# CHAPTER 8 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW: WEST 135<sup>TH</sup> STREET CONVERTED MTS

#### 8.1 Introduction

The results of the environmental analyses of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS are presented in the following sections:

- 8.2 Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy
- 8.3 Socioeconomic Conditions
- 8.4 Community Facilities
- 8.5 Open Space and Parklands
- 8.6 Cultural Resources
- 8.7 Urban Design and Visual Quality
- 8.8 Neighborhood Character
- 8.9 Traffic and Transportation
- 8.10 Air Quality
- 8.11 Odor
- 8.12 Noise
- 8.13 Infrastructure and Energy
- 8.14 Natural Resources
- 8.15 Water Quality
- 8.16 Waterfront Revitalization Program
- 8.17 Hazardous Materials

Section 2.6 provides a summary description of the site and important characteristics of the facility design. A detailed discussion of the methodologies that were applied in conducting each analysis is provided in Chapter 3. Supplemental information on the site or the study area is provided in the following sections when appropriate to the analysis.

#### 8.2 Land Use, Zoning, and Public Policy

# 8.2.1 Existing Conditions

# 8.2.1.1 Definition of the Study Areas

The primary study area for the land use, zoning, and public policy analyses is defined as the area within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile of the site (Figure 8.2-1). The secondary study area is defined as the area between <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile and <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile of the site (Figure 8.2-2). Section 3.4 describes the methodology employed in these analyses and Section 2.6 provides information on existing land uses and operations on the site.


# 8.2.1.2 Land Use Patterns

# 8.2.1.2.1 General Context

Set in the larger context of Manhattan's Upper West Side and Hudson River waterfront, the site is surrounded by a variety of land uses in the immediate area, including primarily waterdependent municipal uses, parks and recreational areas, and transportation infrastructure.

# 8.2.1.2.2 Land Uses in the Primary Study Area


Two major roadways, the Henry Hudson Parkway and Riverside Drive, separate the site from upland elevated areas to the east by providing a buffer of about 450 feet between the site and residential uses. In addition, these residential upland areas sit at a higher elevation than the site and do not have direct access to it via the cross streets. Most streets around the site are dead-end or one-way and directed away from the site. Essentially, the only way to access the site is to approach West 135<sup>th</sup> Street from the south via 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue, which runs between St. Clair Place and 137<sup>th</sup> Street under Riverside Drive.



CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF SANITATION

MTS Environmental Evaluation

EE/



Immediately north of and adjacent to the site is the City's North River WPCP between West 135<sup>th</sup> and West 145<sup>th</sup> Streets, upon which Riverbank State Park is located. The park is an active recreation facility encompassing 28 acres of playing fields and courts, a café, cultural center and amphitheater. (See Section 8.5 for more detail on the park.) Adjacent to the WPCP, at grade level below the Henry Hudson Parkway, is a staging area used by the DEP for ongoing construction at the plant. A variety of other uses are also below the parkway, including the 26<sup>th</sup> Precinct Police Station at West 135<sup>th</sup> Street, various warehouses, vacant buildings and commercial establishments. Immediately to the south of the site is a Con Ed natural gas pumping facility.

A narrow portion of Riverside Park runs just to the east of the site, along the western edge of Riverside Drive and at the same elevation as Riverside Drive and the residential area adjacent to it.

The undeveloped piers and shore area south of the site located between West 125<sup>th</sup> and West 133<sup>rd</sup> Streets, known as "Harlem Piers," are publicly owned property currently used as informal public waterfront access and the subject of a current EDC redevelopment plan.

East of Riverside Drive, the primary study area is almost entirely residential. These upland areas are comprised of apartment buildings generally six to seven stories tall except for River View Towers on Riverside Drive north of West 139<sup>th</sup> Street, which is 24 stories tall. Toward the southern portion of the primary study area, particularly around Broadway, there are ground-level commercial uses and various automotive repair, garage and warehouse storage spaces on the cross streets, including NYC Transit's Manhattanville Bus Depot (between West 132<sup>nd</sup> and West 133<sup>rd</sup> Streets).

# 8.2.1.2.3 Land Uses in the Secondary Study Area

Land use in the secondary study area is generally characterized as residential, with related uses such as schools, churches and libraries, and commercial uses along Broadway and Amsterdam Avenue, the north-to-south arteries. City College, located east of the site on Amsterdam Avenue, covers the area along the eastern perimeter of the secondary study area from about West 130<sup>th</sup> to West 140<sup>th</sup> Streets. Grant's Tomb, a national landmark and tourist attraction, is located south of the secondary study area at West 122<sup>nd</sup> Street and Riverside Drive.

# 8.2.1.3 Current Zoning on and near the Site

# 8.2.1.3.1 Zoning within the Primary Study Area


The site is located within an M1-1 zoning district, which extends along the waterfront from West 133<sup>rd</sup> to West 145<sup>th</sup> Streets and includes the North River WPCP and the Riverbank State Park atop it. The remainder of the primary study area to the east of the Henry Hudson Parkway is zoned for high-density residential development (R8), with a commercial overlay along Broadway. The nearest residentially zoned area is approximately 220 feet from the site. Manufacturing zones cover the waterfront north and south of the site. (See Figure 8.2-3 and Table 3.4-1: Zoning District Characteristics.).

# 8.2.1.3.2 Zoning within the Secondary Study Area

The shoreline areas north of the site within the secondary study area are zoned for industrial uses as far north as 145<sup>th</sup> Street. The manufacturing zoning continues into the secondary study area south of the site to about St. Clair Place and inland to include the area around the intersection of Broadway and West 132<sup>nd</sup> Street. The remainder of the secondary study area is zoned for residential use with commercial overlay zoning along Broadway and Amsterdam Avenue.


# 8.2.1.4 Current Plans and Policies

As described in DPR's Comprehensive Manhattan Waterfront Plan (CMWP) (197-a Plan), Harlem Piers is the site of potential future mixed-use development, where interim improvements to bulkhead and areas along Marginal Street should be coordinated with DSNY to minimize pedestrian-vehicular conflicts. (See Section 8.5 for details on DPR park plans and Section 8.16 for a review of consistency with the Waterfront Revitalization Program.) The CMWP



Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning





recommends that DEP's construction staging area be used "at least in part," to provide access between Riverside Park North and the proposed Harlem Piers. The plan also recommends extending public bus service to Riverbank State Park. Additionally, the CMWP recommends designating the northern portion of the park as a scenic landmark.

The EDC prepared the West Harlem Master Plan, a master plan for the area extending from about St. Clair Place/West 125th Street to West 133rd Street and from the east side of Broadway west to the Hudson River, including the site of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS (published in August 2002). The plan suggests three redevelopment components: the transportation system waterfront, transportation, and upland development. Potential transportation improvements include an intermodal center, off-street parking improvements, reconfiguration of the Henry Hudson Parkway ramps, and streetscape improvements. The intermodal center would be located between St. Clair Place and West 125th Street, west of 12th Avenue and could include a new Metro-North station (currently being considered by MTA as part of the Penn Station Access Study), as well as provide for bus and ferry connections. The intermodal center is portrayed as the key to making West Harlem a regional attraction.

In the waterfront parcel just west and north of the proposed intermodal center, multi-use recreational activities are envisioned, while upland development largely through infill is expected to occur as a result of proposed infrastructure improvements and potential zoning changes.

Development of the Harlem Piers area is recommended as part of the CMWP and the New Waterfront Revitalization Program. As specified by the Plan for the Manhattan Waterfront, a focus of this development is pedestrian planning and ensuring pedestrian and bikeway connectivity along the Hudson River near the proposed intermodal center; however, while the Harlem Piers development project is planned for completion by 2006, the timeframe for development of the intermodal center and other recreational facilities is not yet known.

Community District 9, in its Community District Needs Statement (FY 2002/2003), is organized to emphasize the primary concerns for promoting an improved quality of life and advancing the economic development of the community. To this end, the Community District Needs Statement

refers to the Harlem Piers area as the last remaining opportunity for community development and supports the notion of developing the area as a means of providing jobs and open space/waterfront access. Otherwise, the Community District Needs Statement makes no reference to the site or its immediate environs. The Board's goals for the district are to see their prepared 197-a plan through approval and implementation, and to work to improve the quality of housing in the area.

By 2004, DCP plans to have completed a study currently underway that addresses current land uses in the vicinity of the site and explores opportunities to bring in new uses that would lead to economic growth. Although it is too early to speculate the outcome of this study, rezoning recommendations are possible. Even if that were the case, however, DCP notes that it would likely be several more years before any rezoning were adopted.

The Frederick Douglass Boulevard Rezoning Study, also conducted by DCP, makes recommendations in four categories: housing stock, quality of urban fabric, Frederick Douglass Boulevard image, and the development of public space. This proposed zoning map amendment would affect 44 blocks in south-central Harlem, and aims to balance growth and preservation in the residential core. The area to be rezoned is generally bounded by Central Park North, West 124<sup>th</sup> Street, Morningside Avenue and Adam Clayton Powell Boulevard, about 1 mile from the site.

Of particular interest is the proposed Hudson River Valley Greenway to be developed under the Act of the same name. The goal of this Act is to provide a continuous waterfront pathway from Albany to Battery Park in lower Manhattan. Currently, there is a "gap" between West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and West 135<sup>th</sup> Street. An interim pedestrian and bicycle route connection is currently provided on street until a formal connection can be developed. The driveway to the existing MTS at West 135<sup>th</sup> Street presents a cross-traffic obstacle to pedestrians and bicyclists traveling on the interim route. According to the Greenway Plan for New York City: Greenway Map, DCP, 1996, this route includes an on-street path following St. Nicholas Avenue along St. Nicholas Park. The more recent New York City Cycling Map (2002) shows proposed on-street routes near the site along Convent Avenue, West 125<sup>th</sup> Street, West 120<sup>th</sup> Street, and along 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue where an off-street path is also proposed (to be part of a Hudson River Greenway route).

#### 8.2.2 Future No-Build Conditions

According to EDC, the Harlem Piers redevelopment (the waterfront component of the West Harlem Master Plan) will be completed by 2006. Current designs show that the Harlem Piers will include a park and wharf along the water's edge a covered market square, and a 10,000 square-foot multi-use structure at the western end of the piers site that could include retail and restaurant uses, as well as cultural and visitors centers. Certain streetscape improvements are also planned, such as wider sidewalks, new street plantings and street art.


DPR is working with EDC to incorporate elements of its Hudson River Valley Greenway bicycle and pedestrian plan into work implemented as part of the Harlem Piers Redevelopment. Specific solutions to the problematic crossing of West 135<sup>th</sup> Street—a historically notable disjuncture in the bikeway—are still being discussed. Solutions may include the construction of a cantilever to carry the route from West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street to the north side of West 135<sup>th</sup> Street. (See Section 8.5 for a discussion of parks improvement in the area.)

The site will remain DSNY property, and the existing MTS will remain standing.

# 8.2.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

# 8.2.3.1 Land Use and Zoning

Reactivating waste transfer activities on the site and replacing the existing MTS with the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be consistent with zoning on or near the site and existing and proposed land uses, including the planned Harlem Piers development (Figure 8.2-4). Notably, the location for waterborne waste transfer here is congruent with the North River WPCP immediately north. There are no sensitive uses beneath the viaduct or at the same elevation as the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS north of West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street.





# 8.2.3.2 Consistency with Public Plans and Policies

There are no recommendations or objectives stated in relevant plans and policies that specifically relate to the site, study area, or the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, which would be consistent with the applicable 197-a Plan (the CMWP) insofar as it would not preclude the developments recommended in the plan for nearby areas.

#### 8.3 Socioeconomic Conditions

# 8.3.1 Existing Conditions

# 8.3.1.1 Definition of the Study Area


Two study areas were used for the analysis of socioeconomic conditions: (1) a demographic study area based roughly on census tracts within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> mile of the site, and (2) a study area related to economic activity that generally covers a larger area that extends <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile from the site. (Refer to Section 3.5 for a more detailed description of study area delineation.) The demographic study area is comprised of Census Tracts 223.02 and 313 (Figure 8.3-1). Census Tract 223.03 covers an area bounded by12<sup>th</sup> Avenue to the west, 133<sup>rd</sup> Street to the south, 135<sup>th</sup> Street to the north, and Broadway to the east. Census Tract 313 covers the Hudson River south until St. Claire Place, east along Henry Hudson Parkway and Riverside Drive, and north until I-95. For comparison purposes, census data were gathered at the Borough and City levels as well. The study area for the assessment of potential impacts on economic conditions extends as far north as West 145<sup>th</sup> Street, south to Tiemann Place, and east to Convent Avenue.

Detailed socioeconomic information referred to in the text but not presented in table form may be found in Appendix B.


# 8.3.1.2 Demographic Characteristics


# 8.3.1.2.1 Population

The total 2000 study area population was 4,102 persons (see Table 8.3-1). In terms of total population growth from 1990 to 2000, the study area experienced a greater percentage increase (7 percent) than did the Borough during the same period (3 percent), but its population did not grow as rapidly as the City's as a whole (9 percent).



Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning





	Study Area	Manhattan	City
2000	4,102	1,537,195	8,008,278
1990	3,836	1,487,536	7,322,564
Percent Change	+6.9%	+3.3%	+9.4%

# Table 8.311990-2000 Population

Source: U.S. Census 1990, 2000

The age-sex distribution was slightly different from the population distribution of the Borough and the City with an even greater proportion of females to males. The study area contained a considerably greater percentage of children and teenagers than did the Borough or City; approximately 33 percent of the study area population was under the age of 20 compared to 19 percent for the Borough and 27 percent for the City.

# 8.3.1.2.2 Racial and Ethnic Characteristics

The 2000 study area population had a far greater proportion (66 percent) of people of Hispanic origin (all races) than did Manhattan or the City (27 percent). Of the 34 percent not of Hispanic origin, 92 percent were Black and 3 percent were White. In Manhattan and the City, Blacks represented approximately 21 percent and 33 percent of the non-Hispanic populations, respectively, while Whites represented 63 percent and 48 percent, respectively.

From 1990 to 2000, the number of study area residents of Hispanic origin increased by a greater rate (45 percent) than in the Borough (10 percent) and in the City (24 percent) during the same period. Because the 2000 Census introduced the option for respondents to identify themselves as two or more races, racial categories are not directly comparable with 1990.

# 8.3.1.2.3 Families and Households

There were 987 families in the study area in 2000 and the percentage of these families that had children under the age of 18 (about 55 percent) was considerably larger than those families in Manhattan with children under 18 (43 percent) and in New York City (49 percent). There was a

considerably smaller percentage of married-couple families in the study area than in the Borough or the City, and 49 percent of these families had children, greater than Manhattan (39 percent) but about the same as the City (48 percent).

Fifty-one percent of the families in the study area were headed by a female householder, which is a higher percentage than in the Borough or the City (both 30 percent). Sixty-four percent of the female householder families in the study area had children under the age of 18, slightly greater than the percentages in the Borough (53 percent) and the City (55 percent).

There were 1,582 households in the study area in 2000, with an average household size of 2.6 persons, greater than Manhattan (2 persons) but equal to the City.

From 1990 to 2000, the number of households in the study area increased by 8 percent, compared with a 3 percent increase in the Borough and a 7 percent increase in the City.

# 8.3.1.2.4 Employment

Within the study area, 45 percent of persons age 16 and older participated in the labor force in 2000, compared to 64 percent in Manhattan and 58 percent in the City. The majority of these people in all three areas were employed as private wage and salary workers.

Twenty-two percent of employed persons 16 and over were government workers, compared to Manhattan (10 percent) and the City (16 percent). Moreover, 10 percent of the study area's working population was self-employed, about the same proportion as in Manhattan and somewhat higher than in the City.

From 1990 to 2000, the number of employed persons within the study area decreased by 12 percent while the number of employed persons in the Borough and the City remained approximately the same. Among employed persons, those engaged in government jobs decreased by 47 percent compared to a 15 percent decrease in the Borough and a 10 percent decrease in the City.

Current estimates indicate that about 33,736 employees worked in Manhattan Community District 9 in 2002, which was about 1.6 percent of the borough's total employment.<sup>1</sup>

# 8.3.1.2.5 Housing

Most housing units in the two census tract study area were constructed between 1970 and 1979, while the majority of housing units in both Manhattan and the City were built at least before 1959. As of 2000, there were 1,600 housing units in the study area with a vacancy rate of about 1.2 percent, lower than either the Borough (8 percent) or the City (6 percent). Nearly all the housing units were renter-occupied (94 percent), considerably greater than the Borough (74 percent) and the City (66 percent). Median monthly rent (\$496) was far lower than in the Borough (\$796), and in the City (\$705).

The turnover in the study area (30 percent) from 1995 until 2000 was lower than that of the Borough (45 percent) and the City (43 percent).

From 1990 to 2000, a total of 136 housing units were added in the study area, representing a 9 percent increase, markedly greater than the Borough (2 percent), and slightly higher than the City (7 percent).

# 8.3.1.2.6 Education

Consistent with the higher percentage of children in the study area than in the Borough or the City, there was a slightly higher rate of school enrollment (34 percent) than in either the Borough (24 percent) or the City (29 percent). Of those enrolled in school within the study area in 2000, 73 percent were enrolled in elementary school or high school and 18 percent were enrolled in college or beyond. In Manhattan, 51 percent were enrolled in elementary school, 39 percent in college or beyond, while 62 percent of the City's enrolled population was in elementary and 27 percent in college or beyond.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> New York Metropolitan Transportation Council, Employment Interim Projections data set, approved 7-17-03.

The study area witnessed an 18 percent increase in the number of persons enrolled in school from 1990 to 2000, with the largest increase in enrollments occurring at the pre-primary school level (190 percent), comparable to citywide trends.

The study area had a far lower educational attainment level than either the Borough or the City. A markedly smaller proportion (37 percent) of the study area population age 25 and over had a college degree or some college education compared to Manhattan (66 percent) and the City (48 percent). The study area had a similar percentage of people with only high school diplomas (23 percent) compared to the City (24 percent), and a far greater percentage than those in the Borough (14 percent).

Despite the lower educational levels, from 1990 to 2000, the study area witnessed rising levels of educational attainment. The number of college graduates increased 34 percent, and the same trend was evident in the Borough and the City, which experienced increases of 20 and 29 percent, respectively. Correspondingly, the number of people with less than a college education declined in the study area overall.

# 8.3.1.2.7 Income and Poverty

In 2000, both median household income (\$17,400) and median family income (\$25,196) were far lower than in Manhattan (\$47,030 and \$50,229, respectively) and the City (\$38,293 and \$41,887, respectively). Compared to the two larger areas, a greater percentage of study area households were concentrated at the lowest income levels, with the majority of annual household incomes below \$25,000. Only 22 percent of households in the study area had incomes of \$50,000 and above, compared with 48 percent in the Borough and 40 percent in the City.

A greater percentage of persons in the study area under the age of 18 were living below the poverty level in 2000 (47 percent) than in Manhattan (32 percent) and the City (30 percent). The 2000 Census also reported that 38 percent of the persons 65 and older were living below the poverty level in the study area compared to 19 percent in Manhattan and 18 percent in the City.

Within the study area, the percentage of families living below the poverty level (34 percent) was nearly twice that of Manhattan (18 percent) or the City (19 percent). The percentage of families living below the poverty level with children under the age of 18 (62 percent) was greater than the percentages in Manhattan (48 percent) or the City (55 percent).

From 1990 to 2000, the percentage of people living below the poverty level in the study area increased by 16 percent, as compared to a minimal change in Manhattan and 20 percent increase in the City.

# 8.3.1.3 Economic Conditions

The part of Harlem within approximately ½ mile of the site contains a mix of commercial, automotive repair, distribution and storage-related businesses. This area has experienced a strengthening of its retail sector in the last several years through the establishment of a major food retailer in the area (Fairway). The introduction of this use and the Harlem USA further east on West 125<sup>th</sup> Street outside of the study area is indicative of the increased momentum of economic activity in Harlem.

Several blocks of the Manhattan portion of the Harlem/South Bronx Empire Zone lie within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile of the site. The EZ is one of six such designated zones in the country where state and federal matching funds and tax incentives are available to attract private investment, generate job growth, stimulate business openings and expansions, construct new housing, expand home ownership and stabilize deteriorating neighborhoods.

# 8.3.2 Future No-Build Conditions

# 8.3.2.1 Demographic Characteristics

Regional projections indicate that the population of census tracts 223.02 and 313 will remain the same as current estimates.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> New York Metropolitan Transportation Council, Employment Interim Projections data set, approved 7-17-03.

#### 8.3.2.2 Economic Conditions

Ground-level commercial uses and various automotive repair, garage and warehouse storage spaces on and near Broadway will likely continue to comprise the mix of business uses near the site for the near term. Major commercial and public uses are planned south of the project site on undeveloped piers between West 125<sup>th</sup> and West 133<sup>rd</sup> Streets,<sup>3</sup> known as the Harlem Piers. The initial phases of this project involve the creation of waterfront open space as well as yet undetermined retail services. They are expected to be completed by 2006.

The near-term economic health of industrial areas, such as the industrial area in this section of Harlem, may also be supported by established City programs available through the IDA. These programs, such as the Industrial Incentive Program and the Small Industry Incentive Program, provide business tax incentives for capital renovation and expansion projects.

