnected and court-involved youth. There are approximately 8 programs funded by CEO for the City's at-risk young adults.

- Teen ACTION (Achieving Change Together in Our Neighborhood) Program is an after-school service learning initiative offered to youth in 7 through 10th grade. Through the program, the youth design and implement meaningful service projects in their communities. This program is designed to reduce risky behavior and enhance school performance among middle- to high school students by promoting positive life skills, a sense of efficacy and self-worth, and responsible citizenship. The current program model focuses on sexual reproductive health (SRH) learning through a partnership with the Planned Parenthood of New York. Service providers are trained on how to deliver the sexual reproductive health curriculum to minors, as well as to develop SRH- service related projects. Evaluation results suggest that the program is having a positive effect on education. Teen ACTION participants are more likely to attempt more credits and earn more credits relative to a comparison group of students who are not enrolled in the program.

- The Young Adult Literacy Program is part of a pilot that began in 2008 with the aim of improving the reading levels of disconnected youth 16-24 years old who are reading at the pre-GED level (4th to 6th grade levels). The initiative includes five community-based programs contracted through DYCD as well as programs at seven sites within the City’s three public library systems. In the summer of 2009 participants were offered paid internship and community service experiences as an incentive for program attendance. Evaluation results of this pilot demonstrated that the addition of paid internships resulted in increased program attendance and retention as well as improved math scores by a full grade level compared to students in the program that did not have internships. As a result, paid internships were added to all program sites for the current fiscal year and going forward. In FY12, five new sites were added to the initiative through new city funding from the City’s Young Men’s Initiative.
- The Young Adult Internship Program (YAIP) is a workforce development program targeting young adults aged 16-24 who are not working and not in school. Established in 2007, YAIP features a combination of educational workshops, counseling, and short-term paid internships. The program operates three 14-week cycles each year and serves approximately 1,800 disconnected youth annually in high poverty communities – including four additional sites funded by the Young Men’s Initiative. Beginning July 2013, YAIP has been undergoing a random assignment evaluation. This evaluation is being conducted by MDRC and funded by the U.S. Department for Health and Human Services.
- CUNY Accelerated Study in Associate Programs (ASAP) provides academic and economic support to help low-income student complete Associate degrees in an accelerated manner, thereby potentially positioning themselves for higher income employment opportunities than those available to young adults with only a high school diploma (traditional and/or GED). Supports include advisement and tutoring, tuition waivers, free text-books and Metrocards for travel to and from campus. In addition, the program offers block-scheduling to accommodate student work schedules, and job developers to help students with job placement and career development; the program has impressive three year graduation rates (54.8%) relative to a comparison group (24%).

Young Men’s Initiative:

CEO’s strategy of building on evidence-based approaches and piloting new programs is helping to advance a key policy priority: improving outcomes for young men of color. Announced by Mayor Bloomberg in August 2011, the Young Men’s Initiative (YMI) is a multi-agency initiative comprised of over 45 program and policy initiatives in four key areas: education, employment, health, and justice. YMI is a \$43 million annual public-private partnership. CEO is overseeing the implementation and evaluation of the YMI programs, which include expansions of existing CEO programs as well as new programs.

The five programs listed below are CEO pilots that performed well and have been expanded to additional sites through YMI.

<u>CEO Programs Expanded Under YMI</u>		
Program	Agency	Description
Jobs-Plus	HRA & NYCHA	Expand this evidence-based employment services program for residents of public housing.
NYC Justice Corps	CUNY	Expand a community service and work-readiness program for youth involved with the criminal justice system.
Young Adult Internship Program	DYCD	Expand an internship program for unemployed, out-of-school youth.
Young Adult Literacy Program	DYCD & Libraries	Expand this literacy program that combines educational instruction with internships and support for pre-GED young adults.

Additionally, CEO supported the launch of 13 new Young Men’s Initiative programs. These programs promote mentoring, seek to reduce violence and recidivism in targeted communities, increase access to sex education and reproductive health services, and put young people to work through job training and subsidized jobs programs. In addition, privately-funded alternative-to-placement programs for juveniles on probation, education and mentoring interventions for probationers, and a fatherhood initiative for young adults will be implemented.

<u>Newly Launched YMI Programs</u>		
Program	Agency	Description
AIM (Advocate, Intervene, Mentor)	DOP	An intensive mentoring programs for youth on juvenile probation in the South Bronx and East New York/ Brownsville.
Arches	DOP	An intensive mentoring and group cognitive behavior therapy program for young adults on probation.
Cure Violence (Ceasefire)	DOHMH & HHC	An evidence-based anti-violence programs in three neighborhoods with high rates of gun violence in collaboration with nearby public hospitals.
Community Education Pathways to Success (CEPS)	DOP	Improving young adult’s literacy and math skills, to re-engage young adults with their communities, prepare them for employment, improve their productivity, and reduce the risk of re-offending and long-term poverty. CEPS is an expansion of existing CEO literacy programs.
Cornerstone Mentoring	DYCD & Service	A group-based mentoring program for middle school students.
CUNY Fatherhood	CUNY	Strengthening fathers and families and promoting responsible fatherhood, economic stability, and educational advancement by connecting current and expectant fathers throughout the five boroughs to educational, employment and parenting resources.
Every Child Has an Opportunity to Excel and Succeed (ECHOES)	DOP	An Alternative to Placement program for juveniles on probation with the goals to create transformational relationships between clients and adults in a life-coaching model, to increase both social and emotional competencies and the employability of clients.
IMPACT: Peer Mentoring in Young Adult Literacy	CUNY	Pilots two projects at existing GED programs that add peer mentoring and an alumni network to this existing GED program.
Justice Community	DOP	A Neighborhood Opportunity Network (NeON) based program that includes community service, subsidized employment, and career development for court-involved youth.
Justice Scholars	DOP	A new education and career exploration programs serving court-involved youth.
Teen and Young Adult Health Program	HHC	Trains staff and establish peer counseling to provide adolescent-friendly health services and social support within HHC hospitals and clinics.
Work Progress Program	CEO	Supports wages paid to young adults that participate in short-term subsidized job opportunities, including some that contribute to rebuilding New York City after hurricane Sandy.

CEO’s participation in YMI is consistent with the mission it has executed since the Center’s inception: replicate proven and effective strategies, incubate innovative anti-poverty programs, and measure results. The YMI

programs incorporate recommendations by researchers and practitioners on effective ways to reach young adults. Participation in these programs does not exclude other participants, but rather they are programs that had positive outcomes for young men of color.

Social Innovation Fund:

In 2010, the Federal government launched a new initiative that reflected a mission that CEO actively advocated for at the national level- supporting and scaling up performance driven effective local programming that has proven the ability to improve the lives of low-income families. Created through the Edward M. Kennedy Serve America Act of 2009, and launched by the Corporation for National and Community Service, the SIF represents a new way of doing business for the federal government that stands to yield a great impact on urgent national challenges. The SIF has targeted millions in public and private funds to expand effective solutions across three issue areas: economic opportunity, healthy futures, and youth development. CEO, in partnership with the Mayor's Fund to Advance New York City, became one of the inaugural recipients of a Social Innovation Fund (SIF) grant in 2010.

The Social Innovation Fund grant provides a unique opportunity for cities to work together to expand and test innovative anti-poverty programs piloted by the NYC Center for Economic Opportunity. With this prestigious and significant Federal grant, CEO is replicating five of its programs in New York City and seven other cities. Through this project, the cities are implementing and evaluating program models, building a multi-site body of evidence in support of promising, high-impact, interventions that are already influencing national policy discussions.

CEO is partnering with the Mayor's Fund to Advance New York City (a not-for-profit organization, which facilitates innovative public-private partnerships throughout NYC) and MDRC (a social policy research organization), and more than 30 private funders, to implement five program models in New York, Cleveland, Kansas City, Memphis, Newark, San Antonio, Tulsa, and Youngstown. Since beginning to provide services in 2011, the five CEO program models being replicated through the SIF have served over 12,000 participants across the eight cities.

- Family Rewards is a conditional cash transfer (CCT) program that aims to break the cycle of poverty by providing cash payments to families to reward their engagement in a pre-specified set of activities designed to build human capital and self-sufficiency. The Family Rewards model is based on the experience and findings from Opportunity NYC, the nation's first conditional cash transfer program, and programs in 20 other countries. Approximately 2,400 families in Memphis and New York City have enrolled in the Family Rewards program. To date, 97% of families have earned rewards, totaling more than \$3 million.
- The place-based Jobs-Plus program addresses entrenched poverty among public housing residents by saturating a development with job and career support, community building, and rent incentives. In a previously evaluated national pilot, residents' earnings continued to rise for three years after the program ended, greatly outpacing the income of a comparison group. Since launching in fall of 2011, the SIF Jobs-Plus programs in New York and San Antonio have served over 1300 individuals and have placed over 400 participants in jobs that are generating over \$5 million in new annual wages in the targeted public housing communities.
- Project Rise helps unemployed 18 to 24 year-olds who are currently out of school and lack a high school degree or GED to re-engage in productive activities through a combination of educational opportunities, paid internships, and case management as a pathway to long-term economic self-sufficiency. Project Rise is based on promising programs in New York City, including the Young Adult Internship Program, as well as lessons from evaluations of other youth programming. Project Rise programs in Kansas City, Newark and New York City have enrolled nearly 700 young people. Nearly 30% of participants have already earned GEDs, despite markers of significant disadvantage, including a majority who left school with no more than a tenth grade education.

- SaveUSA is a tax-time savings program that offers eligible individuals a 50 percent match if they deposit a portion of their tax refund into a “SaveUSA Account” and maintain the initial deposit for approximately one year. SaveUSA builds upon a successful three-year demonstration, \$aveNYC, administered by the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs’ Office of Financial Empowerment (OFE). Since 2011, the first year of the SaveUSA program, across all sites, over 4,500 individuals opened accounts and pledged to save an average of over \$550 each. Each year, over 70% of individuals fulfilled their commitment, saving over \$2 million to date.
- WorkAdvance is sector-focused career advancement initiative to help unemployed and low-wage workers get quality jobs in targeted sectors with opportunities for career growth. CEO built upon existing NYC programming and national evaluations of advancement and sector strategies to create WorkAdvance, which combines the best practices from these efforts to create a single, cost-effective, and easy to replicate workforce intervention. WorkAdvance has served over 1300 individuals in Cleveland, New York City, Tulsa and Youngstown, placing 503 people in jobs as of July 2013. A total of 607 people have completed hard skills occupational training. The program has identified approximately 700 businesses interested in working with WorkAdvance to identify new employees, working with the program to address industry skills gaps.

CEO and the Mayor’s Fund also supports a learning network of program providers and other partners, which allows CEO’s SIF partners to address common challenges, and to share best practices and evaluation findings with policymakers and other stakeholders. Federal agencies and others are eager to learn from these replications and the accompanying evaluations. The SIF presents an opportunity to expand CEO’s proven and promising programs strategies, and to tackle poverty across diverse demographics and geographic settings. CEO and partners have begun to share the lessons learned from the SIF models with federal agencies and other stakeholders, who are interested in learning from these replications and the accompanying evaluations.

Experts continue to recognize CEO’s commitment to innovation and applaud the Center’s many accomplishments. Harvard University’s Kennedy School of Government granted CEO its prestigious Innovations in American Government Award in 2011. The Stanford Social Innovation Review featured CEO in its winter 2012 issue as a noteworthy example of innovation in government. CEO was also honored with the 2011 Citizens Budget Commission Prize for Public Service Innovation.

Services for Low-income Families

In addition to these recent CEO-related initiatives, the City has long provided a wide variety of services designed assist NYC residents living poverty and help them move toward economic self-sufficiency. Central to this effort are the myriad of services provided by the City’s Human Resources Administration/Department of Social Services (HRA).

Poverty Research

In August 2008, CEO issued its inaugural report on poverty in New York City. Its publication marked the first time any local government had implemented recommendations from the National Academy of Sciences for an improved measure of poverty. Since 2008, CEO has issued four subsequent annual updates, in March 2010, March 2011, April 2012 and April 2013.

CEO’s most recent report shows that, after a two-year rise in the aftermath of the 2008 financial crisis, the poverty rate in New York City stabilized in 2011. This pattern mirrors national trends. In New York City employment rates increased, the share of the employed with steady work stabilized, and annual earnings stopped declining from 2010 to 2011. In addition to a steadier labor market, low-income New Yorkers were bolstered by increased enrollment in the Food Stamp program and a temporary, two percentage point cut in the payroll tax rate. These three developments contributed to a decline in the poverty rate for people living in single-parent households and arrested the growth in the share of the City’s population who live in extreme poverty, below 50 percent of the CEO threshold.

The CEO poverty measure has caught the attention of policy makers nationwide. In the 110th and 111th Congresses, legislation was introduced by Congressman Jim McDermott and Senator Christopher Dodd proposing that the methodology used to calculate the federal poverty measure be revised based on the same National Academy of Sciences' recommendations that inform the CEO poverty measure. In March of 2010 the Obama Administration announced plans to create a similar measure, called the Supplemental Poverty Measure. The first report based on the new Federal measure was released in the Fall of 2011.

The Center has consulted with a number of other localities that want to develop similar poverty measures including: Chicago, Illinois; Los Angeles, California; San Francisco, California; Washington, DC; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania; Oakland, California; San Jose California, along with the states of New York and California. In addition CEO has collaborated with the Institute for Research on Poverty at the University of Wisconsin and the Urban Institute, which have developed similar measures for Wisconsin and other states.

Over the past five and a half years (January 2008 July 2013), the City experienced an economic boom, an economic recession, and a subsequent economic recovery. From January 2008 to December 2008, the City built upon its expanding economic base by adding approximately 78,400 private sector jobs, a 2.5 percent increase from the beginning of the year. However, in January 2009 the national economic recession began to negatively impact New York City, as private employment started to decline. From January 2009 to January 2010 the City lost approximately 185,000 jobs or approximately 5.7 percent from its December 2008 employment peak. For the next 25 months New York City continued to make gains in recovering all the private sector jobs it had lost in the economic recession. By February 2012 private employment reached 3,277,500, surpassing the City's December 2008 employment levels. The resurgence in the City's economy continued to the end of the 2013 City Fiscal Year (June 30, 2013). In June 2013, private sector employment in New York City accounted for approximately 3,428,800 jobs. This represented a net increase of 245,100 private sector jobs over the five and one-half year time period, a 7.1 percent overall gain. In addition, the June 2013 private sector employment level represented the City's new all-time employment high, an increase of 178,800 jobs from the previous historical all-time high set in 1969 (3,250,000 private-sector jobs).

Even with the increase in private sector employment, the City still has not attained full employment as there is a high percentage of New Yorkers who are not full participants in the economy. Unemployment during the recovery remained problematic. In June 2013 the City's unemployment rate was 8.7 percent, approximately two percentage points higher than December 2008 unemployment rate, the month prior to the start of the City's recession. Furthermore, the City's June 2013 unemployment rate was a full percentage point higher than the State's unemployment rate.

The recent increase in private sector jobs was not been uniform across the City's various industries. Between January 2008 and June 2013, many jobs were lost in the City's most advanced and highest-paid industries. In Finance, Insurance and Real Estate (FIRE), the Finance and Insurance industries experienced a combined loss of approximately 23,500 jobs as financial institutions merged and consolidated staffs eliminating duplicative positions as the result of the economic recession. The City's Real Estate sector also experienced a decline in the number of jobs. The sector had a net loss approximately 2,500 jobs from its highest level of employment in June 2008 (111,600 jobs). The economic recession caused by the housing market collapse negatively impacted the City's Construction industry. Between January 2008 and June 2013 the City had a net loss of 6,200 construction jobs. However, the decline in the number of construction jobs was more severe if compared to the industry's peak employment level in August 2008 when 38,400 construction workers employed. From that month to the end of the 2013 City Fiscal Year the City experienced a loss of 9,600 construction jobs.

In the Professional and Business Services (PBS) industry, the City experienced a net gain of 47,900 jobs. The professional, scientific, and technical services sectors accounted for 22,600 jobs or approximately 47 percent of the increase. The gains in the computer systems design (15,800 jobs); management, scientific, and technical consulting services (5,200 jobs); and, advertising (10,000 jobs) subsectors were tempered by losses in the legal services (-6,700 jobs); and accounting (3,900 jobs) subsectors. The administrative and support services sector contributed approximately 22,300 of the new jobs to the PBS industry segment. The Information industry

segment experienced a net increase of approximately 8,000 jobs over the five and a half year period. However, the publishing sector experienced a loss greater than the net gains for the entire industry segment due to the decline in readership of traditional hard-copy magazines, books and newspapers as readers migrated towards virtual, internet-based sources (9,000 jobs lost).

During this time period the City's Leisure and Hospitality industry experienced substantial job growth despite the recession. The industry added 87,400 jobs overall, approximately a 30 percent increase from January 2008. The majority of the gains were in the Accommodation and Food Services sector (73,900 jobs), particularly in the food services and drinking places sub-sector where 68,000 of the 73,900 new jobs originated.

The Arts, Entertainment and Recreation sector added 13,500 jobs to the industry total. In the wholesale trade industry, which employs many moderate skilled individuals, 5,300 jobs were lost. During this same period between 2008 and mid-2013, the retail trade sector experienced a net increase of 35,600 jobs with a majority of the increase occurring in food and beverage stores (14,300 jobs), and general merchandize stores (8,000 jobs). The health care industry gained approximately 71,600 jobs. Of those, 32,700 were in the moderate-income home health care services field. Social assistance, which includes child day care services, increased by 16,200 jobs. However, Manufacturing, which has been continuously decreasing for over 40 years, experienced a loss of 21,200 jobs between 2008 and mid-2013. Durable goods accounted to half of the manufacturing jobs lost (10,700 jobs). The overall decline in non-durable goods manufacturing has been eased in recent years by the slightly increase within the apparel manufacturing sub-sector, which supports the City's position as an international fashion capital. Since the January 2010 low apparel manufacturing has increased by 700 jobs. Lastly, the college and university sector within the Education industry rose by approximately 30,000 jobs. However, the sector's overall increased employment level is muted by the seasonal decline which occurs annually in the summer months between the Spring and Fall semesters. When calculated using the sector's annual seasonal lows, the college and university sector had a net gain of approximately 15,800 jobs between July 2008 and July 2013.

Outside of these recent initiatives, the City has long provided a wide variety of services designed to assist NYC residents living in poverty and help them move toward economic self-sufficiency. Central to this effort are the employment services and work supports provided to cash assistance recipients by the Human Resources Administration/Department of Social Services (HRA/DSS). Cash assistance applicants and recipients receive an individualized employment plan. Based upon that plan, employable cash assistance applicants and recipients are assisted in their search for a job. When they obtain a job that disqualifies them for cash assistance, they still qualify for a continuation of public benefits (such as Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits and Medicaid) despite their employed status. Cash assistance recipients who qualify also continue to receive cash benefits through earnings disregards. For cash assistance recipients who possess barriers to employment, HRA works with them to address these barriers, and if that is not possible, assists them in applying for disability benefits. HRA also serves many non-cash assistance individuals and families living below the poverty line through its Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program, Medical Assistance Program (Medicaid) and various housing programs. As of August 2012, the cash assistance program served 354,423 NYC residents, including 170,326 adults.

