2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Exceeding Target				4.36
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.72
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.64
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.36
Strong Family-Community Ties	Exceeding Target				4.72
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.48
Student Achievement	Exceeding Target				4.50
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	125	126	100
Grade 1	126	125	134
Grade 2	130	123	122
Grade 3	151	122	116
Grade 4	119	147	116
Grade 5	117	118	134
All students	801	791	757

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	2%	2%	1%
% Free Lunch Eligible	6%	6%	4%
% Student with IEPs	18%	19%	17%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	3%	2%
% Temporary Housing	-	0%	0%
% Asian	10%	10%	10%
% Black	2%	2%	2%
% Hispanic	9%	9%	10%
% White	73%	71%	69%
% Other	0%	8%	10%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Exceeding Target	4.50									
					2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		362	3.44	2.47	2.80	2.99	3.21	3.45	4.96	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		362	78.2%	26.5%	41.5%	51.8%	63.4%	77.2%	4.99	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 		245	73.0	50.5	58.7	65.9	70.9	82.7	4.18	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		86	80.0	55.1	64.0	71.7	77.0	89.8	4.23	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		113	3.23	1.17	1.82	2.33	2.69	3.54	4.64	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		362	3.70	2.59	3.00	3.22	3.47	3.77	4.77	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		362	87.3%	32.6%	49.9%	60.4%	72.3%	85.8%	4.99	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		245	67.0	44.6	54.8	63.5	69.6	84.0	3.57	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		90	66.0	51.3	60.9	69.3	75.2	89.1	2.61	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		113	3.36	1.04	1.92	2.59	3.05	4.26	4.26	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		102	98.3%	86.3%	91.5%	93.9%	96.6%	100.0%	4.50	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	4.34

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.3%	1.5%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	42	11.6%	58.9%	47.6%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	23	6.4%	61.0%	56.5%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.3%	1.5%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	42	11.6%	59.2%	61.9%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	23	6.4%	61.5%	73.9%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	6	2.4%	5.1%	83.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	13	5.3%	5.5%	76.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1	0.4%	1.0%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	46	18.8%	42.7%	52.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.75	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	6	2.4%	4.9%	66.7%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	8	3.3%	4.5%	50.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide			0.0%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	46	18.8%	43.5%	45.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.49	0.030	0.019
ELL Progress	8	1.1%	2.8%	50.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.16
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	4.50

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
02M041
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			•
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.16	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	96%	4.44	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.72	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	98%	4.64	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	98.2%	4.80	
HS			
Overall	98.2%	4.80	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.07	1.50	
HS			
Overall	0.07	1.50	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.64	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	92%	4.36	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	95%	4.72	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.72	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	98%	4.48	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.48	
Section nating. Exceeding larger	Section Score:	4.40	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		City Range						
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigo	rous Instruction							
• (Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.84	4.36
• (Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
(Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
• (Quality of student discussion	Teachers	93	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.78	4.12
Section	on Results:		95%					4.16
Colla	borative Teachers							
(Cultural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.83	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
•	Cultural awareness	Combined	96				0.79	4.16
•	nclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.86	4.44
• (Quality of professional development	Teachers	90	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.79	4.16
	School commitment	Teachers	100	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.99	4.96
•	nnovation	Teachers	94	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.81	4.24
• 6	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
	Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.93	4.72
• 6	Focus on student learning	Teachers	99	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.98	4.92
• (Collective responsibility	Teachers	93	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.79	4.16
	on Results:		96%					4.44
	oortive Environment Safety:							
	Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
	Safety	Students	200	74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	100		0010	200.0	1.00	4.99
- (Classroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	96	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.89	
	Classroom behavior	Students	50	67.3	84.3	100.0	0100	
	Classroom behavior	Combined	96	07.5	01.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
• •	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.93	4.72
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0	0.55	1.72
	Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	01.0	100.0		
	Press toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	94	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.77	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5	0177	
•	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	94	00.0	51.5	50.5	0.77	4.08
- F	Personal attention and support	Students	51	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.77	1.00
	Peer support for academic work:	Students		77.0	05.0	100.0		
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.98	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	99	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.94	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2	0.01	
•	Peer support for academic work	Combined	99	50. ř	, 5.5	57.2	0.96	4.84
Section	on Results:	Combined	98%				0.00	4.64
3000			50/0					1107

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.71	3.84
Teacher influence	Teachers	81	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.81	4.24
 Program coherence 	Teachers	95	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	98	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
Section Results:		92%					4.36
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.96	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	97				0.85	4.40
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	93	62.4	76.6	90.8	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		95%					4.72
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.77	4.08
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	98	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.95	4.80
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.99	4.96
Section Results:		98%					4.48

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.44	3.13 or lower	3.14 to 3.23	3.24 to 3.31	3.32 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.99	2.60 or lower	2.61 to 2.72	2.73 to 2.81	2.82 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	78.2%	60.4% or lower	60.5% to 65.9%	66.0% to 70.1%	70.2% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.70	3.37 or lower	3.38 to 3.52	3.53 to 3.64	3.65 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	3.20	2.79 or lower	2.80 to 2.96	2.97 to 3.09	3.10 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	87.3%	69.2% or lower	69.3% to 76.5%	76.6% to 82.0%	82.1% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	98.3%	89.2% or lower	89.3% to 91.9%	92.0% to 94.0%	94.1% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained							
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.91	2.51 or lower	2.52 to 2.61	2.62 to 2.70	2.71 or higher		
SETSS	2.91	2.56 or lower	2.57 to 2.68	2.69 to 2.78	2.79 or higher		
ELL	3.41	2.75 or lower	2.76 to 2.88	2.89 to 2.99	3.00 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.44	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.29	2.30 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide							
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained							
Integrated Co-Teaching	3.28	2.73 or lower	2.74 to 2.90	2.91 to 3.03	3.04 or higher		
SETSS	3.15	2.78 or lower	2.79 to 2.95	2.96 to 3.09	3.10 or higher		
ELL	3.64	3.03 or lower	3.04 to 3.23	3.24 to 3.37	3.38 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.35	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.37	2.38 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.27	2.28 or higher		
ELL Progress	50.0%	59.6% or lower	59.7% to 69.5%	69.6% to 77.0%	77.1% or highe		

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	4-15 2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	98.2%	83.8% or lower	83.9% to 87.9%	88.0% to 91.0%	91.1% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.07	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.23	0.24 or higher			