

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


## Summary of Section Ratings

13K044

P.S. 044 Marcus Garvey

### Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

**2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES**  
**School Enrollment and Demographic Data**

13K044  
P.S. 044 Marcus Garvey

**Student Enrollment**

<b>Grade</b>	<b>2012 - 2013</b>	<b>2013 - 2014</b>	<b>2014 - 2015</b>
Kindergarten	40	39	35
Grade 1	53	45	38
Grade 2	47	40	45
Grade 3	71	49	34
Grade 4	53	55	40
Grade 5	40	41	46
<b>All students</b>	<b>322</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>261</b>

**Student Demographics**

	<b>2012 - 2013</b>	<b>2013 - 2014</b>	<b>2014 - 2015</b>
% English Language Learners	3%	2%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	91%	91%	92%
% Student with IEPs	12%	19%	20%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	10%	12%	11%
% HRA Eligible	-	76%	78%
% Temporary Housing	-	19%	19%
% Asian	2%	2%	2%
% Black	85%	85%	83%
% Hispanic	8%	7%	10%
% White	4%	4%	3%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES  
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

13K044  
P.S. 044 Marcus Garvey

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
<b>Meeting Target</b>	<b>3.78</b>

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
<b>State Test Results - ELA</b>									
Average Student Proficiency	117	<b>2.27</b>	1.97	2.17	2.30	2.44	2.70	<b>2.77</b>	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	117	<b>15.4%</b>	2.2%	10.8%	16.8%	23.6%	35.4%	<b>2.77</b>	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	82	<b>66.0</b>	45.1	53.2	60.3	65.2	77.0	<b>4.07</b>	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	31	<b>83.0</b>	57.3	66.1	73.8	79.1	91.8	<b>4.31</b>	9.09%
• Early Grade Progress	34	<b>2.15</b>	0.38	0.98	1.51	1.87	2.80	<b>4.30</b>	9.09%
<b>State Test Results - Math</b>									
Average Student Proficiency	117	<b>2.31</b>	1.98	2.24	2.40	2.59	2.93	<b>2.44</b>	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	117	<b>22.2%</b>	2.8%	13.7%	21.3%	29.9%	44.6%	<b>3.10</b>	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	83	<b>63.0</b>	36.2	48.2	58.7	66.0	83.4	<b>3.59</b>	9.09%
• Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	29	<b>78.0</b>	53.1	63.0	71.7	77.7	92.0	<b>4.02</b>	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	34	<b>2.16</b>	0.38	1.24	1.96	2.46	3.78	<b>3.40</b>	9.09%
• MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	33	<b>95.1%</b>	69.3%	78.2%	84.3%	91.3%	100.0%	<b>4.44</b>	9.09%
								<b>Weighted Average Score</b>	<b>3.56</b>

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
<b>ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4</b>												
• Self-Contained	18	15.4%	75.9%	<b>11.1%</b>	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	<b>4.99</b>	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	1.7%	8.6%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	7	6.0%	57.1%	<b>14.3%</b>	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	<b>4.89</b>	0.030	0.029
<b>Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4</b>												
Self-Contained	18	15.4%	76.6%	<b>5.6%</b>	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	<b>3.18</b>	0.030	0.016
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	1.7%	8.7%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	7	6.0%	57.7%	<b>14.3%</b>	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	<b>3.51</b>	0.030	0.019
<b>ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile</b>												
ELL	2	2.4%	5.1%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
• Lowest Third Citywide	44	53.7%	78.0%	<b>59.1%</b>	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	<b>4.19</b>	0.030	0.024
• Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	25.6%	64.8%	<b>66.7%</b>	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	<b>4.50</b>	0.030	0.026
• SC/ICT/SETSS	22	26.8%	65.6%	<b>68.2%</b>	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	<b>4.59</b>	0.030	0.027
<b>Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile</b>												
ELL	2	2.4%	4.9%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	45	54.2%	74.5%	<b>44.4%</b>	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	<b>3.16</b>	0.030	0.016
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	21	25.3%	65.9%	<b>42.9%</b>	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	<b>3.15</b>	0.030	0.016
SC/ICT/SETSS	23	27.7%	69.2%	<b>47.8%</b>	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	<b>3.68</b>	0.030	0.020
ELL Progress	7	3.0%	7.6%	<b>85.7%</b>	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
											<b>CtAG Additional Points</b>	<b>0.22</b>
											<b>Overall Student Achievement Score</b>	<b>3.78</b>

