Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.42	
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.11
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.52
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.04
Strong Family-Community Ties	Exceeding Target				4.16
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.32
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2	3	4.9

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	46	107	80
Grade 1	46	57	112
Grade 2	53	57	56
Grade 3	-	59	56
Grade 4	-	-	57
All students	145	280	361

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	-	20%	14%
% Free Lunch Eligible	-	68%	61%
% Student with IEPs	-	12%	12%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	-	1%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	55%	45%
% Temporary Housing	-	8%	7%
% Asian	-	20%	21%
% Black	-	19%	14%
% Hispanic	-	50%	49%
% White	-	10%	12%
% Other	-	2%	3%

84Q359 Academy of the City Charter School

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
					2	2014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics			2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
State Test Results - ELA		n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Average Student Proficiency		105	2.45							0.00%
-		105								0.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4			23.8%							
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		48	51.5							0.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		16	67.0							0.00%
Early Grade Progress		52	1.85							0.00%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		110	2.88							0.00%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		110	49.1%							0.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		50	67.0							0.00%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		19	73.0							0.00%
Early Grade Progress		55	3.44							0.00%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students										0.00%
									Weighted Average Score	

						2014-15 Targets						
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•				-	-	-				
Self-Contained	2	1.9%	9.4%								0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	1	1.0%	5.1%								0.030	0.000
SETSS	9	8.6%	81.9%	11.1%							0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	2	1.8%	9.0%								0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	1	0.9%	4.6%								0.030	0.000
SETSS	11	10.0%	96.2%	45.5%							0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	10	20.8%	44.4%	70.0%							0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	16	33.3%	47.5%	43.8%							0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	4	8.3%	21.0%								0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	4	8.3%	12.6%								0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	10	20.0%	41.1%	30.0%							0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	20	40.0%	54.9%	45.0%							0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	10	20.0%	52.1%	40.0%							0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	4	8.0%	12.4%								0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	48	13.3%	33.8%	52.1%							0.030	0.000
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.00
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Academy of the City Charter School

84Q359

Orous Instruction Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 Quality Review 2.2 Quality Review 2.2 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.42 Ilaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Section Score:* Section Score:* Section Score:* Section Score:* Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* Section		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 NTC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 91% 3.28 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.42 Ilaborative Teachers	gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 91% 3.28 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.42 Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 1.1			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.42 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.42 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.42 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11 Section Score:* 4.12 Section Score:* 4.12 Section Score:* 4.12 Section Score:* 4.12 Section Score:* 4.16 Section Score:* 4.16 Section Score:* 4.16	Quality Review 1.2			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.42 Ilaborative Teachers	·			
Comparison Com	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.28	100%
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 93% 4.04 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:*	3.42	
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 93% 4.04 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16	llaborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 93% 4.04 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.11 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 77.9% HS Overall 77.9% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16				
Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 77.9% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16	·	93%	4.04	100%
Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: Section Score: 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.11	
Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: Section Score: 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%	nnortivo Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.36 100% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	1 -			
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 77.9% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: Section Score: 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16	·	96%	4.36	100%
EMS HS Overall 77.9% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: **A.52** **A.52** **Ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04** **Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16** **NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%				
Overall 77.9% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.00 HS Overall 0.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 4.04 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16		77.9%		
Overall 77.9% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.00 HS Overall 0.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 4.04 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16				
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall O.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.04 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16		77.9%		
environments EMS HS Overall 0.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Score: 4.16				
EMS HS Overall 0.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16		0.00		
Overall 0.00 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:* 4.52 ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 4.04 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 section Rating: Exceeding Target 96% 4.32 100%				
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Score: 4.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%		0.00		
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 Section Score: 4.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.52	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 88% 4.04 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%				
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.04 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%		990/	4.04	100%
Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%	NTC SCHOOL Survey - Effective School Leadership	0070	4.04	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 91% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16 IST NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%		91%	4.16	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%	Costinu Dating, Franching Touget	Continu Conun	4.16	
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.32 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.10	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.32	NYC School Survey - Trust	96%	4.32	100%
	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	

^{*} These scores have been rescaled so that schools without Quality Review ratings are measured on a comparable scale to schools with Quality Review ratings.



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		•	•	, 0		J	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.56	3.24
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.58	3.32
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	87	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.57	3.28
Section Results:		91%					3.28
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	37	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Combined	98	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.88	4.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Quality of professional development	Teachers	77	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.53	3.12
School commitment	Teachers	95	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.88	4.52
• Innovation	Teachers	94	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.79	4.32
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	94	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	94	77.6	93.9	100.0	0.73	3.92
	Teachers		68.2	89.0	100.0	0.73	3.92
Focus on student learning		91					
Collective responsibility Section Results:	Teachers	93 93%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.80	4.20 4.04
Section results.		9370					4.04
Supportivo Environment							
Supportive Environment							
Safety:	Taaabaaa	00	00.0	04.6	100.0	0.00	
Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.90	
Safety	Students	00	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.00	4.60
• Safety	Combined	98				0.90	4.60
Classroom behavior:	T I	0.4	66.0	05.5	400.0	0.72	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	91	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.72	
Classroom behavior	Students	24	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.70	2.00
Classroom behavior	Combined	91	22.2	00.0	400.0	0.72	3.88
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	92	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.68	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	92				0.68	3.72
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	98	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.92	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.85	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
 Peer support for academic work 	Combined	98				0.88	4.52
Section Results:		96%					4.36

84Q359 Academy of the City Charter School

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.76	4.04
Teacher influence	Teachers	79	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.79	4.04
	Teachers	89	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.73	3.92
Program coherence	Teachers	90	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.74	3.96
Principal instructional leadership Section Results:	reachers	88%	01.0	87.0	100.0	0.74	4.04
Coulon Results		00/0					
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.63	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.69	3.76
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	87	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.88	4.52
Section Results:		91%					4.16
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	98	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.76	4.04
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	93	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.84	4.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	97	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.88	4.52
Section Results:		96%					4.32

64.5% or higher

Targets for 2015-16

ELL Progress

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.45	2.55 or lower	2.56 to 2.65	2.66 to 2.73	2.74 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.23	2.24 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	23.8%	28.6% or lower	28.7% to 34.1%	34.2% to 38.3%	38.4% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.88	2.66 or lower	2.67 to 2.82	2.83 to 2.93	2.94 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.13	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.35	2.36 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	49.1%	33.6% or lower	33.7% to 40.8%	40.9% to 46.3%	46.4% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students							
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained							
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.20	2.21 or higher		
SETSS	2.17	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.26	2.27 or higher		
ELL	2.22	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.47	2.48 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained							
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.55	2.56 or higher		
SETSS	2.83	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.56	2.57 or higher		
ELL	2.61	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.58	2.59 to 2.72	2.73 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.13	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.15	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

46.9% or lower

47.0% to 56.9%

57.0% to 64.4%

52.1%

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	77.9%	74.9% or lower	75.0% to 81.3%	81.4% to 86.1%	86.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.00	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.23	0.24 or higher			