

THE CITY RECORD.

OFFICIAL JOURNAL.

Vol. XV.

NEW YORK, WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 21, 1887.

NUMBER 4,439.



LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

STATED MEETING.

BOARD OF ALDERMEN.

TUESDAY, December 20, 1887, 1 o'clock P. M.

The Board met in their chamber, room 16, City Hall.

PRESENT:

Hon. Henry R. Beckman, President:

ALDERMEN

Patrick Divver, Vice-President,	William Ficke, James E. Fitzgerald, Cornelius Flynn, Christian Goetz, Philip Holland, Jacob M. Long, Gustav Menninger, James J. Mooney,	John Murray, Joseph Murray, Patrick N. Oakley, John Quinn, Matthew Smith, William Tait, James T. Van Rensselaer, William H. Walker.
------------------------------------	--	--

The minutes of the meeting of December 13, 1887, were read and approved.

PETITIONS.

By the President—
Petition of William F. Pyne to be appointed a Commissioner of Deeds.
Which was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

REPORTS.

(G. O. 706.)

The Committee on Lamps and Gas, to whom was referred the annexed resolution in favor of lighting two lamps in front of the Madison Avenue Mission Chapel, No. 440 East Fifty-seventh street, respectfully

REPORT:

That, having examined the subject, they believe the proposed improvement to be necessary. They therefore recommend that the said resolution be adopted.

Resolved, That two lamp-posts be erected and Boulevard lamps placed thereon and lighted in front of the Mission Chapel of the Madison Avenue Reformed Church, No. 440 East Fifty-seventh street, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works.

JAMES J. MOONEY, JAMES E. FITZGERALD, HUGH F. FARRELL, JACOB M. LONG,	Committee on Lamps and Gas.
--	-----------------------------------

Which was laid over.

(G. O. 707.)

The Committee on Lamps and Gas, to whom was referred the annexed resolution in favor of lighting One Hundred and Twenty-second street, from Boulevard to Riverside avenue, respectfully

REPORT:

That, having examined the subject, they believe the proposed improvement to be necessary. They therefore recommend that the said resolution be adopted.

Resolved, That gas-mains be laid, lamp-posts erected and street-lamps lighted in One Hundred and Twenty-second street, from the Boulevard to Riverside avenue, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works.

JAMES J. MOONEY, JAMES E. FITZGERALD, HUGH F. FARRELL, JACOB M. LONG,	Committee on Lamps and Gas.
--	-----------------------------------

Which was laid over.

(G. O. 708.)

The Committee on Streets, to whom was referred the annexed communication from the Commissioner of Public Works, with resolution and ordinance in favor of laying a crosswalk across Seventy-fourth street, at the intersection of the Boulevard, respectfully

REPORT:

That, having examined the subject, they believe the proposed improvement to be necessary, and is recommended by the Commissioner of Public Works. They therefore recommend that the said resolution and ordinance be adopted.

Resolved, That crosswalks of blue stone, with a row of paving-blocks between, be laid across Seventy-fourth street, at its intersection with the westerly side of the Boulevard and at its intersection with the easterly side of West End avenue, as provided by section 321 of chapter 410 of the Laws of 1882, as amended by chapter 569 of the Laws of 1887, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works; and that the accompanying ordinance therefor be adopted.

HUGH F. FARRELL, PHILIP HOLLAND, WILLIAM FICKE, JOHN MURRAY,	Committee on Streets.
---	-----------------------------

Which was laid over.

(G. O. 709.)

The Committee on Public Works, to whom was referred the annexed resolution in favor of laying water-mains in One Hundred and Second street, from First avenue to Harlem river, respectfully

REPORT:

That, having examined the subject, they believe the proposed improvement to be necessary. They therefore recommend that the said resolution be adopted.

Resolved, That the Commissioner of Public Works be and he is hereby authorized and directed to lay water-mains in One Hundred and Second street, between First avenue and Harlem river, pursuant to section 356 of the New York City Consolidation Act of 1882.

JOSEPH MURRAY, PATRICK DIVVER, GUSTAV MENNINGER, JOHN MURRAY,	Committee on Public Works.
--	----------------------------------

Which was laid over.

(G. O. 710.)

The Committee on Public Works, to whom was referred the annexed resolution in favor of laying Croton-mains in One Hundred and Twelfth street, from Eighth to Manhattan avenue, respectfully

REPORT:

That, having examined the subject, they believe the proposed improvement to be necessary. They therefore recommend that the said resolution be adopted.

Resolved, That Croton-mains be laid in One Hundred and Twelfth street, from Eighth to Manhattan avenue, pursuant to section 356 of the New York City Consolidation Act.

JOSEPH MURRAY, ALFRED R. CONKLING, PATRICK DIVVER, GUSTAV MENNINGER, JOHN MURRAY,	Committee on Public Works.
---	----------------------------------

Which was laid over.

The Committee on Streets, to whom was referred the accompanying message from his Honor the Mayor, transmitting several ordinances from the Department of Docks in reference to the passage of certain resolutions for regulating, grading, etc., Thirty-seventh and Thirty-ninth streets, from the First avenue to the bulkhead line on the East river, respectfully

REPORT:

That, in order that your Honorable Body may readily comprehend the subject, your Committee think it best to give the following details: A resolution to pave Thirty-seventh street, from the First avenue to the bulkhead line on the East river, was adopted by your Honorable Body on the 24th day of last February, and a resolution to regulate, grade, etc., Thirty-ninth street to the same extent was also adopted on the same day, and was approved by the Mayor on the 28th of February, 1887.

The resolution to pave Thirty-seventh street was returned to the Board by the Mayor, with his objections thereto, which were mainly as follows: "That the improvement suggested cannot be executed until the street has been regulated and graded. No authority exists for this purpose, and it would, therefore, be proper that an ordinance should be passed directing the street to be regulated and graded, and after this work has been done a subsequent ordinance for the work to be paved the street, would be in order." Acting on this suggestion from his Honor the Mayor, made, no doubt, after consultation, as is his practice in like cases, with the Commissioner of Public Works, a resolution was adopted March 22 and was approved by the Mayor March 26, 1887, to regulate and grade Thirty-seventh street from the First avenue to the bulkhead line on the East river. The improvement was of the first necessity, and was asked for by many persons engaged in business in the vicinity.

On the 22d day of November last a message was received from his Honor the Mayor, recommending the repeal of the ordinances and transmitting a communication signed by L. J. N. Stark, President of the Department of Docks, in which that gentleman says:

"It is evident that both of these resolutions have been passed under a misapprehension of the facts, and that, if this Department had been consulted in regard to any intended action of this kind, it could have furnished information which would have been of value to the legislative branch of the city government. I would suggest, therefore, that in all matters relating to the water-front, in regard to which there may be legislation contemplated by the Common Council, this Department should be communicated with, previous to taking final action, with a view of obtaining such facts as may be necessary and useful. It is not desirable that the expense of work for the improvement of the water-front should be removed from those to whom it legally belongs, under the terms and covenants of the grants accorded to them, and placed upon the City or upon private individuals, who should not properly bear the burden; and I submit, therefore, that, in the interests of the public, this Department should be informed of all legislation of this kind, before it takes the form of law."

