2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Exceeding Target				4.04
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.60
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.48	
Effective School Leadership	Exceeding Target				4.00
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.32	
Trust	Exceeding Target				4.16
Student Achievement	Exceeding Target				4.28
		1	2 3	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	115	103	107
Grade 1	108	115	99
Grade 2	101	88	110
Grade 3	91	98	86
Grade 4	82	74	93
Grade 5	92	74	68
All students	625	588	599

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	20%	20%	21%
% Free Lunch Eligible	87%	87%	92%
% Student with IEPs	20%	21%	22%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	8%	9%
% HRA Eligible	-	78%	75%
% Temporary Housing	-	21%	25%
% Asian	0%	0%	1%
% Black	37%	36%	34%
% Hispanic	61%	61%	63%
% White	1%	3%	2%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Exceeding Target	4.28									
					2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA	_									
 Average Student Proficiency 		230	2.55	1.91	2.11	2.24	2.39	2.67	4.57	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		230	26.1%	1.0%	8.9%	14.5%	20.8%	32.7%	4.45	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		138	60.0	46.7	54.7	61.7	66.6	78.2	2.76	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		49	76.0	57.8	66.9	74.9	80.5	93.7	3.20	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		82	2.79	0.30	0.98	1.58	2.00	3.02	4.77	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
 Average Student Proficiency 		234	2.65	1.91	2.18	2.36	2.57	2.93	4.22	9.09%
 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 		234	35.0%	0.2%	11.5%	19.6%	28.8%	44.1%	4.41	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		139	59.0	38.3	49.4	59.0	65.8	81.9	3.00	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 		50	79.0	55.9	65.1	73.0	78.5	91.5	4.04	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		82	3.64	0.07	1.14	2.07	2.72	4.29	4.59	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		64	88.6%	67.4%	77.0%	83.5%	90.8%	100.0%	3.70	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.97

						2	014-15 Target	S				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	25	10.9%	53.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	27	11.7%	59.4%	22.2%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	7	3.0%	28.6%	14.3%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.89	0.030	0.029
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	25	10.7%	53.2%	4.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.48	0.030	0.011
Integrated Co-Teaching	27	11.5%	58.7%	22.2%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.30	0.030	0.025
• SETSS	7	3.0%	28.8%	57.1%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
O ELL	29	21.0%	44.9%	24.1%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	1.86	0.030	0.006
Lowest Third Citywide	31	22.5%	31.3%	58.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.14	0.030	0.024
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	19	13.8%	34.9%	68.4%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.58	0.030	0.027
SC/ICT/SETSS	38	27.5%	67.6%	47.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.26	0.030	0.017
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	30	21.6%	44.4%	40.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.59	0.030	0.019
Lowest Third Citywide	44	31.7%	43.5%	59.1%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.25	0.030	0.024
 Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide 	22	15.8%	41.1%	59.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.24	0.030	0.024
• SC/ICT/SETSS	38	27.3%	68.0%	63.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.48	0.030	0.026
ELL Progress	112	19.9%	50.5%	55.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.03	0.030	0.015
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.31
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	4.28

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
09X063
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	96%	4.20	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.16	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	3.92	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	60.6%	1.68	
HS			
Overall	60.6%	1.68	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.15	2.09	
HS			
Overall	0.15	2.09	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	88%	4.00	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.32	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	95%	4.16	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.16	
		.110	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
09X063
```

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction		·····					
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.84	4.36
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	94	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
Section Results:		96%					4.20
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.76	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	96				0.76	4.04
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.77	4.08
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	92	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.84	4.36
School commitment	Teachers	92	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
Innovation	Teachers	90	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.66	3.64
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.92	4.68
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.93	4.72
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.84	4.36
Collective responsibility	Teachers	85	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.55	3.20
Section Results:		94%					4.16
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	93	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.64	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined	93				0.64	3.56
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	90	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.68	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	90				0.68	3.72
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.93	4.72
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	91	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.62	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	91				0.62	3.48
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	95	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.78	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	96				0.76	4.04
Section Results:		94%					3.92

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
09X063
```

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.65	3.60
Teacher influence	Teachers	71	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.67	3.68
Program coherence	Teachers	95	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:		88%					4.00
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.53	3.12
Section Results:		86%					3.32
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.82	4.28
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	93	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.75	4.00
Section Results:		95%					4.16

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.55	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.29	2.30 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.14	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	26.1%	8.4% or lower	8.5% to 13.2%	13.3% to 16.9%	17.0% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.65	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.44	2.45 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.05	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 2.02	2.03 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	35.0%	12.4% or lower	12.5% to 19.5%	19.6% to 24.9%	25.0% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	88.6%	85.5% or lower	85.6% to 89.1%	89.2% to 91.9%	92.0% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.94	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.80	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.37	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
SETSS	2.45	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.06	2.07 or higher
ELL	2.36	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.12	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.96	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.41	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
SETSS	2.91	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.19	2.20 or higher
ELL	2.41	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.39	2.40 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
ELL Progress	55.4%	37.5% or lower	37.6% to 47.5%	47.6% to 55.0%	55.1% or highe

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	60.6%	61.9% or lower	62.0% to 68.8%	68.9% to 73.9%	74.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.15	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher			