2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding	Target
Rigorous Instruction	Exceeding Target				4.03	
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target					4.56
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.15	
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.20	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.76		
Trust	Meeting Target			3.	34	
Student Achievement	Exceeding Target					4.70
		1	2	3	4	4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	118	123	107
Grade 7	118	109	114
Grade 8	115	115	123
All students	351	347	344

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	7%	8%	6%
% Free Lunch Eligible	70%	57%	67%
% Student with IEPs	19%	22%	24%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	1%	4%
% HRA Eligible	-	55%	58%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	9%
% Asian	1%	1%	1%
% Black	71%	70%	69%
% Hispanic	26%	28%	29%
% White	0%	0%	0%
% Other	0%	1%	1%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.71	2.41	2.40
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	3.00	2.54	2.54

84M350 Democracy Prep Charter School

2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Exceeding Target	4.70									
					2	014-15 Targets	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA					-	-	-			-
Average Student Proficiency		335	2.64	1.97	2.19	2.31	2.44	2.69	4.80	9.80%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		335	30.1%	2.0%	8.9%	13.9%	19.4%	27.6%	4.99	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		282	72.0	46.6	52.4	60.8	66.3	76.2	4.58	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 		100	81.0	60.7	66.6	75.2	80.8	90.9	4.02	9.80%
State Test Results - Math										
 Average Student Proficiency 		335	3.07	1.77	2.10	2.33	2.58	2.92	4.99	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		335	54.6%	0.0%	9.4%	16.4%	24.2%	34.1%	4.99	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		282	84.0	37.7	46.5	59.0	67.3	82.2	4.99	9.80%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 		98	92.0	56.2	62.6	71.9	78.1	89.1	4.99	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates										
o ElA		331	67.7%	69.1%	78.0%	84.5%	91.7%	100.0%	1.00	1.96%
o Math		331	72.5%	67.3%	76.6%	83.5%	91.2%	100.0%	1.56	1.96%
o Science		331	72.2%	71.1%	79.4%	85.5%	92.3%	100.0%	1.13	1.96%
Social Studies		331	73.4%	67.0%	76.5%	83.4%	91.2%	100.0%	1.67	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit		120	24.2%	0.0%	11.5%	20.1%	29.7%	41.3%	3.43	3.92%
• 9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		101	91.0%	54.0%	68.0%	77.0%	88.0%	100.0%	4.25	9.80%
									Weighted Average Score	4.41

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	24	7.2%	37.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	41	12.2%	67.0%	17.1%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	13	3.9%	41.9%	23.1%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	23	6.9%	36.5%	13.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	41	12.2%	67.4%	36.6%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	13	3.9%	42.4%	30.8%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	26	9.2%	20.6%	53.8%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	79	28.0%	33.4%	67.1%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	4.66	0.030	0.028
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	52	18.4%	41.2%	63.5%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	4.44	0.030	0.026
• SC/ICT/SETSS	62	22.0%	50.5%	61.3%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	4.08	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	27	9.6%	19.8%	59.3%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	57	20.2%	24.4%	87.7%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	12.1%	27.2%	88.2%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SC/ICT/SETSS	61	21.6%	50.5%	65.6%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	4.70	0.030	0.028
ELL Progress	20	5.8%	16.1%	50.0%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.29
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	4.70

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

84M350 Democracy Prep Charter School

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

84M350

Democracy Prep Charter School

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1			
Quality Review 1.2			
Quality Review 2.2			
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.88	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.03	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	96%	4.52	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.56	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	86%	3.72	65%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	0.0.00/	4.00	
EMS	84.8%	4.00	
HS	92.3%	4.84	2004
Overall	88.6%	4.44	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.39	3.25	
HS	0.36	2.42	
Overall	0.37	2.84	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:*	4.15	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.20	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
ong Family-Community Ties	2221		1000/
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	3.76	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	
•			
st NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	3.84	100%
	ס/ נ.	5.04	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	

* These scores have been rescaled so that schools without Quality Review ratings are measured on a comparable scale to schools with Quality Review ratings.

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

84M350

Democracy Prep Charter School

A common Core shifts in literacy Common Core shifts in math Course clarity Quality of student discussion ection Results: Collaborative Teachers Cultural awareness Cultural awarene	Teachers Students Teachers Teachers Teachers Parents Students Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	Survey % Positive 94 90 92 92 89 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91% 91	Bottom of Range 78.0 73.4 79.8 58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4 45.8	City Range City Avg 90.2 86.8 87.6 79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9 92.4	Top of Range 100.0 100.0 95.4 99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3	Percent of Range 0.73 0.62 0.78 0.75 0.75 0.88 0.54 0.43 0.62	Score 3.92 3.48 4.12 4.00 3.88
Common Core shifts in literacy Common Core shifts in math Course clarity Quality of student discussion ection Results: Collaborative Teachers Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cult	Teachers Students Teachers Teachers Teachers Parents Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	90 92 89 91% 98 93 93 79 90 90 96 94 94	73.4 79.8 58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4	86.8 87.6 79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9	100.0 95.4 99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3	0.62 0.78 0.75 0.88 0.54 0.43	3.48 4.12 4.00 3.88
Common Core shifts in math • Course clarity • Quality of student discussion ection Results: Follaborative Teachers Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness • Inclusive classroom instruction • Quality of professional development • School commitment • Innovation • Reflective dialogue • Peer collaboration • Focus on student learning • Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: Safety: Safety:	Teachers Students Teachers Teachers Teachers Parents Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	90 92 89 91% 98 93 93 79 90 90 96 94 94	73.4 79.8 58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4	86.8 87.6 79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9	100.0 95.4 99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3	0.62 0.78 0.75 0.88 0.54 0.43	3.48 4.12 4.00 3.88
 Course clarity Quality of student discussion ection Results: collaborative Teachers Cultural awareness: Cultural awareness Inclusive classroom instruction Quality of professional development School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: Safety: 	Students Teachers Teachers Parents Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	92 89 91% 98 93 79 90 90 96 94 94	79.8 58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4	87.6 79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9	95.4 99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3	0.78 0.75 0.88 0.54 0.43	4.12 4.00 3.88
 Quality of student discussion ection Results: collaborative Teachers Cultural awareness: Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Inclusive classroom instruction Quality of professional development School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: 	Teachers Teachers Teachers Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	89 91% 98 93 93 79 90 90 96 94 94	58.6 85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4	79.2 94.3 92.4 80.9	99.8 100.0 99.4 92.3	0.75 0.88 0.54 0.43	4.00 3.88
ection Results: collaborative Teachers Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Inclusive classroom instruction Quality of professional development School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Reflective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: Safety: Safety	Teachers Parents Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	91% 98 93 79 90 96 94 94	85.7 85.4 69.5 81.4	94.3 92.4 80.9	100.0 99.4 92.3	0.88 0.54 0.43	3.88
Cultural awareness: Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Inclusive classroom instruction Quality of professional development School commitment School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility Collective responsibility Collective responsibility Collective Environment Safety: Safety:	Parents Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	98 93 79 90 96 94 94	85.4 69.5 81.4	92.4 80.9	99.4 92.3	0.54 0.43	
Cultural awareness: Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: Safety	Parents Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	93 79 90 96 94 94	85.4 69.5 81.4	92.4 80.9	99.4 92.3	0.54 0.43	2.40
Cultural awareness Cultural awareness School commitments School commitment Network Comment Safety: Safety	Parents Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	93 79 90 96 94 94	85.4 69.5 81.4	92.4 80.9	99.4 92.3	0.54 0.43	2.40
Cultural awareness Cultural awareness School commitment School commitment Innovation School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility Exection Results: Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Collective responsibility Collective Environment Safety: Safety	Parents Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	93 79 90 96 94 94	85.4 69.5 81.4	92.4 80.9	99.4 92.3	0.54 0.43	2.40
Cultural awareness Cultural awareness Inclusive classroom instruction Quality of professional development School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: Safety	Students Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	79 90 96 94 94	69.5 81.4	80.9	92.3	0.43	2.40
Cultural awareness Inclusive classroom instruction Quality of professional development School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: Safety	Combined Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	90 96 94 94	81.4				2.40
 Inclusive classroom instruction Quality of professional development School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: 	Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	96 94 94	81.4				2.40
 Inclusive classroom instruction Quality of professional development School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: 	Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	96 94 94		92.4			3.48
 School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: Safety 	Teachers Teachers Teachers Teachers	94 94			100.0	0.79	4.16
 School commitment Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: Safety 	Teachers Teachers Teachers	94		75.2	100.0	0.89	4.56
 Innovation Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: 	Teachers Teachers		60.1	85.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
 Reflective dialogue Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: 	Teachers		63.0	84.2	100.0	0.89	4.56
 Peer collaboration Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: 		100	85.6	95.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
 Focus on student learning Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: 	reachers	100	72.0	89.8	100.0	0.98	4.92
Collective responsibility ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: Safety	Teachers	96	64.0	86.6	100.0	0.89	4.56
ection Results: upportive Environment Safety: Safety	Teachers	98	54.5	80.5	100.0	0.96	4.84
upportive Environment Safety: Safety	reachers	96%	54.5	00.5	100.0	0.50	4.52
Safety	Teachers						
	Students	86	69.7	83.1	96.5	0.62	
Safety	Combined	86				0.62	3.48
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	90	67.0	80.4	93.8	0.85	
Classroom behavior	Combined	90				0.85	4.40
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	95	86.5	95.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students	72	63.1	76.5	89.9	0.35	2.40
 Next-level guidance 	Students	90	72.1	83.3	94.5	0.78	4.12
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	94	80.3	87.9	95.5	0.90	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	94				0.90	4.60
Personal attention and support	Students	84	73.6	83.0	92.4	0.55	3.20
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	72.8	86.8	100.0	0.72	
Peer support for academic work	Students	65	45.3	61.7	78.1	0.60	
Peer support for academic work		79	· ·			0.66	3.64
ection Results:	Combined	86%					3.72