The designation of a portion of the study area as part of the Harlem/South Bronx EZ will likely have a positive economic effect on the larger Harlem community in the long term. The EZ is expected to expand the range of economic opportunities in the portions of Harlem and Upper Manhattan that it covers.

Regional projections indicate that employment 2006 levels in Manhattan Community District 9 will remain about the same as in 2002.<sup>4</sup>

# 8.3.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135th Street Converted MTS

The West 135th Street Converted MTS represents the reactivation of solid waste transfer operations at the site with added containerization operations, which is a compatible activity given the site's industrial surroundings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Comprehensive Manhattan Waterfront Plan: A 197-a Plan as Modified and Adopted by the City Planning Commission and the City Council, Rudolph W. Giuliani, Mayor, Joseph B. Rose, Director of Department of City Planning, Summer 1997, NYCDCP #98-07.

#### 8.3.3.1 Residential Impacts

No direct residential displacement would occur as a result of the West 135th Street Converted MTS, and land use and neighborhood character analyses predict no adverse impacts.

# 8.3.3.2 Direct Business and Institutional Impacts

The West 135th Street Converted MTS would not result in the direct displacement of businesses or institutional uses.

# 8.3.3.3 Indirect Business and Institutional Impacts

The West 135th Street Converted MTS would not result in indirect impacts to study area businesses or institutions.

# 8.3.3.4 Employment Impacts

The West 135th Street Converted MTS is expected to generate a total of approximately 85 jobs, including supervisors, equipment operators, mechanics, laborers, and clerical personnel. In addition to the direct positive employment impacts, the new workers would generate a minor amount of indirect economic benefits through local spending.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> New York Metropolitan Transportation Council, Employment Interim Projections data set, approved 7-17-03.

### 8.4 Community Facilities and Services

# 8.4.1 Existing Conditions

# 8.4.1.1 Definition of the Study Areas

The primary study area is defined as that area within  $\frac{1}{4}$  mile of the site. The secondary study area is defined as the area between  $\frac{1}{4}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  mile of the site.

# 8.4.1.2 Summary of Community Facilities and Services

There are three community facilities in the primary study area and 30 in the secondary study area. These facilities and others serving the site but located outside of the secondary study area are listed below in Table 8.4-1 and shown on Figure 8.4-1.

# 8.4.2 Future No-Build Conditions

There are no known changes planned for the community facilities and services within the primary and secondary study areas by the Future No-Build year. Community District Number 7 has expressed an interest in having a high school within its district, but no plans have been made. Therefore, anticipated Future No-Build Conditions are expected to be fundamentally the same as Existing Conditions regarding availability of facilities and services and their capacity or adequacy of delivery.

#### 8.4.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135th Street Converted MTS


The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would not create any significant new demand on services and community facilities and would not displace facilities or disrupt services. No significant adverse impacts to service delivery are expected. The New York City Fire Department states that it would have no problem supporting the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS.

# Table 8.4-1Community Facilities and Services

Name	Address			
Within the Primary Study Area				
Schools				
IS 195 Roberto Clemente School	625 West 133 <sup>rd</sup> Street			
Senior Centers				
Manhattanville Riverside Senior Center	3333 Broadway			
Health Care Facilities and Social Services	· · · · · ·			
Metro New York DDSO				
Within the Secondary	Study Area			
Schools				
PS 192 Jacob H. Schiff School	500 West 138 <sup>th</sup> Street			
City College (CUNY)	138 <sup>th</sup> Street Convent Avenue			
PS 161 Pedro Albizu Campos School	499 West 133 <sup>rd</sup> Street			
PS/IS 223 Mott Hall	West 131 <sup>st</sup> Street and Convent Avenue			
JHS 43 Adam C. Powell, Jr. Junior High School	509 West 129 <sup>th</sup> Street			
A. Philip Randolph Campus High School	Convent Avenue and West 135 <sup>th</sup> Street			
Annunciation School	461 West 131 <sup>st</sup> Street			
Chapter I Non-Public Elementary School				
Health Care Facilities and Social Services				
Adventist Care Center (food pantry)	528 West 145 <sup>th</sup> Street			
Reality House				
BIMC-Beth Israel-Bernstein Institute	21 Old Broadway			
Medical and Health Research Association of NYC	21 Old Broadway			
Bridge Old Broadway House	551 West 125 <sup>th</sup> Street			
Aurora Mica Center	1640 Amsterdam Avenue			
Mental Health Association of N.Y. and Bronx, Inc.	3280 Broadway			
Sheltering Arms	474 West 143 <sup>rd</sup> Street			
Heritage Health and Housing	1649 Amsterdam Avenue			
Hamilton Income Maintenance Center	530 West 135 <sup>th</sup> Street			
Day Care Centers				
Citizens Care Day Care Center	3240 Broadway			
Association of Dominicanos Day Care	510 West 145 <sup>th</sup> Street			
Area 145 Day Care Center	510 West 145 <sup>th</sup> Street			
Morningside Community HS Center IV	2967 Eighth Avenue			
Red Balloon Day Care Center	560 Riverside Drive			
St. Mary's Head Start	5 West 126 <sup>th</sup> Street			
<b>Religious and Cultural Institutions</b>				
Annunciation Church	461 West 131 <sup>st</sup> Street			
St. Mary's Church	521 West 126 <sup>th</sup> Street			

# Table 8.4-1 (continued)Community Facilities and Services

Name	Address	
Health Care Facilities and Social Services		
Hamilton Income Maintenance Center	530 West 135 <sup>th</sup> Street	
Manhattanville Health Center	21 Old Broadway	
Police		
26 <sup>th</sup> Police Precinct	520 West 126 <sup>th</sup> Street	
Fire		
Engine 80/Ladder 23	503 West 139 <sup>th</sup> Street	
Outside the Secondary Stud	y Area	
Day Care Centers		
St. James Center	25 St. James Place	
Gardens Nursery & Kindergarten	90 LaSalle Street	
Antioch DCC	515 West 125 <sup>th</sup> Street	
Grant Day Care	1299 Amsterdam Avenue	
Senior Centers		
Jackie Gleason Senior Center	1301 Amsterdam Avenue	
Schools		
PS 129 John H. Finley School	425 West 130 <sup>th</sup> Street	
Public Libraries		
Hamilton Grange Branch of the New York Public Library	503 West 145 <sup>th</sup> Street	
George Bruce Branch of the NYC Public Library	518 West 125 <sup>th</sup> Street	
<b>Religious and Cultural Institutions</b>		
Convent Hill (area including several convents: St. Agnes,	Block of West 130 <sup>th</sup> and	
St. Helen, St. Augusta, St. Cecelia, St. Monica, St.	129 <sup>th</sup> Streets from Convent to St.	
Elizabeth, and St. Francis)	Nicholas Avenues	
St. Luke's Church	424 West 141 <sup>st</sup> Street	
Health Care Facilities and Social Services		
St. Luke's/Roosevelt Hospital Center – St. Luke's Division	114 <sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue	
Central Harlem Emergency Care Services	419 West 126 <sup>th</sup> Street	
Upper Manhattan Adult Outpatient Clinic/Children's Day		
Treatment Program	1728 Amsterdam Avenue	
West Harlem Transitional Center (drop-in center)	521 West 126 <sup>th</sup> Street	
Central Harlem Alcohol Crisis		
Our Lady of Lourdes (food pantry)	472 West 142 <sup>nd</sup> Street	
Our Lady of Lourdes (soup kitchen)	468 West 143 <sup>rd</sup> Street	
Fire		
Engine 37/Ladder 40	415 West 125 <sup>th</sup> Street	



\_\_\_\_

# 8.5 Open Space and Parklands

# 8.5.1 Existing Conditions

# 8.5.1.1 Definition of the Study Area

The study area for open space and parklands is defined as being the area within a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>-mile radius of the site.

# 8.5.1.2 Summary of Open Space and Parklands in the Study Area


There are 16 public parks and open spaces within the study area and five just beyond. They are listed in Table 8.5-1 and shown on Figure 8.5-1.

Of particular interest is the proposed Hudson River Valley Greenway under development to provide a continuous waterfront pathway from Albany to Battery Park in lower Manhattan. Currently, there is a "gap" between West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and West 135<sup>th</sup> Street and an interim pedestrian and bicycle route connection is provided on-street until a formal connection can be developed.

#### 8.5.2 Future No-Build Conditions

The DPR has several projects programmed for Riverside Park and assumed to be complete by the 2006 Build Year. These include:

- "Riverside Walk" Bicycle and Pedestrian Path (West 83<sup>rd</sup> West 153<sup>rd</sup> Street) construction;
- West 143<sup>rd</sup> West 148<sup>th</sup> Street path restoration;
- Broadway Malls Reconstruction (West 135<sup>th</sup> West 168<sup>th</sup> Street);
- Application of artificial turf to P.S. 192 Playground (Jacob Schiff Playground); and
- Riverside Park Drive (Ralph Ellison Island West 149<sup>th</sup> West 153<sup>rd</sup> Street)



Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning



#### Name Location Acreage 506-508 West 143<sup>rd</sup> Street Frank White Neighborhood 0.1 Service Center, Inc., Community Garden West Harlem Group Assistance 1656 Amsterdam Avenue 0.1 Program Community Garden Community Group of the 500 522 West 146<sup>th</sup> Street 0.1 146<sup>th</sup> Street Block Community Garden West 137<sup>th</sup> to West 145<sup>th</sup> Street, on top of the **Riverbank State Park** 28.0 North River WPCP West 135<sup>th</sup> Street to West 155<sup>th</sup> Street 49.6 Riverside Park North Riverside Drive, West 135<sup>th</sup> Street to West **Riverside Islands** \_\_\_ 158<sup>th</sup> Street West 72<sup>nd</sup> Street to West 129<sup>th</sup> Street Riverside Park South (only 266.8 northern tip included in study (total) area) "Riverside Walk" Streets Western edge of Henry Hudson Parkway, ---West 83<sup>rd</sup> Street to West 143<sup>rd</sup> Street Riverside Drive to Hudson River, West 92<sup>nd</sup> "Cherry Walk" \_\_\_ Street to West 134<sup>th</sup> Street West 126<sup>th</sup> Street to West 129<sup>th</sup> Street. Sheltering Arms Park 1.4 Amsterdam Avenue to Old Broadway Broadway, Hamilton Plaza, West 138<sup>th</sup> Street 0.3 Montefiore Square Amsterdam Avenue, West 143<sup>rd</sup> Street and Hamilton Square 0.1 Hamilton Plaza Between St. Nicholas Avenue/Terrace from Saint Nicholas Park 22.7 West 128<sup>th</sup> Street to West 141<sup>st</sup> Street Amsterdam Avenue, West 136<sup>th</sup> Street PS 192 Playground and 3.9 Community Garden West 136<sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and P.S. 192 School Garden Amsterdam Avenue Broadway from West 135<sup>th</sup> Street to West **Broadway Malls** 2.2 and

# Table 8.5-1Public Parks and Open Spaces

Annunciation Park

158<sup>th</sup> Street

Street

156<sup>th</sup> Street and West 156<sup>th</sup> Street to West

1491 Amsterdam Avenue and West 135<sup>th</sup>

1.1

1.2

Name	Location	Acreage
Hamilton Place Playground	Hamilton Plaza, West 140 <sup>th</sup> Street to West 141 <sup>st</sup> Street	0.8
Harlem Piers (under development by EDC in cooperation with DPR) includes existing waterfront access at approximately West 132 <sup>nd</sup> Street	Hudson River Waterfront, 125 <sup>th</sup> to 135 <sup>th</sup> Streets	
Riverside Valley Community Garden	West 139 <sup>th</sup> Street and Riverside Drive	

# Table 8.5-1 (Continued)Public Parks and Open Spaces

EDC has begun the site planning for "Harlem Piers," waterfront component of the West Harlem Master Plan. A fundamental component of this is the creation of new strip of waterfront parkland extending along the Hudson River between West 129<sup>th</sup> Street and West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street.

DPR currently has funding to design and construct a cantilever between 133<sup>rd</sup> Street and 135<sup>th</sup> Street, along the western side of the Henry Hudson Parkway and then continuing north of 135<sup>th</sup> Street along the North River WPCP haul road temporary path.

# 8.5.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135th Street Converted MTS

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would have no effect on any open space resources within the study area. It would not physically change, diminish, or eliminate any open space or reduce its use or aesthetic value, or introduce a substantial new user population that would create or exacerbate over-utilization of open space resources.

#### 8.6 Cultural Resources

# 8.6.1 Existing Conditions

# 8.6.1.1 Definition of the Study Area

The cultural resources study area is defined as that area within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile of the site.

# 8.6.1.2 Development History of the Area

Portions of three distinct Upper Manhattan neighborhoods with their own development histories are part of the study area: Manhattanville, Hamilton Heights, and Morningside Heights.

The Manhattanville area on the Upper West Side was a village with a population of about 500 people in the mid-19<sup>th</sup> century. The village contained churches, a grade school, and Manhattan College (1853). It was set in the valley near the present intersection of West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Broadway and was surrounded by country residences and open land. There was a ferry terminus on the Hudson River, a mill, and a brewery.

The Hamilton Heights area to the northeast includes the neighborhood of Sugar Hill, which is often considered part of Harlem. The area was named for Alexander Hamilton, who spent the last two years of his life in what is now the Hamilton Grange National Monument at 287 Convent Avenue. Elevated subway lines were extended to the neighborhood around the turn of the century, and most of the housing dates to that time. City College of New York (now the north campus) is located on Convent Avenue between West 132<sup>nd</sup> and West 140<sup>th</sup> Streets. The Trinity Church cemetery is located at West 155<sup>th</sup> Street on one of the highest hills of Hamilton Heights.

Morningside Heights is located south of the site and is bounded by West 125<sup>th</sup> Street to the north, Morningside Park to the east, West 110<sup>th</sup> Street to the south, and the Hudson River to the west. The area was known as Vandewater's Heights in the 17<sup>th</sup> century, named after a local landowner when farms covered much of the area. Farmland gave way to other uses in the 18<sup>th</sup> century, including the Bloomingdale Insane Asylum and an orphan asylum. Since the late 19<sup>th</sup> century, the area has been home to Riverside Church, Riverside Park, Columbia University, Barnard College, and other prominent educational institutions.

# 8.6.1.3 Cultural Resources on the Site

There are no elements of architectural or archaeological significance within the site.

# 8.6.1.4 Cultural Resources within the Study Area


A historic district and ten historic properties are located within or near the study area (Figure 8.6-1). These properties are listed in Table 8.6-1.

Name	Location	Designation
	Between St. Nicholas Ave.,	
	Amsterdam Ave., 140 <sup>th</sup> and 145 <sup>th</sup>	
	Streets. (Recently extended by	
Hamilton Heights Historic District	LPC north to 155 <sup>th</sup> St.)	NYCL, SR, NR
		NYCL, SR, NR,
		also a National
		Historical
Hamilton Grange National Memorial	287 Convent Ave	landmark
Our Lady of Lourdes Roman Catholic		
Church	467 W. 142 <sup>nd</sup> St.	NYCL, SR
New York Public Library, Hamilton	503-505 W. 145 <sup>th</sup> St.	
Grange Branch		NYCL, SR, NR
City College, City University of New	Convent Ave. between W. 138 <sup>th</sup>	
York	and W. 140 <sup>th</sup> Sts.	NYCL, SR, NR
Croton Aqueduct Gatehouse	W. 135 <sup>th</sup> St. at Convent Ave.	NYCL, SR, NR
	Broadway between W. 122 <sup>nd</sup> to	
IRT Manhattan Valley Viaduct	135 <sup>th</sup> Sts.	NYCL, SR, NR
	137 <sup>th</sup> St. and Broadway	Interior NYCL,
IRT 137 <sup>th</sup> Street Station		NR-eligible
St. Mary's Protestant Episcopal Church	517-523 W. 125 <sup>th</sup> St.	NYCL
Former) Hamilton Theater	3560-3568 Broadway	NYCL
Riverside Park and Drive	W. $72^{nd}$ to W. $129^{th}$ Sts.	NYCL, SR, NR


<b>Table 8.6-1</b>
<b>Cultural Resources in the Study Area</b>

#### Notes:

SR= New York State Register of Historic Places NR= National Register of Historic Places NYCL= New York City Landmark



Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning





### 8.6.2 Future No-Build Conditions

There are no additional elements of potential historic significance slated for review. Because of the nature of architectural and archaeological resources and the fact that there is no reason to anticipate the designation of such resources in this area in the near future, anticipated Future No-Build Conditions are assumed to be the same as Existing Conditions.

# 8.6.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135th Converted MTS

Development of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would have no effect on the cultural resources named above. Based upon its review, SHPO has stated that the West 135<sup>th</sup> Converted MTS would have no impact upon cultural resources in, or be eligible for inclusion in, the State and National Registers of Historic Places (see Appendix A). The LPC has stated that the site does not have architectural or archeological significance (see Appendix A).

### 8.7 Urban Design and Visual Quality

# 8.7.1 Existing Conditions

# 8.7.1.1 Definition of the Study Area

The urban design and visual quality study area is the same as the neighborhood character study area (see Figure 8.8-1), although it also includes upland areas east of the Henry Hudson Parkway as well. The site has been developed in a manner consistent with adjacent properties along the waterfront but not the upland areas. The adjacent Riverbank State Park and upland areas, including warehouses and residential buildings, are visible from the site and have views of the site, so are included as part of the study area.

# 8.7.1.2 Description of the Site

The site extends over water beyond the existing MTS platform (Figure 8.7-1). The land portion of the site is paved and has no landscaping or decorative features. The remainder of the built site consists of paved road surfaces, the existing MTS facility (which is built entirely over the water), and the curved ramp that leads to its entrance.

# 8.7.1.3 Urban Design and Visual Quality of the Study Area

The shoreline surrounding the site has some grass cover, several scrub trees and appears to be managed as necessary to protect the soundness of Marginal Street where it runs along the shore. The 26<sup>th</sup> Precinct Police Station, located beneath the elevated Henry Hudson Parkway, resembles surrounding warehouses and vacant buildings found beneath the elevated highway (Figure 8.7-2), which are generally non-descript, with no façade treatment. The design context of the shoreline, however, is defined by the functionalistic style of the existing MTS and the North River WPCP.



Figure 8.7-1 : View of MTS, looking west along 135th Street. (Photo 2000)



Figure 8.7-2 : Police precinct building located beneath Henry Hudson Parkway, on 135th Street.



Figure 8.7-1 and 8.7-2 Urban Design and Visual Quality West 135th Street Converted MTS

CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF SANITATION




This page intentionally left blank.

Although not excessively ornamented, the North River WPCP exhibits a designed finish uncharacteristic of many such municipal facilities, with such details as decorative arches, textured concrete, and marine blue glazed brick (Figure 8.7-3). The low-density appearance of the area south of the North River WPCP is typical of shoreline industrial areas in the City, although the contemporary design of the existing MTS and the adjacent North River WPCP establish a more finished look to the area than may be found on other working waterfront areas.

The railroad tracks, elevated Henry Hudson Parkway, and elevated Riverside Drive separate the site from the residential Manhattanville neighborhood visible to the east. This upland area east of Riverside Drive is characterized by massive residential blocks with pre-war apartment buildings stretching from about West 135<sup>th</sup> Street to West 139<sup>th</sup> Street. They typically stand approximately six to seven stories tall and feature combinations of brick veneer and stone lintels and sills. The lower floors of the buildings are visually buffered from views of the site and shoreline by distance and a change in elevation as well as mature deciduous trees in Riverside Park, while some upper-floor apartments may have views of the site.

Within the vicinity of PS 195, east of 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue and south of West 135<sup>th</sup> Street (approximately 700 feet east of the site), is a conglomeration of buildings that rises above the 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue viaduct. At the corner of 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue and West 135<sup>th</sup> Street is a light-brick Italianate building about seven stories high. Adjacent to and south of that building is the Lee Brother's, Inc. building (now used by Manhattan Mini-Storage) that stands about seven stories high, the upper five stories of which contain a vertical portico set into the white west-facing façade (Figure 8.7-4). This building retains a commanding position over the viaduct and is topped with a large billboard that faces northbound and southbound traffic on Riverside Drive. The shorter building (about three stories tall) just to the south of it is also topped with a billboard. Behind these buildings are tall, red brick apartment buildings 20 to 29 stories tall that may have views of the site

This page intentionally left blank.





This page intentionally left blank.

The area's landscaping and vegetation are within maintained park areas, Riverbank State Park and portions of Riverside Park, in particular. These park areas are oriented to the land uses east of  $12^{\text{th}}$  Avenue in terms of access, elevation, and location. There is little visual connectivity to the site from the portion of Riverside Park that runs east of the site along Riverside Drive, although the trees in the park are visible from the site.

Just as there are views of the site from some upland residential areas, there are clear views of the site from the ball courts and sitting areas along the entire southern edge of the adjacent Riverbank State Park (Figure 8.7-5). The existing MTS does not obstruct views of the river from these locations, however, and it is visually compatible with its adjacent shoreline uses.

The proposed Harlem Piers development site between West 125<sup>th</sup> and West 135<sup>th</sup> Streets includes waterfront area by the Fairway parking lot (Figure 8.7-6). This publicly owned property, which currently is used as a point of public waterfront access, affords views of the site and the North River WPCP to the north (Figure 8.7-7).

