
### **Summary of Section Ratings**

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Good Standing**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

P.S. 017 Henry D. Woodworth

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	44	41	32
Grade 1	63	43	47
Grade 2	43	65	45
Grade 3	62	39	58
Grade 4	62	59	42
Grade 5	63	59	54
All students	369	334	311

## **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	17%	14%	15%
% Free Lunch Eligible	79%	79%	79%
% Student with IEPs	32%	34%	32%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	14%	13%	12%
% HRA Eligible	-	61%	60%
% Temporary Housing	-	9%	8%
% Asian	1%	2%	2%
% Black	6%	5%	5%
% Hispanic	83%	82%	85%
% White	9%	10%	8%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

**Student Achievement Scoring Appendix** 

14K017 P.S. 017 Henry D. Woodworth

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.31

				2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	152	2.43	1.90	2.11	2.25	2.42	2.70	4.04	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>	152	23.0%	0.0%	8.8%	15.1%	22.3%	34.4%	4.06	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	89	62.0	45.4	54.8	62.9	68.6	82.1	2.89	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third</li> </ul>	32	84.0	60.8	69.4	76.9	82.1	94.4	4.15	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	57	1.77	0.11	0.91	1.60	2.09	3.24	3.35	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	151	2.70	1.84	2.14	2.36	2.60	2.99	4.26	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>	151	37.1%	0.0%	11.3%	19.4%	28.7%	43.9%	4.55	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	90	72.0	36.0	48.3	59.0	66.4	84.1	4.32	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third</li> </ul>	31	91.0	54.6	64.6	73.3	79.3	93.7	4.81	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	57	2.73	0.02	1.13	2.10	2.77	4.40	3.94	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	53	94.7%	75.1%	82.1%	87.1%	92.8%	100.0%	4.26	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	4.06

						2	014-15 Target	c				
		2014 15 Cabaal	Damulatian 0/	2014 15	Dattam of				- Tou of		Futus Daints	Futus Daints
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•										
O Self-Contained	22	14.5%	71.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	30	19.7%	100.0%	3.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.89	0.030	0.007
SETSS	2	1.3%	12.4%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	22	14.6%	72.6%	4.5%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.70	0.030	0.013
Integrated Co-Teaching	30	19.9%	100.0%	6.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.93	0.030	0.007
SETSS	2	1.3%	12.5%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	17	19.1%	40.8%	47.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.03	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	46	51.7%	75.0%	54.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.87	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	20	22.5%	57.0%	65.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.42	0.030	0.026
• SC/ICT/SETSS	32	36.0%	92.0%	56.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.07	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	19	21.1%	43.3%	78.9%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Lowest Third Citywide	35	38.9%	53.4%	80.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	18	20.0%	52.1%	94.4%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SC/ICT/SETSS	32	35.6%	91.9%	71.9%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.83	0.030	0.029
ELL Progress	40	14.4%	36.5%	52.5%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.75	0.030	0.013
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.25
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	4.31

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).


<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 017 Henry D. Woodworth

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.80	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	90%	3.68	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
nortivo Environment			
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.52	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	J., W		3370
EMS	77.9%	3.68	
HS		<del>-</del>	
Overall	77.9%	3.68	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.11	1.79	
HS			
Overall	0.11	1.79	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
ective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	78%	3.12	100%
		3.12	100/0
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.20	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
st			
	92%	3.72	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust	<b>3</b> 2%	3.72	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
JELLIOH NACHE, MICERIES LAISEL			