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	3.20	34%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.32</b>	

<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.24	50%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.32</b>	

<b>Supportive Environment</b>			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	80%	1.68	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	63.4%	2.40	
HS			
Overall	63.4%	2.40	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.40	4.20	
HS			
Overall	0.40	4.20	5%
<b>Section Rating: Approaching Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>2.52</b>	

<b>Effective School Leadership</b>			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	75%	3.00	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.00</b>	

<b>Strong Family-Community Ties</b>			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.28	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.28</b>	

<b>Trust</b>			
NYC School Survey - Trust	91%	3.48	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>	<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.48</b>	

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score	
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range			
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>								
•	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
•	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
○	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	73	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.14	1.56
<b>Section Results:</b>			<b>88%</b>					<b>3.20</b>
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>								
Cultural awareness:								
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
	Cultural awareness	Combined	92				0.50	3.00
	Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	88	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.25	2.00
	Quality of professional development	Teachers	75	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.49	2.96
	School commitment	Teachers	77	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.43	2.72
	Innovation	Teachers	82	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.39	2.56
•	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
•	Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
	Focus on student learning	Teachers	85	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.52	3.08
	Collective responsibility	Teachers	88	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.64	3.56
<b>Section Results:</b>			<b>87%</b>					<b>3.24</b>
<b>Supportive Environment</b>								
Safety:								
	Safety	Teachers	82	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.08	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
○	Safety	Combined	82				0.08	1.32
Classroom behavior:								
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	72	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.15	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
○	Classroom behavior	Combined	72				0.15	1.60
	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	88	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.25	2.00
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:								
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	69	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.00	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
○	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	69				0.00	1.00
	Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:								
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	81	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.20	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	87				0.35	2.40
<b>Section Results:</b>			<b>80%</b>					<b>1.68</b>

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
<b>Effective School Leadership</b>							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.68	3.72
○ Teacher influence	Teachers	38	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.14	1.56
Program coherence	Teachers	81	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.52	3.08
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	87	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.65	3.60
<b>Section Results:</b>		75%					<b>3.00</b>
<b>Strong Family Community Ties</b>							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	92				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.50	3.00
<b>Section Results:</b>		85%					<b>3.28</b>
<b>Trust</b>							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	92	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.54	3.16
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	78	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.50	3.00
● Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.92	4.68
<b>Section Results:</b>		91%					<b>3.48</b>

**2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES**  
**Targets for 2015-16**

13K044

P.S. 044 Marcus Garvey

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>State Test Results - ELA*</b>					
Average Student Proficiency	2.27	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.34	2.35 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	15.4%	10.3% or lower	10.4% to 15.8%	15.9% to 20.0%	20.1% or higher
<b>State Test Results - Math*</b>					
Average Student Proficiency	2.31	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.46	2.47 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	22.2%	13.7% or lower	13.8% to 21.0%	21.1% to 26.5%	26.6% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.1%	85.0% or lower	85.1% to 88.8%	88.9% to 91.7%	91.8% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>ELA - Average Proficiency Rating</b>					
Self-Contained	1.91	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
SETSS	2.12	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.07	2.08 or higher
ELL		2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.28	2.29 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
<b>Math - Average Proficiency Rating</b>					
Self-Contained	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 or higher
SETSS	2.12	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.28	2.29 or higher
ELL		2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.44	2.45 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.83	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	85.7%	43.7% or lower	43.8% to 53.6%	53.7% to 61.2%	61.3% or higher

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	63.4%	54.5% or lower	54.6% to 61.3%	61.4% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.40	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.26	0.27 to 0.34	0.35 or higher