# 8.7.2 Future No-Build Conditions

Redevelopment of Harlem Piers will be a notable improvement to the urban design of the area by 2006 (see Section 8.2.2). Coupled with the improvements planned by the DPR (see Section 8.5.2), which include enhancement of the bicycle and pedestrian route that follows the water's edge, the Harlem Piers will also provide for a larger visitor population Among the improvements planned by the EDC are the development of a public park area, also featuring retail and passive recreational opportunities. Streetscape improvements, including new streetlights, curbing and sidewalk enhancement, will extend from approximately West 129<sup>th</sup> Street to West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street.

This page intentionally left blank.






Figure 8.7-5 and 8.7-6 Urban Design and Visual Quality West 135th Street Converted MTS

CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF SANITATION



This page intentionally left blank.



Figure 8.7-7 : The MTS, North River WPCP and Riverbank State Park as viewed from the parking lot of Fairway.



Figure 8.7-7 Urban Design and Visual Quality

West 135th Street Converted MTS

CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF SANITATION



This page intentionally left blank.

The site is expected to remain unchanged, however, under Future No-Build conditions. Though industrial in nature, the design of the existing MTS and the façade treatment of the North River WPCP will provide suitably designed definition to the northward view from the Harlem Piers rather than merely standing as nondescript neighboring industrial facilities.

# 8.7.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would entail replacement of the existing MTS with a new facility that would include containerization functions and occupy more water-covered area north of the existing platform. Views of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS from the elevated residential neighborhoods, the adjacent park, or the Harlem Piers area would be similar to existing views, because the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be similar to the existing facility in industrial type and function, physical size, location, and operation. While it would be visible from some upland residential and recreational uses, it would not obstruct views of the river. Furthermore, the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be compatible with the existing urban design context of this portion of the Manhattan waterfront, including the proposed Harlem Piers development, and would not result in significant adverse impacts to the urban design and visual quality of the study area.

### 8.8 Neighborhood Character

### 8.8.1 Existing Conditions


# 8.8.1.1 Definition of the Study Area

The site is separated from inland residential neighborhoods by the Henry Hudson Parkway, Riverside Drive and the change in elevation that separates the inland properties from the waterfront. The neighborhood character study area is defined by the visual and physical isolation created by the proximity and scale of surrounding transportation infrastructure and municipal uses. The two major factors contributing to the neighborhood character of the site and surrounding shoreline properties are the unique combination of land uses—large-scale municipal uses, regional park use, public waterfront access, shoreline industrial uses, parking areas, and commercial land uses—and the resultant, albeit nondescript, visual quality.

The study area is bounded by the Henry Hudson Parkway to the east and includes the portions of blocks facing Marginal Street south of the site (Figure 8.8-1). View corridors connecting upland residential areas to the site are also included. The southern edge of the study area is defined by St. Clair Place, the southernmost point of egress from the shoreline within the study area. The northern boundary of the study area includes the southern edge of the elevated Riverbank State Park which, in addition to being adjacent to the site, is the only portion of the park that has direct views of the site.

# 8.8.1.2 Description of Neighborhood Character

The waterfront development consists of the existing MTS and a Con Ed natural gas pumping facility immediately to the south. The remainder of the shoreline south of the existing MTS is City-owned property. A portion of the land west of Marginal Street is used as a parking lot for the Fairway store between West  $132^{nd}$  and West  $133^{rd}$  Streets. The waterfront is publicly accessible at the southern end of this parking lot, approximating the extension of West  $131^{st}$  Street to the shore. The parking lot is not landscaped, and the area of waterfront access is equally utilitarian, lacking landscaping, seating, or decorative elements. North of the site and adjacent to it is the Riverbank State Park, which is at approximately the same elevation as the



Site delineations are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning



upland residential areas, east of the Henry Hudson Parkway. The position of the park atop the North River WPCP affords people along the southern edge of the park (where there are ball courts and sitting areas) views down upon the site and virtually the entire study area.

The visual quality in the study area is not one of a consistent character, nor is it aesthetically pleasing near the site, beneath the elevated roadway. Generally speaking, the upland residential areas are characterized by apartment blocks that include active commercial uses along the avenues. Row houses and smaller apartment buildings are found on cross streets. These upland residential areas have no direct connection to the site, except via usage of Riverbank State Park, but they are visible from the site and have views of it and the adjacent shoreline uses.

# 8.8.2 Future No-Build Conditions

The redevelopment of Harlem Piers by EDC will figure prominently in redefining the neighborhood character of the study area by 2006. The introduction of a new park area as well as complementary commercial activity as part of the Harlem Piers will create a new destination point and in essence extend inland Harlem development efforts westward to the waterfront.

The Hudson River Valley Greenway is also under development, as discussed in Section 8.5. It is reasonable to anticipate increased use of Riverside Park by residents of the surrounding neighborhoods, as bicycling will be encouraged through the Hudson River Valley Greenway development, which will follow the river. DPR is working in cooperation with EDC to ensure that the necessary bicycle and pedestrian ways are developed by the 2006 build year. Complementary streetscape and sidewalk improvements proposed as part of the Harlem Piers include improved sidewalks, curbing, and street lighting. Taken together, these improvements would ensure that the redeveloped area would not exist in limited-access isolation from street activities even though it is adjacent to industrial uses to the north and generally non-descript warehouses under the Hudson River Parkway to the east.

The site would remain DSNY property, and the existing MTS would remain standing.

### 8.8.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135th Street Converted MTS

No change to the mixed neighborhood character would be expected to result from the reactivation of waste transfer activities at the site. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be constructed over the water with a similar point of access as the existing MTS, though it would be situated further north, nearer the North River WPCP and Riverbank State Park. Trucks would follow the same route as they did formerly and the operations would feature containerization operations rather than the transport of loose waste by barge.

Riverbank State Park is the nearest destination point attracting people to the neighborhood and near the site. Traffic, air, odor and noise analyses predict no unmitigatible impact on the park or other receptors in the neighborhood character study area (see Sections 8.9, 8.10. 8.11, and 8.12 for detailed discussions of the traffic, air, odor, and noise analyses, respectively). Therefore, it is reasonable to conclude that renewed MTS operations would result in no significant adverse impacts to the character of this specific portion of the neighborhood character study area, including the park. (See Section 8.5 for a discussion of potential impacts to parks and open spaces.)

### 8.9 Traffic and Transportation

### 8.9.1 Introduction

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would receive waste from DSNY and other agency collection vehicles. Therefore, pursuant to CEQR guidelines, a traffic analysis was performed on the projected net increase in collection vehicles in the study area (which is defined below) and on other site-generated traffic. (See Section 3.10 for a discussion of CEQR analysis thresholds.)

# 8.9.2 Existing Conditions


# 8.9.2.1 Definition of Study Area

The West 135th Street Converted MTS is located on the Hudson River at West 135<sup>th</sup> Street. The study area, which is bounded on the east by Amsterdam Avenue, on the south by West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and on the north by West 135<sup>th</sup> Street, was defined based upon a determination of the expected concentration of vehicle trips to and from the West 135th Street Converted MTS and also by the configuration of the street arterial street network in the site area. The study area is characterized primarily by mixed commercial and residential land uses with some light industrial.

The major roadways in the study area include 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue, Broadway, West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue. Figure 8.9-1 shows the locations of the intersections selected for analysis (locations A through G). (Intersections analyzed were selected using the procedures defined in Section 3.10.2).

### 8.9.2.2 *Surface Network*

Trucks are required by NYCDOT Title 34 to travel on truck routes directly to the site or the intersection nearest the site if adjacent streets are not designated truck routes. A map showing all major truck routes and local truck routes in Manhattan is provided in Section 3.10.2.1 (Figure 3.10-4).



 Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate.

 Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning

 Figure 8.9-1 Traffic Analysis Study Area

 West 135th Street Converted MTS

 CITY OF NEW YORK

 DEPARTMENT OF SANITATION

The primary truck access routes between the West 135th Street Converted MTS and CDs M9, M10 and M12 and their associated garages are Broadway, Amsterdam Avenue, and West 125<sup>th</sup> Street. Broadway and Amsterdam Avenue are major north/south Manhattan arterials. Broadway extends throughout the length of Manhattan and is a two way arterial in the study area. The New York City Transit Broadway/7<sup>th</sup> Avenue Local No. 1/9 line runs on an elevated structure over Broadway in the study area. Amsterdam Avenue is also a two-way arterial in the study area. West 125<sup>th</sup> Street is a two-way major east-west commercial corridor extending from the east to the west shorelines of Manhattan. Direct access to the site is provided by 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue and a short segment of West 135<sup>th</sup> Street. 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue is a short street segment in the study area extending generally between West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and West 135<sup>th</sup> Street. Riverside Drive is on an elevated structure over 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue. Ramps to northbound Route 9A are located in the study area.

# 8.9.2.3 Existing Traffic Operations

The seven intersections listed below were identified for analysis because they are the most likely to be impacted from an increase in DSNY and other agency collection vehicle traffic to the West 135th Street Converted MTS. All are on major arterials and/or collection vehicle routes. Diagrams of the following intersections are included in Technical Backup submitted to NYCDOT.

- Broadway and West 133rd Street Signalized Intersection (Figure 8.9-1, Location A)
- 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue and West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street Signalized Intersection (Figure 8.9-1, Location B)
- 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue and West 125<sup>th</sup> Street Signalized Intersection (Figure 8.9-1, Location C)
- Broadway and West 125th Street Signalized Intersection (Figure 8.9-1, Location D)
- Amsterdam Avenue and West 125th Street Signalized Intersection (Figure 8.9-1, Location E)
- 12th Avenue and West 132nd Street Signalized Intersection (Figure 8.9-1, Location F)
- Broadway and West 132nd Street Signalized Intersection (Figure 8.9-1, Location G)


A traffic data collection program that consisted of manual turning movement counts with vehicle classifications and ATR counts was undertaken to define existing weekday traffic operations (see Section 3.10.6 for a discussion on traffic data collection). Manual turning movement and ATR counts were conducted primarily in November 2002 with some additional counts conducted in March 2003. Figures 8.9-2, 8.9-3, and 8.9-4 depict the existing traffic volumes for AM, Facility, and PM peaks at the intersections analyzed. The AM peak generally occurred between 8 a.m. and 9 a.m., the Facility peak between 9 a.m. and 10 a.m., and the PM peak between 5 p.m. and 6 p.m. Table 8.9-1 presents the v/c ratio, delay, and LOS for the seven study intersections during the AM, Facility, and PM peaks.

Existing truck traffic on the major streets in the study area is substantial in the AM and midday periods, but less during the PM peak. Heavy vehicles generally consist of 10 to 15 percent of the total traffic on Broadway, Amsterdam Avenue and West 125<sup>th</sup> Street during the AM and midday periods; and range from 5 to 10 percent on Amsterdam Avenue and Broadway, and less than 3 percent on West 125<sup>th</sup> Street, during the PM peak period.


The intersection of Amsterdam Avenue with West 125<sup>th</sup> Street has been identified as a high pedestrian accident location. Thirteen accidents involving pedestrians were reported at this intersection in the year 2000. Overall, 51 accidents occurred at the intersection in 2000, of which 26 were reportable and 17 involved personal injury. There were no fatalities reported over the last three-year period, but the total number of accidents and the number of injury accidents have increased over the period.

# 8.9.2.3.1 LOS at Signalized Intersections


Table 8.9-1 shows that the study signalized intersections generally operated at an overall LOS of B or C with the following exceptions. During the AM peak period both West 125<sup>th</sup> Street at Amsterdam Avenue and West 125<sup>th</sup> Street at Broadway operated at LOS D. These two intersections also operated at LOS D during the PM peak hour, as does the intersection of West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street at 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue.















# Table 8.9-1 HCM Analysis<sup>(1)</sup> - Existing Conditions West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

	AM Peak Hour (8:00 a.m. – 9:00 a.m.)			Facility Peak Hour (9:00 a.m. – 10:00 a.m.)			PM Peak Hour (5:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.)		
Intersection &	V/C	Delay		V/C	Delay		V/C	Delay	
Lane Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
125 <sup>th</sup> Street & 12 <sup>th</sup>			105	ituno	(500)	105	Itutio	(500)	LOD
NB LTR	.25	28.2	С	.20	27.7	С	.21	27.8	C
SBLTR	.32	30.4	Č	.27	29.6	Č	.18	28.0	Č
EB LTR	.18	6.7	Ă	.16	6.6	Ă	.21	6.9	Ă
WB L	.10	6.6	A	.13	6.7	A	.10	6.4	A
WB TR	.67	12.3	B	.55	10.2	B	.62	11.1	B
OVERALL	.57	13.9	B	.33	12.3	B	.51	12.2	B
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street & 12 <sup>th</sup>			D	.47	12.3	D	.51	12.2	Б
NB LTR	.41	10.7	В	.35	10.2	В	.61	13.5	В
SB LTR	.11	8.4	A	.07	8.1	A	.01	8.3	A
EBLTR	.11	8.4 27.4	C A	.07	27.9	C A	.09	8.3 32.4	C A
WB LTR	.29	27.4 25.2	C	.52	27.9	c	.33	32.4 26.7	C
OVERALL	.13	13.2	B	.19	14.0	B	.24	16.9	B
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street & 12 <sup>th</sup>			D	.54	14.0	D	.39	10.9	D
NB L			C	55	14.4	D	00	50.7	D
	.73	20.0+	C	.55	14.4	B	.99 25	50.7	D
NB LTR	.26	9.8	A	.21	9.2	A	.35	11.0	B
SB LTR	.07	8.0	A	.06	7.9	A	.06	7.9	A
WB LTR	.61	33.1	C	.33	27.0	C	1.06	88.0	F
OVERALL	.69	20.7	С	.48	15.3	В	1.01	54.4	D
125 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ams			G	74	20.0	G	1.02	64.1	Б
NB LTR	.79	31.1	C	.74	29.0	C	1.03	64.1	E
SB DFL	.45	18.2	B	.43	16.9	B	.58	28.2	C
SB TR	.91	47.0	D	.76	32.6	C	.60	26.5	C
EB L	.33	27.5	C	.39	28.6	C	.43	30.3	C
EB TR	.76	33.5	C	.67	30.1	C	.63	28.6	C
WB L	.99	108.0	F	.71	52.9	D	.53	36.5	D
WB TR	.62	29.0	C	.58	28.0	C	.55	27.0	C
OVERALL	.88	36.9	D	.69	29.7	С	.79	41.2	D
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street & Broa			D	10	12.2	D	0.0	46.1	D
NB LTR	.48	16.3	B	.43	15.5	B	.99	46.1	D
SB LTR	.80	24.7	C	.72	21.6	C	.70	21.6	C
EB LTR	.21	19.3	B	.17	18.8	B	.20	19.3	B
WB LTR	.38	21.6	C	.32	20.6	C	.67	28.6	C
OVERALL	.62	21.4	C	.54	19.3	В	.85	34.8	C
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street & Broa			D	40	15 1	р	62	19 5	D
NB LTR	.46	15.9	B	.40	15.1	B	.62	18.5	B
SB LTR	.61	18.4	B	.47	15.9	B	.46	15.9	B
EB LTR	.34	21.2	C	.34	21.0	C	.48	23.5	C
OVERALL	.49	17.8	В	.41	16.4	В	.56	18.4	В
125 <sup>th</sup> Street & Broa			Б	60	41.1	D	1.00	102.0	E
NB L	.97	75.9	E	.68	41.1	D	1.08	103.9	F
NB LTR	.97	65.0 22.5	E	.75	39.8	D	1.08	93.0 21.2	F
SB L	.46	32.5	C	.37	30.9	C	.40	31.3	C
SB LTR	.74	38.1	D	.73	38.1	D	.56	33.0	C
EB L	.31	25.3	C	.26	23.7	C	.42	29.4	C
EB TR	.55	26.3	C	.50	25.3	C	.55	26.0	C
WB L	.62	39.0	D	.47	30.9	C	.36	27.1	C
WB TR	.48	25.0	C	.39	23.7	C	.55	26.1	C
OVERALL	.76	43.5	D	.64	33.0	С	.71	54.5	D

Notes: (1) HCM output is included in technical backup submitted to the NYCDOT.

LTR = left, through and right movements

NB = northbound

SB = southbound

EB = eastbound

WB = westbound

Overall, most of the individual lane groups at each intersection generally operate at LOS D or better during each analysis period with some exceptions. At the intersection of West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street at 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue, the westbound left, through and right lane group operates at LOS F during the PM peak hour. The westbound left turn lane group operates at LOS F during the AM peak hour at the intersection of 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue and during the PM peak hour, the northbound left, through and right turn lane group operates at LOS E. Lastly, the northbound left turn lane group and the northbound left, through, and right turn lane group at the intersection of 125<sup>th</sup> Street at LOS E during the AM peak hour at the intersection of 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Street at LOS E. Lastly, the northbound left turn lane group and the northbound left, through, and right turn lane group at the intersection of 125<sup>th</sup> Street at LOS E during the AM peak hour and LOS F during the PM peak hour.


# 8.9.2.3.2 LOS at Unsignalized Intersections

No unsignalized intersections were analyzed.

# 8.9.2.4 Existing DSNY-Related Traffic

Municipal solid waste generated by Manhattan CDs M9, M10, is currently transported under the Manhattan interim export program to American Ref-Fuel, a commercial vendor located at 183 Raymond Boulevard in Newark, New Jersey. Municipal solid waste generated by Manhattan CD M12 is currently transported ACS PEN PAC-Eastern located at 30-35 Fulton Street in Paterson, New Jersey. In all instances, MSW is transported from Manhattan to New Jersey in collection vehicles.

The existing routes used by collection vehicles within Manhattan to the commercial vendors are presented in Figure 8.9-5.





### 8.9.2.5 Public Transportation

Within the study area, NYCT bus and subway systems provide public transportation service. The Broadway-7<sup>th</sup> Avenue Local No. 1/9 subway line runs north/south on Broadway through the study area with station stops at West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and West 137<sup>th</sup> Street. There are also a number of NYCT bus routes that traverse the study area as follows:

- Bx15: Harlem to Fordham in the Bronx serving West 125<sup>th</sup> Street within the study area.
- M4: Midtown to Washington Heights through the Upper East Side and Harlem. Service is provided along Broadway within the study area.
- M5: Greenwich Village to Washington Heights. Service is provided along Broadway, Riverside Drive and 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue.
- M11: Greenwich Village to Riverbank State Park making stops in the study area along Amsterdam Avenue.
- M100: East Harlem crosstown and into Inwood. Within the study area, service is provided along West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue.
- M101: East Village to Washington Heights through both East Harlem and Harlem, servicing West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue within the study area.
- M104: Murray Hill crosstown and into Harlem. Within the study area, stops are made along West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue.

# 8.9.2.6 Pedestrian Activity

Pedestrian activity is substantial along Broadway, West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue, but is significantly less in the western part of the study area, such as along 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue. The highest pedestrian volumes were identified at the intersection of Amsterdam Avenue and West 125<sup>th</sup> Street, where counts exceeded 300 pedestrians per hour crossing a crosswalk. Slightly lower pedestrian volumes were counted at the intersection of Broadway and West 125<sup>th</sup> Street. In contrast, fewer than 20 pedestrians per hour on each crosswalk were identified along 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue.

### 8.9.3 Future No-Build Conditions

### 8.9.3.1 Traffic Conditions


The following assumptions and traffic assignments were applied in the development of Future No-Build traffic volumes:

- Continued operation of the Manhattan interim export program with municipal solid waste delivered to the vendors noted above.
- Discrete assignment of auto and truck trips expected to be generated by the West Harlem Master Plan Waterfront Development Complex, which is expected to be completed by 2006, and the redevelopment of the Studebaker Building at 615 West 131st Street as a 35,000 square foot office building.
- Background traffic growth of 0.5 percent per year in accordance with the 2001 CEQR Technical Manual.

Figures 8.9-6, 8.9-7 and 8.9-8 provide the AM, Facility, and PM peak hour Future No-Build traffic volumes for the intersections analyzed in conjunction with this site.


Table 8.9-2 provides the Future No-Build v/c ratio, delay, and LOS for each study intersection. As shown, the study intersections will operate at a LOS B or C during most time periods. During the AM peak hour however, the intersections of both West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue as well as West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Broadway will operate at LOS D. During the PM peak hour, the intersection of West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street and 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue will operate at LOS E as would the intersection of West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Broadway. Also, the intersections of West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue as well as West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street and Broadway would operate at LOS D.