The Family Independence Administration (FIA) through its Job Centers provides temporary financial assistance, SNAP benefits and Medicaid to income eligible individuals. FIA also coordinates the agency's welfare reform efforts by referring eligible individuals to work activities and by tracking participation in those work activities. To allow for participation by individuals with children, FIA provides subsidies for child care services to individuals with children under 13, or under the age of 19 with special needs, who are working or are participating in work activities. Through its Non-cash Assistance SNAP centers, located throughout the city, FIA provides services to able bodied individuals who receive SNAP benefits but do not receive cash assistance.

HRA's employment services are designed to meet the needs of an extremely diverse caseload. Since the key to self-sufficiency for most cash assistance recipients is obtaining a job, HRA devotes many of its resources to its Back to Work (BTW) Program. BTW refers to a set of outside contractors who provide a combination of job

readiness and job search assistance. Typically, these activities last two days a week, with the remainder devoted to participation in HRA's work experience program (WEP), where recipients go to a site and perform a work activity. During FY2011, HRA successfully placed more than 78,000 cash assistance recipients in jobs. When appropriate, the cash assistance program also supports clients engaged in vocational education, often training at a postsecondary educational institution. Finally, clients who are unsuccessful in finding unsubsidized employment may be offered short-term subsidized positions with the NYC Parks Department or other public or private employers. NYC HRA also participated in the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 subsidized employment program that expanded subsidized employment opportunities over the last two years.

For individuals who are disabled or have other significant barriers to employment, specialized services are available. Cash assistance clients in need of basic education or literacy skills can qualify for education and literacy classes. The Wellness, Comprehensive Assessment, Rehabilitation and Employment (WeCARE) program serves clients with health or mental health limitations, helping them either prepare for employment or apply for federal disability assistance, as appropriate. WeCARE begins with a biopsychosocial assessment, which was administered to nearly 50,000 cash assistance recipients in 2011. In 2011, over 34,000 cash assistance recipients with substance abuse problems were referred to substance abuse treatment. In addition, HRA's HIV/AIDS Services Administration (HASA) provides case management services that helped more than 32,000 people living with HIV/AIDS in NYC access essential benefits and social services during 2011

The City's anti-poverty efforts also include programs that prevent poverty by helping those with jobs maintain stable employment and make ends meet while working for low wages, referred to as work supports. NYC's Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) (formerly Food Stamp Program), for example, served 1,837,299 recipients in July 2012. HRA has taken a number of steps to ensure that Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program benefits not only reach the City's neediest families, but also working families with income below or just above the federal poverty line. Such efforts have included implementing public education campaigns and partnering with community-based organizations to facilitate enrollment. In addition to receiving food assistance, SNAP recipients have access to free employment services if they need help finding work; for some recipients, these services are mandatory.

Public health insurance is another critical work support for the many low-income working families who lack access to employer-based coverage. New York is one of the few states that extend coverage to children in both low- and moderate-income families as well as to adults with income below the poverty line; parents of dependent children are eligible up to 150 percent of poverty. As discussed in more detail below, NYC has also implemented a range of measures designed to facilitate Medicaid enrollment and recertification. In all, 3.0 million NYC residents were insured through Medicaid in June 2012. This compares to 2.9 million in June 2011, for an increase of 93,000 enrollees, or 3.2%. Of these enrollees, 2.2 million are "Medicaid only" enrollees, meaning that they are not obtaining Medicaid as a result of being on cash assistance or Supplemental Security Income (SSI).

Low-income working families can also receive a tax break on their federal, state, and local income taxes through the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC). The EITC is widely recognized as one of the country's most effective anti-poverty programs, and NYC is one of only a few places nationwide that offers a local credit in addition to the state and federal provisions. Depending on income and family structure, NYC workers could qualify for a total of more than \$7,600 in EITC benefits in tax year 2010. Other important supports for poor and low-income families in NYC include the Home Energy Assistance Program and multiple housing assistance programs, as discussed in other sections of this report.

Finally, HRA's Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE) makes significant contributions to the NYC's fight against poverty. In 2011, OCSE collected a record of \$731 million in child support payments; each month, more than 175,000 families received payments. Much of this money goes to children in poor and low-income families as the majority of the children OCSE serves are current or former cash assistance recipients. For families struggling to stay out of poverty, child support makes a real difference. The average annual collection in 2011 (among those with payments) was \$5,781. Moreover, through the Support Through Employment

Program (STEP), NYC DADS, and other initiatives, OCSE works to help low-income non-custodial parents navigate the child support system, obtain employment and build relationships with their children.

Expanding access to health insurance is one of the most important ways a municipality can improve the lives of its low income residents. Public health insurance programs available to New York City residents include: Child Health Plus (Child Health Plus is a comprehensive health insurance program that covers a wide range of children's health care and dental needs. The income requirements make it possible for working families to get free or low-cost health insurance for their children); Medicaid for low income children and families; and Family Health Plus (Family Health Plus is a public health insurance program for adults between the ages of 19 and 64 who do not have health insurance - either on their own or through their employers - but have incomes too high to qualify for Medicaid.) New York State provides premium subsidies for children and adults eligible for public health insurance with access to employer-sponsored insurance, permits employers and Taft-Hartley Funds to purchase Family Health Plus for their members/employees, and simplifies enrollment and recertification in public health insurance. With the help of these HRA programs, New York City had the lowest rate of uninsured children (4.5%) out of the eight most populous cities in the U.S., according to 2009 Census Bureau data. Overall, as of June 2011, 2,912,686 people were enrolled in Medicaid, of whom 2,150,868 were enrolled in Medicaid only (meaning that they were not also enrolled in cash assistance or SSI).

Contributing to the City's strong health insurance coverage rates, HRA's Office of Citywide Health Insurance Access (OCHIA) works through partnerships and directly with residents and small businesses at events and through its online decision support tool, NYC Health Insurance Link, to provide information on the various health insurance options available through both public and private programs. OCHIA staff partners with community and faith-based organizations, chambers of commerce, business improvement districts (BIDs), local development corporations, local library systems and City Agencies to expand awareness of and access to public and private health insurance as well as free or lower-cost care for uninsured residents through trainings, presentations, and one-on-one assistance for individuals, freelancers, and small businesses.

To ensure that all those who are eligible for public health insurance are enrolled, OCHIA promotes and manages the collaborative work of 12 City agencies, 9 managed care plans and a wide array of community- and faith-based organizations to provide outreach and facilitate enrollment in neighborhood venues such as public schools, diverse places of worship, public housing developments and community events. Known as the HealthStat Initiative, this collaboration has facilitated enrollment in public health insurance programs for 44,100 New Yorkers in FY 2012. OCHIA also makes teenagers, young adults, City agency staff, community-based and clinic partners aware of the Family Planning Benefit Program (FPBP), in an effort to reduce teenage pregnancy. (FPBP is a Medicaid expansion program that provides free and confidential family planning services for teens, women and men who are not eligible for Medicaid or Family Health Plus and meet income and other eligibility criteria.)

OCHIA also has initiatives to expand health insurance offerings by the City's small businesses. In 2007, OCHIA, in partnership with the Mayor's Office, successfully obtained voluntary agreements from all New York City's insurers to make domestic partner coverage available for New York City small businesses with 2-50 employees. OCHIA has also worked to promote Healthy NY, a state subsidized insurance product targeted to help low-wage uninsured workers obtain coverage and their small business employers start offering coverage for the first time.

In September 2009, OCHIA launched a new website, NYC Health Insurance Link (www.nyc.gov/hilink), to help small businesses and city residents find private health insurance, including lower-cost plans like Healthy NY. It allows consumers and business owners to compare plans across all insurers operating in New York City and is designed to educate consumers and respond to the many questions they have as they look for insurance. The website also features tips for making coverage more affordable, such as pairing public and private coverage and setting up Section 125 cafeteria plans to make insurance less costly for workers. Since its inception, the site has helped over 142,000 New Yorkers learn about their health insurance options. Starting in the fall of 2010, the content of NYC Health Insurance Link included information about federal health care reform to help New

Yorkers understand the ways that it will impact their health insurance choices and options. In 2011, OCHIA created fact sheets for Small Businesses, Immigrants and Young Adults to help them learn about health coverage and care options. To reach New York City's diverse population, the fact sheets are available in Arabic, Chinese, Haitian Creole, Korean, Russian and Spanish and can be downloaded from NYC Health Insurance Link.

New York City recognizes affordable child care as an essential element in making employment possible for low- and moderate-income families. At the end of CFY13 there were over 100,000 children enrolled in subsidized early care and education services administered by the Administration for Children's Services, including 18,891 children enrolled in Head Start at the end of CFY 2013.

Reduced crime cuts costs for businesses and makes areas throughout the City attractive to business owners, workers and customers. New York City remained the safest big city in America according to the FBI's Uniform Crime Report for 2012.

Other efforts to improve business opportunities for large and small enterprises, promote workforce expansion, and advance employment and job training in the City include work performed by the Department of Small Business Services (SBS). According to SBS, 1,022 newly certified businesses participated in the Minority/Women Owned Business (M/WBE) program bringing the total to 3,526. The total number of certified businesses is now double the number of M/WBE businesses in CFY 2008.

Education, particularly in the curricula of science and mathematics is especially important to enable young New Yorkers to position themselves for the secondary academic or technical education necessary to acquire the job skills required for future employment opportunities within the city.

The Department of Education assesses the performance of students in grades 3 to 8 in two primary areas: Mathematics and English Language Arts (ELA).

For 2013, the percent of New York City students in grades 3 through 8 considered meeting or exceeding standards in mathematics (levels 3 and 4) decreased 30.4 percent from the percent of students meeting or exceeding standards in 2012 from 60% to 29.6 percent. This significant decrease was the result of New York State adopting the national Common-Core standards that are more rigorous than the standards students were previously tested for. The adoption of the new standards also impacted New York State as a whole as State-wide proficiency decreased significantly by 33.8 percentage points to 31 percent. When compared with New York State, New York City's proficiency in mathematics is less than 2 percentage points below the State's.

Similarly, the share of New York City students in grades 3 to 8 who met or exceeded standards in ELA in 2013 decreased from 46.9 to 26.4 percent based on the new standards. The percentage of students in grades 3 to 8 across the State who met or exceeded ELA standards also decreased significantly to 31.1 percent in 2013.

The 2013 NYS Common Core Tests represent a new baseline for New York City students going forward. Future advances (or declines) in proficiency will be measured against this new baseline.

The graduation rate of city general education students who graduated within four years of entry into high school decreased slightly from FY 2011 to FY 2012, by less than one percent. In spite of the decrease, the City's graduation rate for 2012 was 11.2 percentage points higher than the other four major cities in New York State (Buffalo, Rochester, Syracuse, and Yonkers). This represents an improvement from 2005 when the City's rate was less than a percentage point below the "other big 4's" graduation rate. In addition, the five-year and six-year graduation rates for New York City high school students also increased. The five-year graduation rate increased slightly to 71.1 percent, and the six-year graduation rate increased 2.3 percentage points to 73.2 percent. This indicates more New York City students are remaining in school to complete their high school education.

F. Institutional Structure

The following is a description of the institutional entities which are involved in administering the City's housing, homeless assistance, supportive housing services and community development activities. The entities are categorized as: 1) Public Institutions; 2) Non-profits; and 3) Private Industry.

This section describes the city agencies involved in planning, implementing and evaluating the City's Housing Policy. Each agency reports to one of four Deputy Mayors: Health and Human Services (including HRA, DHS, DFTA, DOHMH, and ACS), Economic Development (including NYCHA, HPD, and DCP), Education and Community Development (including DYCD) and Legal Affairs (including CCHR, MOPD, and MOCDV). The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and the Law Department report directly to the Mayor.

In addition, this section discusses the City's productive relationship with not-for-profit organizations and the private sector to accomplish many of the City's Housing policy goals and objectives.

Supportive housing-related agencies are discussed further in the City's Continuum of Care found in Volume 1, Part I., Section D., Continuum of Care of Supportive Housing Activities for the Homeless and Other Special Needs Populations.

1. Public Institutions

This Public Institutions section describes the organizational structure for each New York City agency which performs Consolidated Plan-related activities and its relationships with other public, non-profit and private organizations which assist in the delivery of services throughout the City.

Within the City of New York there are two agencies whose primary mission is the production, rehabilitation, operation and preservation of affordable housing: the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) and the Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD). The Department of Homeless Services (DHS) coordinates social and physical services for homeless families and individuals, and the Human Resources Administration (HRA) provides a range of public benefits and social services. These are often delivered in conjunction with government sponsored housing efforts. Through its HIV/AIDS Services Administration (HASA), HRA provides emergency and supported housing placement assistance and services for families, single adults and children with symptomatic HIV illness or AIDS. The City's Department of Health and Mental Hygiene: along with the State's Offices of Mental Health (OMH), the Office for People With Developmental Disabilities (OPWDD) (formerly the Office of Mental Retardation/Developmental Disabilities (OMRDD)), and Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Services (OASAS); plans, contracts for and monitors services for these disability areas and provides planning support to OASAS in the field of substance abuse services. Several other offices address the concerns of targeted groups of citizens by providing housing information and supportive housing services assistance, such as the Department of the Aging (DFTA), the Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities (MOPD), the Commission on Human Rights (CHR) and the Mayor's Office to Combat Domestic Violence (MOCDV).

The Department of City Planning (DCP) proposes land use policies and plans to encourage affordable housing development throughout the city. The DCP also coordinates the production of this document.

The City also operates two oversight agencies. The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) ensures that all City agencies use their resources effectively and the Law Department ensures that the City meets its legal obligations vis-a-vis federal and state requirements.

Policy making representatives from the agencies were designated to participate on the Consolidated Plan Committee. To create the Plan, each agency representative drafted that part of the plan that directly relates to their agency. DCP produces those aspects of the plan that are not agency specific. Ongoing coordination for preparing the Consolidated Plan and the responsibility of coordination among the agencies is the responsibility

of the Department of City Planning. DCP schedules meetings to facilitate planning, analysis, and decision making concerning the federal Consolidated Plan regulations.

Each of the various agencies operates through an annual program budget which is part of the City's overall spending plan. Each agency's budget is revised and approved by the City's Office of Management and Budget, the Deputy Mayors and the Mayor. The overall budget is then referred to the City Council for approval. Once the budget is approved by the City Council it becomes effective.

Ongoing coordination of budget priority and needs among the agencies is carried out at the Commissioner level through regularly scheduled cabinet meetings. The meetings facilitate combined thinking and joint decision making on housing planning issues.

New York City Housing Authority

Please refer to Part II. Section C., New York City Housing Authority, for a description of the Authority's institutional structure.

Department of Housing Preservation and Development

Office of the Commissioner

The Office of the Commissioner oversees the administration of HPD. The Commissioner, except as otherwise provided by law, plans, initiates, conducts, supervises, coordinates, reviews and evaluates City programs relating to urban renewal, publicly-aided housing, neighborhood conservation, the enforcement of all laws relating to the rehabilitation or maintenance of housing, and the management of property acquired by the City, for or devoted to housing or urban renewal purposes. The Commissioner is also the *ex-officio* chairman of the Housing Development Corporation.

HPD is organized into the following work areas, each of which is led by a Deputy Commissioner who reports directly to the HPD Commissioner:

- Office of Enforcement & Neighborhood Services
- Office of Asset & Property Management
- Office of Development
- Office of the Chief of Staff, Policy & External Affairs
- Office of Strategic Planning, Technology & Administration
- Office of Financial Management and Analysis
- Office of Legal Affairs
- Senior Counsel for State Legislative Affairs

Office of Enforcement & Neighborhood Services

The Office of Enforcement and Neighborhood Services (ENS) works closely with other HPD divisions and outside community partners to identify buildings with violation problems, assess and develop appropriate strategies to address those properties, and work closely with responsible owners to develop a plan to improve conditions and return buildings to firm financial footing and physical health. HPD uses enforcement tools within the Division of Code Enforcement, Housing Litigation Division, Emergency Repair Program or the Division of Special Enforcement to ensure compliance with legal and regulatory obligations.

The Office is comprised of the following divisions:

- ***Division of Neighborhood Preservation***: Residential property owners can visit the offices by appointment or as walk-ins to obtain information on **Public Outreach and Education**, low-interest loans, and correcting housing code violations.
- ***Housing Litigation Division***: Brings cases in Housing Court to enforce compliance with the housing quality standards contained in the New York State Multiple Dwelling Law and the New York City Housing Maintenance Code.

- ***Division of Code Enforcement***: Housing Inspectors respond to complaints filed with 311 (the City's Citizen Service Center) regarding lack of essential services such as heat and water and housing maintenance problems such as leaks, vermin and broken plaster.
- ***Division of Maintenance*** (including the Emergency Repair Program (ERP)): Performs emergency repairs in privately-owned buildings in response to immediately hazardous violations (including lead-based paint violation and violations regarding a lack of basic services such as heat) issued by Housing Code Inspectors if the landlord fails to perform the repair.
- ***Division of Special Enforcement***: Performs specialized enforcement activities through programs such as **The Alternative Enforcement Program, Proactive Enforcement Bureau, and Special Enforcement Unit**.

Office of Asset & Property Management

Over the past 20 years, HPD and its partners have invested in hundreds of thousands of affordable units throughout the City. As more and more units are established, it is increasingly important that HPD ensures their affordability, as well as financial and physical stability over the long-term. The Office of Asset and Property Management (APM) leads the effort to protect the agency's investment and these critical neighborhood assets. Newly established in 2009, APM actively monitors the performance and regulatory compliance of City-sponsored projects and directly manages City-owned properties.

The Office is comprised of the following divisions:

- ***Division of Asset Management (APM)*** is responsible for ensuring the longevity and affordability of units the agency has created and preserved. Its programs are geared toward proactively identifying at-risk buildings and portfolios and stabilizing mismanaged assets
- ***Division of Housing Supervision*** oversees the portfolio of City-sponsored **Mitchell-Lama** developments, including affordable rental and cooperative housing. Through the New Housing Marketplace Plan, HPD and its sister agency, the Housing Development Corporation (HDC), are working to address the rehabilitation needs of the aging Mitchell-Lama stock and preserve the long term affordability of all remaining Mitchell-Lama units within the City's oversight. Under the Bloomberg administration, over 30,000 units of Mitchell-Lama housing have already been preserved and an additional 10,000 units are slated for preservation before 2014.
- ***Division of Property Management (DPM)*** manages City-owned (*In rem*) residential and commercial properties, as well as Urban Renewal properties, until they can be returned to responsible private ownership. In addition, DPM operates the **Emergency Housing Response Team (EHRT) and Client and Housing Services (CHS)**, which provide emergency shelter and housing relocation services to tenants displaced as a result of fires or vacate orders issued by the Department of Buildings, the Fire Department or HPD.
- ***Division of Building and Technical Assessment (DBTA)*** is a new division responsible for the agency's increased oversight of conditions in Mitchell-Lama developments and other asset managed projects.

