
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
	404	100	101
Kindergarten	104	103	101
Grade 1	107	102	103
Grade 2	68	109	100
Grade 3	69	72	107
Grade 4	65	69	68
Grade 5	-	65	70
Grade 6	100	93	98
Grade 7	109	95	96
Grade 8	136	103	94
All students	776	829	855

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015	
% English Languago Loarnors	6%	5%	5%	
% English Language Learners				
% Free Lunch Eligible	59%	59%	67%	
% Student with IEPs	23%	26%	26%	
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	7%	7%	
% HRA Eligible	-	46%	47%	
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	5%	
% Asian	9%	10%	11%	
% Black	20%	21%	22%	
% Hispanic	39%	40%	41%	
% White	29%	26%	24%	
% Other	0%	3%	3%	

31R861 Staten Island School of Civic Leadership

	eding Target	4.13	
Studer	nt Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score	ı

				2	2014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									-
Average Student Proficiency	528	2.79	2.14	2.41	2.60	2.75	3.03	4.14	8.20%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 	528	41.9%	7.5%	19.8%	29.6%	37.3%	50.2%	4.36	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	410	68.0	52.0	58.1	63.1	67.1	75.5	4.11	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	143	75.0	60.7	67.6	73.4	78.0	87.7	3.35	8.20%
Early Grade Progress	106	2.80	0.44	1.26	1.96	2.51	3.65	4.25	8.20%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	528	2.91	2.11	2.45	2.70	2.90	3.26	4.03	8.20%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	528	45.6%	7.4%	22.3%	34.2%	43.6%	59.5%	4.13	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	412	59.5	46.2	54.1	60.9	66.1	77.2	2.79	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	149	73.0	57.2	64.5	70.8	75.7	85.9	3.45	8.20%
Early Grade Progress	106	2.62	0.39	1.52	2.48	3.23	4.80	3.19	8.20%
Core Course Pass Rates									
• ELA	284	94.7%	60.8%	71.8%	81.0%	88.1%	100.0%	4.55	1.64%
 Math 	284	94.4%	59.3%	70.8%	80.2%	87.7%	100.0%	4.54	1.64%
• Science	284	95.8%	62.5%	73.0%	81.8%	88.6%	100.0%	4.63	1.64%
 Social Studies 	284	95.1%	59.4%	70.8%	80.3%	87.7%	100.0%	4.60	1.64%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	94	39.4%	0.0%	13.9%	25.5%	34.6%	49.7%	4.32	3.28%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	98	95.0%	56.0%	68.0%	79.0%	87.0%	100.0%	4.62	8.20%
								Weighted Average Score	3.92

						2	014-15 Target	s	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	51	9.7%	54.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	36	6.8%	38.0%	19.4%	0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	65	12.3%	100.0%	13.8%	0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%	4.71	0.030	0.028
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	51	9.7%	54.8%	3.9%	0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%	3.38	0.030	0.018
Integrated Co-Teaching	36	6.8%	38.4%	13.9%	0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%	4.19	0.030	0.024
SETSS	65	12.3%	100.0%	23.1%	0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%	4.91	0.030	0.029
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	34	8.3%	21.9%	41.2%	14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	88	21.5%	33.5%	58.0%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%	4.12	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	39	9.5%	26.5%	51.3%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%	3.43	0.030	0.018
SC/ICT/SETSS	118	28.8%	80.1%	41.5%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	2.00	0.030	0.008
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	36	8.7%	22.0%	36.1%	13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	79	19.2%	27.5%	62.0%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%	4.35	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	34	8.3%	23.1%	61.8%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	118	28.6%	80.2%	39.8%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%	2.52	0.030	0.011
ELL Progress	36	4.3%	15.0%	80.6%	24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.21
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	4.13

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).