The individual movements generally would operate at LOS D or better with a few exceptions. At the intersection of West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue, the westbound left turn lane group would operate at LOS F during the AM peak hour, LOS E during the Facility peak hour and LOS D during the PM peak hour. The westbound left, through, and right turn lane group would operate at LOS F during the PM peak hour at the intersection of West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street and 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue. At the intersection of West 125<sup>th</sup> and Broadway, the northbound left turn lane




















# Table 8.9-2 HCM Analysis<sup>(1)</sup> - 2006 No-Build Conditions West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

	AM Peak Hour (8:00 a.m. – 9:00 a.m.)			Facility Peak Hour (9:00 a.m. – 10:00 a.m.)			PM Peak Hour (5:00 p.m. – 6:00 p.m.)				
Intersection &	V/C	$\frac{a.m y.00}{Delay}$		V/C	Delav	•111•)	V/C	Delay	, <i>)</i>		
Lane Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Ratio	(sec)	LOS		
Lane Group     Rand     (sec)     Los     Rand     (sec)     Los       125 <sup>th</sup> Street & 12 <sup>th</sup> Ave (signalized)											
NB LTR	.26	28.4	С	.20	27.7	С	.22	27.9	C		
SB LTR	.33	30.5	Č	.28	29.7	Č	.22	28.3	Č		
EBLTR	.19	6.7	Ă	.17	6.6	Ă	.20	6.9	Ă		
WBL	.12	6.6	A	.13	6.7	A	.10	6.5	A		
WBTR	.68	12.5	В	.56	10.4	В	.63	11.3	B		
OVERALL	.58	14.1	B	.48	12.4	B	.52	12.4	B		
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street & 12 <sup>th</sup>			2		1211	2	.02				
NB LTR	.41	10.8	В	.36	10.2	В	.62	13.7	В		
SB LTR	.11	8.4	Ā	.08	8.2	Ā	.09	8.3	Ā		
EB LTR	.30	27.4	C	.33	28.0	C	.54	32.4	C		
WB LTR	.13	25.2	Č	.19	26.1	Č	.24	26.7	č		
OVERALL	.38	13.3	B	.35	14.0	B	.59	17.1	B		
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street & 12 <sup>th</sup>						_	,				
NB L	.74	20.8	С	.56	14.6	В	1.01	54.9	D		
NB LTR	.26	9.9	A	.21	9.3	А	.36	11.1	В		
SB LTR	.07	8.0	A	.06	7.9	A	.06	7.9	Ā		
WB LTR	.62	33.5	C	.34	27.2	C	1.08	94.5	F		
OVERALL	.70	21.2	C	.49	15.5	В	1.03	58.5	Е		
125 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ams	terdam Ave	(signalized)	1								
NB LTR	.80	31.7	С	.75	29.5	С	1.05	69.1	Е		
SB DFL	.46	18.6	В	.43	17.3	В	.59	29.1	С		
SB TR	.93	49.4	D	.77	33.3	С	.61	26.9	C		
EB L	.34	28.1	С	.40	29.0	С	.44	30.9	С		
EB TR	.78	34.1	C	.69	30.4	C	.65	29.0	C		
WB L	1.03	120.1	F	.74	56.0	Е	.55	38.0	D		
WB TR	.63	29.4	С	.59	28.4	С	.56	27.3	С		
OVERALL	.79	38.3	D	.62	30.2	С	.76	43.2	D		
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street & Broa	adway (signa	alized)									
NB LTR	.49	16.4	В	.44	15.6	В	1.01	52.2	D		
SB LTR	.82	25.5	С	.74	22.1	С	.72	22.4	С		
EB LTR	.22	19.4	В	.18	18.9	В	.21	19.4	В		
WB LTR	.39	21.8	С	.32	20.7	С	.68	28.9	С		
OVERALL	.63	21.9	C	.56	19.6	В	.86	38.0	D		
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street & Broa	adway (sign	alized)									
NB LTR	.46	16.0	В	.41	15.1	В	.63	18.7	В		
SB LTR	.62	18.7	В	.48	16.0	В	.47	16.0	В		
EB LTR	.34	21.2	С	.35	21.2	С	.48	23.6	С		
OVERALL	.50	18.0	В	.42	16.5	В	.57	18.6	В		
125 <sup>th</sup> Street & Broa	ndway (signa	alized)									
NB L	.99	80.9	F	.69	41.7	D	1.10	110.2	F		
NB LTR	.99	69.8	E	.77	40.7	D	1.10	99.3	F		
SB L	.47	32.7	С	.38	31.0	С	.41	31.4	С		
SB LTR	.75	38.6	D	.75	38.8	D	.57	33.2	С		
EB L	.32	25.7	С	.27	23.9	С	.44	30.0	С		
EB TR	.56	26.5	С	.51	25.5	С	.56	26.2	С		
WB L	.64	41.0	D	.48	31.3	С	.37	27.6	С		
WB TR	.49	25.3	С	.40	23.8	С	.56	26.3	С		
OVERALL	.78	45.4	D	.66	33.5	С	.72	57.1	Е		

 $\frac{\text{Notes:}}{^{(1)}}$  HCM output is included in technical backup submitted to the NYCDOT.

DFL = defacto left

LTR = left, through and right movements

- NB = northbound
- SB = southbound

EB = eastbound

WB = westbound

### 8.9.3.2 Public Transportation

Future No-Build Conditions are expected to remain the same as Existing Conditions.

### 8.9.3.3 *Pedestrian Activity*

Future No-Build Conditions are expected to remain the same as Existing Conditions.

# 8.9.4 Potential Impacts with the West 135th Street Converted MTS


The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would receive municipal waste from CDs M9, M10, and M12 in Manhattan. Additionally, employee trips to and from the site may result in traffic impacts during the AM peak hour.

# 8.9.4.1 2006 Build Traffic Conditions

2006 Build Traffic Conditions assume that the West 135th Street Converted MTS would generate 223 inbound collection vehicles per average peak day. As per NYCDOT Title 34, truck trips to and from the site are restricted to travel along local truck routes directly to the site to the intersection closest to the site if the streets adjacent to the site are not designated truck routes. The proposed collection vehicle truck routes for the West 135th Street Converted MTS are shown in Figure 8.9-5.

Figure 8.9-9 presents the average peak day temporal distribution of collection vehicles for the West 135th Street Converted MTS. Section 3.10.3.1 provides a detailed explanation of DSNY collection and delivery operational shifts (priority, non-priority, and relay). As shown, the number of collection vehicle trips generated by the West 135th Street Converted MTS is expected to vary between 2 to 25 truck trips per hour in the late evening/early morning; approximately 15 to nearly 60 truck trips per hour in the mid-morning/early afternoon; and 5 to 15 truck trips per hour in the late afternoon/early evening. The peak hourly number of collection vehicle trips (60) occurs during both 9:00 and 10:00AM and 10:00 to 11:00AM.

Figure 8.9-9 Truck Trips per Hour West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS




Employee person trips generated as a result of the West 135th Street Converted MTS are expected to be about 44 per shift (22 coming in and 22 leaving). There are three employee shifts per day: 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.; 4:00 p.m. to 12:00 a.m.; and 12:00 a.m. to 8:00 a.m. It is assumed that employees would arrive about ½-hour before the start of a shift and leave about ½-hour after the end of a shift. With these projections, employee trips are expected to occur between 7:30 a.m. and 8:30 a.m.; 3:30 p.m. and 4:30 p.m.; and 11:30 p.m. and 12:30 a.m. Because only the AM peak (8:00 to 9:00 a.m.) coincided with a projected employee shift change (7:30 to 8:30 a.m.), employee trips both to and from the West 135th Street Converted MTS during the shift change (44) were considered as part of the net increase in site-generated traffic.

Figures 8.9-10, 8.9-11, and 8.9-12 illustrate the 2006 Build Condition traffic volumes, which are a combination of Future No-Build and 135<sup>th</sup> Street MTS traffic volumes at the study intersections. A two-stage process was used to derive these traffic volumes. First, the truck trips generated by existing interim export program were subtracted from the truck trips expected to be generated by the West 135th Street Converted MTS. These net trips were added to the Future No-Build traffic volumes along the paths specified in Figure 8.9-5. In the case of the West 135th Street Converted MTS, there was no overlap of truck trips generated by the interim export program with that expected to be generated by the West 135th Street Converted MTS.


Figures 8.9-13, 8.9-14, and 8.9-15 illustrate the resulting net change in traffic at each study intersection. The highest net increase in truck trips occurred at the intersection of 133<sup>rd</sup> Street and 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue. All of the truck proceeding to and from the West 135th Street Converted MTS would pass through this intersection.

As noted in Section 8.9.2.3, the intersection of West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue was identified as a high pedestrian accident location and the overall number of accidents at this intersection has been increasing over the last three-year period. As also noted in Section 8.9.2.6, the highest pedestrian crossing volumes were identified in the study area at this intersection. High pedestrian volumes, coupled with turning movement volumes ranging from 50 to nearly 260 vehicles per hour and only a short lag protected green phase for the southbound Amsterdam Avenue approach likely result in a level of pedestrian/vehicle conflict that is contributory to the high number of pedestrian accidents. The maximum volume of collection vehicles that would pass through this intersection proceeding to and from the West 135th Street Converted MTS during one hour is estimated at 30. This represents less than two percent of the total traffic flow








































that would enter the intersection under Build conditions and should not represent a measurable change in safety. The provision of additional split phases to separate pedestrian and turning traffic should be investigated at this intersection.

The need for Saturday analysis was considered. However, a traffic analysis was not performed on the projected net increases on Saturday truck trips because the total net increase in collection vehicles delivering waste on Saturdays would be approximately 70 percent of the inbound loads delivered during a typical average peak day. Additionally, traffic data indicated that the weekend background traffic volumes were approximately 94 percent of weekday traffic volumes. Table 8.9-3 illustrates the decrease in weekday background traffic and the decrease in DSNY and other agency collection vehicle traffic on the weekend. No analysis was performed for Sunday because the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would not operate on Sundays. It was, therefore, judged that peak weekday analysis would represent the worst overall case conditions.

Table 8.9-3Weekday and Weekend TrafficWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

DSNY and C Collection Ve	Other Agency ehicle Traffic	Background Traffic NB and SB on Broadway <sup>(1)</sup>			
Average Peak Day	Saturday Trucks/	Weekday average	Weekend average		
Trucks/ Day	Day	vehicles/Day	vehicles/Day		
223	153	26,501	25,043		

Note:

<sup>(1)</sup> NB and SB traffic data collected from ATR counts taken on Broadway between 133<sup>rd</sup> Street and 134<sup>th</sup> Street from September 11 to 17, 2003.

Table 8.9-4 provides the v/c ratio, delay, and LOS for each analyzed signalized intersection under the Future Build with the West 135th Street Converted MTS. Overall, 2006 Build intersection traffic operations, expressed in terms of delay, would deteriorate very minimally and except during the facility peak hour at the intersection of 133<sup>rd</sup> Street and Broadway, the level of service does not change at any intersection. While there are no changes in the level of service at most signalized intersections, a significant traffic impact, as defined by the CEQR Technical Manual and listed in Section 3.10, was identified for the westbound left turn lane group at the intersection of 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue during the AM peak hour. Suggested mitigation for this impact is discussed below.

## Table 8.9-4 HCM Analysis<sup>(1)</sup>- 2006 Build Conditions West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

	<b>A</b> .	M Peak Hour			aility Dooly Ho		г	PM Peak Hour	
		a.m. – 9:00 a.	m )	Facility Peak Hour (9:00 a.m. – 10:00 a.m.)			(5:00  p.m. - 6:00  p.m.)		
Intersection &	V/C	Delay		V/C	Delay		V/C	Delay	
Lane Group	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Ratio	(sec)	LOS	Ratio	(sec)	LOS
125 <sup>th</sup> Street & 12 <sup>th</sup> Ave (signalized)									
NB LTR	.26	28.4	С	.20	27.7	С	.22	27.9	С
SB LTR	.45	33.7	С	.43	33.7	С	.21	28.5	С
EB LTR	.19	6.8	А	.17	6.6	А	.21	6.9	А
WB L	.12	6.6	А	.13	6.7	А	.10	6.5	А
WB TR	.70	13.0	В	.58	10.7	В	.63	11.3	В
OVERALL	.63	14.8	В	.54	13.2	В	.52	12.4	В
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street & 12 <sup>th</sup>	Avenue (sig	nalized)							
NB LTR	.43	11.0	В	.38	10.4	В	.62	13.7	В
SB LTR	.21	9.5	Α	.22	9.8	А	.10	8.4	А
EB LTR	.30	27.4	С	.33	28.0	С	.54	32.4	С
WB LTR	.13	25.2	С	.19	26.1	С	.24	26.7	С
OVERALL	.39	13.4	В	.36	13.9	В	.59	17.1	В
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street & 12 <sup>th</sup>	Ave (signal	ized)							
NB L	.77	22.8	С	.58	15.2	В	1.01	55.3	Е
NB LTR	.31	10.5	В	.25	9.8	Α	.36	11.1	В
SB LTR	.11	8.3	Α	.10	8.2	Α	.07	8.0	Α
WB LTR	.71	37.8	D	.42	28.9	С	1.08	95.9	F
OVERALL	.75	22.9	С	.53	16.0	В	1.03	59.1	Е
125 <sup>th</sup> Street & Ams	terdam Ave	(signalized)							
NB LTR	.80	31.9	С	.75	29.6	С	1.05	69.1	Е
SB DFL	.46	18.6	В	.43	17.3	В	.59	29.1	С
SB TR	.98	59.5	Е	.82	37.1	D	.62	27.1	С
EB L	.46	33.5	С	.52	35.0+	D	.45	31.3	С
EB TR	.78	34.5	С	.69	30.7	С	.65	29.0	С
WB L	1.05	125.7	F	.74	56.9	E	.55	38.0	D
WB TR	.64	29.5	C	.60	28.6	С	.56	27.3	С
OVERALL	.82	40.9	D	.64	31.3	С	.81	43.2	D
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street & Broa									
NB LTR	.53	17.0	В	.46	15.9	В	1.01	52.4	D
SB LTR	.85	27.5	C	.77	23.4	С	.72	22.5	С
EB LTR	.23	19.7	В	.18	18.9	В	.21	19.4	В
WB LTR	.39	21.8	С	.32	20.7	С	.68	28.9	С
OVERALL	.65	23.1	С	.57	20.4	С	.87	38.2	D
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street & Broa									
NB LTR	.46	16.0	В	.41	15.1	В	.63	18.7	В
SB LTR	.62	18.7	В	.48	16.0	В	.47	16.0	В
EB LTR	.40	22.4	С	.42	22.6	С	.49	23.7	С
OVERALL	.53	18.2	В	.45	16.8	В	.57	18.6	В
125 <sup>th</sup> Street & Broa			F	<i>(</i> )	41.7	F	1.10	110.2	F
NB L	.99	80.9	F	.69	41.7	D	1.10	110.2	F
NB LTR	.99	69.8	E	.77	40.7	D	1.10	99.3	F
SB L	.47	32.7	C	.38	31.0	C	.41	31.4	C
SB LTR	.75	38.6	D	.75	38.8	D	.57	33.2	C
EB L	.33	26.0	C	.28	24.1	C	.44	30.0	C
EB TR	.59	27.2	C	.54	26.1	C	.56	26.2	C
WB L WB TB	.66	43.2	D	.49	32.1	C	.37	27.6	C C
WB TR OVERALL	.52 .79	25.9 45.5	C D	.43	24.3	C C	.56 .72	26.3	E
UVERALL	.79	43.3	D	.07	33.6	C	.12	57.1	Ľ

Notes: (1) HCM output is included in technical backup submitted to the NYCDOT. LTR = left, through and right movements

DFL = defacto left

NB = northbound	WB = westbound
EB = eastbound	SB = southbound

Table 8.9-5 provides a comparison of v/c ratio, delay and LOS for the Future No-Build, 2006 Build before mitigation, and 2006 after mitigation scenarios at the intersection of  $125^{th}$  Street and Amsterdam Avenue where an impact was identified. The following describes the proposed mitigation and projected results with mitigation.

During the AM peak hour, an impact was identified on the westbound left turn lane group at the intersection of West 125<sup>th</sup> Street and Amsterdam Avenue. As shown, the westbound left turn lane group remains at LOS F, but the delay increases from 120.1 seconds to 125.7 seconds. Currently, the existing signal operates as a 3 phase signal. The mitigation proposed is as follows:

- Increase eastbound/westbound green time to 31 seconds.
- Reduce northbound/southbound exclusive left turn green time to 9 seconds.

This would reduce the westbound left turn lane group, with LOS F, from a delay of 125.7 seconds to 104.5 seconds. This would not generate any impacts for the southbound exclusive left turn lane group and it would provide adequate clearance time for pedestrian crossings.

### 8.9.4.3 *Public Transportation*

Future Build Conditions are expected to remain the same as Future No-Build Conditions.

#### 8.9.4.4 *Pedestrian Activity*

Future Build Conditions are expected to remain the same as Future No-Build Conditions.

# Table 8.9-5HCM Analysis<sup>(1)</sup> - 2006 Build MitigationWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

	2006 Future No Build		2006 Future Build			2006 Future Build after Mitigation			
Intersection & Lane Group	V/C Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	V/C Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS	V/C Ratio	Delay (sec)	LOS
125 <sup>th</sup> Street & Amsterdam Ave (signalized) – AM Peak									
NB LTR	.80	31.7	С	.80	31.9	С	.80	31.9	С
SB DFL	.46	18.6	В	.46	18.6	В	.47	19.5	В
SB TR	.93	49.4	D	.98	59.5	D	.98	59.5	Е
EB L	.34	28.1	С	.46	33.5	С	.44	31.4	С
EB TR	.78	34.1	С	.78	34.5	С	.76	32.5	С
WB L	1.03	120.1	F	1.05	125.7	F	.98	104.5	F
WB TR	.63	29.4	С	.64	29.5	С	.62	28.2	С
OVERALL	.79	38.3	D	.82	40.9	D	.93	39.2	D

Notes: (1) HCM output is included in technical backup submitted to the NYCDOT.

DFL = defacto left

LTR = left, through and right movements

NB = northbound

SB = southbound

EB = eastbound

WB = westbound

#### 8.10 Air Quality

#### 8.10.1 Definition of the Study Areas

The study area for the on-site air quality analysis for criteria pollutants (except  $PM_{2.5}$ ) is defined as the area within 500 meters (0.3 miles) of the property line in all directions. The study area for the on-site analysis for  $PM_{2.5}$  is defined as the area within 500 meters from the highest impact location of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. The study area for the off-site air quality analysis is defined as the area or intersections listed in Section 8.10.4.2.

#### 8.10.2 Existing Conditions

Applicable air quality data collected at the monitoring station(s) nearest the study area are shown in Table 8.10-1. These data were compiled by NYSDEC for 2002, the latest calendar year for which applicable data are currently available. The monitored levels do not exceed national and state ambient air quality standards.

Pollutant	Monitor	Averaging Time	Value	NAAQS
СО	PS 59	8-Hour	$2,635 \ \mu g/m^3$	$10,000 \ \mu g/m^3$
CO	1557	1-Hour	$3,781 \ \mu g/m^3$	$40,000 \ \mu g/m^3$
NO <sub>2</sub>	PS 59	Annual	77 µg/m <sup>3 (1)</sup>	100 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
	PS 59	Annual	$34 \ \mu g/m^{3} \ ^{(2)}$	$50 \ \mu g/m^3$
$\mathbf{PM}_{10}$	15.59	24-Hour	$88 \ \mu g/m^{3} \ ^{(2)}$	$150 \ \mu g/m^3$
		3-Hour	$265 \ \mu g/m^{3} ^{(1)}$	$1300 \ \mu g/m^3$
$SO_2$	PS 59	24-Hour	$139 \mu g/m^{3} ^{(1)}$	365 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
		Annual	$34  \mu g/m^{3  (1)}$	80 µg/m <sup>3</sup>

### Table 8.10-1Representative Ambient Air Quality DataWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

 $\frac{\text{Notes:}}{(1)}$  Val

<sup>1)</sup> Values are the highest pollutant levels recorded during the 2002 calendar year.

<sup>(2)</sup> Values are the highest pollutant levels recorded during the 2001 calendar year. Source: USEPA Airdata Database.

#### 8.10.3 Future No-Build Conditions

The primarily commercial/industrial nature of the study area is not expected to change by the Future No-Build 2006 analysis year. As such, no changes to air quality levels are anticipated, and Future No-Build air quality conditions are assumed to be the same as Existing Conditions for all pollutants except CO. CO concentrations are expected to be lowered by increasingly stringent, federally-mandated vehicular emission controls, although any effects may be offset by increases in regional traffic volumes.

8.10.4 Potential Impacts of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

8.10.4.1 On-Site Analysis

#### 8.10 4.1.1 Sources Considered in the Analysis

The sources of emissions and the number of each type of source that is anticipated to be in operation during the peak hour and under daily average conditions are provided in Table 8.10-2. Figure 8.10-1 shows the locations of these sources within the site.

#### 8.10.4.1.2 Results of the Criteria Pollutant Analysis

The highest estimated criteria pollutant concentrations at any of the receptor locations considered are presented in Table 8.10-3. These values are below the national and state ambient air quality standards for the appropriate averaging time periods. In addition, the highest estimated changes in 24-hour and annual  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations from West 135th Street Converted MTS-generated vehicles at any of the receptor locations considered, which are also presented in Table 8.10-3, are below the STVs. The West 135<sup>TH</sup> Street Converted MTS would not, therefore, significantly impact air quality in the area.


# Table 8.10-2Emission Sources Considered for On-site Air Quality Analysis (1)West 135<sup>TH</sup> Street Converted MTS

Type of Emission Source	Number of Sources Operated During Peak Hour	Number of Sources Operated During 24-hour and Annual Average Hour
Within Processing Building		
Wheel Loaders	2	1
Tamping Cranes	1	1
Mini-Sweepers	1	1
Moving/Queuing Collection Vehicles	46	18
Space Heaters	10	10
Boiler	1	1
Outside Processing Building		
Moving Street Sweepers	0	0
Moving Collection Vehicles	46	18
Queuing Collection	16 in; 1 out	3 in; 1 out
Oceangoing Tugboats	1	1

Notes:

<sup>1)</sup> Emission factors used and emission rates estimated for each of these sources are included in Technical Backup provided to the NYCDEP.