Office of Development

The Office of Development leads the implementation of the *New Housing Marketplace Plan (NHMP)* to create or preserve 165,000 units by 2014. It works in close collaboration with other divisions of HPD, other City, State and federal agencies, and the New York City Housing Development Corporation (HDC), as well as with for-profit and not-for-profit organizations. Development is responsible for building a pipeline for affordable housing development on City land and by identifying privately-owned sites and assemblages for housing development, collaborating with other land holding agencies, and financing a variety of new construction and rehabilitation programs.

The Office of Development is organized into the following divisions:

- ***Division of Planning, Marketing & Sustainability***: The Division of Planning is responsible for identifying sites for affordable housing development as well as creating and coordinating the pipeline of public sites. Planning is responsible for interagency partnerships and represents the agency during neighborhood rezoning efforts. The division also includes environmental review, remediation oversight

and the Marketing Unit. Planning also oversees the implementation of the Agency's sustainability goals.

- *Division of New Construction*: This division is responsible for operating programs which provide financing for the construction of new, affordable multi-family and single-family homes and rental housing. The unit often coordinates project financing with the New York City Housing Development Corporation (HDC).
- *Division of Preservation* is made up of three units:
 - *Division of Preservation Finance*: This division operates programs which provide financing to rehabilitate and preserve multi-family housing on private sites. It includes the administration of the following loan programs: Article 8A Loan Program and the Participation Loan Program (PLP), HUD Multi-Family, Year 15 and Small Owner Repair Program (SORP). The division also includes the Primary Prevention Program (PPP) which offers grants to owners for lead abatement.
 - *Division of Property Disposition & Finance (PDF)*: PDF oversees financing and disposition of City-owned buildings and the Third Party Transfer program, Tenant Interim Lease Program and the 7A Program.
 - *Distressed Assets Financing*: This unit seeks to stabilize at-risk properties via programs such as the agency's Overleveraged Properties Initiatives, the Mortgage Assistance Program (MAP), the Neighborhood Stabilization Program (NSP), and the Housing Assets Renewal Program (HARP).
- *Division of Housing Incentives*: This division is responsible for operating the agency's local property tax incentive programs and the Inclusionary Housing program. It also allocates the city's portion of federal Low Income Housing Tax Credits (LIHTC) and is responsible for related compliance and preservation initiatives.
- *Division of Special Needs Housing* - Special Needs Housing is responsible for the Supportive Housing Loan Program, a key vehicle to providing quality permanent housing with on-site services for homeless adults with special needs. The Division is also responsible for implementation of the NY-NY III agreement, along with the department's involvement in housing for the low-income elderly and other populations with special needs.
- *Division of Building and Land Development Services (BLDS)*: BLDS has technical responsibilities for all contract documents (i.e., work scopes, drawings, specifications, cost estimates, filing and sign off with the Department of Buildings). Works includes review of public bids, negotiating contract amounts and recommendations for contract awards; review of contract documents to ensure conformance to HPD standards and to all zoning and building codes. BLDS also monitors ongoing construction work to ensure conformity to contract documents, construction techniques and codes, and reviews and approves payment requisitions and change orders.

Office of the Chief of Staff and Strategic Planning, Technology & Administration

The Chief of Staff plays a major role in implementing Mayor Bloomberg's New Housing Marketplace Plan and furthering HPD's housing preservation work across the agency and in coordination with other agencies and key partners. This office is also responsible for the divisions of Strategic Planning, Technology and Administration (SPTA) which together help establish the vision and strategy for HPD and define and implement key initiatives to achieve the policy, program, and operational goals of the Commissioner and the Administration. It uses people, process, technology, and strategy to drive continuous improvement across the organization.

The Office is comprised of the following divisions:

- *The Division of Strategic Planning* facilitates the agency-wide strategic planning process with the Commissioner and his senior management team, including the identification of strategic priorities and change initiatives and the creation, management and execution of work plans for targeted priority projects.
- *Division of Technology and Strategic Development (TSD)*: TSD is responsible for managing HPD's technology infrastructure and supporting HPD's approximately 2100 clients and 2000 network devices at 18 sites throughout the five boroughs. TSD works to assure that HPD's mission critical business

functions are supported by the appropriate level of technology, and provides professional services and business solutions.

- *The Division of Administration* is responsible for defining the agency's human capital initiatives. The division also includes Resource Management and Labor Relations; Management Review and Internal Compliance; and Equal Opportunity (EEO); and the City Charter mandated independent audit function on construction-related payments.

Office of Policy & External Affairs

This office oversees Communications, External Affairs, Housing Policy Research, Federal Affairs, Local Legislative Affairs and Land Use, and the Special Counsel for Regulatory Affairs. The Office is comprised of the following divisions:

- *Communications*: The Division of Communications handles all communications between the agency and the media. Communications is responsible for public information and develops and maintains the agency's web site (www.nyc.gov/hpd), Facebook and Twitter feeds, as well as the intranet site. Additionally, the Division of Communications plans and implements events celebrating agency accomplishments such as groundbreakings, ribbon cuttings, milestones, and policy speeches.
- *External Affairs*: The External Affairs unit is charged with identifying and obtaining new resources for HPD's housing and community development programs, as well as developing new and improved linkages to the considerable philanthropic and academic communities interest in housing activities in New York City
- *Housing and Policy Research*: The Division of Housing Policy Research is responsible for providing data and analysis that may be required by the agency related to the City's housing stock and the City's role in the implementation of the State and City Rent Control and Rent Stabilization Laws. This function primarily involves working with the U.S. Census Bureau to produce a special report on the City's housing stock—the *Housing Vacancy Survey Report*. This report, which is produced every three years, is required to determine the City's rental vacancy rate which must be reported to the City Council and the Mayor. In turn, this data becomes the determining factor in establishing whether a "housing emergency" exists, which would require the extension of the Rent Control and Rent Stabilization Laws by the City Council.
- *Federal Affairs*: The Federal Affairs unit is responsible for evaluating and helping to shape federal housing policy, leveraging federal policies to shape new or existing housing policies and programs in the City, and understanding and ensuring compliance with federal laws and funding rules.
- *Local Legislative Affairs and Land Use*: This division is responsible for formulating and securing necessary legislative changes in the New York City Council in order to complete critical components of the agency's mission. It acts as the agency's direct liaison to elected representatives and other governmental officials. The division directs the agency's land use process through the City Council and represents the agency at City Council hearings.
- *Special Counsel for Regulatory Affairs* is responsible for regulatory Compliance, as well as serving as the agency's lead on ongoing MWBE efforts to encourage diversity in our development partners as well as within our procurement program. The Labor Standards Unit monitors Agency projects for compliance with labor and equal opportunity provisions of HPD contracts, including Federal (Davis-Bacon Act) and City and State (Labor Law Section of 220, Section 230) prevailing wage requirements. LSU also receives, reviews and coordinates the pre-award processing of Equal Opportunity (EO) packages for Agency contracts and issues Certificates of Compliance in accordance with applicable funding source mandates.

Office of Financial Management and Analysis

Financial Management and Analysis leverages the Agency's various resources to help define and drive policy and to ensure overall financial health and integrity in the use of public resources.

The Office is comprised of the following divisions:

- *Budget*: The Budget Division, as the agency's primary liaison with the Mayor's Office of Management and Budget (OMB), develops and negotiates with OMB the agency's Expense, Revenue and Capital budgets.
- *Fiscal Operations*: The Fiscal Operations Division administers the agency's Accounts Payable and Accounts Receivable functions.
- *Division of Tenant Resources* (DTR) runs HPD's Section 8 program, recognized as one of the largest and best managed in the country. The Section 8 voucher program—formally known as Housing Choice Vouchers—also partners with other government agencies and divisions to assist special needs populations, including homeless clients from shelters operated by HPD, the Department of Homeless Services (DHS) and the Human Resource Administration (HRA).
- *Performance Analysis*: The Performance Analysis group helps define and measure success against key agency indicators and implements special projects to improve overall performance.

Office of Legal Affairs

The *Office of Legal Affairs*, led by HPD's General Counsel, is organized into three working areas: Contracts/Real Estate (e.g., closings); Tax Incentives (e.g. 421a projects); and Mortgage Enforcement. In addition, the General Counsel oversees the *Division of Procurement*, which advises agency contracting staff regarding compliance with requirements of the Procurement Policy Board Rules.

Senior Counsel for State Legislative Affairs

The *Senior Counsel for State Legislative Affairs* reports directly to the Commissioner, and is responsible for formulating and securing necessary legislative changes in the New York State Legislature in order to complete critical components of the agency's mission. The Counsel acts as the agency's direct liaison to elected representatives and other governmental officials and represents the agency at State and City Council hearings.

Department of Homeless Services

Established in 1993, the Department of Homeless Services (DHS) is responsible for preventing homelessness wherever possible and providing short term emergency shelter and re-housing support to homeless families and individuals in the City of New York. This includes overseeing the operation and maintenance of the City's shelters; designing, implementing and evaluating programs that assist families and individuals who are homeless or at risk of homelessness; developing programs to improve the access to existing housing for homeless families and individuals; and fostering the involvement of non-profit and for-profit community organizations in the provision of shelter and services for homeless families and individuals.

The Division of Adult Services and the Division of Family Services are the two key departments responsible for operating the emergency shelter system and providing support services to homeless families and individuals. Respectively, they provide shelter to New York City's homeless families and single adults, by overseeing a system of City and non-profit operated emergency housing facilities.

- The Deputy Commissioner for Adult Services oversees the services provided to single, homeless adults and adult families including street outreach, drop-in centers, safe havens, intake, assessment, directly-run shelters, contracted shelters, veteran services, and a housing placement and planning division.
- The Deputy Commissioner of Family Services oversees the services provided to homeless families both by directly-run shelters as well as by contract providers which include Intake and Reception, and Transitional Housing.
- The Deputy Commissioner for Prevention, Policy and Planning oversees all prevention and aftercare efforts, including both directly provided and contracted services, and administration of program development, grants, research and evaluation.

- The Deputy Commissioner for Facilities, Maintenance and Development oversees the maintenance and repair of existing facilities, as well as the planning and development of new facilities and technical and construction services.
- The Deputy Commissioner for Security Services and Emergency Operations oversees the DHS Peace Officers, all Security issues, contracts, and Emergency operations for DHS.
- The Deputy Commissioner for Fiscal and Procurement Operations oversees the Offices of Finance, Budget, Audit and the Agency Chief Contracting Officer (ACCO).
- The Deputy Commissioner for Administration oversees the Office of Human Resources and Administration.
- The Agency General Counsel (Deputy Commissioner) oversees the DHS Legal Division.
- The Associate Commissioner for the DHS Office of Information and Technology oversees the maintenance and operations of the IT services.
- The Deputy Commissioner of Communications and External Affairs is the agency liaison with all aspects of the media as well as the coordinator of agency public events and official announcements. This Deputy Commissioner is also responsible for presenting the DHS message to the public as well as keeping all DHS staff informed regarding all agency news and also oversees the unit responsible for relationships between DHS and the City Council; all public officials and legislators; all Community Boards and related groups throughout the City.

Human Resources Administration

The New York City Human Resources Administration / Department of Social Services (HRA/DSS) provides temporary help to individuals and families with social service and economic needs to assist them in reaching self-sufficiency. HRA serves more than three million New Yorkers through essential programs and services that include: temporary cash assistance, public health insurance including Medicaid, food stamps, eviction prevention, energy assistance, long term and home care for seniors and the disabled, adult protective services, domestic violence services, HIV/AIDS support services and child support enforcement. Federal, state, and local law and regulations control eligibility and most of the participation requirements.

HRA contains the following major service components: the Family Independence Administration (FIA); Medical Insurance and Community Services Administration (MICSA); the Office of Domestic Violence and Emergency Intervention Services (ODVEIS); the Office of Child Support Enforcement (OCSE); Customized Assistance Services (CAS) and the Office of Citywide Health Insurance Access (OCHIA).

Major administrative divisions include Legal Affairs, Operations and Administration, Audit Services and Organizational Analysis, and Staff Resources.

The Family Independence Administration (FIA) through its Job Centers provides temporary financial assistance, SNAP benefits and Medicaid to income eligible individuals. FIA also coordinates the agency's welfare reform efforts by referring eligible individuals to work activities and by tracking participation in those work activities. To allow for participation by individuals with children, FIA provides subsidies for child care services to individuals with children under 13, or under the age of 19 with special needs, who are working or are participating in work activities. Through its Non-cash Assistance SNAP centers, located throughout the city, FIA provides services to able bodied individuals who receive SNAP benefits but do not receive cash assistance.

The Medical Insurance and Community Services Administration (MICSA) helps to link New Yorkers to public health insurance programs, while serving the most vulnerable through the medically-related social service

programs. MICSA administers Adult Protective Services (APS), the HIV/AIDS Services Administration (HASA), the Home Care Services Program (HCSP), and the Medical Assistance Program (MAP).

- APS is a State mandated program and services are available to persons 18 years of age or older without regard to income who are mentally and/or physically impaired, have no one available to responsibly assist them and, due to their impairments, are unable to manage their own resources, or protect themselves from abuse, neglect and exploitation. APS clients typically lack the ability to meet their essential needs for food, shelter, clothing or health care. An APS caseworker will develop a plan that may include such services as a referral for psychiatric and/or medical exams and ongoing care; assistance in obtaining Medicaid and home care; assistance in obtaining cash assistance and food stamps benefits, SSI, or disability; identification of alternative living arrangements; financial management of federal benefits; referrals to the NYPD and District Attorney to address abuse; and heavy-duty cleaning services.
- HASA provides access to essential benefits and social services to individuals and families living with AIDS or advanced HIV illness. HASA is committed to service provision that is individualized, efficient, effective, and of high quality. HASA services include specialized intake and needs assessment; direct linkages to cash assistance, Medicaid, food stamps, the Home Care program, and homemaking; ongoing intensive case management; emergency and permanent housing services and placements; voluntary vocational counseling/rehabilitation, job training, and placement; assistance with clients' applications for federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) and Social Security Disability Insurance (SSDI); and referrals to community-based resources for additional services.
- HCSP offers a range of Medicaid-funded, non-institutional long-term care programs that enable the frail, the aged and the disabled to remain in the safety of their homes rather than institutions. HCSP verifies eligibility and assesses the medical need for home care, and determines the appropriate level of care for each client.
- MAP makes eligibility determinations for public health insurance programs that pay for medical services for low income New Yorkers who qualify. MAP directly administers these benefits for persons who do not receive Cash Assistance or Supplemental Security Income. MAP determines eligibility for Medicaid, Family Health Plus, Nursing Homes, Medicare Savings Program (also known as Medicare Buy-In), the Family Planning Benefit Program, Prenatal Care Assistance, Medicaid Buy-In Program for Working People with Disabilities, and other waiver programs. There are currently over 2.9 million clients receiving Medicaid benefits in New York City.

Emergency and Intervention Services is comprised of three components. The Office of Domestic Violence (ODV), which provides emergency shelter and social services to victims of domestic violence, and the Office of Emergency Intervention Services (OEIS). OEIS includes the Crisis and Disaster Services unit that responds to citywide disasters. It is responsible for the coordination and provision of agency services to victims of emergencies such as hurricanes, snowstorms, heat alerts, public health issues and tragedies such as 9/11. Also under OEIS is the Office of Food Programs and Policy Coordination and four programs that provide energy related services to low income households: the Heatline, the Utility Assistance Program and the federally funded Home Energy Assistance Program (HEAP), and the Department of Environmental Protection Assistance Program which assist New Yorkers who have water bill arrears. The third component is Adult Protective Services which helps adults who are mentally and/or physically impaired and unable to manage their own resources, carry out the tasks of daily living, or protect themselves from abuse or exploitation. APS can help clients manage their finances, clean their living spaces, and obtain federal benefits and other necessary assistance such as referrals for medical or psychiatric care.

OCSE assures that non custodial parents (those who do not reside with their children) share in the responsibility of financially supporting them. They locate non custodial parents who are missing and assist in establishing paternity if that is an issue. OCSE secures family court orders for child support, collects the support as it

becomes due (mainly through Income Executions against the non custodial parents employer), and when not paid regularly, enforces through a variety of automated processes. Custodial parents applying for Cash Assistance are automatically referred to OCSE by FIA. The first \$100 (\$200 for 2 or more children) collected each month is forwarded to the family. The remainder is retained to offset cash assistance received by the family. When the family leaves the Cash Assistance program the child support that is collected is distributed to them and serves as a major benefit in the transition from Cash Assistance. Custodial parents not in receipt of public assistance may voluntarily apply for OCSE services. In Fiscal Year 2011 OCSE collected over \$718 million on behalf of families who utilized their services.

HRA's CAS is an integrated and coordinated service system that enables HRA clients who have untreated or unresolved clinical issues to reach their highest possible level of functioning. CAS oversees clinical programs that focus on helping clients/families maximize their level of functioning.

OCHIA is charged with expanding access to health insurance for all New Yorkers. OCHIA works to make information about free or low-cost health insurance options readily available, particularly for the recently unemployed, and to ensure that low-income New Yorkers have access to public health insurance enrollment assistance. OCHIA administers HealthStat, a citywide initiative mobilizing City agencies, managed care plans, and a variety of community, faith-based and other organizations to identify and enroll eligible New York City residents in public health insurance programs. In 2010, approximately 69,000 enrollments in public health insurance were facilitated through HealthStat.

To ensure that more New Yorkers understand and connect to health insurance options that best suit their needs, HRA launched NYC Health Insurance Link (NYC HILink) in September 2009. This important new web tool, developed by OCHIA in partnership with HRA's MIS division, allows freelancers, small business owners and residents to find and compare private health insurance plans by price and benefit in one convenient location. NYC HILink connects residents to ACCESS NYC, where they can screen themselves for public health insurance and educates New Yorkers about health insurance basics. The site also offers alerts about important health insurance news and helps New Yorkers understand the impact of federal health care reform on their coverage decisions and options both now and in the future. Since its inception, the site has helped over 70,000 New Yorkers learn about their health insurance options. Finally, OCHIA engages in policy analysis and research initiatives that complement and support its outreach and enrollment efforts.

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene protects and promotes the health and mental well-being of all New York City residents and promotes the realization of the full potential of those who live with disabling conditions. On July 1, 2002 mental hygiene services were integrated into the former Department of Health, reflecting the revision of Chapter 22 of the New York City Charter.