It is somewhat difficult, with a due regard for the proprieties, to select language with which to reply, in a suitable manner, to these erroneous statements and egotistical proffer of useless information. It is sufficient for the purpose, however, to say that if Mr. Stark performed the duties required of him as head of the Department of Docks, he could have had the opportunity at the meetings of the Board of Aldermen, when considering these resolutions, to impart his knowledge, both to the Common Council before, and to the Mayor immediately after, their adoption, instead of waiting to do so for a period of eight months after the Mayor approved the papers. It would, doubtless, be an abatement of his dignity to attend a meeting of the legislative branch of the city government and participate in its deliberations, as authorized by section 70 of the New York City Consolidation Act of 1882, but the deep interest he manifestly takes in the public well should induce him to make that sacrifice. It would, in the present instance at least, have saved him and all others concerned much time and labor and the city much expense for printing. It would also have saved him from the very questionable propriety of lecturing and reprehending the Common Council for adopting resolutions upon the recommendation of his Honor the Mayor, and your Honorable Body from the bad effects of the avalanche of documentary and worthless knowledge that overwhelmed the Board at the meeting of the 22d of November last. Above all, it would have saved your Committee, to whom the whole mass was referred, from the disagreeable labor of replying.

After a cursory examination of the documents, your Committee referred them to the Counsel to the Corporation, accompanied by a letter, of which the following is a copy:

OFFICE OF THE BOARD OF ALDERMEN,
No. 8 CITY HALL,
NEW YORK, November 28, 1887.

Hon. MORGAN J. O'BRIEN, Counsel to the Corporation:

DEAR SIR—I transmit herewith certain papers connected with the work of regulating, grading, etc., Thirty-seventh and Thirty-ninth street from the First avenue to the bulkhead line on the East river, and request that you will instruct the Committee in the course proper to be taken by the Common Council. His Honor the Mayor recommends that both resolution and ordinances be repealed. Is that the proper course to pursue? or, inasmuch as the work is of the first necessity in the interest of business and others in the vicinity, is it not competent for the Common Council to enact the ordinances and assess the expense upon the property benefited in the usual manner? The grantees of the land under water are, under the stipulation contained in the grant, obliged to make the streets and avenues included therein at their own expense. Is it not in the power of the Board of Assessors, in making up the assessment for the cost of the work, if done as provided in the resolutions and ordinances already passed, to assess such cost entirely upon the parties holding the grant?

Inasmuch as your reply will determine the recommendation of the Committee, an early answer is respectfully solicited.

Very respectfully,

HUGH F. FARRELL,
Chairman Committee on Streets, Board of Aldermen.

The papers are numbered 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 73½ and 74, which you will please return with your opinion.

H. F. F.

The reply of the counsel upholds the action taken by your Honorable Body in adopting, and his Honor the Mayor in approving, the resolutions, thus presenting another illustration of the value of "proffered service," such, at least, as comes from the Dock Department.

The opinion of the Counsel to the Corporation, in reply to the letter addressed to him by your Committee, is hereto annexed.

In view of all the facts in the case, your Committee believe the following propositions cannot be successfully controverted:

First—The grants referred to are dated: that to H. J. Anderson, August 1, 1850, and to Mrs. Gertrude Cutting, January 31, 1852, were then made by the Corporation of the City of New York direct, and are valid and binding contracts, antedating the creation of the Dock Department nearly twenty years.

Second—It is not in the power of the State Legislature or within the assumed prerogatives of its creature, the Dock Department, to oust one of the parties to the said contract, and usurp its place as such party, without the consent of the party so attempted to be ousted;

Third—That the stipulations and conditions contained in the grants must be carried into effect by the original parties thereto, and no other;

Fourth—That the Corporation of the City of New York, and none other, has the right and power to compel a compliance on the part of the grantees with the conditions of the grants, and any attempt to do so on the part of the Dock Department would be a direct violation of the obligations of the contract;

Fifth—That it is in the power, and is the right of the Corporation to delegate the enforcement of the conditions of the grants to any of the departments or officers of the city it may select, and that consequently, ordering the work of making the streets, avenues, and even the bulkheads mentioned

in the grants to be done by the grantees under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works, as it did in passing the resolution and ordinances, was clearly within its province, and did not, in any manner trespass upon the prerogatives of the Dock Department;

Sixth—That the resolutions and ordinances provide for levying an assessment upon the property benefited, and it is in the power, as it is the duty of the Board of Assessors to levy the assessment in that manner, and limit it to the present owners of the grants, as also upon the owners of that part of the property fronting on Thirty-ninth street, not included in the grants, and no better or more effective manner can be devised to cause the work to be promptly undertaken and completed by the holders of the grants, at their own expense, if they choose to do so; if not, then, as provided in the ordinances, by the City, at the expense of such owners, in the usual manner of performing work of this character;

Seventh—That the resolutions and ordinances were therefore legally passed, and that it is now the duty of the Commissioner of Public Works to comply with the directions contained in them.

The claim of the Department of Docks to jurisdiction over all land reclaimed from the waters surrounding this island—in other words, all that portion of the city outside of the original high-water mark, as it does in claiming jurisdiction over the carriage-way of West street—is simply preposterous. To admit this claim is to surrender the jurisdiction of the corporation to that department of the greater portion of the Battery park; the streets east of Water street and west of Greenwich street; that portion of the city built upon the low lands known as Lisenard's meadows on the west, and Stuyvesant's meadows on the east, a large portion of the old town of Harlem, now in the Twelfth Ward, and the numberless coves and bays that indent or indented the shores of our city, inside of the exterior or bulkhead line through which streets or avenues are, or are to be built. It might even include the City Prison and the blocks adjoining, built over what was once the Collect pond, with its outlets through Canal street on the west, and Roosevelt and other streets on the east, as the tide at one time flowed through them. Doubtless, this claim is the foundation upon which that department predicates its other equally preposterous claim to "co-ordinate" powers with the corporate authorities in the Common Council. To admit the former would certainly force an admission of the latter claim. Concede either, and in all probability the Dock Department will, ere long, be passing ordinances and laws "for the good rule and government of the inhabitants of Manhattan island, and travelers there." But the corporate authorities cannot be too emphatic in denying both the "jurisdiction" and the "co-ordinate" powers claimed by this exceedingly modest Department of Docks.

While on the subject of claims, it may not be inappropriate to state what your Committee claim in respect to the Department of Docks. It claims that the property it controls and manages is the property of the Corporation of the City of New York, held by an indefeasible title; that as such owner it has the absolute right to control and manage its own property; that the creation of the Department of Docks to perform this service, not only without the consent, but against the most earnest protests of the owner, is both unjust and illegal, and was originally but a device of the few unscrupulous men who captured the city government and controlled the State Legislature in the year 1870, to obtain possession of this corporate property for their own selfish purposes, and, in addition, the unquestioned appropriation and expenditure of \$3,000,000 annually. Power was also given at that time, and is continued to the present day, to lease the whole of this immense property, upon which the welfare and prosperity of this city so largely depends, either by public letting or by private contract. The amount disposed of by open competition is so ridiculously small as to be contemptible; the letting is all done privately, and if the result of each lease is at all similar to that attending the recent lease of the pier foot of Leroy street, it is fair to infer that the original intention of the creators of the Department have not been lost sight of by some of their successors. We also claim that this department is simply the unauthorized agent of the owners of this corporate property, and were forced upon them illegally, as we believe, by the legislative power of the State. Your Committee ask to be pardoned for expressing the hope that the time is not far distant when the usurped powers of this Department will be restored to the rightful owners—the people of the City of New York, represented in the Common Council.

Your Committee, therefore, in view of the opinion of the Counsel to the Corporation, that the resolutions and ordinances for the regulation, grading, etc., of Thirty-seventh and Thirty-ninth streets, from the First avenue to the bulkhead line on the East river, were legally passed by the Common Council, are also of opinion that the grantees of the land under water should, before work is commenced by the Department of Public Works, have the opportunity to do the work themselves, if they so desire, and that ample time should be allowed them to do so. This is, doubtless, the "additional legislation" referred to in the opinion of the Counsel to the Corporation, and accordingly the following resolutions are respectfully offered for your adoption:

Resolved, That the grantees of the land under water, included between Thirty-seventh and Thirty-ninth streets, the First avenue and the bulkhead line on the East river, and their successors, and assigns, if any, be and they are hereby directed to comply with the conditions and stipulations in said grants, dated respectively August 1, 1850, and January 31, 1852, in reference to making the streets and avenues named therein, on or before the first day of November, 1888; and be it further

Resolved, That should such grantees or their successors or assigns neglect or refuse to comply with the directions given in the foregoing resolution, then the Commissioner of Public Works is hereby instructed to have the work performed as required in the resolutions and ordinances passed to regulate and grade said streets, duplicate copies of which are now in his office; and the said Commissioner of Public Works is hereby instructed to notify the said grantees of the passage of these resolutions and furnish them with a copy thereof. The work of constructing the bulkheads to be under the supervision of the Department of Docks, should it choose to exercise such supervision; if not, then that the entire work, including the bulkheads, be done under the direction of the said Commissioner of Public Works.