(2) The peak 8-hour and 3-hour average number of queuing collection vehicles outside of the processing building is six. Theoretically, the 3-hour value should be no less than one-third of the peak 1-hour value (16), but for this analysis, the 3-hour and 8-hour values are more realistic estimates of actual peak queuing activity, while the 1-hour peak is simply a conservative assumption based on the maximum available physical queuing space on the entrance road/ramp.





### **Table 8.10-3** Highest Estimated Concentrations of the Criteria Pollutants from On-site Emissions West 135<sup>TH</sup> Street Converted MTS

	Averaging	Maximum Impacts from On-site Emission	Background Pollutant	Highest Estimated On-site Pollutant		amy (4)
Pollutant	Time Period	Sources <sup>(1)</sup>		Concentrations	NAAQS <sup>(3)</sup>	STV <sup>(4)</sup>
Carbon Monoxide (CO),	1-hour <sup>(6)</sup>	2,091	3,781	5,872	40,000	NA
$\mu g/m^3$	8-hour <sup>(6)</sup>	1,019	2,635	3,654	10,000	NA
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>(6),</sup> $\mu g/m^3$	Annual	5	77	82	100	NA
Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> ),	24-hour <sup>(7)</sup>	26	88	104	150	NA
$\mu g/m^3$	Annual	5	34	39	50	NA
	24-hour	2	-	-	NA	5
Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> ),	Annual					
$\mu g/m^3$	Neighborhood					
	Average	$0.02^{(5)}$	-	-	NA	0.1
Sulfur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> ),	3-hour <sup>(6)</sup>	264	265	529	1,300	NA
$\mu g/m^3$	24-hour <sup>(6)</sup>	7	139	146	365	NA
	Annual	1	34	35	80	NA

#### Notes:

<sup>(1)</sup> The highest estimated pollutant concentrations found at any of the off-site receptor locations.

<sup>(2)</sup> Background concentrations were obtained from the NYCDEP on April 14, 2003.

<sup>(3)</sup> NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard
 <sup>(4)</sup> Screening Threshold Value (STV) established by the NYCDEP and NYSDEC

<sup>(5)</sup> Average  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration over 1 km x 1 km "neighborhood-scale" receptor grid.

<sup>(6)</sup> The standards for these averaging periods allow one exceedance per year, so the use of the overall maximum concentration in this provides a very conservative comparison with standards.

<sup>(7)</sup> The 24-hour  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS is based on a 99th percentile concentration, which means that the high, 4th high concentration is appropriate for comparison with the standard. Therefore, the use of the overall highest concentration in this comparison is quite conservative.

NA = Not Applicable

#### 8.10.4.1.3 Results of the Toxic Pollutant Analysis

The results of the toxic pollutant analysis are summarized in Table 8.10-4. The highest estimated non-carcinogenic toxic air pollutant impacts are below the short-term (acute) and long-term (chronic) hazard index thresholds specified in New York State's Air Guide 1. In addition, the highest estimated carcinogenic impacts are less than the one-in-a-million threshold level that is defined by NYSDEC as being significant. As such, the potential impacts of the toxic pollutant emissions from the on-site operations of the West 135<sup>TH</sup> Street Converted MTS are not considered to be significant.

### 8.10.4.2 *Off-Site Analysis*

#### 8.10.4.2.1 Pollutants Considered and Analyses Conducted

Locations potentially affected by DSNY and other agency collection vehicles were identified using *CEQR Technical Manual Guidelines* that are outlined in Section 3.11.5. Following these guidelines, the following detailed mobile source analyses were conducted for the applicable time (i.e., worst-case) periods:

- An analysis of the intersections of 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue and West 133rd Street, and Broadway and 133<sup>rd</sup> Street to determine whether West 135th Street Converted MTS-generated traffic has the potential to cause exceedances of NYCDEP's 24-hour and annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> STVs; and
- An analysis for the same two intersections, to determine whether West 135th Street Converted MTS-generated traffic has the potential to cause exceedances of the 24-hour and annual PM<sub>10</sub>NAAQS.


The roadway intersections selected for the mobile source analysis are shown in Figure 8.10-2.

# Table 8.10-4 Highest Estimated Non-Cancer Hazard Index and Cancer Risk of Toxic Air Pollutant from On-site Emissions West 135<sup>TH</sup> Street Converted MTS

		Acute Non-Cancer Risk			Ch	ronic Non-Can	ncer Risk	Cancer Risk		
No.	Toxic Air Pollutants	Highest Estimated Short-Term (1-hr) Pollutant Conc. <sup>(1)</sup> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Short-Term (1-hr) Guideline Conc. (SGCs) <sup>(2)</sup> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Acute Non- Cancer Hazard Index <sup>(3)</sup>	Highest Estimated Long-Term (Annual) Pollutant Conc. <sup>(4)</sup> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Long-Term (Annual) Guideline Conc. (AGCs) <sup>(5)</sup> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Chronic Non- Cancer Hazard Index <sup>(6)</sup>	Highest Estimated Long-Term (Annual) Pollutant Conc. <sup>(4)</sup> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Unit Risk Factors <sup>(7)</sup> (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Maximum Cancer Risk <sup>(8,9)</sup>
Carci	nogenic Pollutants									
1	Benzene	9.56E-01	1.30E+03	7.36E-04	5.49E-03	1.30E-01	4.23E-02	5.49E-03	8.30E-06	4.56E-08
2	Formaldehyde	1.21E+00	3.00E+01	4.03E-02	6.95E-03	6.00E-02	1.16E-01	6.95E-03	1.30E-05	9.03E-08
3	1,3 Butadiene	4.01E-02	-	-	2.30E-04	3.60E-03	6.40E-02	2.30E-04	2.80E-04	6.45E-08
4	Acetaldehyde	7.86E-01	4.50E+03	1.75E-04	4.52E-03	4.50E-01	1.00E-02	4.52E-03	2.20E-06	9.94E-09
5	Benzo(a)pyrene	1.93E-04	-	-	1.11E-06	2.00E-03	5.54E-04	1.11E-06	1.70E-03	1.88E-09
6	Propylene	2.64E+00	-	-	1.52E-02	3.00E+03	5.06E-06	1.52E-02	NA	NA
Non-O	Carcinogenic Pollutants	S <sup>(10)</sup>								
7	Acrolein	9.48E-02	1.90E-01	4.99E-01	5.45E-04	2.00E-02	2.72E-02	5.45E-04	NA	NA
8	Toluene	4.19E-01	3.70E+04	1.13E-05	2.41E-03	4.00E+02	6.02E-06	2.41E-03	NA	NA
9	Xylenes	2.92E-01	4.30E+03	6.79E-05	1.68E-03	7.00E+02	2.40E-06	1.68E-03	NA	NA
10	Anthracene	1.92E-03	-	-	1.10E-05	2.00E-02	5.51E-04	1.10E-05	NA	NA
11	Benzo(a)anthracene	1.72E-03	-	-	9.89E-06	2.00E-02	4.95E-04	9.89E-06	NA	NA
12	Chrysene	3.62E-04	-	-	2.08E-06	2.00E-02	1.04E-04	2.08E-06	NA	NA
13	Naphthalene	8.69E-02	7.90E+03	1.10E-05	4.99E-04	3.00E+00	1.66E-04	4.99E-04	NA	NA
14	Pyrene	4.90E-03	-	-	2.81E-05	2.00E-02	1.41E-03	2.81E-05	NA	NA
15	Phenanthrene	3.01E-02	-	-	1.73E-04	2.00E-02	8.66E-03	1.73E-04	NA	NA
16	Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	5.98E-04	-	-	3.43E-06	2.00E-02	1.72E-04	3.43E-06	NA	NA
		Total Estimated Acute Non- Cancer Hazard Index		5.40E-01	Total Estimat Non-Cancer H	lazard Index	2.71E-01	Total Estimated Cancer Risk	Combined	2.12E-07
		Acute Non-Can Threshold <sup>(11)</sup>	cer Hazard Index	1.0E+00	Chronic Non- Hazard Index		1.0E+00	Cancer Risk Th	reshold <sup>(11)</sup>	1.0E-06

### Notes to Table 8.10-4:

- <sup>(1)</sup> Estimated by multiplying the total 1-hr HCs concentration by the ratio of the emission factor for that pollutant to the emission factor of the total hydrocarbons.
- <sup>(2)</sup> Short-term (1-hr) guideline concentrations (SGC) established by NYSDEC
- <sup>(3)</sup> Estimated by dividing the maximum 1-hr concentrations of each pollutant by the SGC value of that pollutant and summing up the resulting values to obtain hazard index for all of the pollutants combined.
- <sup>(4)</sup> Estimated by multiplying the total annual HCs concentration by ratio of the emission factor for that pollutant to the emission factor of the total hydrocarbons.
- <sup>(5)</sup> Long-term (annual) guideline concentrations (AGC) established by NYSDEC
- <sup>(6)</sup> Estimated by dividing the maximum annual concentration of each of the individual pollutants by the AGC value of that pollutant and summing up the resulting values to obtain hazard index for all of the pollutants combined.
- <sup>(7)</sup> Unit risk factors established by USEPA and other governmental agencies for the inhalation of carcinogenic air pollutants.
- <sup>(8)</sup> The maximum cancer risk of each of the individual pollutant was estimated by multiplying the estimated annual concentration of each pollutant by its unit risk factor.
- <sup>(9)</sup> The total incremental cancer risk from all of the pollutants combined was estimated by summing the maximum cancer risk of each of the individual pollutants.
- (10) Some of the pollutants included in the group of non-carcinogenic pollutants, such as anthracene, benzo(a)anthracene and chrysene, may also have carcinogenic effects. As these pollutants do not have established unit risk factors, they were evaluated using the hazard index approach for non-carcinogens.
- (11) Hazard index and cancer risk thresholds based on NYSDEC "Guidelines for the Control of Toxic Ambient Air Contaminants" dated November 12, 1997. Estimated values below these threshold limits are considered to be insignificant impacts.



500 0 500 Feet



#### 8.10.4.2.2 Results of the Off-Site Analysis

Applicable pollutant concentrations estimated near each selected intersection, which are shown in Table 8.10-5, are all within (less than) the applicable state and federal ambient air quality standards, STVs (for  $PM_{2.5}$ ), and/or de minimus impact values (for CO). The West  $135^{TH}$  Street Converted MTS would not, therefore, significantly impact air quality in the area.

### Table 8.10-5 Maximum Estimated Pollutant Concentrations Near Selected Roadway Intersection West 135<sup>th</sup> Street MTS

	СО	PN	A <sub>10</sub>	24	-hr PM <sub>2.5</sub> Impa	icts	Max Annual Neig	hborhood PN	M <sub>2.5</sub> Impacts
Air Quality Receptor Site	8-hr CO Conc. <sup>(1)</sup> ppm (NAAQS: 9 ppm)	24-hr PM <sub>10</sub> Conc. <sup>(1)</sup> μg/m <sup>3</sup> (NAAQS: 150 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Annual PM <sub>10</sub> Conc. <sup>(1)</sup> μg/m <sup>3</sup> (NAAQS: 50 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Impacts from On- Site Emission Sources <sup>(2)</sup> μg/m <sup>3</sup> (STV: 5 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Impacts from Off-Site Emission Sources <sup>(3)</sup> µg/m <sup>3</sup> (STV: 5 µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Total Combined Impacts from On and Off- Site Emission Sources μg/m <sup>3</sup> (STV: 5 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Impacts from On-Site Emission Sources <sup>(2)</sup> μg/m <sup>3</sup> (STV: 0.1 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Impacts from Off-Site Emission Sources <sup>(4)</sup> μg/m <sup>3</sup> (STV: 0.1 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Total Combined Impacts from On and Off-Site Emission Sources μg/m <sup>3</sup> (STV: 0.1 μg/m <sup>3</sup> )
<b>12<sup>th</sup> Ave &amp; 133<sup>rd</sup> Street</b> Existing Conditions Future No Build Conditions Future Build Conditions Future Build Incremental	NA <sup>(5)</sup>	77 77 77	34 34 34	0.4	0.21	0.61	0.02	0.04	0.06
<b>Broadway &amp; 133<sup>rd</sup> Street</b> Existing Conditions Future No Build Conditions Future Build Conditions Future Build Incremental	NA <sup>(5)</sup>	77 77 78	33 33 34	0.4	0.16	0.56	0.02	0.02	0.04

### Notes:

<sup>(1)</sup>  $PM_{10}$  concentrations are the maximum concentrations estimated using the AM, Facility AM, and PM peak traffic conditions plus background concentration (24-hr  $PM_{10} = 46 \mu g/m^3$ ; Annual  $PM_{10} = 21 \mu g/m^3$ ).

<sup>(2)</sup> The maximum estimated concentrations of on-site emissions near the intersection considered.

 $^{(3)}$  The PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations are the maximum modeled incremental PM<sub>2.5</sub> impacts (due to project-induced (or future build) traffic only) estimated by taking the difference between the maximum PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations for the Future No Build and Future Build scenarios at any receptor 3 meters from the edge of the roadways using AM, midday or PM peak traffic conditions.

<sup>(4)</sup> The  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations are the maximum modeled incremental  $PM_{2.5}$  impacts (due to project-induced (or future build) traffic only) estimated by taking the difference between the maximum  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations for the Future No Build and Future Build scenarios at any receptor 15 meters from the edge of the roadways using AM, midday or PM peak traffic conditions.

<sup>(5)</sup> Incremental 1-hour vehicular trips were below CEQR CO air quality screening thresholds.

 $\mu g/m^3 =$  Microgram per cubic meter

#### 8.11 Odor

#### 8.11.1 Existing Conditions

The existing MTS is not in operation, and there are no existing sources of odor at the site. The study area is within 500 meters (0.3 miles) from the facility boundary. The locations for sensitive receptors in this analysis are the same as those used in the noise analysis. The nearest sensitive receptor is the Riverbank State Park located approximately 60 feet from the site boundary.

#### 8.11.2 Future No-Build Conditions

No additional odor-producing sources are currently anticipated in the vicinity of the West 135th Street Converted MTS. Thus, Existing Conditions are assumed to be representative of Future No-Build Conditions.


#### 8.11.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135th Street Converted MTS

#### 8.11.3.1 Odor Source Types and Locations Considered in the Analysis

The anticipated number and type of odor sources that would be associated with waste processing operations at peak design capacity at the West 135th Street Converted MTS are provided in Table 8.11-1. Figure 8.11-1 shows the locations of these sources within the site.

#### Table 8.11-1 Odor Sources Included in Odor Analysis West 135th Street Converted MTS

	Number of Sources Operated During Peak
Type of Emission Source	Design Capacity
Exhaust Fans from Processing Building	1



West 135th Street Converted MTS

CITY OF NEW YORK DEPARTMENT OF SANITATION



MTS Environmental Evaluation

EEA

An odor control system (e.g., scrubber, neutralizing agent misting system injected into the exhaust duct work system, etc.) would be included in the design to control odorous emissions from the processing building. Odor control systems can remove between 90 percent and 99 percent of odorous compounds. For purposes of modeling odor dispersion, a 90 percent reduction of odorous emissions was conservatively assumed for the West 135th Street Converted MTS.

#### 8.11.3.2 Results of the Odor Analysis

The highest estimated odor concentrations at any of the receptor sites considered and the concentrations at the closest sensitive receptor are presented in Table 8.11-2. The predicted odor unit values at sensitive receptor locations are compared to an odor unit of 5, which represents the level of odor impact that would begin to be detected by an average observer. The highest predicted odor unit associated with the West 135th Street Converted MTS at any nearby sensitive receptor is less than 1, so odors from the West 135th Street Converted MTS would not be detectable by off-site sensitive receptors and the facility would comply with NYSDEC requirements for effective odor control. Therefore, no significant adverse impacts from odors on receptors are expected to occur as a result of this facility.

<b>Table 8.11-2</b>
Highest Predicted Odor Concentration(s) from On-site Sources
West 135th Street Converted MTS

Parameter	Resulting Odor Unit <sup>(1)</sup>
<b>Estimated Detectable Concentration</b>	1.0
Highest Result	0.12
Type of Receptor	Discrete Receptor
Receptor <sup>(2)</sup>	On Land
Closest Sensitive Receptor Result	0.063
Type Of Receptor	Riverbank State Park
Distance To Receptor <sup>(3)</sup>	60 Feet

#### Notes:

(1) D/T ratio is dimensionless.

<sup>(2)</sup> Measured from NW corner of the site boundary.

<sup>(3)</sup> Measured from the site property line.

#### 8.12 Noise

The noise analysis addresses on-site and off-site sources of noise emissions from West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS-related solid waste management activities. It is based on Section R of the CEQR Technical Manual for both on-site and off-site sources, and, for on-site sources only, the Performance Standards of the New York City Zoning Code for Manufacturing Districts, and the New York City Noise Code. Section 3.14 provides a general discussion of the relevant regulatory standards and methodologies used in this analysis.


#### 8.12.1 Existing Conditions

#### 8.12.1.1 Introduction

Figure 8.12-1 shows the location of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street MTS and the surrounding area. The nearest noise-sensitive receptors are Riverbank State Park, located adjacent to the elevated West Side Highway 18 meters (60 feet) north of the property boundary and approximately 61 meters (200 feet) from the center of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, and an apartment building located on the corner of Riverside Drive and West 135<sup>th</sup> Street, 117 meters (385 feet) southeast of the property boundary and 229 meters (750 feet) from the center of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. The other sensitive land uses within the study area are residential areas on top of the bluff across the West Side Highway where traffic noise from the elevated highway dominates the ambient acoustic environment and obscures noise from the site. No other sensitive land uses are found nearby. Riverside Walk, an area designated as parkland, is located 50 feet away from the site boundary underneath the Henry Hudson Parkway. Due to its location, high background noise and non-use as a park, Riverside Walk was screened out of the analysis.

#### 8.12.1.2 On-site Noise Levels

Existing on-site noise sources consist of noise created by activities and events on and immediately surrounding the site. Existing noise levels were monitored hourly for a 24-hour period at the property line closest to the nearest noise-sensitive receptor. Noise monitoring data



Site delineations are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning

200 Feet 0



hourly included:  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{min}$ ,  $L_{max}$ ,<sup>5</sup> and the statistical metrics of  $L_{10}$ ,  $L_{50}$ , and  $L_{90}$ <sup>6</sup>. Table 8.12-1 presents monitored noise levels. As shown, the quietest hour at the monitoring location occurred between 2:00 a.m. and 3:00 a.m. and had an  $L_{eq}$ (h) of 61.6 dBA on March 18, 2003. Activities and events that contribute to the on-site noise levels are as follows:

- Traffic noise from the elevated West Side Highway; and
- Occasional watercraft on the Hudson River.

#### 8.12.1.3 Off-site Noise Sources

Existing off-site noise sources consist of the existing traffic and other background noise. A screening analysis was conducted to determine if noise monitoring would be required along the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS-related truck routes due to an increase in traffic caused by the DSNY and other collection vehicles. As a result of this screening, which is described in more detail in Section 3.14.5.2, off-site noise monitoring was required and, was therefore conducted. Table 8.12-2 presents monitored noise levels near noise sensitive receptors during the hour expected to receive the largest change in noise levels (when the difference between traffic noise levels and background noise levels is greatest) based on second level screening.

#### 8.12.2 Future No-Build Conditions

#### 8.12.2.1 On-site Noise Levels

No appreciable changes in on-site noise levels are anticipated by 2006; therefore Future No-Build Conditions are expected to be the same as Existing Conditions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Terms  $L_{eq}$ ,  $L_{min}$ ,  $L_{max}$  are defined in Section 3.14.2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Terms  $L_{10}$ ,  $L_{50}$ , and  $L_{90}$  are defined in Section 3.14.2.