The Department is governed by a Commissioner who also chairs the Board of Health. The Division of Mental Hygiene is governed by an Executive Deputy Commissioner and, under Article 41 of the New York State Mental Hygiene Law, continues to administer local mental hygiene services related to mental health, developmental disabilities, and chemical dependency. Other programmatic divisions within the Department are administered by Deputy Commissioners and include Disease Control, Environmental Health, Epidemiology, Health Care Access and Improvement and Health Promotion and Disease Prevention. To streamline and strengthen coordination between prevention and care of persons living with HIV/AIDS, the Office of Citywide AIDS Policy Coordination was established in the Department, effective July 1, 2003.

The Department enhances housing support and other services for citizens with special needs by working closely with the Mayor's Office, Housing Preservation and Development, the Department of Homeless Services, the Human Resources Administration and other City agencies, with State agencies and with community-based organizations and several advisory bodies.

The mission of the Division of Mental Hygiene is to oversee and coordinate an integrated community-based mental hygiene service system. It is responsible under the City Charter and the State Mental Hygiene Law for planning, contracting, monitoring and evaluation of all mental hygiene services. Services are provided by contracted non-profit organizations, municipal as well as private hospitals, the City Correction system, the Department of Education, the Human Resources Administration, and the Department of Homeless Services. The Division develops standards of care to ensure that services are comprehensive, high quality, cost effective, and culturally and linguistically responsive across all local hospital, community and criminal justice mental hygiene systems. Supportive housing services required to maintain mental hygiene clients in the community are planned and funded at both the State and City level. The Department of Housing Preservation and Development supervises most of the capital financing and production of new housing units funded by the City. The Department of Homeless Services, via inter-agency transfer of State funds and through a Memorandum of Understanding, administers development of housing for mental hygiene clients. The Community Services Board which is composed of 15 members appointed by the Mayor acts in an advisory capacity to the Division, as does the Federation of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Alcoholism Services.

For a description of the Bureau of HIV/AIDS Prevention & Control (BHAPC) please refer to Volume 1, Part I, Section D2. Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for Special Needs Populations, b. Persons with HIV/AIDS.

Department for the Aging

The Department for the Aging (DFTA) is the New York City government agency responsible for a wide range of services for older New Yorkers, and is also the largest Federally-designated Area Agency on Aging (AAA) in the nation. AAAs operate within a network comprising 56 State Offices on Aging (among them U.S. territories). DFTA has more than 30 years of experience in conducting research and advocacy related to aging issues and providing a wide array of programs and services both directly and through more than 700 contracts with community-based organizations throughout New York City.

The overall mission of the agency is to work for the empowerment, independence, dignity and quality-of-life of New York City's diverse older adults and for the support of their families through advocacy, education and the coordination and delivery of services. To this end, the Department plans, coordinates and supports services and benefits to help older New Yorkers meet their diverse physical, social, and economic needs. DFTA coordinates and implements programs for the aging, advocates on their behalf, conducts research and policy analysis, and administers Federal, State, and City funds for contract services with non-profit and for-profit providers.

DFTA is under the leadership of a Commissioner appointed by the Mayor and supervised by a Deputy Mayor. The agency's executive staff also includes two Deputy Commissioners, six Assistant Commissioners and a General Counsel who oversee the offices and bureaus responsible for administering DFTA's programs, services and operations.

External Affairs oversees public affairs, governmental relations, legislative affairs, and community outreach.

Emergency Preparedness oversees the Department's efforts to prepare seniors and DFTA's community partners in the event of a crisis or other phenomenon that may affect the health of older New Yorkers and/or the ability of DFTA and its partners to deliver services to older New Yorkers.

The Bureau of Community Services administers contracts with more than 300 local agencies to support senior centers, congregate meals programs, health promotion, transportation, case assistance, home sharing, minor home repair and other services for the elderly in neighborhoods across the City. This bureau also oversees the Naturally Occurring Retirement Community (NORC) Supportive Service Programs, the Health Insurance Information and Counseling Program (HIICAP), Health Promotions programs, and a number of special projects geared toward supporting older adults in remaining in the community. As well, this bureau manages senior center facility issues and collaborates with the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA) in the administration of senior centers located in NYCHA developments.

The Bureau of Long Term Care and Active Aging administers programs to help older New Yorkers remain in their homes and communities as long as possible through the provision of long-term care resources. Operating within this bureau are the Senior Community Service Employment Program, the e Foster Grandparents Program, Intergenerational Programs, the Grandparent Resource Center, the Alzheimer's and Caregiver Resource Center, Long Term Care Services (which include case management, home delivered meals, home care services and caregiver programs), Nutrition Counseling (in-home nutritional counseling to frail, homebound elderly), the Elderly Crime Victims Resource Center, and the Assigned Counsel Project (which matches social service supports to court-referred seniors facing eviction).

Planning oversees the Department's research, program development, management analysis, policy and grant funded program efforts. The research and management analysis offices are the City's primary source of demographic and service data on elderly New Yorkers.

Fiscal Operations carries out all budget and fiscal affairs functions for the Department.

In addition to funding from the City of New York (which accounts for about 50% of its budget), DFTA also receives funds through the U.S. Administration for Community Living, New York State Office for the Aging, Corporation for National and Community Service, the National Council on Aging, the U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, and a variety of foundation grants.

Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities

The New York City Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities (MOPD) was established in 1973. MOPD is responsible for formulating City policies concerning people with disabilities and for coordinating and overseeing City compliance with related laws. MOPD also disseminates information and promotes programs to ensure that the rights and interests of people with disabilities are protected and supported.

MOPD is under the leadership of a Commissioner appointed by the Mayor. The agency's executive staff also includes two Deputy Commissioners, one of whom is General Counsel and the other is the Chief Administrative Architect.

MOPD conducts a variety of activities, including:

Access: New York City's Human Rights Law is progressive, with wider coverage than the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). The accessibility portions of the City's new Building Code are comparable to and a forerunner of the accessibility standards in the ADA. MOPD examines waiver requests under the Building Code accessibility provisions and provides advice and training on accessibility matters.

Compliance: MOPD provides guidance to New York City agencies concerning the rights of individuals with disabilities and helps educate agencies to understand their responsibilities toward people with disabilities.

ADA Task Force: MOPD is the primary policy agency in this City-wide Task Force, which has responsibilities for formulating the City's approaches regarding compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), and for helping City agencies comply with the ADA.

Policy Unit: This unit is responsible for developing and coordinating City policy and programs to ensure the fullest possible participation in employment, services, and City-sponsored activities.

Housing Services: Provides basic fair housing information and referrals to people with disabilities and to housing providers. (See Volume 1, Part I., Action Plan, Program Descriptions for two housing service programs, Housing, Information and Education, and Project Open House.)

Training Sessions: MOPD holds training sessions for personnel from City agencies concerning compliance with the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, as well as with local human rights laws that prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability.

MOPD Resource Center: MOPD maintains a website that provides a wide array of resources, including its Disability Community Resource Network, a new online search tool for New Yorkers who want to find organizations that support people with disabilities; information regarding the Disabled Rent Increase Exemption, which provides a rent freeze to qualified disabled tenants; and information about city housing programs affecting the disabled community provided by other city agencies.

Affordable Housing Resource Center: MOPD is also a partner of the Affordable Housing Resource Center, a multi-agency partnership where the user will find information on all aspects of City housing, including renting an apartment, buying a home, and apartment maintenance issues.

The Commission on Human Rights

The Commission on Human Rights is the agency that enforces the New York City Human Rights Law. Fifteen Commissioners, representative of New York City's diverse communities are appointed by the mayor and serve without compensation. The CCHR Chair, who also holds the title of Commissioner, manages the day-to-day operations of the agency. In addition, the agency is managed by the Deputy Commissioner/General Counsel, the Deputy Commissioner for Community Relations, the Assistant Commissioner for Human Resources, the Executive Director of Law Enforcement, and the Director of Communications.

The Commission is mandated to "foster mutual understanding and respect among all racial, religious, and ethnic groups" and to "encourage equality of treatment for, and prevent discrimination against any group or its members." The City's Human Rights Law prohibits discrimination in employment, housing, and public accommodations on the basis of race, color, creed, age, national origin, alienage or citizenship status, gender (including gender identity and sexual harassment), sexual orientation, disability, marital status, and partnership status. In addition, the Law affords protection against discrimination in employment based on unemployment status, arrest or conviction record and status as a victim of domestic violence, stalking, and sex offenses. In housing, the Law affords additional protections based lawful occupation, family status (whether children are, may be, or would be residing with a person), and any lawful source of income. In addition, the City's law prohibits retaliation and bias-related harassment and requires the Commission to educate its constituents about cyberbullying.

The Commission on Human Rights has two programmatic bureaus.

Law Enforcement: This bureau investigates complaints from the public to determine whether probable cause exists to believe discrimination took place. The Bureau advances prosecution of cases when probable cause is found. If cases are not settled after the probable cause determination, they proceed to trial by the Law Enforcement Bureau. If cases are not settled during trial preparation or by conference judges at the Office of Administrative Trials and Hearings (OATH), they are heard by administrative law judges from OATH. After trial, the administrative law judge issues a Report and Recommendation and then a panel of three Commissioners reviews the report and recommendation and issues the Commission's Final Decision and Order. The Final Decision and Order either affirms, rejects, or modifies the recommendation from OATH. The Final Decision and Order is appealable to the New York State Supreme Court. The Law Enforcement Bureau also initiates complaints on its own and engages in testing to detect systemic discrimination. The Law Enforcement Bureau offers mediation in certain cases where both parties agree. If mediation is unsuccessful the cases where probable cause has been found proceed to trial.

Community Relations: This bureau consists of the Neighborhood Human Rights Program (NHRP). Located in all five boroughs, the NHRP's Community Service Centers conduct community and school-based education on conflict resolution, sexual harassment, cultural diversity, the Human Rights Law, peer mediation, and intergroup relations. In addition, the Centers address situations of community unrest by assisting groups with leadership development, mediation, and conflict resolution. Working with immigration advocacy groups, the Neighborhood Human Rights Program alerts immigrants to the protections provided them under the Human Rights Law and relevant federal laws and offers a curriculum for English-for-Speakers-of-Other-Languages,

developed with the assistance of grants from the US Department of Justice Office of Special Counsel for Immigrant Related Unfair Employment Practices, explaining immigrants' employment rights. Project Equal Access, educates senior citizens, health professionals, people with disabilities, and others about city, state, and federal laws regarding accessibility in housing, employment and public accommodations. The program staff also offers advocacy, investigation and resolution of cases where individuals request assistance in getting an owner to make a housing or public accommodation facility accessible. The Community Service Centers offer education on fair housing laws and prevention of discriminatory and predatory lending practices. A HUD-Certified Housing Counseling Agency, the Commission provides mortgage counseling for individuals facing foreclosure to help deter discrimination in lending and to detect predatory lending practices. As a result of a 2011 Memorandum of Understanding with HPD, the Commission began providing fair housing information at workshops for building owners and project sponsors receiving HPD financial assistance and HPD-sponsored neighborhood-based forums for tenants and home owners. This arrangement will continue in 2014.

The Fair Business Practice project educates local merchants on their responsibilities to provide discrimination-free services to their customers, to accommodate their place of business to people with disabilities, and to engage in fair hiring and employee relations. In recent years the NHRP has also concentrated on providing workshops at workforce development programs for people who have been out of the workforce and reentry programs for people who were formerly incarcerated. With the Law Enforcement Bureau, the Community Service Centers conduct systemic investigations of discrimination in housing and public accommodations. The Research Division provides information to direct and inform these program activities.

In addition to school- and community-based forums, the Commission sponsors periodic citywide public education events. Information from the citywide events is on the Commission website—<http://hwww.nyc.gov/cchr>. For example, "Fighting for Justice" features three short videos that were part of an event celebrating individuals' influential in New York City's formative role in the Civil Rights Movement. The Commission's educational and research materials are described on the website and many of them can be downloaded.

Department of City Planning

The Department of City Planning (DCP) is governed by a Director, who also serves as the Chairperson for the City Planning Commission. The Chair is responsible for the overall planning, direction and coordination of policy, while advising and assisting the Mayor on physical and public improvements related to the City's development. The Planning Commission is composed of 13 members (including the chair, seven are appointed by the Mayor; one by each of the five Borough Presidents, and one by the Public Advocate). The Commission's responsibilities include reviewing and approving amendments to the Zoning Resolution, and the City Map, as well as requests for special permits. The Commission also reviews all urban renewal and housing plans and projects, sales and leases of city-owned property, franchises, revocable consents, landfills and site selection. These actions are subject to review and approval by the City Council in accordance with Charter requirements.

The Department provides technical support to the Commission (and the Mayor) on matters related to planning and policy. City Planning was designated by the Mayor to be the lead agency in the Consolidated Plan application process. The Department coordinates the production of the Consolidated Plan, which includes information from more than 12 agencies concerning the use of HUD funding and priorities and objectives to meet the needs of low and moderate income persons in relationship to housing, homelessness, supportive housing services, and community development.

Under the Director of City Planning is the Executive Director, who is responsible for providing the framework for all planning activities, implementing of the agency's work program, and managing day-to-day processes of the Department. Working with the Executive Director are two Deputy Executive Directors: Strategic Planning; and Land Use and Environmental Review; and a Director Operations. The Executive Director also oversees the five Borough Planning Offices. The Strategic Planning Deputy Executive Director oversees the Department's functional planning divisions (Zoning and Urban Design; Planning Coordination; Housing, Economic and Infrastructure Planning; and Transportation) and coordinates land use planning policy based on the identification of key strategic planning issues. The Land Use and Environmental Review Deputy Executive Director is

responsible for the Land Use, Environmental Assessment and Review, Technical Review and Information Technology divisions. The Director of Studies Implementation is responsible for the implementation and management of an on-call environmental consultant contract to analyze large scale land use actions pursuant to the City Environmental Quality Review (CEQR) process. The Director of Operations is responsible for fiscal and administrative services relative to the day to day operations of the Department.

The Housing, Economic and Infrastructure Planning Division (HEIP) develops citywide plans and policies for housing, and conducts economic analyses and publishes information on the City's economy. In addition, the Division also analyzes infrastructure needs in the context of economic, demographic and social change. HEIP is responsible for the production and publication of the City of New York's Consolidated Plan and other reports mandated by the City Charter such as the Annual Report of Social Indicators.

To address the physical needs of communities, the Department of City Planning provides technical assistance on how to implement land use and zoning plans.

Department of Youth and Community Development

The Department of Youth and Community Development is the lead New York City agency for facilitating and administering youth and community development programs. DYCD supports youth and community development in New York City by funding a broad network of experienced community-based organizations throughout the City. Programs include Beacon Community Centers; corporate internships for youth; literacy programs; the Out-of-School Time Initiative; runaway and homeless youth outreach and services; the Summer Youth Employment Program; and, youth workforce development.

Community Development Division

Community Services Block Grant Program

The Department of Youth and Community Development is the designated Community Action Agency for New York City and as such is the recipient of federal Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) funds. New York City's CSBG program provides a broad range of services that combat poverty, offer emergency services to low-income people, and empower individuals to achieve self-sufficiency. These services revitalize low-income communities designated as Neighborhood Development Areas (NDAs). Funded programs include educational support, employment, and leadership activities for youth; literacy instruction; immigrant support; housing assistance; senior services; and support services for families. DYCD anticipates releasing a new RFP for NDA programs in fall 2013.

Literacy Programs

DYCD funds community-based organizations to provide literacy and language instruction to individuals 16 and older. Services for adults include Adult Basic Education (ABE), General Educational Development (GED), English for Speakers of Other Languages (ESOL), and Basic Education in the Native Language (BENL) classes. Many of the funded agencies also offer counseling, computer training, career education, and employment assistance. DYCD anticipates releasing a comprehensive literacy services RFP in fall 2013, which will include enhanced funding for literacy services for young immigrants who need help to qualify for the federal government's Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA) program.

Immigrant Services

DYCD contracts with community-based organizations throughout New York City to provide services and support to immigrants and their communities. These programs serve newcomers who migrate and settle in New York City by assisting them with access to government benefits; legal assistance, including assistance with matters related to citizenship and immigration status; education and employment; health care; and social services.

Fatherhood Program

DYCD's Fatherhood Initiative helps fathers reconnect with their children and develop essential parenting skills. DYCD offers fatherhood programming in three distinct categories: Young Fathers (16-24 years old), Older

Fathers (over the age of 24), and Fathers with Prior Involvement in the Criminal Justice System. Programs offer employment assistance as well as support services including individual and family counseling, mediation and conflict resolution training, assistance arranging child visitation, peer counseling and father-to-father mentoring, GED or ESOL or referrals, and college preparation.

Youth Services Division

The Youth Services Division supports a variety of youth activities, including academic support, GED and ESOL classes, structured recreation and athletics, cultural enrichment, counseling, adolescent health care, substance abuse prevention, and runaway and homeless programs through a combination of City, State, and Federal funding.

Out-of-School Time Initiative

The DYCD Out-of-School Time (OST) Initiative started in July 2005. It is the largest municipally funded after-school system in the nation, offering a balanced mix of academic support, sports and recreational activities, the arts, and cultural experiences. OST programs, run by community-based organizations, provide free, safe programs in every neighborhood in New York City. Programs are open after school, during holidays, and in the summer and provide separate programs for elementary, middle school, and high school students. In 2009 two additional programs were added: the High School Transition Program now assists students entering grade 9 with the transition from middle school and the Transition to Adulthood Program targets low-income students in grades 11 and 12 to help them acquire the skills and behaviors they need for success in the adult world. In FY13, \$120.4 million was budgeted for 524 programs and a projected enrollment of 56,498 participants.

Beacon Community Centers

City tax-levy and CDBG funds provide funding to 80 Beacon Community Centers located in public schools in low- and moderate-income areas of the City. Beacon centers operate in the afternoons and evenings, on weekends, during school holidays and vacation periods, and during the summer. They provide a range of activities for children and adolescents, including literacy activities, tutoring, college prep, sports, and recreation, emphasizing services for middle school participants. Beacon Centers also provide services for families and adults, including GED and ESOL classes, parenting skills workshops, and tenant education and advocacy.

Cornerstone Initiative

The Cornerstone initiative provides year-round programming for young people and adults at 25 NYCHA Community Centers. Cornerstone youth programs are designed to help participants acquire the skills and attitudes they need to graduate from high school, succeed in their chosen career, and give back to the community. Typical youth activities include tutoring, homework help, project-based learning opportunities, high school and college prep, math clubs, photography, chess, basketball, and martial arts. Cornerstone adult programs are designed to enhance skills and promote social interaction, community engagement, and physical activity. Typical adult activities include GED and ESOL classes, and instruction on parenting skills, family relations, tenant education and advocacy. Cornerstone programs also offer intergenerational programming. The Cornerstone initiative was shaped by input from young people, NYCHA residents, Resident Association leaders, elected officials, and principals at schools that serve youth who live in the participating developments.

