Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
Collaborative Teachers	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2 :	3	4.9

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	50	-	-
Grade 1	41	-	-
Grade 2	38	-	-
Grade 3	52	40	-
Grade 4	50	45	41
Grade 5	45	41	39
Grade 6	36	-	-
Grade 7	44	29	-
Grade 8	33	41	28
All students	418	196	108

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	5%	4%
% Free Lunch Eligible	86%	86%	86%
% Student with IEPs	22%	28%	32%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	11%	14%	12%
% HRA Eligible	-	79%	76%
% Temporary Housing	-	31%	35%
% Asian	1%	2%	3%
% Black	80%	78%	79%
% Hispanic	17%	19%	18%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	0%

23K073 P.S. 073 Thomas S. Boyland

Overall Student Achievement Score

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score

				2	014-15 Targets	s	_		
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
State Test Desults - El A	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA	400		4.07	2.44	2.27	2.26	2.54		0.000/
Average Student Proficiency	100	2.25	1.97	2.14	2.27	2.36	2.64	2.85	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	100	12.0%	1.4%	8.4%	14.0%	18.4%	29.9%	2.64	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	99	64.0	51.5	58.0	63.6	68.0	77.1	3.09	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	35	81.0	68.0	74.1	78.7	82.3	89.9	3.64	9.80%
Early Grade Progress			0.18	0.87	1.47	1.94	2.95		0.00%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	101	2.19	1.87	2.11	2.30	2.45	2.82	2.42	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	101	10.9%	0.0%	8.8%	16.0%	21.7%	36.1%	2.29	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	99	66.0	46.4	54.0	60.6	65.7	76.5	4.03	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	34	84.5	62.4	68.8	74.1	78.3	86.9	4.72	9.80%
Early Grade Progress			0.03	1.01	1.86	2.52	3.96		0.00%
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	26	80.8%	61.3%	72.2%	81.2%	88.3%	100.0%	2.96	1.96%
Math	26	76.9%	57.2%	69.2%	79.2%	87.0%	100.0%	2.77	1.96%
Science	26	80.8%	54.5%	67.5%	78.0%	86.3%	100.0%	3.34	1.96%
Social Studies	26	76.9%	51.9%	65.6%	76.7%	85.5%	100.0%	3.02	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	26	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	13.4%	18.2%	29.7%	1.00	3.92%
O 9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	35	71.0%	61.0%	71.0%	79.0%	86.0%	96.0%	1.70	9.80%
								Weighted Average Score	