Time of Measurement	Leq (h) (dBA)	L90 (dBA)	L50 (dBA)	L10 (dBA)	Lmin (dBA)	Lmax (dBA)
12:00-1:00 a.m.	62.7	61.1	62.3	63.8	59.1	72.9
1:00-2:00 a.m.	62.0	60.2	61.8	63.2	58.1	74.8
2:00-3:00 a.m.	61.6	59.9	61.3	62.7	57.3	77.2
3:00-4:00 a.m.	62.2	59.8	61.6	63.1	57.5	77.9
4:00-5:00 a.m.	62.7	61.3	62.5	63.7	59.7	76.1
5:00-6:00 a.m.	64.7	63.1	64.3	65.9	61.2	69.8
6:00-7:00 a.m.	66.3	64.6	65.9	67.5	61.9	76.6
7:00-8:00 a.m.	65.9	64.1	65.3	67.0	61.6	78.9
8:00-9:00 a.m.	65.6	63.7	65.0	66.6	61.1	82.7
9:00-10:00 a.m.	64.6	62.6	64.0	65.7	60.4	78.4
10:00-11:00 a.m.	66.3	63.8	65.0	66.6	61.6	85.7
11:00 a.m12:00 p.m.	65.5	63.4	64.6	66.7	60.8	81.6
12:00-1:00 p.m.	65.5	63.5	64.8	66.4	61.3	80.6
1:00-2:00 p.m.	65.6	63.5	64.9	66.7	61.0	83.8
2:00-3:00 p.m.	66.2	64.5	65.6	67.2	62.4	80.8
3:00-4:00 p.m.	65.1	63.2	64.3	66.1	61.0	78.2
4:00-5:00 p.m.	65.0	63.2	64.5	66.0	61.3	77.1
5:00-6:00 p.m.	65.6	63.7	64.7	66.1	62.0	84.2
6:00-7:00 p.m.	67.7	65.6	67.3	68.7	63.6	88.6
7:00-8:00 p.m.	66.8	65.0	66.3	67.8	62.9	80.8
8:00-9:00 p.m.	65.2	63.6	64.8	66.2	61.1	77.7
9:00-10:00 p.m.	64.4	62.6	63.8	65.4	60.1	77.7
10:00-11:00 p.m.	64.1	61.7	63.1	65.0	59.8	80.6
11:00 p.m12:00 a.m.	63.7	62.2	63.4	64.7	60.3	75.1
Note:		1	1	1	<b>I</b>	

### Table 8.12-1 Existing Hourly (Monitored) Noise Levels On-Site<sup>(1)</sup> West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

 $\frac{\text{Note:}}{^{(1)}}$  The 24-hour background noise levels were measured at the site boundary nearest to the closest sensitive receptor to identify the quietest background hour.

## Table 8.12-2 Off-site Existing Noise Levels at the Nearest Noise-Sensitive Receptor West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Location	Existing Noise Levels During Quietest Hour (dBA) <sup>(1)(2)</sup>		
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	61.6		
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	63.2		

Notes:

<sup>1)</sup> A one hour noise level reading was measured at the closest sensitive receptor during the hour expected to receive the largest change in noise levels (when the difference between traffic noise levels and background noise levels is greatest).

<sup>(2)</sup> Existing noise levels measured on May 9, 2003 at 2:30 a.m..

#### 8.12.2.2 Off-site Noise Levels

Off-site noise levels for the Future No-Build Conditions in 2006 were calculated using the annual growth rates for traffic volume provided in Section O: Traffic of the CEQR Manual. Table 8.12-3 below presents the Existing traffic volume and the Future No-Build traffic volume for the hour expected to receive the largest change in noise levels (when the difference between traffic noise levels and background noise levels is greatest) during the daytime (if any) and nighttime.

### 8.12.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street MTS

#### 8.12.3.1 On-site Noise Levels

Equipment assumed to be operating at the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS and its reference noise levels, which were used in the CEQR and Noise Code analysis, are shown in Table 8.12-4. Spectral noise levels used in the Performance Standards analysis are shown in Table 8.12-5. The number and type of equipment assumed for this analysis was based on the facility's peak design capacity. Figure 8.12-1 shows the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street layout, locations of the points along its property boundary where overall noise predictions were calculated and the predicted 55 dBA contour line.

# Table 8.12-3Off-site Noise Traffic VolumeWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Location	Hour	Existing Traffic Volume	Future No Build Traffic Volume	
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	2:00 a.m.	16	16	
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	8:00 a.m.	100	104	
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	2:00 a.m.	41	42	
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	10:00 a.m.	237	247	
125 <sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and Amsterdam	2:00 a.m.	225	228	
125 <sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and Amsterdam	10:00 a.m.	1001	1016	
125 <sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	1:00 a.m.	251	255	
125 <sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	3:00 a.m.	154	214	
125 <sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	9:00 a.m.	1070	1086	
Broadway between W. 132 <sup>nd</sup> Street and 133 <sup>rd</sup> Street	2:00 a.m.	225	228	
Broadway between W. 132 <sup>nd</sup> Street and 133 <sup>rd</sup> Street	10:00 a.m.	1001	1016	

### **Table 8.12-4** Equipment Modeled in the Noise Analysis and Reference Noise Levels West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Equipment Name	Reference Noise Level <sup>(1)</sup> at 50 feet (dBA)
Indoor	
Wheel Loader(2)	81
Tamping Crane(1)	81
Bridge Spreader(1)	70
Mini Sweeper(1)	76
Moving/Queuing Collection	73
Vehicle(7)	15
Outdoor	
Moving/Queuing Collection	67
Vehicle(17)	01
Container Pull Car(3)	45
Gantry Crane(1)	78
Oceangoing Tugboat(1)	73

Note: See Section 3.14.7 for sources.

	Reference Noise Level at 50 feet (dB)									
Equipment	Frequency (Hz)									
	63	125	250	500	1000	2000	4000	8000		
Indoor										
Wheel Loaders (2)	78	77	75	76	77	74	68	60		
Tamping Crane (1)	95	90	85	85	81	78	73	64		
Bridge Crane (1)	77	78	77	71	74	71	69	57		
Mini-Sweeper (1)	71	74	69	74	71	68	64	56		
Outdoor										
Container Car Pullers (3)	31	30	47	44	36	35	42	46		
Gantry Cranes (1)	79	82	82	79	78	73	64	56		
Oceangoing Tugboats (1)	97	85	79	75	72	66	59	52		

# Table 8.12-5Equipment Modeled in the Noise Analysis and Spectral Noise LevelsWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

#### 8.12.3.1.1 CEQR Analysis

A screening analysis was conducted to determine if a detailed noise analysis would be required for the on-site operations at the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. Noise levels from indoor and outdoor sources were combined to determine the location of the 55 dBA contour line. The 55 dBA contour line is approximately 30 meters (100 feet) from the property line in the direction of Riverbank State Park, which is 18 meters (60 feet) away from the site boundary. Similarly, the 55 dBA contour line is approximately 46 meters (150 feet) from the site boundary in the direction of the apartment building on Riverside Drive, which is 117 meters (385 feet) away from the site boundary. The 55 dBA contour line was selected as a limit for the study area because 55 dBA, (i.e., the point off-site where noises generated on-site attenuate to 55 dBA), is considered an acceptable noise level in an urban environment. Section 3.14.5.1 discusses this concept in greater detail. The results of the screening analysis, including noise monitoring at the nearest noise sensitive receptor was required to determine if there would be an impact.

Noise monitoring was conducted at the receptor during the quietest hour based on monitoring data provided in Table 8.12-1 above. Table 8.12-6 below identifies the existing background noise level during the quietest hour. The table shows the distance from the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS to the receptor, West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS-related noise levels at the receptor, the monitored existing background noise level, and the predicted noise levels with both facility noise and background noise combined. The difference between this combined noise level and the existing noise level at the receptor represents the predicted incremental change in noise level from the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. Because this incremental change is less than the CEQR threshold of 3 dBA at the nearest noise sensitive receptor, there is no predicted impact that would be caused by the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS on-site operations.

## Table 8.12-6 Existing and Predicted Noise Levels at the Nearest Noise-Sensitive Receptor West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Receptor ID	Distance from Facility (meters)	Existing Noise Levels During Quietest Hour (dBA) <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	Predicted Facility Noise Level at Sensitive Receptor (dBA) <sup>(3)</sup>	Combined Facility and Background Noise Level at the Sensitive Receptor (dBA)	Increase over Existing Noise Levels (dBA)	Impact <sup>(4)</sup> (yes or no)
Residence on Riverside Drive & W. 135 Street	117	59.3	50.0	59.7	0.4	No
Riverbank State Park	18	64.2	54.6	64.7	0.7	No

Notes:

<sup>1)</sup> Twenty-minute noise level readings measured at the closest sensitive receptor during the quietest hour determined from the 24-hour noise level readings.

<sup>(2)</sup> Existing noise levels measured on April 16, 2003 and May 9, 2003 at 2:30 a.m.

<sup>(3)</sup> Predicted noise level calculations at sensitive receptor include on-site and off-site shielding from structures.

(4) According to CEQR, an increase of 3 dBA at daytime is considered an impact. The impact analysis compares the loudest noise emissions from daily operations at the facility with the quietest background noise levels that occur during facility operation. The quietest hour of background noise levels occurred during the nighttime hours for the sensitive receptor park; therefore, only nighttime impact criteria are discussed in this analysis for this sensitive receptor.

#### 8.12.3.1.2 Performance Standards for Zoning Code Analysis

Overall noise predictions were calculated at the locations of the points along the West 135th Street Converted MTS boundary to determine the total noise level for each octave band from indoor and outdoor sources, not including DSNY and other agency collection vehicles, in accordance with the New York City Zoning Code Performance Standards for Manufacturing Districts (see Table 8.12-7 below). Based on this analysis, the property boundary spectrum levels from plant operations would satisfy the requirements of the New York City performance standards for an M-1 district at all of the boundary receptor sites at all of the octave band frequencies except at one octave frequency band centered on 500 Hz at receptor site D4. At this site, the spectrum level shows an increase of 0.2 dB at the octave bend centered at 500 Hz over the specified level of 54 dB. Because this increase is less than 1 dB it would be insignificant and imperceptible.

### Table 8.12-7Spectral Noise AnalysisWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Manufacturing District Regulation (M1)		Frequency Range								
		125	250	500	1K	2K	<b>4</b> K	8K		
Regulation (WII)	80	76	68	60	54	49	41	39		
Total Lp dB: D1	73.0	69.2	60.3	57.7	53.6	44.7	36.3	27.3		
Total Lp dB: D2	73.0	69.2	60.3	57.7	53.6	44.8	36.4	27.4		
Total Lp dB: D3	69.0	61.5	56.4	53.2	50.9	44.7	34.8	26.2		
Total Lp dB: D4	76.0	65.1	60.1	56.5	54.2	48.3	39.7	32.4		

#### 8.12.3.1.3 Noise Code Analysis

Overall noise predictions were calculated at the locations of the points along the West  $135^{th}$ Street boundary to determine the Total  $L_{eq}$  from all indoor and outdoor sources. This is shown in Table 8.12-8 below. Based on this analysis, the Total Leq does not exceed the Noise Code Standard of 70 dBA.

### Table 8.12-8Noise Code AnalysisWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Location at Plant Boundary	Total L <sub>eq</sub> Contribution at Plant Boundary (dBA)					
D1	54.9					
D2	66.2					
D3	65.3					
D4	65.6					

#### 8.12.3.2 Off-site Noise Analysis

A screening analysis was conducted to determine if a detailed noise analysis including noise monitoring would be required along the truck routes serving the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. As a result of this screening, which is described in Section 3.14.5.2, off-site noise analysis was required. Screening results for the hour expected to receive the largest change in noise levels (when the difference between traffic noise levels and background noise levels is greatest) during the daytime (if any) and nighttime are provided in Table 8.12-9 below.

# Table 8.12-9Off-site Noise Screening ResultsWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Location	Hour	Future No- Build PCEs <sup>(1)</sup>	Collection Vehicles	Employee Vehicles	Future Build PCEs <sup>(1)(2)</sup>	Possible Impact <sup>(3)</sup>
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	2:00 a.m.	16	6	0	298	Yes
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	8:00 a.m.	248	13	0	859	Yes
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	2:00 a.m.	42	5	0	277	Yes
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	10:00 a.m.	344	15	0	1049	Yes
125 <sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and Amsterdam	2:00 a.m.	616	12	0	1180	No
125 <sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and Amsterdam	10:00 a.m.	3935	30	0	1046	No
125 <sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	1:00 a.m.	626	13	0	1237	No
125 <sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	3:00 a.m.	577	12	0	1141	No
125 <sup>th</sup> Street between Broadway and 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue	9:00 a.m.	2226	30	0	3636	No
Broadway between W. 132 <sup>nd</sup> Street and 133 <sup>rd</sup> Street	2:00 a.m.	616	11	0	1133	No
Broadway between W. 132 <sup>nd</sup> Street and 133 <sup>rd</sup> Street	10:00 a.m.	3935	30	0	5345	No

Notes:


<sup>(1)</sup> Total PCEs are rounded to the nearest whole number.

<sup>(2)</sup> Future Build PCEs include West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS-related collection vehicles and employee vehicles.

<sup>(3)</sup> There is a possible impact if the Future Build PCEs are double the Future No-Build PCEs.

Because the screening results presented above showed that the PCEs would double on a roadway due to DSNY and other agency collection vehicles coming to or going from the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, a detailed off-site noise analysis was performed at that roadway using TNM for the hour expected to receive the largest change in noise levels (when the difference between traffic noise levels and background noise levels is greatest) during the daytime (if any) and nighttime. Figure 8.12-2 shows the intersections analyzed using TNM. TNM results for locations/hours that resulted in an impact are presented in Table 8.12-10 below. The table shows existing background noise levels monitored at the nearest sensitive receptor at the roadway, TNM predicted noise levels for the existing traffic, TNM predicted Future No-Build noise levels for 2006 for the roadway, the number of West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS-related collection vehicles and employee vehicles, TNM predicted Future Build noise levels for 2006 as a result of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS-related collection and employee vehicles, and the incremental change caused by these trucks, which is calculated by obtaining the difference between this TNM Future Build noise level and the TNM predicted Future No-Build noise level. Because this incremental change is greater than the CEQR threshold of 3 dBA at the nearest sensitive receptor, an impact is predicted from the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS -related collection and employee vehicles.

To determine if these TNM predicted impacts were accurate, site-specific truck simulations were performed at the sensitive receptor site on West 133<sup>rd</sup> Street (July 31, 2003). The truck simulation analysis provides a more realistic determination of DSNY Collection Vehicle noise impacts based on the proposed number of DSNY Collection Vehicles expected to travel through West 132<sup>nd</sup> and West 133<sup>rd</sup> Streets during the nighttime hours. Truck simulations were conducted with DSNY Collection Vehicles, as described in Section 3.14.7, for each roadway and hour that the 1<sup>st</sup> stage screening analysis identified to have potential impacts.



Site delineations are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning 300 0

300 Feet



#### Table 8.12-10 Off-site Noise Analysis TNM Results West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Location	Hour	Existing Background Noise Level <sup>(1)</sup> (Measured)	TNM Predicted Noise Level for Existing Traffic	TNM Future No- Build Noise Level	Collection Vehicles	Employee Vehicles	TNM Future Build Noise Level	Impact (Noise Level Difference)
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	2:00 a.m.	61.6	49.8	49.8	6	0	60.2	10.4
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	8:00 a.m.	74.2	60.6	60.7	13	0	65.1	4.4
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	2:00 a.m.	63.2	53.9	54.0	5	0	60.2	6.2
133 <sup>rd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	10:00 a.m.	70.7	62.5	62.6	15	0	66.2	3.6

Note: (1) Existing noise level and traffic count used for input into TNM was recorded on May 9, 2003.

Table 8.12-11 below contains the results of the site-specific DSNY Collection Vehicle simulations. Site-specific truck simulations for the West  $132^{nd}$  St roadway will be performed at a later date. The truck simulations data for West  $133^{rd}$  Street, presented in Table 8.12-11, was utilized to perform a truck simulation evaluation at the West  $132^{nd}$  Street receptor. The results of this evaluation is presented in Table 8.12-12 below. For this procedure, two sets of noise measurements were taken, with and without DSNY trucks, by routing a set number of DSNY trucks during the affected nighttime hours past the sensitive receptor. From these two sets of measurements it was possible to calculate the average acoustic energy from each individual DSNY truck movement in terms of  $L_{eq (1hour)}$ . It was determined that the acoustic energy for each truck passing the  $133^{rd}$  Street sensitive receptor, was 54.6 dBA  $L_{eq (1hour)}$ . Having obtained the average individual truck noise energy it was then possible to estimate how many trucks could be allowed on West  $133^{rd}$  Street without causing noise impact, per CEQR guidelines.

For comparison purposes, Table 8.12-13 contains the results for the hour resulting in an impact based on the truck simulations and the TNM results for this hour for the same traffic conditions and background noise levels estimated from recordings during the simulations. As can be seen, TNM also predicts an impact during this hour, however TNM over predicted the incremental change caused by the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS-related collection vehicles for the roadway analyzed. As discussed further in Section 3.14.7.2, the over prediction can also be attributed to the default assigned noise level for each type of vehicle, which appears to be greater than the actual noise levels that would be emitted by the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS-related collection vehicles.

Since both TNM and the truck simulations predict an impact at a receptor from 2:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m. for the West 132<sup>nd</sup> Street location, adjustments were made to the distribution of DSNY Collection Vehicles to the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. Two Collection Vehicle were rerouted from the 2:00 a.m. to 3:00 a.m. hour to the 1:00 a.m. to 2:00 a.m. hour. This location was reanalyzed using the truck simulation analysis during these two hours with the adjustments to confirm that off-site noise impacts would not be caused by the collection vehicles at this location. The results of this analysis is provided in Table 8.12-14 below. Based on these results, with the redistribution of one DSNY Collection Vehicle, there is no predicted impact that would be caused by the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS.

# Table 8.12-11Off-site Noise Analysis Truck Simulation133<sup>rd</sup> Street between Broadway and 12<sup>th</sup> StreetWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Hour	Existing Background Noise Level (Estimated <sup>(1)</sup> )	Collection Vehicles	Truck Simulation <sup>(2)</sup> Noise Level for Existing Traffic plus Collection Vehicles	Impact (Noise Level Difference)
1:00 a.m.	66.1	14	68.7	No (2.6)
2:00 a.m.	66.7	12	69.2	No (2.5)
3:00 a.m.	66.9	11	69.1	No (2.2)
4:00 a.m.	66.7	12	69.2	No (2.5)
5:00 a.m.	68.7	12	70.5	No (1.8)

Notes:

<sup>(1)</sup> Existing background noise levels were estimated from noise monitoring performed during the simulations.

<sup>(2)</sup> Simulations performed on July 31, 2003.

# Table 8.12-12Off-site Noise Analysis Truck Simulation132<sup>nd</sup> Street between Broadway and 12<sup>th</sup> StreetWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Hour	Existing Background Noise Level (Measured)	Collection Vehicles	Truck Simulation <sup>(1)</sup> Noise Level for Existing Traffic plus Collection Vehicles	Impact (Noise Level Difference)
1:00 a.m.	64.8	6	66.8	No (2.0)
2:00 a.m.	61.6	6	65.0	Yes (3.4)
3:00 a.m.	64.7	5	66.4	No (1.7)
4:00 a.m.	74.2	5	74.4	No (0.2)
5:00 a.m.	70.8	4	71.2	No (0.4)

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> Simulation noise levels are based on the Truck Simulations performed on July 31, 2003 at 133<sup>rd</sup> Street between Broadway and 12<sup>th</sup> Street.

#### **Table 8.12-13 Off-site Noise Screening Results** West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

Location	Hour	Existing Background Noise Level (Measured)	Collection Vehicles	Truck Simulation <sup>(1)</sup> Noise Level for Existing Traffic plus Collection Vehicles	Simulation Impact (Noise Level Difference)	TNM Predicted Existing Noise Level	TNM Predicted Noise Level for Build Condition	TNM Impact (Noise Level Difference)	Noise Level Difference between TNM and Truck Simulation <sup>1</sup>
132 <sup>nd</sup> Street between 12 <sup>th</sup> Avenue and Broadway	2:00 a.m.	61.6	6	65.0	Yes (3.4)	49.8	60.2	Yes (10.4)	7.0

Note:

 $\frac{1}{(1)}$  Simulation noise levels are based on the Truck Simulations performed on July 31, 2003 at 133<sup>rd</sup> Street between Broadway and 12<sup>th</sup> Street.

### **Table 8.12-14** Off-site Noise Analysis using Truck Simulation Data and Adjusted Collection Vehicles 132<sup>nd</sup> Street between Broadway and 12<sup>th</sup> Street West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

	Existing	Adjusted	Calculated <sup>1</sup> Noise Level for Existing Traffic plus	Impact (Noise
	Background Noise	Collection	Adjusted Collection	Level
Hour	Level (Measured)	Vehicles	Vehicles	<b>Difference</b> )
1:00 a.m.	64.8	8	67.3	No (2.5)
2:00 a.m.	61.6	4	64.2	No (2.6)

Noise Levels for Existing traffic plus adjusted collection vehicles were calculated utilizing the average truck acoustic energy determined from the truck simulation data for 133<sup>rd</sup> Street between Broadway and 12<sup>th</sup> Street.

#### 8.13 Infrastructure & Energy

8.13.1 Existing Conditions


#### 8.13.1.1 Water Supply


Water is supplied to the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street MTS from the Delaware and Catskill reservoir systems through the City's municipal water distribution system. A 12-inch diameter pipe in the immediate area of the site at the intersection of West 135<sup>th</sup> Street and 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue provides water to the existing potable and fire water systems.

An off-site 6-inch-diameter line along West 135<sup>th</sup> Street provides potable water for both consumption and sanitary requirements. A second 6-inch-diameter fire water service line located on-site provides potable water to charge the fresh water sprinkler system at the existing MTS. Water pressure throughout the City system is generally maintained at about 20 pounds per square inch (psi), which is the minimum pressure acceptable for uninterrupted service (CEQR Technical Manual, 2001). To ensure that adequate pressure is provided on-site, each water system is currently supplemented with a pump.