Youth Employment Programs

Youth employment services include year-round In-School Youth (ISY) and Out-of-School Youth (OSY) Programs, the Summer Youth Employment Program (SYEP), and the Neighborhood Development Area (NDA) High-School-Aged Youth Program. Each of these programs incorporates youth development principles which prepare young people to meet the challenges of adolescence and adulthood through a coordinated, progressive series of activities and experiences that help them to develop socially, morally, emotionally, physically, and cognitively. The ISY Program provides occupational skills, employment services, and educational opportunities to low-income high school juniors and seniors. The OSY Program provides educational and employment services to low-income youth aged 16-21 who are not enrolled in school and need assistance with basic skills and GED attainment, employment skills, and placement in jobs, advanced training, or secondary education. During July and August, SYEP offers up to seven weeks of summer employment to young people between the

ages of 14 and 21. The NDA High-School-Aged Youth Program serves both in-school and out-of-school youth who are not working through age 21. Programs assist participants to attain high school or GED diplomas, develop personal and workplace skills, and gain exposure to career and higher education options.

NYC Ladders for Leaders

NYC Ladders for Leaders began as a joint initiative of DYCD and the Commission on Women's Issues, merging two successful youth internship pilot projects: CAPITAL (Corporate Allies Program of Internships, Training, and Leadership) and the NYC GirlsREACH and BoysREACH programs. Participants receive pre-employment training administered by community-based providers before starting a seven-week summer internship at partnering companies, which underwrite the program by paying internship wages. The internship experience is complemented by workshops focusing on work readiness, college orientation, health, leadership development, and other issues. Beginning with the SYEP RFP issued in October 2012, this initiative has now been incorporated as a component of DYCD's SYEP portfolio.

2. Non-profits

The City could not successfully implement or fulfill its housing goals and programs without the assistance and support of the many local and city-wide non-profit organizations engaged in housing development. Non-profit organizations play a critical role in the City's housing development initiatives in several ways: - they perform advocacy work on behalf of community residents, which serves to prevent tenant displacement and owner abandonment; - they are involved in the community and knowledgeable about the neighborhood, assisting City agencies in designing and implementing programs that respond to a community's needs; - they often provide the development expertise and technical assistance needed to bring projects to fruition, and also conduct the monitoring and/or certifications necessary at a project's completion; and - they provide the social/community service components required with many housing programs. Non-profit organizations have a long, positive history of cooperation with City housing agencies and it is the City's intention to continue this highly cooperative relationship. In recognition of the expertise and commitment shown by these organizations, the City seeks to expand opportunities for non-profit organizations to engage in housing development and management activities. Currently, the City and not-for-profit organizations are working together in several HPD programs. Neighborhood Entrepreneurs Program, Supportive Housing Loan Program, Mutual Housing Program, Special Initiatives Program, the Neighborhood Ownership Works Program and the Mutual Housing Association of New York are just some of the programs in which not-for-profit organizations are actively involved as project developers, owners and managers. The City also uses non-profit groups to provide consulting, technical assistance and monitoring services under several HPD programs: Neighborhood Preservation Consultants Program, Tenant Interim Lease Program, and Neighborhood Entrepreneurs Program. Nonprofits also operate the bulk of the emergency housing system for families, as well as assisting the City in providing services to relocated families. Lastly, HPD works with non-profit organizations which serves as conduits to the private sector (see Private Industry section below).

3. Private Industry

The City of New York works with private industry in a number of ways in order to produce and improve low-income housing. First, private firms serve as general contractors or subcontractors on City assisted rehabilitation and new construction sites. Second, private institutions frequently provide rehabilitation/construction financing for assisted housing projects and/or "end loans" to prospective buyers of affordable homes. Third, many of the City assisted substantial rehabilitation or new construction projects are undertaken by private developers who own the property, secure the financing, manage the construction, and market the units (either as rental property or as homeownership units, depending on the individual program). Fourth, private owners may use City assistance in order to renovate their buildings. Fifth, private firms often manage the properties that are developed with City assistance. In addition to the more conventional public/private partnerships, the City has also developed a number of innovative ways to redirect private resources toward low-income housing ventures. The City currently targets special incentives including real estate tax abatements and exemptions as well as increased zoning rights to private developers who agree to construct or improve low-income rental housing. In

addition, HPD works with several nonprofit organizations that serve as a conduit to the private sector: Nehemiah; New York City Partnership; Local Initiatives Support Corporation; Low-Income Investment Fund; National Cooperative Bank; Community Capital Bank; Neighborhood Housing Services; and the Enterprise Foundation.

G. Governmental Coordination and Consultation

This section describes the coordination of housing, homeless assistance, supportive housing services and community development within the City of New York, among public and assisted housing providers, private and governmental health, mental health, and service agencies on the three levels of government (local, state, federal).

For the required description of the consultation and coordination among municipalities receiving HOPWA formula grant funds within the New York, New York Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA) please refer to Part I, Section D2., Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for Special Needs Populations, b. Persons with HIV/AIDS.

The programs, funding and other actions described in the Consolidated Plan are administered by twelve City agencies which compose the Consolidated Plan committee chaired by the Department of City Planning. Each agency is responsible for a particular aspect of the creation and maintenance of affordable housing, the implementation of supportive housing programs and the coordination of community development programs.

The Committee members work closely with the Mayor's Office of Intergovernmental Relations and the Washington Office. Together the agencies and the Intergovernmental Office work to inform and negotiate New York City issues relating to the City's housing policy and program activities with the Governor's office and the State legislature as well as the U.S. Congress and HUD.

Below and in the sections titled, Citizen Participation Plan and Institutional Structure are descriptions of the City's community interactive process with public and private agencies, and health and social service agencies. The City's coordinated lead-based paint abatement programs have been previously discussed in the section titled: "Elimination and Treatment of Lead-Based Paint Hazards."

Activities in New York City Housing Authority housing projects and programs which encourage residents to become more involved in management and to participate in homeownership are described elsewhere in this document in the section entitled; "New York City Housing Authority".

The following describes the interaction the Committee Agencies have with the not-for-profit and other governmental entities in carrying out services. Additional information describing coordination has been included in the Institutional Structure section and is not repeated here, please refer to that chapter for more information.

Department of Housing Preservation and Development

The New York City Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD) is the largest municipal developer of affordable housing in the nation. In 2003, Mayor Michael R. Bloomberg launched his affordable housing program, the *New Housing Marketplace Plan* (NHMP), marking the start of the largest investment in the City's housing stock in 20 years. To date, this plan has committed \$4.4 billion in direct subsidy lending and leveraged \$19.4 billion to finance the creation or preservation of more than 130,000 affordable units, on the way to the goal of 165,000 homes and apartments by the close of the 2014 fiscal year.

HPD partners with other City, State and federal government agencies and not-for-profit organizations in all of the work that it does to create, preserve and ensure the ongoing viability of affordable housing in New York City. Examples of such partnerships include the following:

- **NYC Housing Authority**: ongoing coordination around the Section 8 program—also known as the Housing Choice Voucher Program—as well as a growing development partnership through our Division of New Construction.

- NYC Economic Development Corporation: co-development of projects including Coney Island, Hunters Point South, and Hudson Yards, among others.
- NYC Department of Citywide Planning: rezoning of areas to facilitate appropriate residential and mixed-use development.
- NYC Department of Finance: development and administration of tax incentives programs designed to promote new construction and rehabilitation of affordable housing.
- NYC Department of Citywide Administrative Services: coordination to identify surplus property for transfer to HPD for development as affordable housing.
- NYC Department of Transportation: coordination to identify surplus property for transfer to HPD for development as affordable housing.
- NYC Health and Hospitals Corporation: coordination to identify surplus property for transfer to HPD for development as affordable housing.
- NYC Department of Education: coordination to identify surplus property for transfer to HPD for development as affordable housing, including several outmoded school buildings.
- NYC Department of Health & Mental Hygiene (DOHMH): DOHMH is the agency responsible for tracking all children tested for lead poisoning. DOHMH inspects the child's residence to identify possible sources of lead exposure. If lead paint hazards are identified, DOHMH orders the owner of the property to abate any lead paint hazards found. If the landlord fails to correct the condition, a referral is made to HPD's Emergency Repair Program (ERP) Unit. Upon verification that the property owner has failed to comply, ERP assigns a contractor to abate the condition. Both ERP and DOHMH inspect completed work to verify that the condition has been corrected.
- NYC Department of Homeless Services: partnership to develop permanent housing for homeless New Yorkers.
- NYC Housing Development Corporation: Created by the New York State Legislature in 1971 as a supplementary and alternative means of supplying financing for affordable housing that was independent from the City's capital budget, HDC's sole purpose is to finance the preservation and development of multifamily housing in New York City. With the creation of the NHMP in 2003, Mayor Bloomberg recommitted HDC's resources to affordable housing. Since 2003, HDC has raised more than \$6.7 billion in financing for HPD developments, not including providing in excess of \$1 billion in subsidy from its corporate reserves.

Department of Homeless Services

The Department of Homeless Services (DHS) works closely with many City, State and Federal agencies. The City agencies that DHS collaborates with include: the Human Resources Administration (HRA), the Department of Housing Preservation and Development (HPD), the New York City Housing Authority (NYCHA), the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene (DOHMH), the Administration for Children's Services (ACS), the Department of Education (DOE), the Department of Correction (DOC), the New York City Police Department (NYPD), and the Department of Youth and Community Development (DYCD), the Mayor's Office to Combat Domestic Violence (MOCDV), the Mayor's Office of Veterans' Affairs (MOVA), NYS Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA), Office of Mental Health (OMH), the NYS Office of Alcoholism and Substance Abuse Services (OASAS), and the US Department of Veterans Affairs.

DHS also works closely with the non-profit provider community and other governmental agencies through the New York City Coalition on the Continuum of Care (NYC CCoC). There are almost 100 non-profit grantees that coordinate the renewals of their HUD Continuum of Care grants through DHS. In addition, DHS sits as co-chair of the NYC CCoC Steering Committee and is one of the eight government voting members on this committee. Through this partnership and coordination with these non-profit agencies, DHS has supported individual programs in their HUD NOFA application and grant management processes. The goal of these efforts is to provide the widest possible range of services to homeless people, secure maximum available state and federal funds and minimize waste and duplication among service providers.

HRA works with DHS to assist clients to remain in the community and avoid shelter entry, if possible. HRA staff provides diversion services at the family and single adult intake centers. The two agencies also work closely to help clients access temporary cash assistance and other mainstream resources as well employment services to help them exit shelter and transition to independent living.

DHS partners with the Veteran's Administration to combat homelessness among veterans. The VA is an active, voting member of the Continuum of Care. In December 2006, NYC and the federal Department of Veterans Affairs developed the Operation Home Task Force to end veteran homelessness in New York City. In 2008, a DHS-VA jointly operated Multi-Service Center where homeless veterans can access federal benefits and housing assistance was opened. DHS has two Veteran specific facilities serving homeless veterans, and in 2009 DHS opened a 40 bed Safe Haven designated to street homeless Veterans that was co-funded by the VA and DHS. In 2011, the VA took on full funding responsibility for the 40 bed vet Safe Haven. The City's community-based prevention providers have expertise in serving veterans and are targeting this population to prevent veteran homelessness.

DHS collaborates with DOHMH, daily, in a myriad of ways. Examples include the following: In an effort to reduce mortality and morbidity in a substance-abusing population within the shelter system, DHS, began, in 2009, in collaboration with DOHMH, to pilot Harm Reduction protocols (Opioid Overdose Prevention and Response and Syringe Access, Provision, and Disposal) in single adult shelters. From November 2011 through July 2012, DHS' Agency Medical Director, as an Affiliated Prescriber in DOHMH's Opiate Overdose Prevention Program, trained 190 DHS Police and 220 staff, serving Street Homeless individuals, in the administration of naloxone, an opioid antagonist, to reverse opiate overdoses. An additional 105 DHS Police received refreshers, from Certified Police Trainers, and were prescribed naloxone by the Medical Director. In total, since 2010, DHS, with DOHMH, has trained 1347 staff, serving homeless individuals. All graduating classes of DHS Police are now required to become Opioid Overdose Responders; each spring they are individually recognized for their life-saving efforts at the annual Medals Ceremony.

DHS works, frequently, with Office of Chief Medical Examiner (OCME) staff, which notifies the Agency Medical Director, of every homeless death in the City. DOHMH, then, compiles data for quarterly review and Annual Reports, as required under Local Law 63, which DHS and DOHMH supported extending, in January 2012. This data, then, drives DHS' health-related interventions, such as targeted outreach protocols, infant safe sleep initiatives, and the opioid overdose protocols, referenced, above.

DHS also collaborates with the Administration for Children's Services (ACS) by conducting computer data matches of clients who utilize both services. ACS staff are also on-site at DHS' family intake center, Path. DHS and ACS work together to increase interagency coordination and communication to fully address the needs of homeless families with child welfare involvement.

DHS is a member of the Inter-agency Coordinating Council on Youth hosted by the Department of Youth and Community Development. The goal of the Council is to ensure that there is a collaboration and coordination of service delivery to the youth population in NYC.

Since January 2004, DHS has been matching information with the Department of Correction's (DOC) monthly discharges to the DHS single adult data base system in order to monitor who enters the homeless shelter system.

The discharge planning workgroups have been using matched data to look at frequent users, short-term stayers and the sentences served by these clients.

In FY10, DHS and DOC also developed an intervention on Rikers Island to address the high levels of DHS shelter admissions from discharge to as well as high recidivism rates to the city's jails. The DOC makes referrals to DHS's homelessness prevention service provider on site, who then assesses and engages the client with mediation services, long term housing assistance, and linkages to post-discharge community services.

In FY11 DHS and the New York City Department of Probation began exploring ways to integrate homelessness prevention with probation services and is planning for a co-location pilot in one prevention center to begin in FY12.

As part of its mission, DHS works to prevent homelessness. Currently, DHS provides legal representation, to eligible tenants facing housing court proceedings. In 2008, in an effort to help prevent homelessness, DHS initiated collaboration with the Office of Court Administration (OCA), the administrative body for the New York City Housing Courts. In 2012, DHS set up joint court-house offices with HRA and DHS legal services providers to help intervene with former shelter residents at-risk of returning to shelter.

In 2012, DHS identified the schools experiencing the highest numbers of homeless children and initiated a project with the Department of Education whereby the specific schools were targeted for services by the Homebase homelessness prevention program.

In 2013, DHS is working with HRA to identify former shelter clients who are at risk of eviction in the community and can qualify for a housing subsidy funded by state Medicaid savings.

DHS partners with DOHMH to provide Outreach and Housing Placement Services in the City. A key component of the outreach programs is addressing encampment sites. City Agencies such as the Parks Department, Sanitation, Buildings, Transportation, and the NYPD's Homeless Outreach Unit (HOU) partner with DHS on encampments. The goal of this work is to place clients into transitional housing and to clean and secure the areas where they were formerly staying. In addition to the agencies listed above DHS also collaborates with the MTA closely on their MTA Connections Outreach Program.

DHS has worked with the Department of Education (DOE) to increase interagency collaboration to address the educational needs of homeless children. DHS seeks to place families in shelter locations that best accommodate the school needs of their children. DOE provides staff on site at some shelters to operate after school homework and study groups. DHS is also participating in a Task Force initiated by City Hall in June 2010 to address school attendance across the City and is working closely with DOE to share data on the attendance of children residing in shelter. In 2010, DOE was elected an At-Large Voting member of the NYC Continuum of Care and remains in that seat today.

DHS works, closely, with the Mayor's Office to Combat Domestic Violence, NYC Human Resources Administration ("HRA"), Family Justice Centers, the New York State Office for the Prevention of Domestic Violence, in a collaborative effort to identify and help victims of domestic violence, who enter DHS shelters. Staff is trained, by FJC NYS Office for the Prevention of Domestic Violence, in how to recognize domestic violence, how to talk to domestic violence victims, and where to refer domestic violence victims for services. All new classes of DHS Police Officers are, now, required to receive MOCDV/FJC training in Domestic Violence.

DHS' Agency Medical Director participates on the Fatality Review Committees of MOCDV, DOHMH (Child Fatality Review Committee), and, when necessary, the ACS Accountability Review Panel.

DHS has partnered, actively, with DOHMH, HRA, and State Department of Health, in the implementation of Managed Medicaid for homeless populations. Beginning in November of 2011, DHS planned, with HRA and

DOHMH, for the rollout of Managed Medicaid, to homeless populations, after April 1, 2012,. The rollout is, now, complete. Currently, weekly matches with NY Medicaid Choice identify those homeless clients, who are on track to be auto-assigned to a health care plan. Efforts are made on the shelter level, to make sure these clients contact NY Medicaid Choice to select the best and most suitable health plan for them, before auto-assignment takes place.

DHS is, currently, collaborating with HRA's Adult Protective Services (APS) to identify APS clients in the shelter system, in a joint effort to case manage these clients and expedite their placement into permanent housing, with necessary supports. Both agencies are working on creating a monthly data match, for quicker identification of APS clients, residing in DHS shelter system; additionally, a quarterly report, listing all clients, under DHS care, who have Community Guardians, is already occurring.

Department of Health and Mental Hygiene

The Department of Health and Mental Hygiene benefits from the input of the New York City Board of Health of which the Commissioner is Chair, as well as the Board of Directors of the Health and Hospitals Corporation, Public Health Solutions, the Greater New York Hospital Association, and the SSEF Foundation. Advisory Boards include the Community Services Board, the Federation of Mental Health, Mental Retardation and Alcoholism Services, the Local Early Intervention Coordinating Council, the HIV Planning Group, the Ryan White Planning Council and others.

For a discussion on the Department's BHAPC and consultation of HOPWA-related activities, please refer to Part I, Section D.2., Supportive Housing Continuum of Care for Special Needs Populations, b. Persons with HIV/AIDS.

To meet its responsibility under the City Charter and the State Mental Hygiene Law for planning, contracting, monitoring and evaluating local mental hygiene services, the Department administers over 1,200 mental hygiene programs through a network of not-for-profit community-based organizations and City agencies. The Division of Mental Hygiene plans the development of its services by working closely with the State Office of Mental Health, the City's Community Boards, its fifteen borough planning councils, as well as consumers and advocates via public hearings and focus groups. In planning services for mentally ill homeless individuals, the Division also collaborates with the Mayor's Office, the Departments of Homeless Services, Housing Preservation and Development, the Human Resources Administration, the Department for the Aging, the Department of Correction and the Health and Hospitals Corporation, as well as the New York City Housing Authority. The Division also conducts conferences, annual ceremonies and other events to solicit consumer, advocate and public input.

Human Resources Administration

The Administrator/Commissioner of the Human Resources Administration is, ex officio, a member of the Boards of the Health and Hospitals Corporation, the Health Systems Agency, and each of the eight economic development zones. The agency participates in the consultative process required to develop an area-wide strategy for the Housing Opportunities for People with AIDS Program, and also works collaboratively with the Department of Homeless Services.

The AIDS programs funded by HOPWA NY NY and other funding streams include Facility Development and Facility Operations, Case Management, Housing Placement and Housing Services. The services HRA provides through HASA are closely coordinated with services provided by other agencies. HRA works closely with DOHMH's Bureau of HIV/AIDS Services which is responsible for planning and coordinating public policy and programs regarding AIDS in New York City. HRA's Office of Domestic Violence also works closely with other agencies as well as the Mayor's Commission to Combat Family Violence.

HRA and the Department of Homeless Services (DHS) have formed an impressive collaboration through the implementation of the NoVA (No Violence Again) Program. HRA's NoVA staff are located at the DHS shelter

intake location(s). DHS staff refer clients who are presenting with issues related to domestic violence to HRA's NoVA staff. The clients are assessed and if eligible, they are referred to domestic violence shelters or to DHS shelters with NoVA caseworkers who provide domestic violence support services. This collaboration helps to ensure the clients' safety and helps connect them to domestic violence services.

Department for the Aging

The overall mission of the agency is to work for the empowerment, independence, dignity and quality-of-life of New York City's diverse older adults and for the support of their families through advocacy, education and the coordination and delivery of services. As the largest federal Area Agency on Aging in the country, DFTA produces a Four Year Plan, updated through an Annual Plan Summary, which outlines needs and plans in all areas of services to the elderly, including community development and housing. The Plan is available on the DFTA website. Public hearings are held annually in each borough on the Plan, which provides an opportunity for comment from the community.

DFTA also meets with community boards and participates in regular meetings with a Senior Advisory Council to further identify and address needs. These councils comprise a variety of community-based organizations and representatives. In addition, the Department's Office of External Affairs regularly seeks input, feedback, and ideas from local communities.

DFTA collaborates with other government agencies, service providers, the private sector, and elderly consumers to plan and advocate for housing resources that will meet the changing financial and physical needs and environmental preferences of the City's diverse and growing older population.

Of DFTA's 248 contracted senior center sites, 71 are located in New York City Housing Authority facilities located throughout the five boroughs. DFTA also funds eight NORC (Naturally Occurring Retirement Communities) sites in NYCHA developments.

The Assigned Counsel Project (ACP) is a joint collaborative effort between the Department for the Aging and the Civil Court of the City of New York. ACP provides legal representation to older adults at risk of eviction from their homes and also to seniors who wish to commence a proceeding against tenants/subtenants on whom they are dependent for income. The primary goals of ACP are to preserve current housing, advocate for alternative housing options and address the immediate short-term social service needs of the older adult litigants.

The Senior Housing Initiatives Unit works with other governmental and non-profit agencies to augment services that enable seniors to continue living safely at home and to provide current information on low-income senior housing in NYC.

Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities

The Mayor's Office for People with Disabilities (MOPD) was established in 1973, and is responsible for formulating City policies concerning people with disabilities, and for coordinating and overseeing City compliance with related laws. MOPD also disseminates information and promotes programs to ensure that the rights and interests of people with disabilities are protected and supported. The Office works with various levels of government, non-profit service providers, advocacy groups and individuals in the formulation of City policies, and in some cases, assists in implementing them, e.g., MOPD's involvement with HPD in developing a separate lottery for apartments set aside for people with disabilities as required by Section 504 of the Federal Rehabilitation Act.

As noted in the section covering Institutional Structure, MOPD is a member of the City-wide ADA Task Force, which has the responsibility for formulating the City's approach regarding compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) and for helping City agencies to comply with the ADA. The ADA Task Force consists of representatives from each City agency. It addresses, among other things, the City's programs, benefits and services, including construction of housing such as the Department of Homeless Services' shelters and the Human Resources Administration's domestic violence shelters. The ADA Task Force is also concerned with

the provision of services for people with disabilities in these programs and housing related programs provided by HPD.

MOPD provides basic fair housing information and referrals to people with disabilities and to housing providers. (See Volume 1, Part I., Action Plan, Program Descriptions for two housing service programs, Housing, Information and Education, and Project Open House.) MOPD also holds training sessions for personnel from City agencies concerning compliance with the ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, as well as with local human rights laws that prohibit discrimination on the basis of disability.

Further, MOPD maintains a website that provides a wide array of resources, including its Disability Community Resource Network, an online search tool for New Yorkers who want to find organizations that support people with disabilities; information regarding the Disabled Rent Increase Exemption, which provides a rent freeze to qualified disabled tenants; and information about city housing programs affecting the disabled community provided by other city agencies.

MOPD is also a partner of the Affordable Housing Resource Center, a multi-agency partnership where the user will find information on all aspects of City housing, including renting an apartment, buying a home, and apartment maintenance issues.

Department of Youth and Community Development

The commissioner of the Department of Youth and Community Development (DYCD) directs the Inter-agency Coordinating Council on Youth (ICC), a Charter-mandated entity which was created to ensure that there is a collaboration and coordination of service delivery among all City agencies serving the youth population in NYC. In addition to quarterly ICC meetings, ICC work groups meet on a regular basis to address topical issues and concerns that cut across agencies.

DYCD, which serves as the Youth Bureau for the City of New York, also provides services to runaway and homeless youth (RHY) ages 16-24 through a network of non-profit community-based organizations. These organizations are chosen to receive funding through a competitive Request for Proposals (RFP) process. The New York City Council has enhanced the RHY contractor awards by adding additional funding to this program area. This portfolio of contracts is funded by a combination of City tax levy funds and State funds provided through the NYS Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) and includes Emergency Shelter Grants through the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development.

A DYCD employee, the director overseeing DYCD- and City Council-funded RHY contracts, is currently designated to serve as the State-mandated RHY services coordinator for New York City. RHY service providers are convened on a monthly basis by DYCD, and these providers and DYCD staff meet regularly with representatives of OCFS. Working groups of the ICC focus on the needs of particular populations, including LGBTQ youth, who are disproportionately represented among RHY. The ICC working groups are open to participation by non-profit service providers and program participants, as well as representatives of City agencies.

In January 2013, in collaboration with the NYC Department of Homeless Services (DHS), the U.S. Interagency Council on Homelessness, and the U.S. Departments of Housing and Urban Development, Health and Human Services and Education, DYCD participated in the first Youth Count in New York City. New York City was identified as one of nine cities nationwide to integrate the counting of homeless youth into its annual point-in-time count of homeless individuals, known as the Hope Count. The purpose of the Youth Count was to help identify the number of homeless youth who are living on the street as well as those residing in city shelters. From January 28 at 10:00 pm until 4:00 am January 29, volunteers from various city agencies and non-profits administered the Youth Count surveys at seven locations throughout New York City. The final number of youth counted -- 1,420 -- was compiled in collaboration with DHS. Over the coming months, DYCD will continue to collaborate on efforts to improve and carry out the next Youth Count, which is anticipated to occur in January 2014.

DYCD collaborates with the Administration for Children's Services (ACS) and the Human Resources Agency to compile planning documents for OCFS, and coordinates various services with ACS, including the NYC PINS process and placement of ACS family services at 16 Beacon Community Center sites. The agency also works closely with the NYC Department of Education and the NYC Housing Authority (NYCHA) to support DYCD-funded afterschool, service learning, mentoring and community programming. Most significantly, DYCD administers the Bloomberg Administrations' Out of-School Time (OST) initiative, which comprises a network of over 500 programs, 400 located in DOE schools, 90 in community based-organizations or non-DOE schools, 21 in NYCHA facilities and 11 NYC Parks Recreation Centers. In addition, Cornerstone community programs for youth, teens, and adults are provided at 25 NYCHA community centers, and it is anticipated that the Cornerstone initiative will be expanded to additional NYCHA community centers in the coming year.

DYCD also is the designated Community Action Agency for New York City and as such is the recipient of federal Community Services Block Grant (CSBG) funds, which are overseen by the New York State Department of State. New York City's CSBG program provides a broad range of services that combat poverty, offer emergency services to low-income people, and empower individuals to achieve self-sufficiency. These services revitalize low-income communities, designated as Neighborhood Development Areas (NDAs). Funded programs include economic development, youth and adult employment, literacy instruction, immigrant support, fatherhood, housing assistance, senior services, health care, academic support and youth leadership activities.

Youth employment, internship and literacy programs for low-income youth who are out of school and not working are also jointly planned by DYCD and the NYC Center for Economic Opportunity (CEO). (See Part II.E., regarding anti-poverty strategies.)

Administration for Children's Services

The Administration for Children's Services works closely with partners in all levels of government to assist and support birth parents, foster parents and young people in obtaining housing. Our goal is to help families remain safely together, reunify families after children have come into foster care, and support youth transitioning out of the foster care system.

On a City level, ACS works with the Department of Homeless Services, the Department of Youth and Community Development, the Department of Education, the Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, the New York City Housing Authority, the Housing Preservation Department, and the Human Resource Administration to address issues and exchange information in an effort to improve outcomes for young people living in foster care and aging out of the system.

In August 2002, ACS worked with NYCHA to create the Family Unification Priority (FUP) Code Program which gives a priority admission code for NYCHA's Section 8 housing vouchers to qualified ACS families whose only impediment to reunification was housing. Through this program, ACS was able to help obtain a voucher for qualified families served by ACS, which would help keep families together when appropriate and safe, and reduce the amount of time some children may have spent in foster care. In addition, the Administration created, a priority admission code program to help secure Section 8 vouchers for young adults leaving foster care who have a goal of Independent Living. ACS, in cooperation with the New York City Housing Authority, has also established a priority access admission code to NYCHA Public Housing units for our families and youth aging out of care. This program offers our families a reliable option to obtain stable, affordable housing so that they can be reunified with their children in care or in the case of young adults leaving foster care, an opportunity to achieve a goal of independent living. ACS and NYCHA share information so that ACS staff can track the progress of applications for housing to ensure families and youth have submitted appropriate and complete applications. In December of 2009 the NYCHA Section 8 program was put on hold, effectively closing an avenue of support for families reunifying with children who are about to leave foster care, and those youth who are transitioning from foster care to independent living in the communities of New York City.

ACS also collaborates with the Department of Homeless services (DHS) in identifying available housing for our families. Between the two agencies is a continual exchange of information to identify families who come in

contact with both systems and to work together to determine the best services to be put in place to support such families entering and leaving shelter. ACS staff are located at PATH so that they can help DHS identify the families known to child welfare, and ensure services are maintained and that children remain safe.

ACS is a full partner in the NY/NY III initiative, a partnership between New York State and New York City to provide supportive housing for youth aging out of care who need help in maintaining an apartment. ACS is the placement entity for the youth beds. NY/NY III is supportive housing for special distinct populations. These populations include but are not limited to; chronically homeless single adults with disabilities, families in which the head of households has been diagnosed with disabilities and youth transitioning out of Foster Care. Supportive housing is affordable housing with a social services component. This housing will be either (1) 'congregate' or (2) 'scattered site', in which individual apartments are rented from existing market housing throughout the city. In 2008, 200 units (100 congregate and 100 "scattered" sites) have been dedicated to NYC young people to ensure that they have adequate housing and support upon leaving our care.

New York City Empowerment Zone

The New York Empowerment Zone (NYEZ) is an economic development initiative that uses public funds and tax incentives to encourage private investment and job creation in Upper Manhattan and the Bronx. The NYEZ's goal is to provide its residents with the necessary tools to revitalize their communities and build new roads to economic self-sufficiency.

Congress enacted the Empowerment Zone Program as part of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1993 to stimulate economic growth in distressed areas. The New York Empowerment Zone began operations in January 1996. New York City has committed \$100 million to the New York Empowerment Zone, as have the State and Federal governments, for an aggregate of \$300 million.

The Zone is governed by The New York Empowerment Zone Corporation, which is maintained by the City and State. The NYEZ Corporation Board of Directors consists of designees of the City, State, 16th Congressional District, 15th Congressional District, the Upper Manhattan Empowerment Zone Development Corporation, and the Bronx Overall Economic Development Corporation.

The fundamental mission of the Corporation is to assist the two local development corporations: The Upper Manhattan Empowerment Zone Development Corporation (UMEZ), representing the Upper Manhattan portion of the Zone, consisting of West, Central and East Harlem, Washington Heights and Inwood, and the Bronx Overall Economic Development Corporation (BOEDC), representing the Bronx portion of the Zone consisting of High Bridge, Mott Haven, Port Morris and Hunts Point. The Corporation facilitates the cooperation and engagement of State and City government entities in order to achieve strategic plan goals with regard to budgeting and payment of committed funds. Since the Zone was designated, the NYEZ Corporation has approved and committed over \$220 million in loans and grants for implementation of initiatives and projects.

Local Development Corporation Responsibilities

The Upper Manhattan Empowerment Zone Development Corporation (UMEZ) and the Bronx Overall Economic Development Corporation (BOEDC) are the two designated Local Development Corporations that develop and administer initiatives in the Zone. Their mission is to expand the range and scope of economic activity, enhance capital opportunity for local businesses and institutions and improve the quality of life for residents, workers and visitors. Their duties include: 1) developing initiatives; 2) evaluating and modifying their Strategic Plans; 3) providing opportunities for involvement of the community; 4) selecting service providers and vendors for Zone programs and projects consistent with procurement rules; and 5) monitoring performance.

Businesses of all sizes in the Zone benefit from its available resources. In addition to attracting large, national retail companies to Harlem and the South Bronx, EZ investments in small businesses increase their access to capital and provide technical assistance, in order to create jobs for local residents and address neighborhood retail needs. The EZ has also targeted the stabilization and growth of cultural institutions in Harlem and the Bronx as part of an overall strategy to re-energize these areas as unique cultural and shopping destinations.

UMEZ and BOEDC have created more than 9,000 jobs in the Empowerment Zone as of December 31, 2010.

UMEZ Activities in the Empowerment Zone

UMEZ is guided by a four-pronged strategy: 1) strengthening arts and cultural organizations to drive tourism, 2) making large-scale business investments in major real estate projects, 3) providing access to capital and technical assistance to small business, and 4) funding workforce development programs.

- National Jazz Museum – Authorization of \$600,000 grant for capacity-building project and support the organization's staffing and overall development.
- East Harlem Business Capital Corporation – Authorization of \$507,500 grant to provide free based services for TA, draft business plans and perform business workshops for small businesses in East Harlem.
- Audubon Partnership for Economic Development – Authorization of \$453,900 grant to provide free based services for TA, draft business plans and perform business workshops for small businesses in Washington Heights and Inwood.
- Harlem Business Alliance – Authorization of \$451,400 grant to provide free based services for TA, draft business plans and perform business workshops for small businesses in Central Harlem.
- New York Women's Chamber of Commerce – Authorization of \$451,200 grant to provide free based services for TA, draft business plans and perform business workshops for small businesses in East Harlem.
- Northern Manhattan Arts Alliance – Authorization of \$250,000 grant to support progress towards long term sustainability.
- Hot Bread Kitchen, LTD – Authorization of \$150,000 for a two year grant to establish two programs – Project Launch and HBK Incubates to assist entrepreneurs.
- Firelight Media, Inc. – Authorization of \$225,000 grant to develop and implement a revenue generating strategy.
- Harlem Arts Alliance – Authorization of \$250,000 grant to support its long-term sustainability.
- 260-262 West 125th Street ("Mart 125") – Authorization of \$400,000 equity investment to provide financing for the pre-development costs associated with the restoration and redevelopment of Mart 125.
- Grameen America – Authorization of \$3,000,000 loan to provide microloans to small businesses and a \$325,000 grant to administer the program.

BOEDC Activities in the Empowerment Zone

BOEDC continued its focus on supporting environmental initiatives and not-for-profits in the Bronx Empowerment Zone.

- Society for Equitable Excellence – Authorization of \$40,000 grant to perform feasibility study for the construction of a planetarium and science center.
- Bronx Children's Museum – Authorization of 500,000 grant to develop the master plan and other for soft cost related to the construction of the Museum.

- Smith Electric Vehicles – Authorization of \$1M loan to Smith Electric to secure a letter of credit for real estate.

HPD Activities within the Empowerment Zone

In addition to the thousands of units of housing HPD has already assisted in the New York City Empowerment Zone, HPD currently has approximately 9 housing projects in various stages of development located within the Zone. They encompass a broad range of HPD's new construction efforts, including the Cornerstone Program, the Low Income Rental Program, the Mixed Income Rental Program, the Multifamily Homeownership Program, the NYCHA Collaborative, the Supportive Housing Program, the Section 202 Supportive Housing Program for the Elderly, and Habitat for Humanity. The 8 projects will result in approximately 737 units of housing. In the last ten years, HPD has initiated a total of 148 new construction projects within the Zone, resulting in approximately 6,922 units of housing.

Coordination with Empowerment Zone

City of New York

There is a partnership between the City of New York and the two local development corporations responsible for the development and investment in the Empowerment Zone. A representative of the Mayor's Office has served as part of the New York Empowerment Zone coordination team since its original designation. This representative, currently the Deputy Mayor for Economic Development, oversees full-time staff assigned to the Empowerment Zone from the Office of the Mayor.

UMEZ and BOEDC work closely with City agencies, including the Economic Development Corporation, Department of Small Business Services, Department of Housing Preservation and Development, and the State's Empire State Development Corporation, in the areas of site location/acquisition, business and real estate development, and workforce development.

Please call the Mayor's Office New York City Empowerment Zone at (212) 788-8422 for more information.

Private Sector

The NYEZ uses its public investment pool of \$300 million to encourage private investment in Upper Manhattan and the South Bronx. As of June 30, 2009, the NYEZ has leveraged more than \$850 million in private investment.


EZ Tracts

The Upper Manhattan portion of the New York Empowerment Zone includes Central, East and West Harlem, Inwood and Washington Heights. The South Bronx portion includes Hunts Point, Port Morris, Mott Haven and the Yankee Stadium/Highbridge area.

Coordination with the Consolidated Plan

The NYEZ is fully consistent with the Consolidated Plan. In fact, many of the goals identified in the Empowerment Zone program for Upper Manhattan and the South Bronx are identical to the Plan's basic goals.

New York City Empowerment Zone Eligible Census Tracts



H. HOME HUD Requirements

Described in this section is the federally required information related to the City's use of HOME Investment Partnership entitlement funds: 1) HOME Resale Provisions; 2) Forms of Investment; 3) Affirmative Marketing/Minority and Women Business Enterprises, including Affirmative Marketing Strategies, Minority/Women Business Enterprises, Equal Opportunity and Fair Housing, and, Employment and Training.

1. HOME Resale Provisions

The City plans to continue to use HOME funds to support the development of affordable homes for first time low-income home purchasers. The City has established a number of different HOME ownership models involving a variety of subsidy forms including down payment assistance and direct mortgage loans (to cover contributions of cash and/or real property). For a full description of the various subsidy mechanisms the City may employ please refer to the next section of the Action Plan entitled "Other Forms of Investment". As required, this section outlines the City's policies and procedures regarding the resale restrictions to be used in conjunction with these efforts.