HUGH F. FARRELL,
PHILIP HOLLAND,
WILLIAM FICKE,
JOHN MURRAY,
MATTHEW SMITH,
CHRISTIAN GOETZ, } Committee
on
Streets.

LAW DEPARTMENT,
OFFICE OF THE COUNSEL TO THE CORPORATION,
NEW YORK, December 13, 1887.

Hon. HUGH F. FARRELL, Chairman Committee on Streets, Board of Aldermen:

SIR—I am in receipt of your letter of November 28, with enclosure of certain papers connected with the work of regulating and grading, setting curb-stones and flagging sidewalks on Thirty-ninth street and Thirty-seventh street, from First avenue to the bulkhead line, on the East river, requesting me to instruct your Committee upon the course proper to be taken.

It is stated by you that his Honor the Mayor recommends the repeal of both resolutions and ordinances.

The papers enclosed are:

1. Copies of reports of the Engineer-in-Chief of the Board of Docks calling attention to the terms of the respective water grants to Anderson and Cutting, affecting the lands adjacent to these streets, and the grantees under which assumed the obligation to make and maintain the streets in question.

2. Letter from the President of the Board of Docks to his Honor the Mayor, dated November 19, 1887, calling attention to these facts and suggesting that these resolutions must have been passed by the Board of Aldermen under a misapprehension of the facts, etc.

3. Letter from the Board of Docks, dated November 19, 1887, to the President of the Board of Aldermen, of similar tenor to the last.

4. Letter from his Honor the Mayor to the Board of Aldermen, transmitting the two communications from the Department of Docks, above referred to, and suggesting the rescission by your Board of both resolutions, for the reasons set forth in the said letter, and the report of the Engineer-in-Chief.

It appears, as to the property from First avenue to the bulkhead line, both in Thirty-seventh and Thirty-ninth streets, that a part of the area is above high-water mark, and a part below high-water mark. As to the part above high-water mark, there can be no question but that any improvement of the streets must be done in the ordinary manner applicable to streets generally.

As to the portion of the streets below high-water mark, the Department of Docks claims jurisdiction and power to make needful repairs. There is to some extent an apparent conflict between the jurisdictions of the Department of Public Works and the Department of Docks with respect to this portion of the street. I do not suppose that the Legislature or the courts have explicitly defined the boundary between their respective jurisdictions. It is true that all of the streets in question which are below high-water mark, excepting the south half of Thirty-ninth street, are subject to be built and maintained by the grantees under the water grant to which attention is called in the reports and letters above mentioned. As to this part of the work, it would seem to be dangerous for the Assessors to lay an assessment in the ordinary manner, namely, upon the lots for one-half the depth of the block upon each side, and I should think it probable that such an assessment might be vacated. It also occurs to me as reasonable that for this part of the work, viz., the part below high-water mark, such assessment as is laid should be laid upon an area identical with that embraced in the respective water grants, except however as to the work of improving the south half of Thirty-ninth street, which is not adjoining to any water grant, and would apparently have to be laid upon the property benefited in the usual way. It is probable that the conflict between the respective jurisdictions of the Commissioner of Public Works and the Department of Docks might produce some friction which it is hoped and believed could be settled by an amicable agreement and understanding between them in doing this work.

But it seems to me that the resolutions in the form adopted by you are not improper and might well be passed. They provide simply for the performance of the work and contain no direction that it should be done by any particular department. Conceding, as I think it must be conceded, that a

part of the work falls within the province of one department and a part within the province of the other department, no harm can result from the resolutions. If it becomes impossible to determine the boundaries of their respective jurisdictions or impossible for them to agree between themselves as to the manner of doing the work, or if any difficulty arises with respect to the Board of Assessors, it may become necessary for your Board to take some subsequent additional action. The present resolutions do not, however, commit your Board in favor of either method of doing the work, and assuming that the ordinances are drawn conformably to the resolutions, it seems to me that it is not necessary to rescind them.

I return herewith the several papers enclosed to me.

I am, sir, yours, respectfully,

MORGAN J. O'BRIEN, Counsel to the Corporation.

(Enclosures).

Alderman Van Rensselaer moved that the report be received and laid over.

The President put the question whether the Board would agree with said motion.

Which was decided in the negative on a division called by Alderman Ficke, as follows:

Affirmative—The President, Aldermen Conkling, Goetz, Long, and Van Rensselaer—5.

Negative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Corcoran, Dowling, Farrell, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Holland, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, Tait, and Walker—17.

The President then put the question whether the Board would agree to accept the report and adopt the resolutions.

Which was decided in the affirmative on a division called by Alderman Van Rensselaer, as follows:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Corcoran, Dowling, Farrell, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Goetz, Holland, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, Tait, and Walker—19.

Negative—The President, Aldermen Conkling, Long, and Van Rensselaer—4.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS.

Alderman Conkling called up veto message of his Honor the Mayor (No. 253) of resolution, as follows:

Resolved, That permission be and the same is hereby given to the Trustees of the Free Church of the Strangers to place and keep a transparent metallic sign, not exceeding two and one-half feet in diameter, on the lamp-post on the southeast corner of Mercer street and Waverly place; provided the work be done at their own expense, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works; such permission to continue only during the pleasure of the Common Council.

The Board then, as provided in section 75, chapter 410, Laws of 1882, proceeded to reconsider the same, and, upon a vote being taken thereon, was adopted, notwithstanding the objections of his Honor the Mayor, as follows:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Farrell, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Goetz, Holland, Long, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, Tait, and Walker—22.

Negative—The President—1.

Alderman Van Rensselaer excused from voting—1.

MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS.

By the Vice-President—

Resolved, That the Commission for Lighting the City be and is hereby respectfully requested to cause an electric light to be placed at or near the centre of each of the streets surrounding the Tombs building, viz., Franklin, Elm, Leonard and Centre streets.

The President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative.

By Alderman Flynn—

Resolved, That permission be and the same is hereby given to Herman Kuhlmann to erect a storm-door, ten feet high, four feet wide, and to extend four feet from the house-line, in front of his premises, No. 100 Hudson street, the work to be done at his own expense, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works; such permission to continue only during the pleasure of the Common Council.

The President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative.

By Alderman Holland—

Resolved, That permission be and the same is hereby given to Thomas F. Keenan to place and keep a watering-trough on the sidewalk, near the curb, in front of No. 319 Spring street, the work to be done and water supplied at his own expense, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works; such permission to continue only during the pleasure of the Common Council.

The President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative.

(G. O. 711.)

By Alderman Long—

Resolved, That Lenox avenue be numbered, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works.

Which was laid over.

By Alderman Mooney—

Whereas, A due regard for life and property renders it necessary that protection be given all persons having occasion to cross the tracks of the Harlem river branch of the New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad Company at Lane avenue, between Cassanova and Hunt Point Stations; be it therefore

Resolved, That the Department of Public Parks be and is hereby directed to notify the President or Superintendent of the New York, New Haven and Hartford Railroad Company to cause the crossing of the tracks at Lane avenue to be protected, as required by the ordinance entitled "An ordinance in relation to steam railroads crossing on grade public highways in the Twenty-third and Twenty-fourth Wards," approved December 26, 1882, similar to the manner of protecting the crossing at One Hundred and Thirty-eighth street.

