Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	N/A				
Collaborative Teachers	N/A				
Supportive Environment	N/A				
Effective School Leadership	N/A				
Strong Family-Community Ties	N/A				
Trust	N/A				
Student Achievement	N/A				
		1	2	3	4 4.9

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 3	93	-	-
Grade 4	97	73	-
Grade 5	104	92	64
All students	294	165	64

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	17%	22%	31%
% Free Lunch Eligible	94%	94%	97%
% Student with IEPs	25%	19%	20%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	7%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	66%	61%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	17%
% Asian	2%	2%	0%
% Black	51%	42%	38%
% Hispanic	45%	53%	59%
% White	3%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

Student Achievement Rating

27Q215 P.S. 215 Lucretia Mott

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Score

					2	014-15 Target	:s			
Student Achievement Metrics			2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
•	tudent Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
S	tate Test Results - ELA									
0	Average Student Proficiency	60	2.07	1.91	2.14	2.29	2.46	2.75	1.70	11.11%
0	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	60	8.3%	0.8%	10.2%	16.9%	24.4%	36.6%	1.80	11.11%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	50	71.5	47.5	54.7	61.0	65.4	76.0	4.58	11.11%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	17	91.0	58.4	66.7	73.9	78.9	90.8	4.99	11.11%
	Early Grade Progress			0.20	1.00	1.69	2.18	3.33		0.00%

State Test Results - Math 1.90 2.66 3.03 11.11% Average Student Proficiency 63 2.16 2.21 2.42 1.84 Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4 63 12.7% 0.0% 12.9% 22.1% 32.6% 48.3% 1.98 11.11% Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 53 73.0 37.1 47.7 79.0 4.61 11.11% 57.0 63.5 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 21 85.0 53.8 62.6 70.1 75.4 88.1 4.76 11.11% Early Grade Progress 0.02 1.20 2.23 2.94 4.65 0.00% MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 80 94.8% 70.3% 78.8% 84.8% 91.5% 100.0% 4.39 11.11%

Weighted Average Score

						2014-15 Targets						
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	7	11.7%	57.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	3	5.0%	25.4%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS			0.0%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	7	11.1%	55.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	3	4.8%	24.5%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
SETSS			0.0%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	13	26.0%	55.6%	61.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.64	0.030	0.027
Lowest Third Citywide	34	68.0%	99.4%	55.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.03	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	18	36.0%	91.1%	50.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.42	0.030	0.018
• SC/ICT/SETSS	9	18.0%	40.4%	77.8%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	17	32.1%	65.9%	52.9%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.32	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	39	73.6%	100.0%	46.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.33	0.030	0.018
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	19	35.8%	93.2%	31.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.18	0.030	0.009
• SC/ICT/SETSS	9	17.0%	38.3%	77.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
O ELL Progress	19	29.7%	75.4%	31.6%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000

CtAG Additional Points

Overall Student Achievement Score

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

27Q215

P.S. 215 Lucretia Mott

	Metric Value	Madela Car	14/-1-1-1-2
rorous Instruction	ivietric value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction	Destisions	2.40	
Quality Review 1.1 Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40 2.00	
Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2	Developing Proficient	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	Proficient	3.40	
NTC School Survey - Rigorous Histraction			
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	96%	4.00	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
oportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	98%	4.40	
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	84.6%	4.32	
HS			
Overall	84.6%	4.32	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.57	4.88	
HS			
Overall	0.57	4.88	
Section Rating:	Section Score	۵۰	
Section Rating.	Section Score	.	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	86%	2.36	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	2.64	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	
ıst			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	3.16	
Section Rating:	Section Score	e:	



P.S. 215 Lucretia Mott

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers		86.4	94.8	100.0		
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers		83.3	93.1	100.0		
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers		68.7	85.3	100.0		
Section Results:							
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers		85.4	95.0	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	96				0.75	4.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers		84.2	94.6	100.0		
Quality of professional development	Teachers		51.4	77.4	100.0		
School commitment	Teachers		59.9	85.3	100.0		
Innovation	Teachers		70.3	86.7	100.0		
Reflective dialogue	Teachers		87.9	95.9	100.0		
Peer collaboration	Teachers		77.6	92.2	100.0		
Focus on student learning	Teachers		68.2	89.0	100.0		
Collective responsibility	Teachers		65.7	84.7	100.0		
Section Results:		96%					4.00
Supportive Environment Safety:	Tarakan		20.0	0.4.6	400.0		
Safety	Teachers		80.0	94.6	100.0		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
Safety	Combined						
Classroom behavior:	T l		66.0	05.5	400.0		
Classroom behavior	Teachers		66.9	85.5	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined		20.0	06.6	400.0		
Social-emotional measure	Teachers		89.0	96.6	100.0		
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	T l		75.0	00.0	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers		75.0	88.8	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined		77.0	90 C	100.0		
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Topohora		76 5	01 5	100.0		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	98	76.5 88.4	91.5	100.0 100.0	0.85	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98		94.8		0.85	
Peer support for academic work	Students Combined	00	50.4	73.8	97.2	O 0E	4.40
Peer support for academic work Section Results:	Combined	98				0.85	4.40
Section Results:		98%					4.40

27Q215

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	86	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.34	2.36
Teacher influence	Teachers	80	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.54	2.30
Program coherence	Teachers		60.0	85.2	100.0		
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers		61.6	87.0	100.0		
Section Results:	reactions	86%	01.0	07.0	100.0		2.36
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers		84.5	94.5	100.0		
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	89	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	89				0.25	2.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	78	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.56	3.24
Section Results:		84%					2.64
Trust	_						
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.58	3.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers		56.4	85.0	100.0		
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers		74.1	90.5	100.0		
Section Results:		94%					3.16

27Q215

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 215 Lucretia Mott

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
2.07							
1.89							
8.3%							
2.16							
1.86							
12.7%							
94.8%	84.6% or lower	84.7% to 88.5%	88.6% to 91.5%	91.6% or higher			
2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
1.71							
2.05							
1.98							
1.98							
1.82							
1.98							
1.91							
1.96							
	2.07 1.89 8.3% 2.16 1.86 12.7% 94.8% 2014-15 School Value 1.71 2.05 1.98 1.98 1.98 1.98 1.91	Not Meeting Target	Not Meeting Target	Not Meeting Target Approaching Target Meeting Target			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	84.6%							
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.57							