
### **Summary of Section Ratings**

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Focus**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

# **School Enrollment and Demographic Data**

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	46	40	34
Grade 1	65	44	29
Grade 2	35	56	41
Grade 3	54	30	51
Grade 4	44	49	22
Grade 5	42	40	44
All students	304	276	234

## **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	10%	8%	8%
% Free Lunch Eligible	97%	97%	85%
% Student with IEPs	20%	32%	30%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	15%	16%	20%
% HRA Eligible	-	83%	79%
% Temporary Housing	-	24%	24%
% Asian	8%	8%	7%
% Black	52%	47%	51%
% Hispanic	36%	39%	37%
% White	3%	3%	2%
% Other	0%	3%	3%

**Student Achievement Scoring Appendix** 

13K067 P.S. 067 Charles A. Dorsey

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.37

				2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA			-	-					
Average Student Proficiency	113	2.09	1.92	2.09	2.19	2.32	2.59	2.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	113	10.6%	0.0%	7.1%	12.1%	17.9%	29.9%	2.70	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	61	80.0	45.8	54.9	62.7	68.2	81.3	4.90	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	22	90.5	61.9	70.2	77.5	82.5	94.4	4.67	9.09%
O Early Grade Progress	49	0.56	0.22	0.87	1.45	1.85	2.85	1.52	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	113	2.15	1.89	2.11	2.26	2.43	2.79	2.27	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	113	13.3%	0.0%	8.5%	14.6%	21.5%	36.6%	2.79	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	61	82.0	35.0	47.1	57.5	64.8	82.1	4.99	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	22	89.5	54.2	64.2	73.0	79.1	93.7	4.71	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	48	1.08	0.02	1.01	1.88	2.49	3.99	2.08	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	37	74.7%	72.5%	80.3%	85.8%	92.1%	100.0%	1.28	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.08

						2	014-15 Target	ς.				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points  Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•	· ·									
Self-Contained	23	20.4%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	12	10.6%	53.8%	16.7%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	3	2.7%	25.7%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	21	18.6%	92.5%	4.8%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.83	0.030	0.014
Integrated Co-Teaching	11	9.7%	49.5%	27.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.70	0.030	0.028
SETSS	3	2.7%	26.0%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	13.1%	28.0%	75.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Lowest Third Citywide	38	62.3%	90.9%	71.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.83	0.030	0.029
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	20	32.8%	83.0%	60.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.20	0.030	0.024
SC/ICT/SETSS	23	37.7%	96.8%	60.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.27	0.030	0.025
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	13.1%	26.9%	75.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Lowest Third Citywide	49	80.3%	100.0%	61.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.34	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	23	37.7%	98.2%	65.2%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.47	0.030	0.026
SC/ICT/SETSS	23	37.7%	98.0%	87.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELL Progress	18	8.1%	20.6%	61.1%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.29
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.37

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 067 Charles A. Dorsey

13K067

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.04	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.68	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	89%	3.60	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.80	
pportive Environment	Hada Barda ad	4.00	200/
Quality Review 3.4	Under Developed	1.00	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	91%	3.44	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	62.70/	2.60	
EMS HS	63.7%	2.60	
Overall	63.7%	2.60	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	U3./70	2.00	30%
environments			
EMS	0.09	1.64	
HS	0.03	1.04	
Overall	0.09	1.64	5%
Gveruii	0.03	1.04	3/0
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.36	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.40	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	
ong Family-Community Ties			
-			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	87%	3.48	100%
-	87% Section Score:	3.48 3.48	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties			100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  St	Section Score:	3.48	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target			100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  St	Section Score:	3.48	



P.S. 067 Charles A. Dorsey

13K067

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in literacy</li> </ul>	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
<ul> <li>Common Core shifts in math</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.84	4.36
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	90	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.69	3.76
Section Results:		94%					4.04
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	92				0.50	3.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.81	4.24
Quality of professional development	Teachers	72	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.42	2.68
School commitment	Teachers	82	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20
Innovation	Teachers	91	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.69	3.76
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	94	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.73	3.92
Focus on student learning	Teachers	88	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.61	3.44
Collective responsibility	Teachers	92	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:	. 646.16.6	89%		<b>U</b>	200.0	00	3.60
Supportive Environment Safety:	Total	0.5	00.0	04.5	400.0	0.70	
Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.78	
Safety	Students	0.0	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.70	4.42
• Safety	Combined	96				0.78	4.12
Classroom behavior:	T l	00	66.0	05.5	400.0	0.60	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	90	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.69	
Classroom behavior	Students	22	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.00	2.76
Classroom behavior	Combined	90	22.2	00.0	100.0	0.69	3.76
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	Tanahawa	04	75.0	00.0	100.0	0.25	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	81	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.25	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	04	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.25	2.00
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	81	77.0	00.6	400.0	0.25	2.00
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Tagalas	00	76.5	04.5	400.0	0.04	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	99	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.94	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	89	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
Peer support for academic work	Students	0.4	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.50	2.20
Peer support for academic work	Combined	94				0.59	3.36
Section Results:		91%					3.44

13K067 P.S. 067 Charles A. Dorsey

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Effective School Leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Inclusive principal leadership Teacher influence	Teachers	60	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.49	2.96
	Teachers	83	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.49	3.32
Program coherence							
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:		82%					3.40
Change Fourth Community Ties							
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	80	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		87%					3.48
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	92	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	89	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.38	2.52
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	90	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.77	4.08
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	85	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.41	2.64
Section Results:		89%					3.08

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
State Test Results - ELA*						
Average Student Proficiency	2.09	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.25	2.26 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.88	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.6%	7.7% or lower	7.8% to 12.2%	12.3% to 15.7%	15.8% or higher	
State Test Results - Math*						
Average Student Proficiency	2.15	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.33	2.34 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.83	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	13.3%	8.3% or lower	8.4% to 13.2%	13.3% to 16.8%	16.9% or higher	
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	74.7%	83.0% or lower	83.1% to 87.3%	87.4% to 90.6%	90.7% or higher	
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained	1.71	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.06	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.10	2.11 or higher	
SETSS		1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 or higher	
ELL	2.02	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Math - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained	1.91	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.27	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher	
SETSS		1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.26	2.27 or higher	
ELL	2.30	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.24	2.25 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
ELL Progress	61.1%	46.3% or lower	46.4% to 56.3%	56.4% to 63.8%	63.9% or higher	

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	63.7%	55.2% or lower	55.3% to 62.1%	62.2% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.09	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			