The President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative.

(G. O. 712.)

By Alderman Smith—

Resolved, That three lamp-posts be erected and street-lamps placed thereon and lighted at the curb-line in front of Grammar School No. 74, in East Sixty-third street, between Second and Third avenues.

Which was laid over.

By the Vice-President—

Resolved, That Charles W. McCusker be and he is hereby appointed a Commissioner of Deeds in and for the City and County of New York.

Which was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

By Alderman Corcoran—

Resolved, That John Turner be and he is hereby reappointed a Commissioner of Deeds in and for the City and County of New York.

Which was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

By the same—

Resolved, That Henry A. Lewis be and he is hereby appointed a Commissioner of Deeds in and for the City and County of New York.

Which was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

By Alderman John Murray—

Resolved, That G. Arnold Moses be and he is hereby appointed a Commissioner of Deeds in and for the City and County of New York.

Which was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

By Alderman Tait—

Resolved, That Leo Barnett be and he is hereby appointed a Commissioner of Deeds in and for the City and County of New York.

Which was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

By the same—

Resolved, That Louis Rosenberg be and he is hereby reappointed a Commissioner of Deeds in and for the City and County of New York.

Which was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

By the same—

Resignation of Morris Barnett as a Commissioner of Deeds.

Which was accepted, and the vacancy was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

By Alderman Van Rensselaer—

Resolved, That Clark B. Augustine be and he hereby is reappointed a Commissioner of Deeds in and for the City and County of New York, his term of office having expired on the 19th day of December, 1887.

Which was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

By the same—

Resolved, That James J. Brennan be and he is hereby reappointed a Commissioner of Deeds in and for the City and County of New York, his term having expired on the 19th of December, 1887. Which was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

By the same—

Resolved, That Lewis S. Burchard be and he is hereby reappointed a Commissioner of Deeds in and for the City and County of New York, his term having expired on the 19th of December, 1887. Which was referred to the Committee on Salaries and Offices.

COMMUNICATIONS FROM DEPARTMENTS AND CORPORATION OFFICERS.

The President laid before the Board the following communication from the Department of Public Works:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
No. 31 CHAMBERS STREET,
NEW YORK, December 16, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen:

GENTLEMEN—In accordance with the provisions of section 321 of the New York City Consolidation Act of 1882, as amended by chapter 569, Laws of 1887, I hereby certify and report to your Honorable Board that the safety, health and convenience of the public require that crosswalks of two courses of blue stone be laid across Sixth, Seventh and St. Nicholas avenues, within the lines of the northerly and southerly sidewalks of One Hundred and Eleventh street.

The materials to be used in said work to be bridge stones of North river blue stone, of the dimensions and according to the specifications now in use in the Department of Public Works.

Very respectfully,

D. LOWBER SMITH, Deputy and Acting Commissioner of Public Works.
Which was referred to the Committee on Street Pavements.

The President laid before the Board the following communication from the Department of Public Works:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
No. 31 CHAMBERS STREET,
NEW YORK, December 13, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen:

GENTLEMEN—I respectfully ask to withdraw my certificate in recommendation to your Honorable Board, dated December 6, 1887, that the safety, health and convenience of the public require that crosswalks be laid on Lexington avenue within the lines of the northerly and southerly lines of Twenty-sixth street.

Upon further examination it appears that the crosswalks are required on Twenty-sixth street on the east and west sides of Lexington avenue, and my certificate as to the necessity of such crosswalks was transmitted to you this day.

Very respectfully,

JOHN NEWTON, Commissioner of Public Works.

Which was referred to the Committee on Street Pavements.

The President laid before the Board the following communication from the Department of Public Works:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,
COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE, No. 31 CHAMBERS STREET,
NEW YORK, December 13, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen:

GENTLEMEN—In accordance with the provisions of section 321 of the New York City Consolidation Act of 1882, as amended by chapter 569 of the Laws of 1887, I hereby certify and report to your Honorable Board that the safety, health and convenience of the public require that a crosswalk of three courses of blue stone be laid across the Boulevard within the lines of the northerly sidewalk of Eighty-fourth street.

The material to be used in said work to be bridge stone of North river blue stone, of the dimensions and according to the specifications now in use in the Department of Public Works.

Very respectfully,

JOHN NEWTON, Commissioner of Public Works.

Which was referred to the Committee on Street Pavements.

The President laid before the Board the following communication from the Finance Department:

CITY OF NEW YORK—FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,
December 10, 1887.

To the Honorable Board of Aldermen:

Weekly statement, showing the appropriations made under the authority contained in section 189, New York City Consolidation Act of 1882, for carrying on the Common Council, from January 1 to December 31, 1887, both days inclusive, and of the payments made up to and including the date hereof, for and on account of each appropriation, and the amount of unexpended balances.

TITLES OF APPROPRIATIONS.	AMOUNT OF APPROPRIATIONS.	PAYMENTS.	AMOUNT OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES.
City Contingencies.....	\$1,500 00	\$455 44	\$1,044 56
Contingencies—Clerk of the Common Council.....	200 00	69 77	130 23
Salaries—Common Council.....	71,000 00	64,798 83	6,201 17
For Engrossing Resolutions of the Board of Aldermen on occasion of the death of ex-President Chester A. Arthur.....	200 00	200 00
For Expenses of Re-engrossing Resolutions of the Common Council on the occasion of the defense of Fort Sumter by General Robert Anderson, which were destroyed by fire.....	200 00	200 00
For Engrossing Resolutions of the Board of Aldermen on presentation of the Freedom of the City to M. Bartholdi.....	200 00	200 00

E. V. LOEW, Comptroller.

Which was ordered on file.

The President laid before the Board the following communication from the Finance Department:

CITY OF NEW YORK—FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,
December 17, 1887.

To the Honorable Board of Aldermen:

Weekly statement, showing the appropriations made under the authority contained in section 189, New York City Consolidation Act of 1882, for carrying on the Common Council from January 1 to December 31, 1887, both days inclusive, and of the payments made up to and including the date hereof, for and on account of each appropriation, and the amount of unexpended balances.

TITLES OF APPROPRIATIONS.	AMOUNT OF APPROPRIATIONS.	PAYMENTS.	AMOUNT OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES.
City Contingencies.....	\$1,500 00	\$455 44	\$1,044 56
Contingencies—Clerk of the Common Council.....	200 00	69 77	130 23
Salaries—Common Council.....	71,000 00	64,798 83	6,201 17
For Engrossing Resolutions of the Board of Aldermen on occasion of the death of ex-President Chester A. Arthur.....	200 00	200 00
For Expenses of Re-engrossing Resolutions of the Common Council on the occasion of the defense of Fort Sumter by General Robert Anderson, which were destroyed by fire.....	200 00	200 00
For Engrossing Resolutions of the Board of Aldermen on presentation of the Freedom of the City to M. Bartholdi.....	200 00	200 00

E. V. LOEW, Comptroller.

Which was ordered on file.

The President laid before the Board the following communication from the Finance Department:

CITY OF NEW YORK—FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,
December 20, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen:

GENTLEMEN—The Comptroller reports that the gross receipts of the Sixth Avenue Railroad Company, for carrying passengers for the month of November, 1887, as appears by the statement under oath of the treasurer of said company, received by this Department on the 20th instant, were fifty-nine thousand four hundred dollars and twenty cents (\$59,400.20).

Respectfully submitted,

E. V. LOEW, Comptroller.

Which was ordered on file.