The City currently operates a number of homeownership programs, including the Nehemiah and Partnership Programs, which are designed to produce affordable homes for low- and moderate-income homebuyers. In addition, the City administers a variety of programs, designed to sell multifamily apartments as low-income cooperative units. In each case, the City has already established a subsidy recapture mechanism designed to allow the initial homeowner an opportunity to earn a fair return on his or her investment at resale, while simultaneously affording the City an opportunity to recoup a portion of the public funds provided to the assisted home.

In all of these instances the City's resale/recapture system could be classified as some form of the "diminishing subsidy" model or the "shared equity" approach that were "preapproved" by HUD. In some cases the City will employ a combination of these models. But while the recapture provisions share the same basic structure, it is important to note that each of the programs the City operates utilizes a slightly different subsidy recapture provision. These differences are necessitated by the different structures of the various programs. For example, in the single family homeownership programs, the purchasers are required to invest a significant amount of personal equity as a down payment, while in many of the low income cooperative programs the units are sold at a nominal price and owner equity is almost nonexistent. Similarly, in some cases the programs are targeted to very low income families and the amount of City subsidy may be extremely large, while in other cases the program is designed to serve families at the top of the low income bracket and the amount of the public subsidy is necessarily less.

As a result, the City has selected a range of different resale/recapture models for use in conjunction with HOME funds.

In the majority of HPD's homebuyer assistance programs funded with HOME, the deed or other conveyancing documents and the subsidy lien on the property will include a covenant limiting the resale of the property to families at or below 80% of the then applicable area median income, who will occupy the property as their principle residence. In addition, the resale price of the units will be restricted for the first 20 years (15 years in the case of rehabilitation units) to an amount that is "affordable to a family earning at or below 75% of the median income".

In order to determine the exact amount of funds to be recaptured, each HOME homeownership program the City operates will use or have used one, or a combination of the five basic models, described below:

Model 1

The public subsidy (including the HOME funds) will be issued in the form of a zero interest-evaporating loan. This loan will diminish on a straight-line basis over its term. (The majority of these loans will be either 20 years or 15 years depending on the length of the HOME compliance period). The outstanding amount of the public subsidy will be due only on sale and then only from profits. The debt will be payable at a rate of 50 cents for each dollar of profit. For example, if an owner originally bought a home for \$70,000 and sold it for \$90,000, the \$20,000 would be declared profit. Fifty percent of that would go to repay the City (assuming the outstanding balance is that high) and the remaining 50% would go to the owner. In a stable market, this method should ensure that the owner recaptures his or her entire equity and enjoys an additional return on that equity in the form of profit from sale.

Model 2

The public subsidy (including the HOME funds) will be made in the form of a zero interest forgivable loan. The amount of this loan will remain constant throughout the compliance period. Once the compliance period ends this loan may be forgiven by the City. Again during the compliance period, the debt will only be due on sale and then only if there is a profit between the price the original owner purchased (including his/her equity contribution) and the price he or she sold the project. While this system may appear to be more restrictive than Model 1 which allows the owner free and clear access to 50% of the profits, it will only be used in situations where there is a relatively certain prospect for sales profits in excess of the amount of the City's lien.

Model 3

The public subsidy would be made in the form of an evaporating loan. This loan will be completely dissolved after three years. During the three-year period the balance would be due only at resale and then only to the extent that the resale price exceeded the original price. The outstanding balance would be payable at a rate of 50 cents for each dollar of profit (see model #1). After the three-year "holding period" the owner would be allowed to enjoy all the profits through sale free and clear. However, the City will continue to hold the lien on the property in the full amount of the initial subsidy and the assistance shall be characterized as a conditional grant throughout the 20-year compliance period. This lien would only come into play if the owner were found to have violated any of the HOME rules. This model provides the least restrictions on the owner and would only be used in situations where the opportunity for profit was already tightly capped by the existence of the restrictions on resale price.

Model 4

The public subsidy will be made in the form of a zero interest forgivable loan. The outstanding principal of this loan will be payable only on sale and then only to the extent that the owner enjoys a profit on the sale. In addition to the basic HOME requirement that the unit be sold to a family earning less than 80% of the median, at a price which is affordable to a family at or below 75% of area median income, in this model the owner will only be allowed to recapture his or her equity and the value of any improvements he or she made to the property and potentially an allowance for any increase in the cost of living. Additional funds earned through the sale will be due to the City. In the case of a cooperative unit, the City may agree to assign their interest or a portion of this interest to the cooperative itself. Since this model affords the owner little opportunity to realize a substantial financial gain through ownership it will be used only in those circumstances where the purchaser is only investing a nominal amount of equity and is not required to secure a mortgage to buy his or her home.

Model 5

The public subsidy will be made in the form of a zero interest loan that may be forgiven after the period of HOME compliance. The outstanding principal of this loan will be due only on sale and then only to the extent that the owner enjoys a profit on the sale. This loan will be payable at a rate of 40 cents on each dollar of sales profit. In the case of a low income cooperative, the City may agree to assign their interest or a portion of the interest to the cooperative itself and may also choose to require the owner to provide up to 75% of his or her remaining profit to the cooperative. This method should allow the owner to enjoy a reasonable gain from sale while preventing a windfall profit through resale.

Each of the above models involves substantial forgiveness of HOME funded homeownership loans (as well as direct City funded contributions) with the specific intent of limiting the homeowner's financial indebtedness and insuring that he or she will be able to earn a fair return on his or her investment. In all cases, the City's resale system will ensure that the statutory limitation on resale price will not unduly limit the owner from recouping his or her initial equity investment and receiving all or a portion of any additional sales proceeds. However, in light of the many real estate markets and sub-markets currently operating in New York City and in recognition of the varied equity requirements the City intends to impose on purchasers, it is not feasible, nor advisable, to set one specific number (or index) as a fair return on equity. Instead fair rate of return will be defined as an appropriate percentage of resale profits as outlined in the specific models presented above.

Additionally the City like the Federal government cannot provide an iron clad guarantee that the overall real estate market will improve or that each individual owner's property will appreciate in value. All the City can do is ensure that the specific resale restrictions imposed by the program do not unduly hamper the owner's ability to enjoy what profits the property does generate. Like all homeowners, each individual purchaser must assume some risk that his or her property may not increase in value. However, this event is very unlikely in light of the below market price for which the owner originally purchased the property, and the extremely tight market which exists in New York City for property at affordable rates.

An additional model, proposed and approved in FFY'94, established an alternative resale system for potential use in the City's HOME program. Under this model, owners would not be required to commit to sell their property to other eligible purchasers. In return however, the HOME-assisted owner would be required to repay the full HOME investment from net sales proceeds. For these purposes, the net sale proceeds would be defined as sales price minus loan repayment and closing costs. Thus in those instances where the sales price is not sufficient to provide the owner with the capital necessary to retire his mortgage and cover his transaction cost, no repayment of the HOME subsidy would be required.

In instances where the proceeds are not sufficient to provide for a full recapture of the HOME subsidy, and allow the owner to recoup his or her equity (down payment plus principal payments, and any documented capital improvement investment) the City will forgive all or a portion of the HOME subsidy. The HOME subsidy will be forgiven on a pro-rated scale based on the number of years in occupancy. In no event will the city forgive the entire HOME subsidy before a period of at least three years has elapsed.

In addition, the HOME subsidy is to be forgiven in order to allow the owner to recover his or her investment. In no event shall the HOME subsidy be forgiven in order to allow a homeowner to receive more than the amount of his or her equity and the value of any capital improvements. In those instances where net sales proceeds are sufficient to repay the entire HOME subsidy and allow the owner to recoup his or her full investment, the owner may be allowed to retain any excess.

Finally as required in the HOME regulations, any HOME investment that is recaptured by the City is required to be reinvested to assist other first time home-buyers.

2. Forms of Investment

The City uses many, if not most, of the approved subsidy forms cited in the regulations and listed below:

- Interest bearing loans or advances;
- Non-interest bearing loans or advances;
- Deferred payment loans;
- Grants;
- Interest subsidies;
- Equity investments;
- Tenant-Based Rental Assistance; and
- Downpayment Assistance.

The newly authorized loan guarantee mechanism will be explored, but will not likely be used during 2012. At present, we are not requesting authorization to use a form other than one of the basic forms preapproved by HUD. However, the City would like to reserve the right to request permission to utilize another subsidy mode at some point in the future.

In certain circumstances the City will also consider using HOME funds to refinance existing debt in connection with the rehabilitation of multifamily housing. Eligible projects may be located anywhere in the City. Under no circumstances will HOME funds be used to refinance multifamily loans made or insured by any Federal program, including CDBG. In addition, the guidelines established by HPD require that 1) the multifamily housing undergoing rehabilitation and refinancing is and will continue to provide affordable housing to low-income families, 2) rehabilitation must be the primary eligible activity for which at least 60 percent of the HOME funds are used, 3) eligible projects must require a minimum level of rehabilitation of \$10,000 per unit, 4) a maximum of 40 percent of HOME funds may be used for the refinancing of existing debt, 5) the use of HOME funds must be conditioned upon a low income affordability period of a minimum of 15 years, and 6) HPD must review the management practices of the property owner to insure that disinvestment has not occurred, that the long term needs of the project can be met and that the feasibility of serving the targeted population over at least a 15 year affordability period can be demonstrated.

In most cases, the specific form of the City's subsidy will be easily identifiable as one of the eight broad types listed above. For instance, we are currently utilizing forgivable advances and low interest loans. However, in rare instances the specific type of subsidy we are using may not be readily apparent to some observers. For example, in the case of a City-sponsored program to rehabilitate the occupied low income In Rem housing the City currently owns and manages, the HOME funds would be used to pay contractors for services and equipment necessary to renovate the building. Although the City expects to undertake such projects in limited cases, HPD believes the City's subsidy can easily be classified as either a grant to the building or an equity investment on the part of the City as long as we continue to own the units the City improves.

3. Affirmative Marketing/MBE-WBE Outreach

Affirmative Marketing Strategies

Section 92.351 of the HOME regulations requires that participating jurisdictions adopt affirmative marketing procedures for HOME-assisted housing containing five or more housing units. HPD has adopted appropriate affirmative marketing procedures and requirements for providing information regarding the availability of such units in HOME-assisted projects.

The Office of Development, the Office of Housing Operations, the Office of Planning & Intergovernmental Affairs and the Office of the First Deputy Commissioner provide information to attract eligible persons from all racial, ethnic and gender groups in the City of New York to available housing.

It should be noted that HPD does not directly rent units in privately owned housing but ensures that funding recipients, i.e. owners, sponsors, developers, etc. participating in HPD programs adhere to the affirmative marketing strategy in compliance with HUD HOME regulations. HPD instructs recipients of HOME funds of methods of informing and soliciting applications from persons in the housing market area who are not likely to apply for the housing without special outreach. Such methods include advertising in various newspapers or contacting community organizations. HPD's marketing plans have been reviewed and approved by HUD.

An affirmative marketing plan describing the efforts to be undertaken to affirmatively market HOME assisted vacant units must be submitted for review and evaluation in order to receive clearance from HPD to proceed with a project. In addition, HPD requires recipients to submit documented information (tenant applications, copies of advertisements and outreach materials, and any documented responses to outreach efforts) demonstrating the recipient's efforts to affirmatively market HOME-assisted vacant units. Where it is determined that the agreed upon plan was not followed, HPD will consider on a case-by-case basis, whether the

agency should continue to do business with that recipient. Where it is determined that the agreed upon plan was inadequate, HPD will assist the recipient in improving the affirmative marketing plan.

When City-owned housing is upgraded and disposed of using HOME funds, the Agency will ensure that all new owners and managers adopt affirmative marketing procedures.

In general, HPD will create and adopt methods for informing the public, owners and potential tenants about Federal fair housing laws and New York City's affirmative marketing policy. HPD will also provide training in fair housing laws for property managers and staff involved in tenant selection. Press releases, solicitations, application packages and informational brochures will include the Equal Housing Opportunity logotype and slogan. HPD has an agreement that utilizes the legal expertise and community knowledge of the NYC Commission on Human Rights to provide fair housing and equal opportunity presentations to Agency contract recipients, fair housing workshops for marketing representatives of nonprofit and for profit entities engaged by HPD and counseling services for the general public.

In April of 2012 HPD and the NYC Commission on Human Rights launched *Fair Housing NYC*, a new City website explaining the rights and responsibilities of tenants, homeowners, landlords, and building owners. The new site, www.nyc.gov/fairhousingnyc, is a central hub for information on housing discrimination and housing opportunities. The site enables viewers to readily access the fair housing information they need and encourage them to report discrimination. *Fair Housing NYC* is a joint effort that supports the Commission's and HPD's anti-discrimination efforts.

Minority/Women Business Enterprise

In accordance with Section 281 of the HOME Investment Partnerships Act and Section 92.350 of the HOME regulations, HPD has established an outreach program to ensure the inclusion and participation, to the maximum extent possible, of minority and women business enterprises (M/WBEs) in contracts funded in whole or in part through the HOME program. The outreach consists of a good faith, comprehensive and continuing endeavor supported by a key ranking staff person with oversight responsibilities and access to the Commissioner. The outreach program is comprised of the following initiatives:

- 1) Development of a systematic method for identifying and maintaining an inventory of certified M/WBEs, their capabilities, services, supplies, and or products;
- 2) Utilization of direct mailings and the local media, electronic and print to market and promote contract opportunities.
- 3) Development of informational and documentary materials on contract opportunities for M/WBEs;
- 4) Sponsoring and attending business opportunity related meetings, conferences and seminars targeting M/WBEs; and
- 5) Maintenance of centralized records with statistical data on the utilization and participation of M/WBEs as contractors and subcontractors in all HOME assisted contracting activities.

Equal Opportunity and Fair Housing

In accordance with Section 92.350 of the HOME regulations, "no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, religion or sex be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to any discrimination under any program or activity funded in whole or in part by HOME funds". In addition, HOME funds will be made available in accordance with the following federal statutes and regulations:

- Fair Housing Act;
- Executive Order 11063 (Equal Opportunity in Housing);

- Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (Nondiscrimination in Federal Programs);
- Age Discrimination Act of 1975;
- Section 504 Rehabilitation Act of 1973;
- Executive Order 11246 (Equal Employment Opportunity);
- Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968; and
- Executive Orders 11625, 12432, and 12138 (Minority/Women's Business Enterprise).

Employment and Training

Opportunities for training and employment arising from the HOME program will be provided in accordance with Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as amended. The City will "demonstrate compliance with the 'greatest extent feasible' requirement" of Section 3 by meeting the goals set forth for providing training, employment, and contracting opportunities to Section 3 residents and Section 3 business concerns.

I. Emergency Solutions Grant Requirements

With the implementation of The Homeless Emergency Assistance and Rapid Transition to Housing Act (HEARTH Act) in 2012, the City introduced major revisions to its use of the Emergency Shelter Grants program. This section describes the federally required information related to the City's use of Emergency Solutions Grants as it applies to the Homebase program, specifically homelessness prevention.

1. Performance and Standards <Policies and Procedures>

a. Standard policies and procedures for evaluating individuals' and families' eligibility for assistance under ESG.

The ESG funding allocated to Homebase prevention will be used exclusively for singles and adult families. To be eligible, the household must be assessed using a risk assessment instrument provided by the NYC Department of Homeless Services (DHS) and determined to be at imminent risk of homelessness. Providers must assess, document and verify (when possible) the household's risk of homelessness.

b. Policies and procedures for coordination among emergency shelter providers, essential service providers, homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing assistance providers, other homeless assistance providers, and mainstream service and housing providers.

The Homebase services are provided either directly through community outreach or through referral if determined eligible. Unless there is an exception, the Homebase providers do not work with shelter providers. The Homebase providers work closely with the NYC Human Resources Administration, New York City Housing Authority, and qualified organizations that provide prevention services, including mediation with a landlord or family members; family counseling; legal services; employment, training, or educational services; benefits advocacy; and budgeting skills.

c. Policies and procedures for determining and prioritizing which eligible families and individuals will receive homelessness prevention assistance and which eligible families and individuals will receive rapid re-housing assistance.

To be eligible for ESG-funded Homebase prevention services, the applicant must meet **all** of the following criteria:

Household Composition: The household must be either a single adult or adult family.

Income: The household's total income must be at or below 30% Area Media Income (AMI).

Risk of Homelessness: The household must receive an assessment using a risk assessment instrument provided by the Department of Homeless Services (DHS) and determined to be at imminent risk of homelessness, scoring 7 or more points.

Resources & Network: The household does not have sufficient resources or support networks, e.g. family, friends, faith-based or social network, immediately available to prevent them from becoming homeless.

A re-evaluation of the program participant's eligibility and type of assistance needed is required at least once every 3 months for households receiving assistance greater than 90 days. Services may not exceed 2 years (24 months).

ESG-funded programs should determine the re-certification date based on the original ESG eligibility assessment date. The intent of the recertification rule is to ensure programs are fully evaluating households that are receiving ongoing financial assistance and/or other ESG assistance to ensure the household remains eligible

and needs continued assistance to prevent homelessness. ESG-funded programs may be monitored to ensure appropriate documentation is obtained and included in ESG participants' files.

ESG Income Criteria and Definitions:

To be eligible to receive ESG-funded assistance, an applicant household must have a current gross annual income of all adult household members that is at or below 30% of the Area Median Income (AMI), which is determined by the state and by the local jurisdiction in which a household resides and is dependent on the number of household members. The table providing income limits by local jurisdiction, including 30% AMI, is adjusted periodically and can be accessed at <http://www.huduser.org/portal/datasets/il.html>.

Income is money that goes to, or on behalf of, the family head or spouse (even if temporarily absent) or to any other family member. Annual income includes the current gross annual income of all adult household members.

Gross Income is the amount of income earned before any deductions (such as taxes and health insurance premiums) are made. Earned Income, Business Income, Interest & Dividend Income, Pension/Retirement Income, Unemployment & Disability Income, TANF/Public Assistance, Alimony, Child Support and Foster Care Income, Armed Forces Income are the types of income that must be counted when calculating gross income.

Current Income is the income that the household is currently receiving at the time of application for assistance. Income recently terminated should not be included. Documents and information collected to verify income should be recent and should be dated within 30 days prior to the time of application. However, for public assistance benefits (e.g., SSI, cash assistance), a benefits statement received any time within the twelve months prior to the time of application and reflecting current benefits received by an applicant household is allowed. A copy of a recent bank statement indicating direct deposit of benefit(s) is also acceptable.

Adult full time students who are not the Head of Household are excluded from gross income calculations.

ESG Income Documentation Standards

Various types of documentation, ranging from third party verification to applicant self declaration are acceptable. Documentation standards, in order of preference, are as follows:

Third Party. Verification from a third party, which includes:

- Source (Notices/Statements): Official communication on letterhead or statement template; document must be signed and dated (when appropriate). Examples include; paystub, most recent financial statement, statement of income from employer/income source.
- Written (Written Letters/Referrals): Official communication issued on agency stationary or program template; document must be signed and dated by appropriate representative of third party. Examples include; letter from employer/income source, income source.
- Oral (Recorded Oral Statements): Oral statement recorded by intake staff of 3rd party providing verification;

The documents for the program staff to complete for the Third Party Verification process are Written Third-Party Verification of Income Form (WTP-2) and the Oral Third-Party Verification of Income Form (OTP-2).