#### 8.13.1.2 Sanitary Sewage and Storm Water

A review of NYCDEP I&I maps shows that the site is served by the North River WPCP, which serves the western section of Manhattan from Chelsea to Inwood and is located immediately north of the existing West 135<sup>th</sup> Street MTS. The WPCP drainage area is illustrated in Figure 8.13-1. From July 2001 through June 2002, the North River WPCP treated an average of 123 million gallons per day (mgd) of wastewater during dry weather flow (see Table 8.13-1). The maximum dry weather flow during this period was 137 mgd during August 2001. Effluent from the plant is discharged into the Hudson River and is regulated by NYSDEC under the State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (SPDES). The current SPDES permit limit for flow to the North River WPCP is 170 mgd. It is estimated that current on-site employee water usage is





MTS Environmental Evaluation

Month	Dry Weather Flow (mgd)
July 2001	129
August	137
September	134
October	124
November	123
December	121
January 2002	121
February	117
March	116
April	116
May	113
June	123
Average Effluent	123

Table 8.13-1Average Monthly Dry Weather FlowsNorth River Water Pollution Control PlantFiscal Year 2002

about 75 gpd. This estimate is based on the current staff of three security employees (one employee per shift, three shifts per day) using 25 gallons per person, per day (CEQR Technical Manual, 2001). As the facility is currently not accepting waste, no other potable water is used and no operational staff is assigned to the site.

A 12-inch diameter sewer at West 135<sup>th</sup> Street and 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue serves the site. The sewer is connected to a 16-foot square interceptor line (combined sanitary and storm water system) that runs north along Riverside Drive where waste is directed north to the North River WPCP. Storm water runoff from the MTS parking area and ramp are routed to catch basins that discharge to the combined sewer system.

#### 8.13.1.3 Solid Waste

Based on solid waste generation information from the CEQR Technical Manual, it was estimated that each employee at the MTS produces approximately9 pounds of solid waste per week for a facility total of 27 pounds per week (approximately 4 pounds per day). The solid waste is collected by DSNY personnel and transported by truck to an appropriate licensed solid waste management facility.

#### 8.13.1.4 Energy

Electricity to the facilty is provided by Consolidated Edison of New York. A review of applicable utility plans shows electric lines along 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue and 135<sup>th</sup> Street. The MTS service is connected to the Consolidated Edison system on West 135<sup>th</sup> Street to the west of 12<sup>th</sup> Avenue. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street MTS currently utilizes a negligible amount of energy due to low staffing levels providing only security. Currently, no gas is supplied to the facility.

#### 8.13.2 Future No-Build Conditions

The existing West 135<sup>th</sup> Street MTS would continue to not accept waste. Potable water use, process and sanitary wastewater generation, solid waste generation and energy use would remain at or near Existing Condtions levels for security employees.

8.13.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

#### 8.13.3.1 Water Supply

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would have up to 60 employees working three shifts per day. They would require approximately 1,500 gallons of potable water per day plus an additional 180 gpd for truck and tipping floor washdown and dust control. The combined total usage of 1,680 gpd of potable water would represent an increase of 1,605 gpd above current consumption levels.

The West 135th Street Converted MTS would have no impact on the existing system's ability to supply water reliably. According to Division 6 of the City Fire Department, the water pressure in the area is about 90 psi. Under worst-case conditions, the increased usage would not have significant impacts on water pressure in the system.

#### 8.13.3.2 Sanitary Sewage

Based on the estimated water usage of 1,680 gpd for the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, the small quantities of wastewater sent to the North River WPCP would not significantly impact the sewage flow rate or the ability of the North River WPCP to meet its SPDES permit limits. The North River facility treated an average of 123 mgd in fiscal year 2002 and has a design operating capacity of 170 mgd.

#### 8.13.3.3 Solid Waste

Solid waste transfer station facility use is not cited under the solid waste generation rates provided in the CEQR Technical Manual, so rates for a commercial office building (1.3 lbs/day per employee) were used as a basis for a conservative estimate of waste generation. For an estimated 60 employees per day, 468 pounds of solid waste would be generated per week (78 lbs/day) and would represent an incremental increase of approximately 444 pounds per week (74 lbs/day) above current waste generation levels. This volume would be managed at the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS and would not significantly impact the system.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be in compliance with DSNY's siting regulations for solid waste transfer stations. Subsequent to adoption of the City's Final Solid Waste Management Plan, the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, if incorporated in the Plan, would be subject to permitting as a solid waste management facility by NYSDEC and DSNY.

#### 8.13.3.4 Energy

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would require an additional 1.11E+10 BTU/year of electricity to operate the facility. Natural gas heating would be used with an estimated demand of 1.34E+08 BTU/year.

Consolidated Edison has been notified of the power requirements of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS and has stated that all demands generated by the facility could be met without an impact on the power requirements of the surrounding community and without the need for additional power generation capacity.

Consolidated Edison was also notified of the natural gas requirements of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS and has stated that the facility could be supplied with natural gas with no adverse impacts.

#### 8.14 Natural Resources

#### 8.14.1 Existing Conditions

Existing Conditions include stressed aquatic and terrestrial communities that are typical of this area of Manhattan. Conditions associated with the presence of natural resources, including water resources and endangered species and habitats, were investigated to identify potential impacts that might arise from implementation of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS.

#### 8.14.1.1 Definition of Study Area

The study area includes the existing site, including the waterfront section that is located on the Hudson River to the west (see Figure 2.6-1). The existing facility is a platform structure extending out from the shore into the river with a small employee parking area being the only upland feature. As a result, no terrestrial natural resources exist to be discussed. Because the Future Build Condition would include dredging of bottom sediments and construction of a new MTS, a description of the aquatic communities is included.

#### 8.14.1.2 Geology

According to the permit renewal report prepared by DSNY in October 1995, the depth to bedrock ranges from approximately 168 feet to more than 200 feet below mean sea level.<sup>7</sup> The site is underlain by Manhattan schist, which dips steeply toward and beneath the Hudson River. Results of the sediment samples collected for analysis in 2003 show that surficial sediments are characterized as dark grey and brown, hard clay with traces of fine grained sand, with approximately 24,000 mg/Kg total organic carbon. Sediment was found to be somewhat degraded due to contaminants in the sample material.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Engineering Report on the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Marine Transfer Station Solid Waste Management Facility, October 1995. Prepared for NYSDEC and DSNY by HydroQual, Inc.

#### 8.14.1.3 Floodplains

The site is constructed within the 100-year coastal floodplain (Figure 8.14-1). No wetlands, other than the Hudson River, a NYSDEC-designated littoral zone, exist on the site (Figure 8.14-2).


#### 8.14.1.4 Ecosystems

Because the site is located on an offshore platform, there are no upland natural resources to consider, describe, or map. The majority of the approaches leading to the facility are hard surface, devoid of vegetation. A 12-space parking area is the only upland feature of the site.

There are no direct data available on the aquatic resources of the study area, although a two-year ecology program was conducted on a similar site three miles to the south in 1988.<sup>8</sup> The following discussion is based on those findings that are believed to be reasonably applicable because no major dredging or development projects are known to have taken place along the waterfront of this area in the past 11 years.

A detailed invertebrate study revealed that the typical nearshore sediments (black, organic silts) were dominated by typical fauna such as the aquatic earthworm class *Oligochaeta*, polychaete worms (*Streblospio benedicti*), and soft-shelled clams (*Mya arenaria*). Overall numbers of these forms were high, a common finding in New York Harbor sediments. Organism densities of as high as 60,000 per square meter were observed; however, the infauna was not limited to these three groupings. Approximately 80 species and other taxonomic groupings were found throughout the course of the program. Overall, the benthic communities exhibited structures similar to those of the typical black organic silts that are predominant throughout the harbor. Typically, higher species richness was encountered in the September through December period; it was lowest in April through June.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Hudson River Center Site Aquatic Environmental Study Final Report. 1988. Prepared for NYC Public Development Corp. by EEA, Inc.



Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning



MTS Environmental Evaluation



Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning



MTS Environmental Evaluation


A field program that commenced in January 2003 and is scheduled to end in December 2003 was designed to fully characterize the marine biological resources of the area. The program includes monthly sampling for finfish eggs and larvae and quarterly sampling for finfish, benthic invertebrates, and sessile colonizing organisms. Results of the program through the second quarter samplings are included in this Draft MTS Environmental Evaluation. Results of the annual program will be included in the Final MTS Environmental Evaluation. These results are expected to show similar findings as the 1988 program.

Fisheries of the lower Hudson River in the general vicinity of the study area have been well documented. The 1988 study described above provided a two-year evaluation of the nearshore fishery resources. Overall, the results show that the study area exhibits species composition and seasonal trends similar to other study areas (COE, 1984).<sup>9</sup> The most abundant finfish were silversides (Menidia menidia). Bay anchovy (Anchoa mitchilli), which has a similar appearance, is also a dominant forage fish.<sup>10</sup> While the current study is not complete, a number of finfish species have been identified, including a species of special interest, the striped bass (Morone saxatilis); the EFH listed species, winter flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*); and several other species, including white perch (Morone Americana) and gizzard shad (Dorosoma crepedianum). Invertebrates include the eastern mudsnail (Ilyanassa obsolete), Say mud crab (Dyspanopeus sayi), blue crab (Callinectes sapidus), sevenspine bay shrimp (Crangon septemspinosa), and common Atlantic slippersnail (Crepidula fornicata). Larvae of the two EFH listed species, winter flounder (*Pseudopleuronectes americanus*) and Atlantic herring (Clupea harengus), were identified from the samples. The Atlantic tomcod (Microgadus tomcod) was also identified in samples collected from this study area. No benthic organisms were identified from the samples collected in February 2003.

It should be noted that the Lower Hudson Reach, in which the study area is located is a New York State Department of State Division of Coastal Resources and Waterfront Revitalization designated Significant Fish and Wildlife Habitat (Figure 8.14-3). New York State's Coastal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. 1984. Final Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement: Westside Highway Project. FHWA-NY-EIS-03-S.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Bigelow, H.B. and W.C. Schroeder. 1953. Fishes of the Gulf of Maine. Fishery Bulletin 74, vol. 53. Fishery Bulletin of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.



Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning



MTS Environmental Evaluation

Management Program includes a specific policy for the protection of fish and wildlife habitats that are determined to be of statewide significance. An inventory of the coastline was conducted to identify the most valuable habitats according to the following criteria: ecosystem rarity, species population levels, support of vulnerable species, human use, and replaceability. A numerical rating system using these criteria was applied to more than 300 areas and approximately 235 have qualified for official designation and mapping as Significant Fish and Wildlife Habitats.

NYSDEC Natural Heritage Program records list the common nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*) as a species known to be suspected of breeding in the study area. The state legal status of this wild bird is Protected-Special Concern, which includes those species that are not yet recognized as endangered or threatened, but for which documented concern exists for their continued welfare in New York, and that are federally protected wild birds.

A pesticide survey was conducted in the nearshore sediments. All organic levels were below detection limits (e.g., very low levels) for the EP Toxicity Extraction Procedure, the test in use at the time of the sampling.<sup>11</sup>

#### 8.14.2 Future No-Build Conditions

The study area would remain as is. The absence of terrestrial natural resources would remain and the upland portion of the study area would continue to be an ecologically unproductive and stressed urban area. Aquatic natural resources would continue to be present in the waters in and around the study area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Hudson River Center Site Aquatic Environmental Study Final Report. 1988. Prepared for NYC Public Development Corp. by EEA, Inc.

#### 8.14.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135th Street Converted MTS

#### 8.14.3.1 Geology

The geology of the site would not be changed as a result of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Modified MTS other than for the removal of dredge spoil to accommodate the barges and tugboats. The dredging activity would remove layers of sediment deposited over time and further alter the submarine geological features of the study area, but would not result in any significant impact..

#### 8.14.3.2 Floodplains

Implementation of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would have no effect on the elevation of the study area. The facility would be constructed within the 100-year flood plain, and it would not include any provisions for raising any portions of the study area over this level.

#### 8.14.3.3 Ecosystems

Upland impacts to the current natural resources on the study area are expected to be minimal due to the limited size and fully developed condition of the study area.

Construction of the West 135th Street Converted MTS may require dredging of sections of the Hudson River to accommodate the barges and tugboats. This dredging would create a temporary, short-term loss of habitat for finfish and the displacement of habitat for the macrobenthic community. Recolonization of the area by these organisms can be anticipated to occur within 6 months to 12 months. Tugboat activity would cause periodic turbidity conditions and potential silting of the dock area, and may require dredging at a frequency of not more than once every 3 years to 5 years.

Removal of the existing facility would presumably be carried out by a barge-mounted crane. During the extraction of the subsurface pilings, the upper organic silts would be disturbed to some degree, resulting in resuspension of the sediment. However, the amount of resuspended sediment is expected to be low and the impacts, if any, highly localized. Turbidity and shortterm, lower, dissolved oxygen are possible, but not measurable against normal background fluctuations. Construction of the new pile supported facility would involve the driving of new piles through the upper organic silts, through the sand layers, and to bedrock or sufficient depth for friction support. Penetration of the upper silts may cause small amounts of resuspension, but the impacts should be negligible.

The West 135th Street Converted MTS would be larger than the existing MTS, resulting in a net increase in the aquatic environment beneath the facility that would be affected by shading. Experts have differing opinions regarding the effects of shading; however, field studies have clearly shown no statistical difference in benthic populations in inter-pier and under-pier areas in New York Harbor waters.<sup>12</sup> In some cases, benthic populations were shown to be greater in under-pier areas than along the edge or outside the pier.<sup>13</sup> The West 59<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS represents a relatively minor change and, as such, any impacts would be slight. The consequences of shading are debatable; however, the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS represents a relatively minor change and, as such, any impacts would be slight.

According to the Atlas of Breeding Birds in New York State, the common nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*) regularly nests on flat-roofed structures in cities and towns and feeds upon insects during flight. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS is not likely to directly impact any potential nesting habitat or prey species that the nighthawk depends upon.<sup>14</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Hudson River Center Site Aquatic Environmental Study Final Report. 1988. Prepared for NYC Public Development Corp. by EEA, Inc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Duffy-Anderson, J.T and Able, K.W. "An Assessment of the Feeding Success of Young-of-the-Year Winter Flounder Near a Municipal Pier in the Hudson River Estuary. Estuaries, Vol. 24, No. 3. June 2001

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Andrle, R.F. & Carroll, J.R., eds. 1988. "The Atlas of Breeding Birds in New York State" Cornell University Press. Ithaca.

#### 8.15.1 Existing Conditions

#### 8.15.1.1 Definition of Study Area

The water quality study area encompassed the Hudson River, and also included discharges from CSOs located within <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> mile of the site.

#### 8.15.1.2 Water Quality


The water quality data for the following monitoring stations, shown in Figure 8.15-1, are generally representative of water quality in the study area:


- NYCDEP Harbor Survey Program –Stations N-3 and N-3B in the Hudson River off of Manhattan; and
- Battelle's 1991 Metals Survey –Stations H-3T and H-3B<sup>15</sup> in the Hudson River at mid-Manhattan.

These data, along with NYSDEC's water quality standards and guidance values, are presented in Table 8.15-1. The standards and guidance values for the waters in the vicinity of the site correspond to "Class I," which indicates water suitable for secondary contact recreation (i.e., fishing and boating).

As shown in Table 8.15-1, on average, NYSDEC standards and guidance values are met. For NYCDEP Harbor Survey Program Station N3B, however, the minimum bottom dissolved oxygen between June 1, 2002, and September 30, 2002, did not meet water quality standard for dissolved oxygen. In addition, the mercury concentration for Battelle Stations H-3T and H-3B did not conform to the water quality standard for mercury.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Stations H-3T and H-3B are located at the same longitude and latitude. Station H-3T is located at the surface of the Hudson River. Station H-3B is located at the bottom of the Hudson River.





MTS Environmental Evaluation

# Table 8.15-1Existing Water Quality Conditions and StandardsWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS Study Area

Average Concentration									
Parameter	Units	Station N3 <sup>(1)</sup>	Station N3B <sup>(2)</sup>	Station H3T <sup>(3)</sup>	Station H3B <sup>(4)</sup>	NYS Class I Standards			
Dissolved Oxygen (surface/minimum)	Mg/L	8.7 <sup>(5)</sup> /5.2 <sup>(6)</sup>	7.3 <sup>(7)</sup> /5.0 <sup>(8)</sup>			4			
Dissolved Oxygen (bottom/minimum)	Mg/L	7.1 <sup>(5)</sup> /4.5 <sup>(6)</sup>	55 <sup>(9)</sup> /3.7 <sup>(8)</sup>			4			
BOD (surface)	Mg/L	2.0 (10)	2.0 <sup>(10)</sup>						
BOD (bottom)	Mg/L	3.0. <sup>(10)</sup>	2.7 (10)						
Total Coliform (surface)	MPN / 100 mL	718 (11)	838 (11)			10000			
Total Coliform (bottom)	MPN / 100 mL	1,893 (11)	1,411 (11)			10000			
Fecal Coliform (top)	MF	37	77			2000			
Fecal Coliform (bottom)	MF	43	35 <sup>(12)</sup>			2000			
Total Suspended Solids (surface)	mg/L	19	17						
Total Suspended Solids (bottom)	mg/L	66	453						
NH3-N	mg/L	0.244	0.289						
(NO3 + NO2)	mg/L	0.453	0.497						
Total Phosphorous	mg/L	$0.433^{(13)}$	0.393 <sup>(13)</sup>						
Dissolved PO4	mg/L								
Chlorophyll-a	μg/L	10.3	3.1						
Arsenic	μg/L					36 (13,14)			
Cadmium	μg/L			0.06 (13)	0.07 (13)	7.7 (13,14)			
Chromium	μg/L								
Copper	μg/L			2.00 (15)	1.91 (15)	5.6 (14,15)			
Lead	μg/L			0.13 (13)	0.16 <sup>(13)</sup>	8 (13,14)			
Mercury	μg/L			0.0027 (13)	0.0033 (13)	0.0026 (13,14)			
Nickel	μg/L			0.98 (13)	1.03 (13)	8.2 (13,14)			
Silver	μg/L			0.0106 (13)	0.0135 (13,16)				
Zinc	μg/L			3.76 (13)	5.23 (13)	66 (13,14)			
Cyanide	μg/L					1.0 (13)			

#### Notes:

<sup>(1)</sup> Average concentrations for 1999 NYCDEP Harbor Survey site N-3, located at mid-Manhattan in the Hudson River.

<sup>(2)</sup> Average concentrations for 2002 NYCDEP Harbor Survey site N-3B, located at mid-Manhattan in the Hudson River.
 <sup>(3)</sup> Average concentrations for 1991 Battelle Ambient Survey site H-3T, located at mid-Manhattan on the surface of the Hudson River.

<sup>(4)</sup> Average concentrations for 1991 Battelle Ambient Survey site H-3B, located at mid-Manhattan at the bottom of the Hudson River.

- <sup>(5)</sup> Represents average between March and December 1999.
- <sup>(6)</sup> Minimum between June 1, 1999 and September 30, 1999.
- <sup>(7)</sup> Represents average between February and December 2002.
- <sup>(8)</sup> Minimum between June 1, 2002 and September 30, 2002.
- <sup>(9)</sup> Represents average between May and December 2002.
- <sup>(10)</sup> Latest available data 1997.
- <sup>(11)</sup> Latest available data 1996.
- <sup>(12)</sup> Latest available data 1999.
- <sup>(13)</sup> Latest available data 1998.
- <sup>(14)</sup> Guidance values and data are for dissolved metals.
- <sup>(15)</sup> NYSDEC Guidance Value (NYSDEC TOGS 1.1.1, June 1998, errata January 1999 and addendum April 2000).
- <sup>(16)</sup> Site specific chronic and acute criteria for dissolved copper in NY/NJ Harbor.

#### 8.15.1.3 Permitted Discharges


A review of the most recently available NYSDEC and USEPA databases indicated that there are several permitted discharges in the vicinity of the site. Those within a half mile radius of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS site are shown in Figure 8.15-2 and listed in Table 8.15-2. These discharges consist of two CSOs and one point source, all of which are permitted by the NYSDEC. One CSO outfall and the point source are located to the north of the site. The other CSO outfall is located to the south of the site.

Combined Sewer Overflow (CSOs)									
Outfall Location/WPCP         Permit Number         County         Receiving Water Body									
St. Claire Place/North River	NY0026247-043	New York	Hudson River						
W. 138th Street/North River	NY0026247-044	NY0026247-044 New York							
	Point Sources								
Company Name	Permit Number	County	<b>Receiving Water Body</b>						
North River WPCP	NY0026247	New York	Hudson River						

Table 8.15-2Existing Permitted DischargesWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS Study Area

#### 8.15.1.4 Existing Pollutant Loads and Stormwater Runoff

Using available databases on stormwater pollutant concentrations and local precipitation data, estimates of stormwater pollutant loadings were calculated. The existing paved areas were assumed to be completely impervious, and the existing unpaved areas were assumed to have 100 percent storage and infiltration. A runoff flow of 0.078 cfs was calculated using the impervious site area (1.30 acres), an average rainfall intensity per storm of 0.06 inches/hour, and a runoff coefficient of 1. The resulting stormwater loads, shown in Table 8.15-3, represent the existing loads at the existing site.



Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning



MTS Environmental Evaluation

## Table 8.15-3Estimated Existing Pollutant Loads and Runoff FlowsWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS Study Area

Pollutant	Concentration	Pollutant Loading (lbs/day)
Fecal Coliform MPN/100 mL	34,000	14,273 <sup>(1)</sup>
BOD mg/L	11	5
Heavy Metals		
Copper µg/L	35	0.015
Lead µg/L	28	0.012
Zinc µg/L	154	0.065
Total Impervious Area (acre) = 1.30		Runoff Coefficient (C) = $1.00$
Average Rainfall Intensity per Storm (inch/	$(hour) = 0.06^{(2)}$	Runoff Volume (cfs) $= 0.078$

Notes:

<sup>(1)</sup> Coliform loads are not shown in lbs/day. Values shown are input to the 208 Model, with output results comparable to MPN/100 mL.

<sup>(2)</sup> Based on Central Park Rain Data (1969-2002); The National Climatic Data Center.

#### 8.15.2 Future No-Build Conditions

Water quality would be expected to remain the same or improve. Water quality improvements would be due to ongoing water quality improvement programs such as the NYCDEP CSO Abatement Program, which will reduce untreated discharges to receiving waterways, nitrogen removal activities, which will reduce nitrogen loads from the New York City WPCPs, and other water improvement programs. Stormwater loads from the existing site would be expected to change; however, no significant water quality impacts would be expected.

#### 8.15.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

All solid waste processing at the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would occur within the proposed structures. All process wastewater from waste handling operations at the facility, such as washdown water, would be routed to an on-site pretreatment system (e.g., oil/water separation). After pretreatment, the process wastewater would be discharged to the municipal sewer system and, ultimately, to the North River WPCP, where it would be treated prior to discharge to the Hudson River and, therefore, would not adversely affect water quality.

With the development and operation of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, there would be an increase in the impervious area and, therefore, an increase in stormwater loadings. Table 8.15-4 shows the existing impervious area, the change in impervious area, and the pollutant loads for the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. According to the 208 Model, however, these increased loads would have no significant impact on water quality in the adjacent surface waters.

Table 8.15-4Impervious Area and Estimated Pollutant LoadsWest 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

			Estimated Pollutant Loadings/Incremental Change <sup>(1)</sup>					
Conditions	Total Impervious Area (acres)	Change in Impervious Area (acres)	Fecal Coliform <sup>(2)</sup>	BOD (lbs/day)	Copper (lbs/day)	Lead (lbs/day)	Zinc (lbs/day)	
Existing Conditions	1.30	0.0	14,273/NA	5/NA	0.015/NA	0.012/NA	0.065/NA	
Future Build Conditions	2.08	0.78	23,081/8,808	8/3	0.024/0.009	0.019/0.007	0.105/0.040	

Notes:

<sup>1)</sup> Incremental change refers to the difference in pollutant loading between the Existing Conditions and Future Build Conditions.

<sup>(2)</sup> Coliform loads are not shown in lbs/day. Values shown are input to the 208 Model, with output results comparable to MPN/100 ml.


The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS may also require dredging activities to construct the waterfront structures and improve existing water depths in the immediate vicinity of the site. All dredging activities would be conducted in compliance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations, and required permits would be acquired prior to any proposed dredging activities. Applicable and appropriate measures (e.g., closed clamshell buckets, silt curtains, etc.) would be implemented during any and all dredging activities to minimize and/or eliminate any short-term impacts to local water quality. Short-term impacts could include an increase in turbidity during active dredging operations; however, dredging would not result in any significant adverse long-term impacts.

#### 8.16 Waterfront Revitalization Program


#### 8.16.1 Introduction

The Federal Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 established coastal zone management programs to preserve, protect, develop and restore the coastal zone of the U.S. Due to its proximity to the waterfront of the Hudson River, the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be within New York City's coastal zone boundary (Figure 8.16-1). According to "The New Waterfront Revitalization Program," the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be classified as a water-dependent, industrial use. It would be located within Reach 5/Northern Manhattan as indicated within the "New York City Comprehensive Waterfront Plan" and the "Plan for the Manhattan Waterfront." It is, therefore, subject to review under the 10 primary policies and the 32 subpolicies identified within "The New Waterfront Revitalization Program" that address the waterfront's important natural, recreational, industrial, commercial, ecological, cultural, aesthetic and energy resources.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS was reviewed to determine its general consistency with each of these policies and subpolicies. This review identified several subpolicies that were not applicable. These include subpolicies 1.1, 1.2, 2.1, 3.1, 4.4, 6.2, 6.3, and 8.5. All policies and subpolicies, including those identified as not applicable, are listed in Table 3.18.1. Further discussion is provided below for those policies or subpolicies needing more clarification or found to be inconsistent with a component of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. A description of waste handling operations that would occur at the South Bronx Converted MTS is provided in Section 2.6.



Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate. Base Map Source: New York City Department of City Planning



MTS Environmental Evaluation

#### 8.16.2 Consistency Assessment

*Policy 1: Support and facilitate commercial and residential redevelopment in areas well-suited to such development.* 

1.3 Encourage redevelopment in the coastal area where public facilities and infrastructure are adequate or will be developed.

A review of available information indicates that there are sufficient public services and facilities to support the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. As part of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, connections from the new facility to existing utilities in the vicinity (e.g. sewer and electrical connections, etc.) would be established.

Policy 2: Support water-dependent and industrial uses in New York City coastal areas that are well-suited to their continued operation.

2.1 Encourage working waterfront uses at appropriate sites outside the Significant Maritime and Industrial Areas.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would not be located within an SMIA. It would involve the conversion of the existing facility from a truck-to-barge waste transfer station into a TCB transfer station that would transport DSNY-managed waste to remote out-of-City disposal facilities. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be largely located at the site of the existing MTS and would extend further north.

*The West* 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS as described in Section 2.6.2, would involve the complete demolition of the existing MTS to accommodate its expanded function, size and configuration. Waterfront development would involve over water construction of four primary components: (1) an elevated

access ramp; (2) an enclosed processing building, which would include the tipping floor, loading floor and pier level; (3) an outside gantry crane system; and (4) a barge fendering system and bulkheads. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would largely involve the continuation of an existing industrial and water-dependent use. It would serve to maintain this use while restoring and revitalizing an existing industrial waterfront property, and it would be compatible with existing neighboring industrial uses. Although the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would not encourage or facilitate the sitting of any additional water-dependent uses, it would represent an expansion and revitalization of an existing water-dependent use and would be compatible with surrounding uses.

### 2.3 Provide infrastructure improvements necessary to support working waterfront uses.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS structure would require the complete demolition of the existing MTS to accommodate its expanded function, size and configuration of the new facility. The majority of the construction would occur over the Hudson River. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be located at the site of the existing MTS and extend further north. Waterfront development would involve four primary components: (1) an elevated access ramp; (2) an enclosed processing building, which includes the tipping floor, loading floor and pier level; (3) an outside gantry crane system; and (4) a barge fendering system and bulkheads. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be consistent with existing waterfront uses in the vicinity of the site.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would require dredging to remove accumulated sediments and to provide adequate draft for barges and tugboats once it became operational. All dredging would be conducted in compliance with applicable federal, state and local regulations and required permits would be acquired prior to any dredging activities.

Policy 3: Promote use of New York City's waterways for commercial and recreational boating and water-dependent transportation centers.

3.2 Minimize conflicts between recreational, commercial, and ocean-going freight vessels.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be located within the Hudson River at the location of the existing MTS. The use of tugboats or its day-to-day operations would not interfere with any maritime industrial, commercial or recreational vessel activities in the area. Activities within the Hudson River resulting from the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be limited to barge loading along the pier level and the periodic swapping of loaded barges at the slips. Four of rive barges would be filled on a daily basis. These swapping activities would be similar to previous barge activities at the site. Therefore, no adverse impact to other uses within the water body would be anticipated. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be consistent with this subpolicy.

## 3.3 Minimize impact of commercial and recreational boating activities on the aquatic environment and surrounding land and water uses.

The existing MTS managed solid waste through a truck-to-barge system under which loose waste was placed in open barges. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be a TCB marine transfer station where waste would be transferred into containers that would be sealed and placed into modified hopper barges that would transport DSNY-managed waste to remote out-of-City disposal facilities. All solid waste handling would be done within an enclosed processing building and, therefore, would be protective of the aquatic environment and surrounding land and water uses. All waste would be placed in sealed containers before leaving the building for loading on barges. Building ventilation would be maintained under negative pressure, which would maintain dust inside the building. Additional dust, odor and vector control systems would also be used to minimize impacts to the surrounding environments. Litter control methods, such as routine sweeping and washing of the tipping floor, would be implemented at the facility to minimize or eliminate the potential for litter entering surface waters. All process wastewaters would be treated on-site prior to being discharged to the municipal sewer system. In addition, any on-site storage of petroleum and handling of unauthorized wastes would be managed in accordance with applicable federal, state, and local regulations.

Policy 4: Protect and restore the quality and function of ecological systems within the New York coastal area.

4.1 Protect and restore the ecological quality and component habitats and resources within the Special Natural Waterfront Areas, Recognized Ecological Complexes, and Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitats.

Based upon a review of SNWA as described in "The New Waterfront Revitalization Program," as well as Recognized Ecological Complexes and Significant Coastal Fish and Wildlife Habitat (SCFWH) information, the site is located within a New York State DSNY of State, Division of Coastal Resources and Waterfront Revitalization designated SCFWH, specifically the Lower Hudson Reach. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would represent an expansion of a previous use and would not be anticipated to result in any long-term impacts to the natural resources in the vicinity of the site. As described in Section 8.14.2, disturbances to surficial sediments would have short-term and minimal effects on the benthic community found in the immediate vicinity of the site. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would, therefore, be consistent with this subpolicy.

#### 4.2 Protect and restore tidal and freshwater wetlands.

A review of NYSDEC tidal and freshwater wetland and National Wetland Inventory (NWI) maps was conducted to determine the presence of wetlands. As noted in Section 8.14.1, the site contains no freshwater wetlands. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be within the Hudson River, which is identified as littoral zone, a state-designated wetland. The demolition of the existing MTS and subsequent development of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would not be anticipated to result in any significant long-term impacts to tidal wetlands. Dredging would be required to remove accumulated sediments in order to provide adequate draft for staged barges and tugboats. Potential impacts due to dredging, however, would be minimal and all dredging would be conducted in compliance with applicable federal, state and local regulations. Mitigation for potential impacts, as appropriate and applicable, would be proposed during the environmental review and permitting of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS.

4.3 Protect vulnerable plant, fish and wildlife species, and rare ecological communities. Design and develop land and water uses to maximize their integration or compatibility with the identified ecological community.

There are no known vulnerable fish or plant species found to be inhabiting areas within the vicinity of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. A review of NYSDEC Natural Heritage Program records list the Common Nighthawk (*Chordeiles minor*) as a known species potentially breeding in the area, and it is classified by the State as a Protected-Special Concern species. As noted in Section 8.14.3, the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would not impact these species and their habitats.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would involve the demolition of the existing MTS facility and replacement with a larger, expanded MTS facility. Development of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would involve removal of the existing MTS and its adjoining vehicle ramp and over-water construction of a new, expanded facility. Dredging activities would be required to remove existing piers and structures, install a new pile-supported platform and footings, and accommodate barges and tugboats. Potential impacts to plant, fish and wildlife species would be minimal and all dredging would be conducted in compliance with applicable federal, state and local regulations and required permits, which

would be obtained prior to any dredging activities. In addition, all handling and containerization of solid waste would be performed inside the processing building, thereby limiting the risk of an introduction of hazardous wastes or other pollutants into the environment that could impact surrounding fish and wildlife resources. Sanitary and process wastewaters would be routed to on-site treatment systems and would then be discharged to the municipal sewer system. Stormwater runoff from the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS and the storage of any petroleum products would be conducted in accordance with applicable federal, state and local regulations. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would, therefore, be consistent with this subpolicy.

#### Policy 5: Protect and improve water quality in the New York City coastal area.

#### 5.1 Manage direct or indirect discharges to waterbodies

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be developed in accordance with applicable federal, state and local regulations. Consistent with this subpolicy, all sanitary and process wastewaters (e.g. floor washdown waters, etc.) would be conveyed to an on-site disposal treatment system that would include an oil-water separator, and then be discharged to the municipal sewer system. In addition, the slope of the tipping floor would prevent the build-up of free liquids by directing all liquids to drains. Stormwater runoff from the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be managed in accordance with applicable regulations. All handling and containerization of solid waste would be performed inside the processing building, thereby limiting the risk of an introduction of pollutants into the environment.

5.2 Protect the quality of New York City's waters by managing activities that generate nonpoint source pollution.

During the development and operation of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, BMPs would be used to the extent possible to minimize any nonpoint discharges.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would comply with federal, state and local requirements concerning the management of stormwater runoff and erosion. During construction, non-structural and, if necessary, structural measures would be used to manage stormwater runoff and erosion. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would also comply with applicable federal, state and local requirements and would be consistent with this subpolicy.

5.3 Protect water quality when excavating or placing fill in navigable waters and in or near marshes, estuaries, tidal marshes, and wetlands.

The majority of the demolition and construction would occur over the Hudson River. The existing MTS would be demolished and replaced with a new and expanded TCB MTS. Dredging would be necessary during the demolition and construction of pier structures and footings, and would also be necessary to accommodate future barge and tugboat activity. All dredging would be conducted in accordance with applicable federal, state and local permit requirements and would be conducted in a manner that minimizes any impacts to the water quality. Potential impacts to water quality would be short-term and localized. All dredged materials would be disposed of at a permitted facility in accordance with applicable federal, state and local regulations.

5.4 Protect the quality and quantity of groundwater, streams, and the sources of water for wetlands.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would have no adverse impact on the quality or quantity of surface or groundwaters at or in the vicinity of its site. No surface or ground waters in the vicinity of the site constitute a primary source of water supply. Applicable and appropriate measures would be implemented at the facility in accordance with federal, state and local regulations. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be consistent with this subpolicy.

Policy 6: Minimize loss of life, structures and natural resources caused by flooding and erosion.

6.1 Minimize losses from flooding and erosion by employing non-structural and structural management measures appropriate to the condition and use of the property to be protected and the surrounding area.

According to review of the FEMA National Flood Insurance Program maps, the entire West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS site would be located within the 100 year floodplain boundary (Zone A). Redevelopment of the site, however, would not affect the potential for flooding or erosion. All demolition of the existing MTS and construction of new pier structure, platforms and bulkheads would comply with applicable building code requirements and, to the extent practicable and necessary, non-structural measures would be implemented to minimize damage from flooding or erosion.

Policy 7: Minimize environmental degradation from solid waste and hazardous substances.

7.1 Manage solid waste material, hazardous wastes, toxic pollutants, and substances hazardous to the environment to protect public health, control pollution and prevent degradation of coastal ecosystems.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would involve the management and processing of solid waste through a TCB system and marine transport to out-of-City disposal sites. Waste would be transported in air-tight, waterproof, sealed containers. All waste handling operations would occur inside an enclosed processing building, which would minimize the escape of litter into the surrounding waterbody. Unless emergencies close the facility, solid waste would generally be containerized within 24 hours of tipping. Waste processing would be done in accordance with NYSDEC Part 360 regulations (6NYCRR Parts 3601 and 360-11) for solid waste transfer stations, which would be incorporated by reference into the permit to construct and operate the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. Radiation detection equipment would be located at the

facility, and contingency plans would be in place in the event of unauthorized waste and/or other situations that could disrupt the operation of the facility. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would not result in adverse impacts and would operate in a manner to ensure that there would be no impact to ground and surface water supplies, significant fish and wildlife habitats, recreational areas and scenic resources.

On-site storage of petroleum or hazardous materials related to the operation of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be minimal and all storage would be in accordance with applicable federal, state and local regulations. Spill prevention and control plans would be used to prevent any hazardous materials from entering the environment.

7.2 *Prevent and remediate discharge of petroleum products.* 

See response to Subpolicy 7.1.

7.3 Transport solid waste and hazardous substances and site solid and hazardous waste facilities in a manner that minimizes potential degradation of coastal resources.

See response to Subpolicy 7.1.

Policy 8: Provide public access to and along New York City's coastal waters.

8.1 Preserve, protect and maintain existing physical, visual and recreational access to the waterfront.

Public access would generally not be compatible with the principal use of the site due to the existing industrial uses at and in the immediate vicinity of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, such as the North River Water Pollution Control

Plant (WPCP). Riverbank State Park is located above the North River WPCP and currently offers visual and recreational access to the waterfront. Several additional parks located in the area of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS providing public access and recreation facilities are discussed in Section 8.5.1.

8.2 Incorporate public access into new public and private development where compatible with proposed land use and coastal location.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be a stand-alone, water-dependent facility on the Hudson River. Public access would not be compatible with the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, however, its development would not preclude any future development of public access along the Hudson River.

8.3 Provide visual access to coastal lands, waters and open space where physically practical.

Expansion of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be compatible and consistent with adjacent properties along the waterfront and would not obstruct or impair visual access to coastal lands, waters or open space. As discussed in Section 8.7.3, visual access to the coastal lands would not be obstructed or impaired. See also response to Subpolicy 9.1

8.4 *Preserve and develop waterfront open space and recreation on publicly owned land at suitable locations.* 

There are numerous park and open space areas identified in the vicinity of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS. Major mapped parklands in the vicinity of the site include Riverbank State Park, Riverside Park (North) and Riverside Park (South), which are north, east and south of the site, respectively. Riverbank State Park is located atop the North River WPCP and would be elevated above the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS, therefore impacts, if any, would be minimal. Riverside Park (North) is northeast and east of the site and is physically separated

and shielded from the site by the Henry Hudson Parkway. Riverside Park (South) is located south of St. Clair Place and would not be significantly impacted by the West 135th Street Converted MTS. Expansion of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would cause no new significant impacts to these areas and, therefore, is consistent with this subpolicy.

Policy 9: Protect scenic resources that contribute to the visual quality of the New York City coastal area.

9.1 Protect and improve visual quality associated with New York City's urban context and the historic and working waterfront.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would not result in a significant impact on views, as noted in Section 8.7.3. Based on the information discussed in that section, the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be consistent with this subpolicy.

9.2 Protect scenic values associated with natural resources.

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be an expansion and rehabilitation of an existing facility and would pose no new impacts to scenic values associated with natural resources. The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would also be compatible with surrounding buildings and, therefore, would be consistent with this subpolicy.

Policy 10: Protect, preserve and enhance resources significant to the historical, archaeological and cultural legacy of the New York City coastal area.

10.1 Retain and preserve designated historic resources and enhance resources significant to the coastal culture of New York City.

Designated historic resources are found within the study area, as noted in Section 8.6.1, however, the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would have no impacts on these resources. Based upon information discussed in Section 8.6.2, the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would be consistent with this subpolicy.

#### 10.2 Protect and preserve archaeological resources and artifacts.

As noted in Section 8.6.3, the site does not have any architectural or archaeological significance, nor are any resources located in its vicinity. The West 135th Street Converted MTS would, therefore, be consistent with this subpolicy.

#### 8.17 Hazardous Materials


#### 8.17.1 Existing Conditions

Existing Conditions associated with the presence of hazardous materials in soil, groundwater, and building components/equipment were investigated within the defined study area. The Hazardous Materials Assessment was performed in accordance with the guidelines for a preliminary assessment presented in the CEQR Manual (October 2001) and is consistent with the requirements for a Phase I ESA established by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM E-1527). The assessment was performed in April 1999 and updated in February 2003. It included a historical land use review, regulatory agency database review, reconnaissance of the study area and surrounding area, and surface and subsurface drainage evaluation.

The historical land use review included an assessment of *Sanborn* fire insurance maps for the study area, if available, and a Freedom-of-Information Law request to the New York City Fire Department for underground storage tank records. Standard federal and state environmental databases were assessed for records of sites within the study area that had evidence of hazardous waste activity or spills. A written request to NYCDEP was made to solicit records pertaining to hazardous or toxic materials activities within the study area. A pedestrian reconnaissance of accessible interior and exterior areas within the study area was conducted, most recently in February 2003. During the reconnaissance, visual evidence was sought of hazardous materials handling or storage, including the presence of tanks, drums, transformers, and unusual stains and odors. Topographic maps, visual observations, and readily available geologic information sources were reviewed if off-site potential sources of contamination were identified.

#### 8.17.1.1 Definition of Study Area

The study area includes the existing MTS site and neighboring properties within a 1,000-foot radius (Figure 8.17-1).



Site delineations and study area boundaries are approximate. Base Mp Source: New York City Department of City Planning



MTS Environmental Evaluation

#### 8.17.1.2 Delineation of Area of Concern

Areas of concern are defined as parts of the ground, groundwater, surface water, or structures within the study area where the presence or likely presence of hazardous materials exists and implementation of the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS could lead to an increased exposure of people or the environment to those materials. No specific areas of concern were identified at this site during the assessment.

#### 8.17.2 Future No-Build Conditions

The site would remain as is. There would be no areas of significant concern with regard to hazardous materials.

#### 8.17.3 Potential Impacts with the West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS

The West 135<sup>th</sup> Street Converted MTS would not result in adverse impacts. Some benefits may be realized because a new facility would replace the existing MTS. No additional testing would be required other than an asbestos inspection prior to demolition of the existing MTS. If any areas of concern were identified during the demolition or construction phase, an analysis would be made to determine what, if any, mitigation measures should be applied.