The President laid before the Board the following communication from the Corporation Attorney:

LAW DEPARTMENT—CITY OF NEW YORK,
OFFICE OF THE CORPORATION ATTORNEY,
No. 49 BEEKMAN STREET, December 20, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen:

The provision of chapter 4, article II, section 17, of the Revised Ordinances of 1880, requires the Corporation Attorney, on the 20th day of December in each year, to report to the Common Council the titles of all the actions in his hands which he is authorized, by section 8 of the said article, to prosecute on behalf of the Corporation, then pending and undetermined, with a statement thereof, and with such other information in respect thereto as he may deem necessary and proper.

I have annexed hereto a statement containing the titles of all the actions now pending and undetermined for violations of the ordinances, and also of all the actions instituted by the direction of the Commissioners of Public Charities and Correction.

The enquiry is constantly made why the ordinances are not more rigidly enforced, and why greater success is not attained in the suits instituted by this office to procure the penalties prescribed for violations of the ordinances upon the complaints made by the Police Department.

In the year 1885 the Police Department forwarded to this office 47,917 complaints. In the year 1886, 34,122 complaints and during the year 1887 about 38,000 complaints. Of the 38,000 complaints received during the year 1887, 3,000 complaints were received upon which no action could be taken for want of jurisdiction, and about 5,000 complaints for having carts and wagons upon the streets, and of the 30,000 complaints remaining, fully three-quarters were repetitions against the same individuals, so that of the said 30,000 complaints, about 8,000 individuals were complained of.

The complaints for these violations are made by the Police Department, and the patrolmen and detailed ordinance officers are the witnesses to establish the complaints. The complaints are handed in at the station-houses, and forwarded to this office from the various precincts, upon loose sheets of paper, containing the name of the delinquent, the violation charged, the date of the same, the premises in front of which the encumbrance exists, and the name of the officer making the complaint.

Suits are instituted upon these complaints in their regular order, and upon the trial of the same the police officers designated by the police returns are examined on behalf of the City. The police officers often have no knowledge of the persons complained of, except that they book the name from a sign in front of the premises where the violation existed, and consequently when called upon to testify in the case, are unable to show that the defendant occupied the premises. In complaints for violation of the snow and ice ordinances, the name of the person complained of is taken from a sign suspended from the premises announcing to whom application should be made for the purchase or lease of said premises, and the only information furnished by the witness, in many cases, as to the ownership or tenancy of the premises, is that which the officer receives in response to an inquiry from a servant answering the bell. The general practice in the courts is, that upon the return day of the summons, the cases are adjourned for a week, upon the motion of some individual, and in the event of no one making such a motion, then upon the motion of the Justice himself. Upon the adjourned or second adjourned day the Justice proceeds to the trial of the cases. In a very large majority of the cases the police officer testifies that he knows the defendant personally, that the defendant carries on business upon the premises in front of which the obstruction exists, and that the article or thing complained of is such as the defendant sells or uses in his business. A large number of the Civil Justices hold that such testimony is not sufficient upon which to base a judgment in favor of the City, and decline to render a judgment in any case unless the police officer testifies that he saw the defendant, with his own hands, place the obstruction in front of his premises; and this practice prevails, notwithstanding the fact that the defendant fails to respond to the summons and is not present in court upon the trial of the case. I have always contended that, in these cases, such testimony establishes a prima facie case, and that the defendant is put upon his defence to show that the obstruction was not placed upon the sidewalk by him or under his direction. It may seem improbable that the Civil Justices, as a rule, afford no aid to this office in the enforcement of the ordinances, but, on the contrary, resort to different methods and assign different reasons for the dismissal of suits instituted by this office.

In some of the District Courts, the cases are not called, and when an enquiry is made for the reason of the omission, the reply is offered that the papers in the suits are missing and cannot be found.

In some of the courts, the testimony of the police officer is given, and the Justice immediately announces that the decision in the case is reserved, and no decision is ever rendered by the Justice, and the cases are virtually dismissed by the neglect of the Justice to render a decision.

In some of the courts the Justice from the bench cross-examines the police officer as to whether he saw the defendant place, with his own hands, the obstruction complained of, and upon receiving a negative answer, immediately announces the dismissal of the suit, although the defendant omits to appear at court.

In some of the courts, the Justice adjourns the trial of the cases from week to week, and in one court from month to month, under the protest of this office, and, as it would appear, for the purpose of discouraging further attendance on the part of the witnesses, and inviting the neglect of this office in attendance upon the court on the adjourned days.

In order to establish the character of the testimony necessary to obtain a judgment in those cases in which the defendant neglects to attend and answer the summons, to be entered against him by default, an appeal was taken from the decision of the Civil Justices in three cases, and upon the appeal coming on to be heard, at the General Term of the Court of Common Pleas, the court declined to hear the appeal upon the ground that the Justice dismissed the complaint and did not render a judgment in favor of the defendant. Since that decision very many of the Justices, after the examination of the police officers, simply mark the papers, "Dismissed."

A test case is now being prepared and the General Term of the Court of Common Pleas will be asked, in the public interest, to hear the appeal, with the view that Civil Justices may be advised as to the opinion of that court as to what evidence is required to warrant a judgment in favor of the City in those cases where the defendant fails to appear.

It is but just for me to observe that, in the trial and disposition of these cases, Mr. Justice McGown, of the Ninth District Court, has by his course and practice aided this office by his prompt and impartial disposition of the suits instituted in that court. If the Justices of the District Courts would dispose of these cases with as much fairness and conscientiousness as Mr. Justice McGown, the City would have no reason to find fault with the result. I do not know of a single instance where, in my opinion, Mr. Justice McGown has failed to honestly and impartially discharge his duty as a Justice in the cases brought by the City.

No cases have been brought in the Tenth District Court.

In order that these suits should be prosecuted with success, it is necessary that the Police Department and the Civil Justices co-operate with this office, and I would suggest, in that connection, that the Commissioners of Police be requested to instruct the Captains of the various precincts to direct the officers assigned to ordinance duty to report each person violating the ordinances upon each block, with a view of making the reports general, and not to provoke dissatisfaction by seeming to show partiality by constantly reporting one or two upon a block and failing to report the remaining ones equally chargeable with violations; that the police officers make fewer complaints, and be prepared to show, in giving their testimony in court, a personal acquaintance with the defendant, the existence of the violation reported, the date of the same, the defendant's occupation, and that the articles encumbering the sidewalk or street are used or sold by the defendant.

That the Justices be requested to try and dispose of the cases after one adjournment and under no circumstances to require the attendance of a police officer beyond twice in any case; that they should promptly decide the case upon the close of the testimony produced, and that all of the cases should be called upon the return and adjourned days, and the Clerk of the Court should be held responsible for the safety and production of the papers in all the cases.

The constant attendance of police officers at the courts, without being called upon to testify, and the announcement of the adjournment from time to time in which they appear as witnesses, discourage the officers in the performance of their duties.

At the request of his Honor the Mayor, I drafted a proposed amendment to chapter 6, article IV, section 52, of the Revised Ordinances, and also an amendment to section 53 of same chapter and article; and I am informed that the same were forwarded to your Honorable Board and a recommendation was made for their adoption. The adoption of the proposed amendments would deprive the Civil Justices before whom these cases are tried of any opportunity to arbitrarily dismiss them, and would ensure the recovery of the penalties for violation in every case where the defendant is really liable.

In the cases instituted by the direction of the Commissioners of Public Charities and Correction, where the rights and interest of unfortunate persons are involved, the conscientious and careful attention bestowed by the Police Justices has resulted in a fair and impartial enforcement of the provisions of the Criminal Code as applicable to the same.

No cases have been received during the present year from the Commissioner of Jurors under the provisions of section 1119 of the Code of Civil Procedure.