Self-Declaration. Written statement by the individual/head of household applying for assistance. The statement must be completed on the Self-declaration of Income form (SD1-2) and certified (i.e. signed and dated by applicant) as true and complete. Program staff must describe efforts to obtain third party information (phone logs, email correspondence, copies of certified letters etc.) and details of outcome, including obstacles. Once completed, the form must be signed and dated by intake staff as true and accurate.

d. Standards for determining the share of rent and utilities costs that each program participant must pay, if any, while receiving homelessness prevention or rapid re-housing assistance.

ESG funded Homebase services do not include rental assistance.

e. Standards for determining how long a particular program participant will be provided with rental assistance and whether and how the amount of that assistance will be adjusted over time.

ESG funded Homebase services do not include rental assistance.

f. Standards for determining the type, amount, and duration of housing stabilization and/or relocation services to provide a program participant, including the limits, if any, on the homelessness prevention or rapid re-housing assistance that each program participant may receive, such as the maximum amount of assistance, maximum number of months the program participants receives assistance; or the maximum number of times the program participants may receive assistance.

There are many people who are housed and have great need but would not become homeless if they did not receive Homebase assistance. To be eligible for ESG-funded prevention assistance, programs must assess and document that the household would become homeless *but for* the ESG assistance. In other words, a household would require emergency shelter or would otherwise become literally homeless in the absence of ESG assistance. A household that is at-risk of losing their present housing may be eligible if it can be documented that their loss of housing is imminent and they do not have sufficient resources or support networks, e.g. family, friends, faith-based or social network, immediately available to prevent them from becoming homeless.

ESG funds may be used for services that assist program participants with housing stability and placement. Homebase programs will not be providing ESG-funded financial assistance, including short and medium term rental assistance.

Each program participant may receive housing relocation and stabilization services for up to 24 months; however program eligibility must be assessed and recertified at least once every 3 months. ESG services are limited to the following eligible activities:

Housing Search and Placement Activities. These activities include assessment of housing barriers, needs and preferences; development of an action plan for locating housing; housing search and outreach to and negotiation with owner; assistance with submitting rental applications and understanding leases; assessment of housing for compliance with ESG requirements for habitability, lead based paint, and rent reasonableness; assistance with obtaining utilities and making moving arrangements; and tenant counseling.

Housing Stability Case Management. This service includes assessing, arranging, coordinating, and monitoring the delivery of individualized services to facilitate housing stability. Activities include using the centralized or coordinated assessment system to conduct the initial evaluation and re-evaluation; counseling; developing, securing and coordinating services including Federal, state, and local benefits; monitoring and evaluating program participant progress; providing information and referrals to other providers; and developing an individualized housing and service plan.

Mediation. This service includes mediation between the program participant and the owner or person(s) with whom the program participant is living, to prevent the program participant from losing permanent housing in which they currently reside.

Legal Services. Legal services are offered to resolve a legal problem that prevents a participant from obtaining or maintaining permanent housing. Activities include client intake, preparation of cases for trial, provision of legal advice, representation at hearings, and counseling; and filing fees and other necessary court costs. Legal representation and advice may be provided for: landlord/tenant matters; orders of protection and other civil remedies for victims of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, and stalking; child support;

guardianship; paternity; emancipation; legal separation; appeal of veterans and public benefit claim denials; and resolution of outstanding criminal warrants.

Credit Repair. These services are provided to assist program participants with critical skills related to household budgeting, managing money, accessing a free personal credit report, and resolving personal credit problems. Activities include credit counseling and other related services. Assistance cannot include the payment or modification of a debt.

Requirements and Restrictions. Participants must be required to meet regularly with a case manager, at least once a month for the duration of assistance. In addition, participants must be assisted, as needed, in obtaining appropriate supportive services like medical or mental health treatment or services essential for independent living, and mainstream benefits like Medicaid, SSI, or TANF.

HUD requires an evaluation and certification of eligibility for ESG program participants (per the above criteria) **at least once every 90 days** for all households receiving ESG-funded Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services lasting longer than 90 days. ESG-funded programs should determine the re-certification date based on the original ESG eligibility assessment date. The intent of the recertification rule is to ensure programs are fully evaluating households that are receiving ongoing financial assistance and/or other ESG assistance to ensure the household remains eligible and needs continued assistance to prevent homelessness. ESG-funded programs may be monitored to ensure appropriate documentation is obtained and included in ESG participants' files.

Termination of Assistance. If a program participant violates program requirements, the program may terminate the assistance in accordance with a formal process established by the program that recognizes the rights of individuals affected. The program must exercise judgment and examine all extenuating circumstances in determining when violations warrant termination so that a program participant's assistance is terminated only in the most severe cases. To terminate rental assistance or housing relocation and stabilization services to a program participant, the required formal process, at a minimum, must consist of written notice, decision review, and final decision. Written notice to the program participant must contain a clear statement of the reasons for termination. Through the decision process, the program participant is given the opportunity to present written or oral objections before a person other than the person (or a subordinate of that person) who made or approved the termination decision. Once the decision review is completed, prompt written notice of the final decision to the program participant must be provided to the program participant by the provider.

Termination of assistance does not bar the program from providing further assistance at a later date to the same household.

2. Parameters

Sub-recipients are selected through a competitive RFP process. Proposals are rated on the following factors: experience and qualifications, organizational capacity, and program approach.

3. Performance Standards

The Homebase providers are evaluated based on DHS scorecards specifically developed to measure provider performance. DHS also conducts program monitoring activities, including review of conformance to grant agreement, record keeping and documentation, periodic progress reports, and monitoring site visits. As the result of monitoring review, DHS may conclude a program is in compliance with applicable regulations or may make a finding or concern. A finding is defined as a program element that does not comply with a Federal statute or regulation, whereas a concern is either a potential finding or a program weakness that should be improved to avoid future problems. DHS will also utilize its experience from its successful implementation of HPRP to evaluate the new ESG activities. DHS shared this framework with the Continuum of Care Steering Committee and will review periodically with the CoC Data Management Committee.

4. Subrecipients/Sub-awards

The description of the parameters and policies used for the allocation of sub-awards to not-for-profits is summarized in bulleted points as follows:

Experience:

- The subrecipient would have at least three years of demonstrated experience in the last six years working with and providing homelessness prevention and related services to at-risk households (relevant references are will be required).
- The subrecipient would have knowledge and experience at least three years in the last six years working with the targeted population, providing the proposed program services and operating under a performance based contract.

Organizational Capacity:

- The subrecipient would be fiscally sound and capable of managing the proposed programs.
- The subrecipient would have the capacity to integrate the proposed program into its overall operations.
- The subrecipient internal monitoring system would be effectively used to identify program, personnel, and fiscal issues and provide corrective action procedures.

Program Approach:

- The subrecipient would provide outreach to at-risk individuals and families and conduct a screening to ensure that those who are most likely to enter or re-enter shelter are served.
- The subrecipient would provide casework services to identify and address the factors that may cause and episode of homelessness.
- The subrecipient would provide a thorough assessment and the development of a case plan to address immediate client need(s).

J. HOPWA Eligible Metropolitan Statistical Area (EMSA) Grantee Requirements

The County of Putnam (P-OTR-404)

Funding: \$67,211

The County of Putnam will provide continued funding for tenant-based rental assistance to people who are HIV-positive or have AIDS. These programs will be administered through the Putnam County Department of Social Services in Carmel, New York. The project's goal is to enable people with HIV/AIDS to secure and maintain housing appropriate to their needs. This goal will be achieved through the combination of:

- Outreach to appropriate clients who utilize supportive services throughout the County;
- Identification of appropriate clients through referrals from the Department of Mental Health, the Department of Health Services, the Department of Social Services, Volunteers of America, and ARCS.

In order for the funds to have immediate impact, it will be necessary to have a quick turnaround of one month between application and assistance. Additionally, it is reported that security deposits and realtor fees are a major block to housing for persons with AIDS and will be identified as an appropriate eligible expense. The majority of the funding will go directly to rental assistance and short term rent. Part of the administrative funding will be for the development of housing information, and for the identification of housing resources.

The approximate costs of the activities are as follows:

Tenant-based Rental Assistance	\$65,185
Project Sponsor Administrative Expenses	\$2,026

The services will be provided throughout Putnam County. The project sponsor will be the Putnam County Department of Social Services.

The main referral sources to the program will be the Putnam County Department of Social Services, the AIDS Related Community Services (ARCS) of Putnam, and Putnam Family and Community Services, Inc. ARCS performs extensive outreach with high-risk populations and publicity regarding their services is comprehensive. Additionally, ARCS maintains regular contact with AIDS self-help support groups and facilitates other support groups for family members. Volunteers of America and ARCS will continue to be the primary referral sources for clients residing in Putnam County.

The HUD Performance Objective and Outcome for all of the services is Affordability for the purpose of providing Decent Housing.

The County of Rockland

Funding: \$386,086

The County of Rockland will utilize \$248,132 to finance a tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA) program with the client/household portion of the rent payment, plus the Department of Social Services shelter allowance for qualifying households. The goal of the provision of these services is to enable low-income individuals and families living with HIV/AIDS to secure and maintain appropriate housing in the County of Rockland. An estimated 33 households will receive this assistance.

For qualifying households, HOPWA rental subsidies will be combined with shelter allowance subsidies from the Rockland County Department of Social Services. This leveraged financing will maximize the use of HOPWA funds.

TBRA subsidies will be provided along with legal and counseling services, modeled after the Section 8 Housing Voucher Choice program, with two modifications. First, households will be eligible for TBRA if they meet the definition of “low-income” currently defined as 80% of median family income by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). Utilization statistics show that approximately 80% of the assisted households still fall in the HUD-defined “very low-income” category. Second, the County of Rockland will allow a greater number of bedrooms than in the Section 8 program, if medically appropriate and documented.

Determination of eligibility, screening and assistance in finding suitable apartments is accomplished through the coordinated efforts of the Rockland County Department of Health. The Rockland County Office of Community Development handles enrollments, recertifications, and day-to-day client services.

Tenant Based Rental Assistance (Rockland County Office of Community Development)	\$248,132
Counseling Services (Rockland County Health Department)	\$35,000
Legal Services (Legal Aid Society of Rockland)	\$50,000
Client Services (Rockland County Office of Community Development)	\$52,954

All of the services are for the clients enrolled in the TBRA program.

The HUD Performance Objective and Outcome is Affordability for the purpose of providing Decent Housing.

The County of Westchester (P-OTR-406)

Funding: \$1,937,506

The County of Westchester is administering the HOPWA funds for the Cities within Westchester County. In Grant Year 2014, the Cities of Mount Vernon and Yonkers and the County of Westchester plan to utilize HOPWA funds for rental assistance and supportive services. The goal of the provision of these services is to enable low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS and their families to secure and maintain appropriate housing in the County of Westchester. The 2014 Consolidated Plan incorporates the program and funding descriptions for the County of Westchester and cities of Mount Vernon and Yonkers. The County of Westchester will allocate funds to:

Westchester County Programs	\$1,244,408
Westchester County Administrative Expenses	\$58,125
City of Yonkers	\$357,000
City of Mount Vernon	\$277,973

Westchester County Programs

In Grant Year 2014, Westchester County will use \$1,244,408 of HOPWA funds to continue operating its Rental Assistance Program with Case Management Services. The County will use HOPWA funds based on the needs for permanent housing for persons living with HIV/AIDS and their families. Approximately 85 households will receive rental subsidies assistance under the Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Program. These programs will be administered by Grace Church Community Center, Inc. (GCCC). Based on the HOPWA waiting list from GCCC, there is an unmet need for permanent supportive housing for individuals with HIV/AIDS. HOPWA funds will be used to provide at least 85 households with case management services for each client. Due to the poor physical health of program participants, they are less likely to work and, thus, more likely to rely upon social security income and other forms of public assistance, including HOPWA, to pay their housing costs.

The Grant Year 2014 allocation will be used to support this program for an additional 12 months by providing:

Tenant-Based Rental Assistance Program (TBRA)	\$875,408
Supportive Services (case management services, per diem clinician, HQS inspector and other supportive services)	\$369,000
Total	\$1,244,408

The HUD Performance Objective and Outcome is Affordability for the purpose of providing Decent Housing and Availability/Accessibility for the purpose of providing Decent Housing.

As part of its housing program funded through HOPWA, GCCC will screen and assess potential clients referred from within the organization as well as from other service providers including the Ryan White Emergency Assistance Program, service providers from the HIV Care Network of the Lower Hudson Valley, not-for-profit organizations, the Westchester County Department of Social Services, and by word of mouth. In anticipation of and response to the growing need for appropriate housing for HIV positive individuals, GCCC has established and maintains a waiting list of screened applicants who meet the program’s income and medical eligibility requirements in order to reduce the length of time needed to place a qualified client in suitable housing. GCCC will identify alternative, affordable, quality housing options and supportive services including counseling and referrals to HOPWA-eligible clients. For qualifying households, GCCC will provide TBRA rental assistance. The organization will optimize the use of HOPWA funds by leveraging Ryan White Title I funds for this purpose. The program will be available to Westchester County residents or persons whose last permanent address was within the County.

HOPWA-eligible households receiving TBRA assistance will also receive case management services. TBRA assistance will be available to eligible individuals who are low-income and their families as well as individuals who: 1) are facing imminent eviction from their residences; 2) are required to move for health-related reasons; or 3) are homeless and unable to locate safe, affordable and permanent housing. Rental assistance will be made available to persons who are low-income, eligible public assistance and other entitlements. Clients will be served on a first come, first served basis under program priorities which include the following: 1) persons with AIDS (PWAs); 2) persons with an AIDS-related condition; and 3) persons diagnosed as HIV-symptomatic. If available, the County of Westchester Department of Social Service’s Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) is available to HIV/AIDS households throughout the Urban County Consortium communities with eviction prevention assistance. Funds may be used as rental arrears subsidies, as well as for counseling and referrals. GCCC also assist eligible households with applying for an application under the Housing Choice Voucher (formerly known as the Section 8 Program) in order to secure permanent housing subsidies.

The City of Yonkers

City of Yonkers proposes to use \$357,000 in Grant Year 2014 to fund services provided by Greyston Health Services and The Sharing Community to persons with HIV/AIDS. The following description lists the activities and budgets for each of these two organizations in Grant Year 2014.

The City of Yonkers will provide using Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) funds through a grant award with Greyston Health Services and The Sharing Community, housing services to individuals who are low-income and are diagnosed with HIV/AIDS. The goal of the provision of these services is to enable low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS and their families to secure and maintain appropriate housing in the City of Yonkers.

Sharing Community will provide Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) to eligible households to secure and/or maintain permanent housing, as well as qualifying family members.

Case management services will be provided to assist eligible households effectively negotiate with landlords, brokers, and public agencies in order to secure quality affordable housing and/or entitlements in the City of Yonkers.

Greystone Health Services will utilize HOPWA funds to provide nutritionally balanced meals to eligible clients at Issan House.

Medical case management will also be provided to assist eligible households residing at Issan House and clients participating in the Maitri Day Program. Individuals participating in the Maitri Center will also receive housing counseling and referrals, medical referrals, entitlements assistance and any other supportive services.

Both Greystone Health Services and Sharing Community will utilize a consortium of organizations to promote the availability of HOPWA-funded services in order to identify potentially suitable clients for their respective housing programs. Service providers include but are not limited to the CLUSTER's Housing Resource Center, and Westchester Legal Services. Sharing Community and Issan House will be responsible for assessing their respective clients' eligibility and provide appropriate housing and case management services, as necessary.

The Sharing Community will use \$132,132 to provide a tenant-based rental subsidies program to approximately 15 households for a period of one-year. By combining HOPWA funds with existing public assistance housing allowances, enhanced shelter allowances available to certain clients with HIV/AIDS, and rent subsidies available to selected homeless families receiving TANF who join Westchester Legal Services' Love v. Perales litigation, the Sharing Community will be able to provide housing subsidies for a much larger group of clients and thus enhance the program's cost-effectiveness. Each unit will be inspected to ensure that it meets HUD's Housing Quality Standards.

The HUD Performance Objective and Outcome is Affordability for the purpose of providing Decent Housing.

The City of Mount Vernon

The City of Mount Vernon is requesting \$277,973 to continue the operation of the Mount Vernon HOPWA Program.

The City of Mount Vernon will provide, using Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA) funds, tenant-based rental assistance (TBRA) and supportive services including case management services and nutritional meals to individuals who are low-income and are diagnosed with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection or acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS).

The goal of the provision of these services is to enable low-income individuals living with HIV/AIDS and their families to secure and maintain appropriate housing in the City of Mount Vernon.

The City of Mount Vernon will utilize HOPWA funds for the provision of case management services and coordination of referrals. Services include, but are not limited to, assisting in accessing housing, medical, mental health, substance use, and legal services for eligible households. Service coordination will include the following organizations: the City of Mount Vernon Department of Social Services, the Mount Vernon Hospital, the Neighborhood Health Center, Planned Parenthood, the Council of Community Services and other community-based organizations including local homeless shelters such as WesHELP, WESTHAB and Naomi House). The City of Mount Vernon will supplement HOPWA funding targeted for TBRA with funds received through a Continuum of Care for the Homeless grant to leverage additional housing subsidies for eligible clients.

The City of Mount Vernon will also utilize HOPWA funds to facilitate the distribution and delivery of food pantry packages to eligible households.

The HUD Performance Objective and Outcome is Affordability for the purpose of providing Decent Housing.

**SUMMARY TABLE OF FUNDING SOURCES and PROPOSED ACCOMPLISHMENTS
NEW YORK, NEW YORK EMSA**

Project Code	Program Name	Amount	Amount Jurisdiction Expects to be Received in Federal Fiscal Year '14 (A)	Proposed Accomplishment (B)
	HOUSING OPPORTUNITIES FOR PERSONS WITH AIDS - EMSA		\$2,390,803	*****
P-OTR-0404	RENTAL ASSISTANCE/COUNTY OF PUTNAM	\$67,211		Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) 12 People Individuals and Families
P-OTR-0405	RENTAL ASSISTANCE/COUNTY OF ROCKLAND	\$386,086		Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) 33 People Individuals and Families Support Services-Counseling, & Legal Serves 33 People Individuals and Families
P-OTR-0406	COUNTY OF WESTCHESTER County of WESTCHESTER	\$1,937,506		Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) 85 Households (Individuals & Families) Support Services - Case Management 85 Households (Individuals & Families)
	City of Yonkers			Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) 15 People Individuals and Families Support Services - Case Management 77 Households (Individuals & Families) Support Services - Nutriton Services (Meals for HIV congregate facility) 21 Individuals
	City of Mount Vernon			Support Services - Case Management 95 Individuals Tenant-Based Rental Assistance (TBRA) 15 Households (Individuals & Families)