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range				
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Inst	truction		•	J	, 0	, ,	J	
	Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.85	4.40
	Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Course cla	arity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of	f student discussion	Teachers	83	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.44	2.76
Section Result	ts:		93%					3.80
Collaborative	o Toachors							
	awareness:							
	ural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.80	
	ural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	ural awareness	Students	94	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
	ural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.65	3.60
	classroom instruction	Teachers	93 97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.81	4.24
	f professional development	Teachers	76	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.51	3.04
	ommitment	Teachers	94	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
Innovatio		Teachers	83	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.43	2.72
Reflective		Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer colla		Teachers	93	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.69	3.76
	student learning	Teachers	93	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.78	4.12
	e responsibility	Teachers	84	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.53	3.12
Section Result	·	reactions	90%	03.7	04.7	100.0	0.55	3.68
supportive E	invironment							
Safety:		T la	400	00.0	0.4.6	100.0	4.00	
Safet	-	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safet Safet	ty	Students		80.0 74.5	94.6 88.5	100.0 100.0		4.00
Safet Safet  Safet	ty ty		100 100				1.00	4.99
Safet Safet  Safet Classroon	ty ty n behavior:	Students Combined	100	74.5	88.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Safet Safet  Classroon Class	ty ty m behavior: sroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers		74.5 66.9	88.5 85.5	100.0		4.99
Safet Safet  Safet Classroon Class Class	ty ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students	<b>100</b> 97	74.5	88.5	100.0	1.00 0.92	
Safet Safet  Safet Classroon Class Class Class	ty ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined	100 97 97	74.5 66.9 67.3	88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.92 0.92	4.68
Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Social-em	ty ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	<b>100</b> 97	74.5 66.9 67.3 <b>89.0</b>	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.92	4.68
Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer inter	ty ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students	100 97 97	74.5 66.9 67.3	88.5 85.5 84.3	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.92 0.92	4.68
Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer inter Next-leve	ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions el guidance	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers	100 97 97	74.5 66.9 67.3 <b>89.0</b>	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6	100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.92 0.92	4.68
Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer intel Next-leve Press tow	ty n behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions el guidance vard academic achievement:	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students	100 97 97 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.92 0.92 1.00	4.68
Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer intel Next-leve Press tow	ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions el guidance vard academic achievement: s toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers	100 97 97	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.92 0.92	4.68
Safet Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer inter Next-leve Press tow Press	ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions el guidance vard academic achievement s toward academic achievement	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students	100 97 97 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.92 0.92 1.00	4.68 4.99
Safet Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer inter Next-leve Press tow Press Press	ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions el guidance vard academic achievement s toward academic achievement s toward academic achievement	Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined	100 97 97 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.92 0.92 1.00	4.68 4.99
Safet Safet Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer inter Next-leve Press tow Press Press Press Personal	ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions el guidance vard academic achievement s toward academic achievement s toward academic achievement s toward academic achievement attention and support	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students	100 97 97 100	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	1.00 0.92 0.92 1.00	4.68 4.99
Safet Safet Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer inter Next-leve Press tow Press Press Press Personal in	ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions el guidance vard academic achievement s toward academic achievement s toward academic achievement attention and support port for academic work:	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	100 97 97 100 90	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.92 0.92 1.00	4.68 4.99
Safet Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer inter Next-leve Press tow Press Press Press Personal Peer supp Peer	ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions el guidance vard academic achievement s toward academic achievement s toward academic achievement attention and support port for academic work:	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	100 97 97 100 90 90	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.92 0.92 1.00	4.68 4.99
Safet Safet Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer inter Next-leve Press tow Press Press Press Personal Peer supp Peer Peer	ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions el guidance vard academic achievement s toward academic achievement s toward academic achievement attention and support port for academic work support for academic work	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	100 97 97 100 90	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9 89.6	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5 100.0	1.00 0.92 0.92 1.00	4.99 4.68 4.99
Safet Safet Safet Safet Safet Classroon Class Class Class Social-em Peer inter Next-leve Press tow Press Press Press Personal Peer supp Peer Peer	ty m behavior: sroom behavior sroom behavior sroom behavior notional measure ractions el guidance vard academic achievement s toward academic achievement s toward academic achievement attention and support port for academic work:	Students Combined Teachers Students Combined Teachers Students Students Teachers Students Combined Students Combined Students	100 97 97 100 90 90	74.5 66.9 67.3 89.0 68.2 75.0 85.3 77.8	88.5 85.5 84.3 96.6 84.8 88.8 91.9	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 98.5	1.00 0.92 0.92 1.00	4.68 4.99

14K017 P.S. 017 Henry D. Woodworth

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.42	2.68
Teacher influence	Teachers	43	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.22	1.88
Program coherence	Teachers	87	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.68	3.72
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	92	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.80	4.20
Section Results:		78%					3.12
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	93	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.52	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.55	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.54	3.16
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	78	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.56	3.24
Section Results:		86%					3.20
Trust							
<ul> <li>Parent-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	91	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	87	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.69	3.76
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	95	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.79	4.16
Section Results:		92%					3.72

**Targets for 2015-16** 

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

<b>Student Achievement Metrics</b>	2014-15	15 2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.43	2.33 or lower	2.34 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.51	2.52 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.92	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	23.0%	19.5% or lower	19.6% to 25.0%	25.1% to 29.2%	29.3% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.70	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.65	2.66 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.04	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	37.1%	23.0% or lower	23.1% to 30.3%	30.4% to 35.8%	35.9% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.7%	85.6% or lower	85.7% to 89.3%	89.4% to 92.0%	92.1% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.93	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.80	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.97	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
SETSS		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
ELL	2.39	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.02	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.11	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.26	2.27 or higher		
SETSS		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 or higher		
ELL	2.66	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	52.5%	45.2% or lower	45.3% to 55.2%	55.3% to 62.7%	62.8% or higher		

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	77.9%	72.3% or lower	72.4% to 79.1%	79.2% to 84.3%	84.4% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.11	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.16	0.17 to 0.20	0.21 or higher		