I can only add that the course heretofore pursued by this office under its present administration will be strictly adhered to, and the complaints forwarded by the Police Department will be impartially acted upon, and being assured of the co-operation of his Honor the Mayor and the Commissioners of Police, I ask the aid and assistance of your Honorable Board in the performance of the duties of an office which requires the exhibition of no little patience and of considerable judgment.

WILLIAM A. BOYD, Corporation Attorney.

FIRST DISTRICT COURT.

The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York against—

William O'Brien.....	To be tried December 22, 1887.
Patrick Ryan.....	"
John Noble.....	"
Ernst Landre.....	"
D. Fink & Co.....	"
A. R. Ohmstead & Co.....	"
August M. Collignon.....	"
John Nix & Co.....	"
G. Fischer & Co.....	"
S. & J. Kaufman.....	"
H. H. & F. E. Palmer.....	"
T. W. Morris & Co.....	"
John Sketchley.....	"
Louis Kuhle.....	"
Albert Lewis.....	"
James W. Reedy.....	"
E. R. & E. H. Valentine.....	"
John B. Page.....	"
Job E. Laird.....	"
Joseph Lasser.....	"
Pryor & Laroche.....	"
Bennett & Hall.....	"
Henry F. Vosteen.....	"
Abraham Litchhult.....	"
Loeb & Hoffman.....	"
John Katel.....	"
Pond & Smith.....	"
Charles A. Blumenstock.....	"
Jacob Hamburger.....	"
M. Mergentime & Co.....	"
Leonard W. Mack.....	"
Kloppenburger & Co.....	"
G. Speciale.....	"
Samuel M. Lederer.....	"
Winterten & Co.....	"
Andrew F. Kennedy.....	"
Peter John.....	"
Morris Stone.....	"
Charles C. F. Dick & Co.....	"
Alfred Beinbauer.....	"
Robert Cominik.....	"
John L. Garvey.....	"
George W. Judd, Jr.....	"
William Miller.....	"
Amos H. Brewster.....	"
A. Child & Co.....	"
Henry Jens.....	"
William H. Hall.....	"
Frank Spineta.....	"
Angelo Guinazo.....	"
Millard F. Tompkins.....	"
P. B. & F. F. Knapp.....	"
Israel Rosenberg.....	"
Rocco Labrivaco.....	"
M. & I. Feigel.....	"
Peter Wenzel.....	"
Savaria Facanto.....	"
George Blair.....	"
Andrew L. Warder.....	"
L. & E. Finn.....	"
Fabian Light.....	"
James Dowling.....	"
Solomon Knopp.....	"
Sampson Simon.....	"
Leonard W. Mack.....	"
Hugh Cullom.....	"
Frederick D. Mahoney.....	"
Francis Dupont.....	"
Dominick Garafolo.....	"
Luke Higgins.....	"
Charles Rosenberg.....	"
Patrick J. Keary.....	"
Michael Hecker.....	"
Samuel Bailey.....	"
Matteo Ladataglia.....	"
George Henzie.....	"
Frederick Katzel.....	"
Andrew Cullen.....	"
Emma Katzenstein.....	"
Michael Cleary.....	"
William J. Ruddell.....	"
Moses Kinstler & Co.....	"
Joseph O'Dwyer.....	"
Phillips, Henry & Co.....	"
Phillips, Henry & Co.....	"
Phillips, Henry & Co.....	"

SECOND DISTRICT COURT.

The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York against—

August Plath.....	To be tried December 22, 1887.
George Franklin.....	"
Joseph Levy.....	"
Heyman Harris.....	"
Robert Kerr.....	"
Louis M. Kayser.....	"
A. W. Harrington.....	"
Lewis S. Cox.....	"
Frank Kopp.....	"
Michael Beradim.....	"
Michael Beradim.....	"
Robert B. Wilson.....	"
Robert B. Wilson.....	"
D. Frank.....	"
Clemon & Simmerman.....	"
W. H. Rademacher & Co.....	"
Aaron Raymond.....	"
Samuel L. Mannheim.....	"
Gutman Bros.....	"
Rothschild & Co.....	"
Gustav Merzbach.....	"
Charles E. Chelsey.....	"
Matthew Smith.....	"
Matthew Smith.....	"
J. Altmak.....	"
Frederick Wolframs.....	"
S. G. McFarland.....	"
Louis S. Rattaglia.....	"
James M. Kinavan.....	"

Jacob Cohen.....	To be tried December 22, 1887.
Rudolph Gruhn.....	"
A. De Benedetto.....	"
S. Totaro.....	"
Vincenzo Gugge.....	"
Benjamin Bernstein.....	"
Charles Spaldo.....	"
Mendel Levin.....	"
Lane & Richardson.....	"
Miles & Verman.....	"
Thomas McNamara.....	"
John H. Gerdes.....	"
Thomas J. Croke.....	"
John Finnegan.....	"
John V. Halk & Son.....	"
Reuben Lubelsky.....	"
August Plath.....	"
F. & H. W. Jackson.....	"
Jay, Langdon & Co.....	"
Heyman Harris.....	"
Max Fischer.....	"
Isaac Jacobs.....	"
George Franklin.....	"
Isaac Rosen.....	"
I. W. Turner & Co.....	"
John Robinson.....	"
S. Magliola.....	"
M. Levy.....	"
Michael Martin.....	"
Thomas Dollard.....	"
Jeremiah Wire.....	"
Abraham Finelite.....	"
Isaac Burke.....	"
L. Michael.....	"
Abraham Lyon.....	"
Leopold Spiro.....	"
Joseph Crager.....	"
Simon Schiansky.....	"
Joseph Weinstein.....	"
Louis Weinstein.....	"
Joseph L. Clemente.....	"
Patrick J. McCoy.....	"
Morris Friedman.....	"
Edward Stotie.....	"
Hugh Ward.....	"
John O'Connor.....	"
Louis Harris.....	"
Louis Rosenblum.....	"
New York Sample Card Co.....	"
New York Woven Label Co.....	"
Mundt & Creter.....	"
Charles Dunham & Co.....	"
Eliza J. Armstrong.....	"
Solomon Seigle.....	"
Moses Levy.....	"
Nathan Rhein.....	"
A. A. & C. Andrus.....	"
E. & J. Samuels.....	"
David Baruth.....	"
Michael Degnan.....	"
John Kelleher.....	"
Edward Murphy.....	"
Edward Scheyer.....	"
Henry A. Petrie.....	"
Henry Gade.....	"
D. & M. D. Levy.....	"
Charles Ohlmstead.....	"
Eugene Peyser.....	"
John McNamara.....	"
Emil Caspari.....	"
Morris Strauss.....	"
George Baremore.....	"
Samuel Goldberger.....	"
Hans Osmundson.....	"
Edward Castro.....	"
James L. Jarvis.....	"
Gabriel Spero.....	"
James Grimes.....	"
A. R. Davison.....	"
Patterson & Co.....	"
Thomas H. McAllister.....	"
Effin S. Johnston.....	"
Lewis Sperry.....	"
Patrick M. Vallehy.....	"
Edward Jones.....	"
James M. Pedersen.....	"
G. Thwaites & Co.....	"
Martin Duane.....	"
Lewis Hermish.....	"
Andrew Mills.....	"
Samuel C. Ridley.....	"
John Brosnan.....	"
Edward Cordeau.....	"
Charles Rininsland.....	"
Thomas J. Simpson.....	"
Thorburn & Co.....	"
Charles Jackson.....	"
John Matson.....	"
H. C. Dexter.....	"
J. M. Kelly.....	"
Charles Seebach.....	"
Daniel J. Lynch.....	"
Henry Apman.....	"
D. & G. Schmidt.....	"
Theodore E. Dollard.....	"
Thomas W. McGowan.....	"
Stephen G. Quirk.....	"
J. Patterson.....	"
Huber & Co.....	"
Swan & Finch.....	"
Peter McNaughton.....	"
S. A. Brown.....	"
Henry Scheerer.....	"
H. W. Johns Mfg. Co.....	"
F. F. Vieng.....	"
James B. Smith.....	"
W. H. Rogers.....	"
Henry Caseres.....	"
W. H. Miles & Co.....	"
Peter A. Frasse.....	"
Williams Globe Wire Works.....	"
Myer Strasburger.....	"
John Metz.....	"
Nicholas Espenscheid.....	"
David M. Torrey.....	"
Darmstadt & Co.....	"
J. & H. Caro.....	"
Baeder, Adamson & Co.....	"
J. & A. Dickson.....	"
Edward Vom Hofe.....	"
John M. Tufts & Co.....	"
Josiah Partridge.....	"