n 16 3 10	2014-15 School Population % 16.0% 3.0% 10.0%	Population % of Range 89.9% 16.8% 85.5%	2014-15 School Value 0.0%	Bottom of Target Range 0.0% 0.0%	Approaching Target 0.7% 3.1%	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target 1.6%	Top of Target Range 2.6%	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible 0.030	Extra Points Earned 0.000
16 3 10	16.0% 3.0%	of Range 89.9% 16.8%	School Value 0.0%	0.0% 0.0%	Target 0.7%	Target	Target	Target Range		Possible	Earned
3 10	3.0%	16.8%		0.0%			1.6%	2.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
3 10	3.0%	16.8%		0.0%			1.6%	2.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
10			0.0%		3 1%						0.000
	10.0%	85.5%	0.0%		3.170	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%		0.030	0.000
16				0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
16											
10	15.8%	89.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
3	3.0%	16.9%		0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%		0.030	0.000
10	9.9%	85.3%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
9	9.1%	24.0%	44.4%	14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%		0.030	0.000
52	52.5%	81.9%	50.0%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%	3.16	0.030	0.016
35	35.4%	98.6%	54.3%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%	3.77	0.030	0.021
29	29.3%	81.6%	55.2%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	3.80	0.030	0.021
9	9.1%	23.0%	44.4%	13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
62	62.6%	89.7%	46.8%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%	3.04	0.030	0.015
35	35.4%	98.3%	51.4%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%	3.53	0.030	0.019
29	29.3%	82.4%	58.6%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%	4.44	0.030	0.026
4	3.8%	13.2%		24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%		0.030	0.000
									CtAG Add	litional Points	
	9 52 35 29 9 62 35 29	3 3.0% 10 9.9% 9 9.1% 52 52.5% 35 35.4% 29 29.3% 9 9.1% 62 62.6% 35 35.4% 29 29.3%	3 3.0% 16.9% 10 9.9% 85.3% 9 9.1% 24.0% 52 52.5% 81.9% 35 35.4% 98.6% 29 29.3% 81.6% 9 9.1% 23.0% 62 62.6% 89.7% 35 35.4% 98.3% 29 29.3% 82.4%	3 3.0% 16.9% 10 9.9% 85.3% 0.0% 9 9.1% 24.0% 44.4% 52 52.5% 81.9% 50.0% 35 35.4% 98.6% 54.3% 29 29.3% 81.6% 55.2% 9 9.1% 23.0% 44.4% 62 62.6% 89.7% 46.8% 35 35.4% 98.3% 51.4% 29 29.3% 82.4% 58.6%	3 3.0% 16.9% 0.0% 10 9.9% 85.3% 0.0% 0.0% 9 9.1% 24.0% 44.4% 14.1% 52 52.5% 81.9% 50.0% 33.6% 35 35.4% 98.6% 54.3% 29.1% 29 29.3% 81.6% 55.2% 30.2% 9 9.1% 23.0% 44.4% 13.4% 62 62.6% 89.7% 46.8% 28.0% 35 35.4% 98.3% 51.4% 26.0% 29 29.3% 82.4% 58.6% 26.0%	3 3.0% 16.9% 0.0% 5.2% 10 9.9% 85.3% 0.0% 0.0% 6.1% 9 9.1% 24.0% 44.4% 14.1% 28.3% 52 52.5% 81.9% 50.0% 33.6% 43.2% 35 35.4% 98.6% 54.3% 29.1% 40.6% 29 29.3% 81.6% 55.2% 30.2% 41.5% 9 9.1% 23.0% 44.4% 13.4% 26.8% 62 62.6% 89.7% 46.8% 28.0% 39.6% 35 35.4% 98.3% 51.4% 26.0% 38.8% 29 29.3% 82.4% 58.6% 26.0% 36.6%	3 3.0% 16.9% 0.0% 5.2% 8.3% 10 9.9% 85.3% 0.0% 0.0% 6.1% 9.6% 9 9.1% 24.0% 44.4% 14.1% 28.3% 36.6% 52 52.5% 81.9% 50.0% 33.6% 43.2% 48.8% 35 35.4% 98.6% 54.3% 29.1% 40.6% 47.4% 29 29.3% 81.6% 55.2% 30.2% 41.5% 48.2% 9 9.1% 23.0% 44.4% 13.4% 26.8% 34.7% 62 62.6% 89.7% 46.8% 28.0% 39.6% 46.4% 35 35.4% 98.3% 51.4% 26.0% 38.8% 46.2% 29 29.3% 82.4% 58.6% 26.0% 36.6% 42.8%	3 3.0% 16.9% 0.0% 5.2% 8.3% 12.3% 10 9.9% 85.3% 0.0% 0.0% 6.1% 9.6% 14.4% 9 9.1% 24.0% 44.4% 14.1% 28.3% 36.6% 47.7% 52 52.5% 81.9% 50.0% 33.6% 43.2% 48.8% 56.2% 35 35.4% 98.6% 54.3% 29.1% 40.6% 47.4% 56.4% 29 29.3% 81.6% 55.2% 30.2% 41.5% 48.2% 57.0% 9 9.1% 23.0% 44.4% 13.4% 26.8% 34.7% 45.1% 62 62.6% 89.7% 46.8% 28.0% 39.6% 46.4% 55.5% 35 35.4% 98.3% 51.4% 26.0% 38.8% 46.2% 56.1% 29 29.3% 82.4% 58.6% 26.0% 36.6% 42.8% 51.1%	3 3.0% 16.9% 0.0% 5.2% 8.3% 12.3% 20.6% 10 9.9% 85.3% 0.0% 0.0% 6.1% 9.6% 14.4% 24.0% 9 9.1% 24.0% 44.4% 14.1% 28.3% 36.6% 47.7% 70.3% 52 52.5% 81.9% 50.0% 33.6% 43.2% 48.8% 56.2% 71.4% 35 35.4% 98.6% 54.3% 29.1% 40.6% 47.4% 56.4% 74.7% 29 29.3% 81.6% 55.2% 30.2% 41.5% 48.2% 57.0% 75.0% 9 9.1% 23.0% 44.4% 13.4% 26.8% 34.7% 45.1% 66.4% 62 62.6% 89.7% 46.8% 28.0% 39.6% 46.4% 55.5% 74.0% 35 35.4% 98.3% 51.4% 26.0% 38.8% 46.2% 56.1% 76.4% 29 29.3% 82.4% 58.6% 26.0% 36.6% 42.8% 51.1% 68.0%	3 3.0% 16.9% 0.0% 5.2% 8.3% 12.3% 20.6% 10 9.9% 85.3% 0.0% 0.0% 6.1% 9.6% 14.4% 24.0% 1.00 9 9.1% 24.0% 44.4% 14.1% 28.3% 36.6% 47.7% 70.3% 52 52.5% 81.9% 50.0% 33.6% 43.2% 48.8% 56.2% 71.4% 3.16 35 35.4% 98.6% 54.3% 29.1% 40.6% 47.4% 56.4% 74.7% 3.77 29 29.3% 81.6% 55.2% 30.2% 41.5% 48.2% 57.0% 75.0% 3.80 9 9.1% 23.0% 44.4% 13.4% 26.8% 34.7% 45.1% 66.4% 62 62.6% 89.7% 46.8% 28.0% 39.6% 46.4% 55.5% 74.0% 3.04 35 35.4% 98.3% 51.4% 26.0% 38.8% 46.2% 56.1% 76.4% 3.53 29 29.3% 82.4% 58.6% 26.0	3 3.0% 16.9% 0.0% 5.2% 8.3% 12.3% 20.6% 0.030 10 9.9% 85.3% 0.0% 0.0% 6.1% 9.6% 14.4% 24.0% 1.00 0.030 9 9.1% 24.0% 44.4% 14.1% 28.3% 36.6% 47.7% 70.3% 0.030 52 52.5% 81.9% 50.0% 33.6% 43.2% 48.8% 56.2% 71.4% 3.16 0.030 35 35.4% 98.6% 54.3% 29.1% 40.6% 47.4% 56.4% 74.7% 3.77 0.030 29 29.3% 81.6% 55.2% 30.2% 41.5% 48.2% 57.0% 75.0% 3.80 0.030 9 9.1% 23.0% 44.4% 13.4% 26.8% 34.7% 45.1% 66.4% 0.030 9 9.1% 23.0% 46.8% 28.0% 39.6% 46.4% 55.5% 74.0% 3.04 0.030 35 35.4% 98.3% 51.4% 26.0% 38.8% 46.2%