[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Staten Island School of Civic Leadership

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	3.92	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	3.88	50%
The Sensor Survey Commission for Federicas	31/0	3.00	3070
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.44	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	85%	3.12	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	-4,4		33,0
EMS	82.8%	3.12	
HS			
Overall	82.8%	3.12	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.20	2.00	
HS			
Overall	0.20	2.00	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.40	100%
Wie School Survey - Effective School Leadership	0270	3.40	100/0
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	81%	2.96	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
ust	0001	0.75	
NYC School Survey - Trust	90%	3.48	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	



Section Results:

3.12

Staten Island School of Civic Leadership

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			•			•	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.84	4.36
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.83	4.32
Course clarity	Students	90	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.50	3.00
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	91	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.74	3.96
ection Results:		94%					3.92
Callabayatiya Taashaya							
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:		07	06.0	0.4.0	400.0	0.70	
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	86.2	94.8	100.0	0.78	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	80	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.38	
Cultural awareness	Combined	90				0.55	3.20
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	97	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Quality of professional development	Teachers	81	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.61	3.44
 School commitment 	Teachers	90	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.76	4.04
Innovation	Teachers	87	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.62	3.48
 Reflective dialogue 	Teachers	99	87.4	95.2	100.0	0.92	4.6
 Peer collaboration 	Teachers	97	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	94	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.82	4.2
Collective responsibility	Teachers	81	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.53	3.1
ection Results:		91%					3.8
upportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	89	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.60	
Safety	Combined	89				0.60	3.40
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	75	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.33	
Classroom behavior	Combined	75				0.33	2.32
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	88.0	96.2	100.0	0.97	4.88
Peer interactions	Students	80	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.44	2.76
Next-level guidance	Students	87	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.43	2.72
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	88	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.41	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.41	2.6
Personal attention and support	Students	87	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.53	3.12
Peer support for academic work:		<u>. </u>		55.5	30.3	0.00	5.17
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	95	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students	58	45.8	67.0	88.2	0.29	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	76	45.0	07.0	00.2	0.52	3.08
reer support for academic work	Combined	70				0.32	3.08

85%

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.60	3.40
Teacher influence	Teachers	60	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.50	3.00
Program coherence	Teachers	88	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.68	3.72
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	87	63.6	87.0	100.0	0.63	3.52
Section Results:		82%					3.40
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.61	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.76	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.69	3.76
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	68	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.29	2.16
Section Results:		81%					2.96
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.65	3.60
Student-teacher trust	Students	84	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.57	3.28
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	82	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.52	3.08
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	92	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.63	3.52
Section Results:	TCUCITCIS	90%	77.5	31.1	100.0	0.03	3.48
Jection Regults.		3070					3.70

Targets for 2015-16

Staten Island School of Civic Leadership

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
State Test Results - ELA*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.79	2.45 or lower	2.46 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.59	2.60 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.19	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.12	2.13 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	41.9%	22.8% or lower	22.9% to 27.1%	27.2% to 30.3%	30.4% or higher				
State Test Results - Math*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.91	2.49 or lower	2.50 to 2.62	2.63 to 2.71	2.72 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.23	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.09	2.10 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	45.6%	25.9% or lower	26.0% to 32.1%	32.2% to 36.6%	36.7% or higher				
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	94.7%	74.8% or lower	74.9% to 80.9%	81.0% to 85.4%	85.5% or higher				
Math	94.4%	75.0% or lower	75.1% to 81.1%	81.2% to 85.5%	85.6% or higher				
Science	95.8%	77.0% or lower	77.1% to 82.6%	82.7% to 86.6%	86.7% or higher				
Social Studies	95.1%	71.8% or lower	71.9% to 78.6%	78.7% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher				
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	39.4%	15.7% or lower	15.8% to 24.3%	24.4% to 30.7%	30.8% or higher				
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	95.0%	82.9% or lower	83.0% to 86.9%	87.0% to 89.9%	90.0% or higher				

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
_	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.91	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.87	1.88 to 1.92	1.93 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.33	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
SETSS	2.33	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
ELL	2.30	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.34	2.35 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.02	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.45	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
SETSS	2.46	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.33	2.34 or higher			
ELL	2.43	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.55	2.56 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	80.6%	41.5% or lower	41.6% to 50.3%	50.4% to 56.7%	56.8% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	2015-16 Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	82.8%	69.9% or lower	70.0% to 77.2%	77.3% to 82.5%	82.6% or higher	
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.20	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.26	0.27 to 0.33	0.34 or higher	

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.