R. E. Deane & Co. To be tried December 22, 1887.
 Jesse Craft.
 Charles M. O'Reilly & Co.
 William Ottman & Co.
 H. Hoff & Co.
 Henry Seib.
 Muller & Fink.
 George W. Wheat.
 Leonard, Lewisohn & Co.
 August Vahlin.
 A. J. Case & Co.
 George S. Townley.
 W. L. Childs & Co.
 Henry Guggenheimer.
 Henry Lindenmeyr.
 William K. Cort.
 I. Frank Greene.
 Adams & Westlake Mfg. Co.
 George Evans.
 Richardson, Boynton & Co.
 Samuel S. Utter.
 Julian S. Gilman.
 William Sachs.
 B. Horwitz & Co.
 John H. Robertson.
 Samuel T. Skidmore.
 Morris Jacobs.

FOURTH DISTRICT COURT.

The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York against—
 To be tried December 28, 1887.

John Young.
 Casper Stobel.
 Herman Hafker.
 Bernard Bartholomew.
 Richard Brogan.
 Louis Mayer.
 Albert Lehman.
 Edward Farrell.
 Francis J. Brechtel.
 Henry Spies.
 John T. McGuire.
 Frederick Schmidt.
 John D. Sullivan.
 Michael Laurey.
 Louis Gardilla.
 Adolph C. Stranz.
 Davis Rubin.
 Sing Lee.
 Michael Lowrey.
 John Harrington.
 D. & H. Lang.
 Henry Rifel.
 Moses Mendel & Co.
 Charles Busch.
 Morris Jones.
 Peter Schaeffler.
 Abraham Kemps.
 Morris Dembusky.
 Samuel Rosenzweig.
 Morris Demsky.
 Jacob Dembusky.
 Christopher Lochman.
 Peter Brennan.
 Joseph Jaffe.
 Bernard Cohen.
 David T. Baumstedt.
 Charles Sergansky.
 Israel Block.
 Morris Jones.
 Charles Southard.
 Francis Schaaf.
 M. Poldstein.
 Edward L. Hearsey.
 Matthew Coogan.
 John Stacom.
 Henry Isaacs.
 Silvey Miceli.
 Jacob E. Prager.
 Jacob E. Prager.
 Henry Kipp.
 Morris Benjamin.
 John H. Muller.
 J. B. Halm.
 Julius Herzberg.
 Lewis F. Zimmerman.
 David Frank.
 Thomas Lyons.
 Daniel D. Alessandro.
 Charles Chroder.
 Frederick Luhrs.
 Denoel Monel.
 Lewis Soffel.
 John Scholken.
 Mack Abbanest.
 William Schwartz.
 H. Raeppe.
 Fitzsimmons & Co.
 Philip Sorger.
 John M. Muller.
 Jacob E. Prager.
 Sing Wah.
 Silvey Miceli.
 Leo Baumann.
 Henry Freeman.
 Isaac Koch.
 Vincenz Batemaro.
 Henry Hundguth.
 Simon Steingut.
 John M. Muller.
 Casper Stobel.
 Herman Hafker.
 Frederick Schmidt.
 Louis Mayer.
 Albert Lehman.
 Henry Rifel.
 Henry Parissette.
 Adolph C. Stranz.
 Moses Mendel & Co.
 Richard Brogan.
 Francis J. Brechtel.
 Max Heins.
 John Young.
 Gustav Hoefner.
 John J. Devoe.
 Theodore Holmes.
 John Young.
 Mitchell Wentworth.
 Henry Westphall.
 J. & A. Lichtenstein.
 B. Blackledge.

NINTH DISTRICT COURT.

The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York against—
 To be tried December 21, 1887.

George Black.
 Frederick Randolph.
 Elizabeth Ludlow.
 Samuel Morgenroth.
 John Lynch.
 Dederick Hunkin.
 Magdalena Decker.
 Archibald Jack.
 James Patton.
 Charles Mierisch.
 Mary J. Sheridan.
 Edward Best.
 Alfred Gerescher.
 Cornelius Merritt.
 Francis Murphy.
 John M. Shea.
 Leo Kolb.
 John Gessner.
 Frank D. Pease.
 Alfred Girscher.
 Moses H. Moses.
 William F. Burke.
 John Maguire.
 Thomas Stacom.
 Henry Sing.
 James Woods.
 Thomas McGivney.
 James H. Butler.
 Edward Reilly.
 August Schwenker.
 Herman Grieme.
 Henry Cohn.
 Morris Strausky.
 Henry Roberts.
 Eliza Wheeler.
 John H. Whittle.
 Thomas Jetter.
 James McCafferty.
 John Bannon.
 George Black.
 Henry Cohn.
 William F. Burke.
 Christopher Scheer.
 Charles B. Krum.
 Joseph P. Murray.

NEW YORK SUPREME COURT—GENERAL TERM.

The People ex rel. Joseph Harrington, appellant, against The Court of Special Sessions.	Writ of certiorari to review judgment convicting Joseph Harrington as a disorderly person.
---	--

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK.

The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York against Thomas J. Constantine and Andrew J. Constantine.	Action upon a bond.
--	---------------------

COURT OF GENERAL SESSIONS OF THE PEACE.

The People ex rel. Jennie Wilmot, respondent, against Charles Dando, appellant.	Appeal from an order of Messrs. Justices Smith and Ford, convicting the appellant of being the father of a bastard child.
The People ex rel. Pauline Posner, respondent, against Sigismund Fleischer, appellant.	Appeal from an order of Messrs. Justices Kilrath and Gorman, convicting the appellant of being the father of a bastard child.
The People ex rel. against John Lally.	Motion to commit the defendant for contempt of court.

Pending the reading of the statement, on motion of Alderman Quinn, the further reading was suspended, and the paper was ordered to be printed in the CITY RECORD and placed on file.

(G. O. 713.)

The President laid before the Board the following communication from the Department of Public Works:

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS—COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,
 NO. 31 CHAMBERS STREET,
 NEW YORK, December 17, 1887.

Hon. HENRY R. BEEKMAN, President Board of Aldermen:

SIR—Enclosed you will find an ordinance which I would like to have introduced by you or by any one of the Board whom you might select. This Department has been the victim of mistakes by the City Surveyors, upon whose certificates the Commissioner of Public Works signs for the quantities of work done, and it is extremely desirable to have this work done by our own Engineers, of whom higher qualifications are demanded. May I kindly ask your kind auspices in seeing this matter brought to a favorable conclusion.

Respectfully yours,

JOHN NEWTON, Commissioner of Public Works.

Whereupon the President offered the following:
 AN ORDINANCE authorizing the Department of the City Government having charge of local and general improvements to appoint and employ engineers and surveyors thereon.

The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York do ordain as follows:
 Section 1. The Department of Public Works, which has charge of local and general improvements, which are to be paid for in whole or in part by assessments, on property benefited, is hereby authorized and empowered to appoint and employ thereon salaried engineers and surveyors to make all surveys, plans, measurements and perform all engineering and surveying work connected therewith which is now done by city surveyors. The services so rendered by salaried engineers and surveyors shall be paid by the Comptroller from the funds provided for the works and improvements to which the said services have been applied, and the expense of such services shall be apportioned among the several works and improvements to which they have been applied, according to the extent and cost of each of such works and improvements, and shall be included in the assessments for the same.