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

84M350

Democracy Prep Charter School

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	76.7	88.9	100.0	0.63	3.52
Teacher influence	Teachers	77	44.5	71.1	97.7	0.60	3.40
 Program coherence 	Teachers	98	52.0	80.8	100.0	0.97	4.88
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	99	56.6	85.0	100.0	0.99	4.96
Section Results:		91%					4.20
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	77.3	90.9	100.0	0.82	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	76.9	88.1	99.3	0.67	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.75	4.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	69	48.2	65.0	81.8	0.63	3.52
Section Results:		82%					3.76
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	86.5	93.3	100.0	0.57	3.28
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	96	84.5	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	76	69.1	79.9	90.7	0.33	2.32
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	100	56.9	85.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	97	74.0	90.8	100.0	0.89	4.56
Section Results:		93%					3.84

2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS

Targets for 2015-16

Democracy Prep Charter School

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.64	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.41	2.42 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.22	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	30.1%	13.3% or lower	13.4% to 17.0%	17.1% to 20.0%	20.1% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.07	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.44	2.45 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.57	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	54.6%	11.7% or lower	11.8% to 17.5%	17.6% to 22.3%	22.4% or higher
Core Course Pass Rates					
ELA	67.7%	77.6% or lower	77.7% to 82.9%	83.0% to 87.1%	87.2% or higher
Math	72.5%	79.1% or lower	79.2% to 84.0%	84.1% to 88.0%	88.1% or higher
Science	72.2%	81.3% or lower	81.4% to 85.6%	85.7% to 89.2%	89.3% or higher
Social Studies	73.4%	76.6% or lower	76.7% to 82.0%	82.1% to 86.5%	86.6% or higher
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	24.2%	11.0% or lower	11.1% to 17.2%	17.3% to 22.3%	22.4% or higher
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	91.0%	79.9% or lower	80.0% to 84.9%	85.0% to 88.9%	89.0% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.97	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.85	1.86 to 1.91	1.92 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.31	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.12	2.13 or higher
SETSS	2.55	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
ELL	2.42	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.16	2.17 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.13	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
•	2.18	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.83	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 or higher
Self-Contained	2.10				
	2.18	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.10	2.11 or higher
Self-Contained			1.90 to 2.01 2.01 to 2.15	2.02 to 2.10 2.16 to 2.28	2.11 or higher 2.29 or higher
Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching	2.80	1.89 or lower			-
Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS	2.80 2.85	1.89 or lower 2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher
Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL	2.80 2.85 3.05	1.89 or lower 2.00 or lower 1.96 or lower	2.01 to 2.15 1.97 to 2.12	2.16 to 2.28 2.13 to 2.25	2.29 or higher 2.26 or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	84.8%	66.3% or lower	66.4% to 72.1%	72.2% to 76.9%	77.0% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.39	0.19 or lower	0.20 to 0.31	0.32 to 0.40	0.41 or higher

* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

84M350

et
et
et
et
et