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 073 Thomas S. Boyland

23K073

	Motrie Value		
and the standard of the standa	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction	David Colored	2.40	
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	2.80	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	90%	3.72	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	83%	2.68	
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	61.1%	2.40	
HS			
Overall	61.1%	2.40	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.52	4.16	
HS			
Overall	0.52	4.16	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
ctive School Leadership	070/	2.02	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	87%	3.92	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	89%	4.04	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
t			
NYC School Survey - Trust	88%	3.16	
Section Rating:	Section Score	~ .	



P.S. 073 Thomas S. Boyland

		City Range						
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigoro	ous Instruction							
Co	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	93	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Co	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Co	ourse clarity	Students	88	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.33	2.32
Q	uality of student discussion	Teachers	82	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.48	2.92
Sectio	n Results:		88%					2.80
Collab	oorative Teachers							
Cı	ultural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	86.2	94.8	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	96	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students	73	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.10	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	87	00.0	00	331.	0.45	2.80
In	iclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	90	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
	uality of professional development	Teachers	83	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.63	3.52
	chool commitment	Teachers	81	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.51	3.04
	inovation	Teachers	94	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.81	4.24
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.4	95.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
	eer collaboration	Teachers	91	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.57	3.28
	ocus on student learning	Teachers	94	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.81	4.24
	ollective responsibility	Teachers	92	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.81	4.24
	n Results:	reactiers	90%	33.0	02.2	100.0	0.01	3.72
	ortive Environment afety:							
	Safety	Teachers						
	Safety	Students	75	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.09	
0	Safety	Combined	75				0.09	1.36
Cl	lassroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers						
	Classroom behavior	Students	77	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.40	
	Classroom behavior	Combined	77				0.40	2.60
• Sc	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	100	88.0	96.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Pe	eer interactions	Students	78	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.35	2.40
N	ext-level guidance	Students	85	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.34	2.36
Pr	ress toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
	Press toward academic achievement	Students	81	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.00	
0	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	81				0.00	1.00
• P6	ersonal attention and support	Students	93	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.80	4.20
	eer support for academic work:							
		Teachers						
	Peer support for academic work	reactiers						
	Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.52	
			93 53	84.4 45.8	92.8 67.0	100.0 88.2	0.52 0.17	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents						2.36

P.S. 073 Thomas S. Boyland

		City Range				
	Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
fective School Leadership						
Inclusive principal leadership Parents	90	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.53	3.12
Teacher influence Teachers	61	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.52	3.08
Program coherence Teachers	95	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.87	4.48
Principal instructional leadership Teachers	100	63.6	87.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
ction Results:	87%					3.92
rong Family Community Ties						
Teacher outreach to parents:						
Teacher outreach to parents Teachers	96	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents Parents	96	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.85	
Teacher outreach to parents Combine	d 96				0.80	4.20
Parent involvement in the schools Parents	81	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.71	3.84
ction Results:	89%					4.04
ust						
Parent-teacher trust Parents	96	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust Parents	96	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust Students	71	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.12	1.48
Teacher-principal trust Teachers	95	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.87	4.48
Teacher-teacher trust Teachers	82	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.19	1.76
ction Results:	88%					3.16

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 073 Thomas S. Boyland

23K073

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.25	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.20	2.21 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.85	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	12.0%	4.9% or lower	5.0% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.19	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.80	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	10.9%	5.9% or lower	6.0% to 9.9%	10.0% to 14.9%	15.0% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	80.8%							
Math	76.9%							
Science	80.8%							
Social Studies	76.9%							
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%							
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	71.0%	73.9% or lower	74.0% to 77.9%	78.0% to 80.9%	81.0% or higher			

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.81						
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
SETSS	2.04						
ELL	2.31						
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.79						
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.09	2.10 or higher		
SETSS	1.95						
ELL	2.43						
Lowest Third Citywide	1.87	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress							

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 2015-16 Targets							
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	61.1%	60.5% or lower	60.6% to 68.9%	69.0% to 74.9%	75.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.52	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.23	0.24 to 0.30	0.31 or higher			

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.