Sec. 2. All ordinances or parts of ordinances inconsistent herewith, so far as they conflict with the preceding section, are hereby repealed.

Which was laid over.

(The Vice-President was here called to the chair.)

Alderman Mooney moved that the Board do now proceed to the consideration of Unfinished Business.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution. Which was decided in the affirmative.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS RESUMED.

Alderman Flynn called up G. O. 681, being a resolution, as follows:

Resolved, That the width of the sidewalk on the south side of Seventy-seventh street, from the Eighth to the Ninth avenue, be and is hereby established at the width of fifteen feet.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Farrell, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Goetz, Holland, Long, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, Tait, Van Rensselaer, and Walker—21.

Alderman Flynn called up G. O. 702, being a resolution, as follows:

Resolved, That the Comptroller be and he is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant in favor of D. T. Ames for the sum of two hundred dollars, to be in full payment for bill hereto annexed, for engraving and framing duplicate resolutions passed on the occasion of the death of Major Anderson, by the Common Council, the original having been destroyed by fire, and charge the amount to the appropriation heretofore made for that purpose.

Alderman Van Rensselaer moved to amend by striking out the words "death of" before the words "Major Anderson," and inserting in lieu thereof the words "defense of Fort Sumter by."

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said motion.

Which was decided in the affirmative.

The Vice-President then put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution as amended.

Which was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Farrell, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Goetz, Holland, Long, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, Tait, Van Rensselaer, and Walker—21.

The Vice-President called up G. O. 688, being a resolution, as follows:

Resolved, That the Comptroller be and he is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant in favor of Harris Brothers, for the sum of eighty dollars; Philip Collins, for eighty dollars; C. A. Johnson, Treasurer of the Unexcelled Fireworks Company, for ninety dollars; James J. O'Connor, for one hundred dollars, and James Wilson, for sixty-two dollars and fifty cents, to be in full for their respective bills hereto annexed, for services rendered and supplies furnished by order of the Committee on the occasion of the funeral of Alderman James J. Corcoran, and charge the amount to the appropriation for "City Contingencies."

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Farrell, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Goetz, Holland, Long, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, Tait, Van Rensselaer, and Walker—22.

The Vice-President called up G. O. 704, being a resolution and ordinance, as follows:

Resolved, That the sidewalks on the west side of Madison avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-seventh and One Hundred and Twenty-eighth streets, about two hundred and thirty feet in length, and the north side of One Hundred and Twenty-seventh street and the south side of One Hundred and Twenty-eighth street, about forty feet in length each, be flagged eight feet wide, and that the curb now on the street be reset and that new curb be furnished and set where the present curb is defective, as provided in section 321 of chapter 410, Laws of 1882, as amended by chapter 559 of the Laws of 1887, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works; and that the accompanying ordinance thereto be adopted.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Farrell, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Holland, Long, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, Tait, Van Rensselaer, and Walker—21.

The Vice-President called up G. O. 650, being a resolution and ordinance, as follows:

Resolved, That the vacant lots on west side Western Boulevard, from One Hundred and Forty-first to One Hundred and Forty-eighth street, be fenced in, where not already done, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works; and that the accompanying ordinance thereto be adopted.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the negative by the following vote:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Farrell, Menninger, John Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Van Rensselaer, and Walker—11.

Negative—Aldermen Dowling, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Holland, Long, Mooney, Smith, and Tait—8.

Alderman Oakley called up G. O. 689, being a resolution and ordinance, as follows:

Resolved, That One Hundred and Ninth street, from Eighth to Manhattan avenue, be regulated and graded, the curb-stones set and sidewalks flagged a space four feet wide through the centre thereof, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works; and that the accompanying ordinance thereto be adopted.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Farrell, Fitzgerald, Holland, Long, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, Tait, Van Rensselaer, and Walker—19.

Negative—Aldermen Flynn and Joseph Murray—2.

Alderman Oakley called up G. O. 658, being a resolution, as follows:

Resolved, That a free drinking-hydrant be placed on the Ninth avenue, between Seventy-ninth and Eightieth streets, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Farrell, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Holland, Long, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, Tait, Van Rensselaer, and Walker—20.

Alderman Dowling called up G. O. 459, being a preamble and resolution, as follows:

Whereas, It is necessary to increase the passenger elevator facilities in the New Court-house, and as it is impossible to make a sufficiently approximate estimate and specifications for the work to be done and materials to be furnished to form a proper basis for bids or proposals; therefore

Resolved, That authority is hereby given to the Commissioner of Public Works to have constructed and placed in the New Court-house two new passenger elevators in the place of the one now in use in said building, the work to be done by one or several contractors or orders, without public advertisement and letting and in such manner as the said Commissioner of Public Works may deem for the best interests of the city, including all the labor and materials necessary for the same, provided the sum or sums so expended shall not exceed the sum of six thousand (6,000) dollars, to be paid from the appropriation "Public Buildings—Construction and Repairs," 1887, as provided in section 64 of the New York City Consolidated Act of 1882.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Farrell, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Holland, Long, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Quinn, Smith, Tait, and Walker—19.

Negative—Aldermen Conkling, Goetz, Oakley, and Van Rensselaer—4.

Alderman Dowling called up G. O. 685, being a resolution, as follows:

Resolved, That the Comptroller be and he is hereby authorized and directed to draw a warrant in favor of Benjamin N. Hewlett for the sum of two hundred and twenty-five dollars, to be in full for annexed bill for engraving and framing resolutions passed by the Common Council on the occasion of the death of Alderman Peter B. Masterson, for presentation to his family, and charge the amount to the appropriation for "City Contingencies."

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said resolution.

Which was decided in the affirmative by the following vote:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Farrell, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Goetz, Holland, Long, Menninger, Mooney, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, Tait, Van Rensselaer, and Walker—22.

Alderman Holland called up G. O. 661, being an ordinance, as follows:

AN ORDINANCE to regulate the sales of vegetables, fruits and berries in the public markets and streets of the City of New York.

The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty do ordain as follows:

Section 1. No person shall sell, offer, or expose for sale any vegetables, fruits, or berries in barrels, baskets, crates or bags in any of the public markets of the City of New York, or within the limits thereof, or in any of the public streets of the City of New York, except the weight of the contents of such barrel, basket, crate or bag, shall be as follows:

Sec. 2. Every barrel of potatoes shall contain 180 pounds; every barrel of spinach shall contain 40 pounds; every barrel of sweet potatoes shall contain 160 pounds; every barrel of sprouts shall contain 50 pounds; every bushel of potatoes shall contain 60 pounds; every bushel of turnips, carrots, parsnips or beets shall contain 50 pounds; every bushel of sweet potatoes or onions shall contain 55 pounds; every bushel of tomatoes shall contain 60 pounds; every bag of string beans or wax beans shall contain 40 pounds; every bag of cranberry or lima beans or green peas shall contain 50 pounds.

Sec. 3. And that every barrel, bag, box, basket and crate of vegetables, fruit and berries offered or exposed for sale, or sold in any of the public markets of the City of New York, or within the limits thereof, or in any of the public streets in said city, in any other way than provided for in the previous sections, shall be labeled, stamped or marked outside thereof in plain letters and numerals of at least one inch in size with the actual and correct measurement or weight of the contents thereof.

Sec. 4. Any one violating any of the provisions of this ordinance, and any one offering or exposing for sale or selling any of the articles herein specified or mentioned in the places herein described, or in any other way than herein mentioned and prescribed, shall forfeit and pay a fine of ten dollars for each and every such offense.

Sec. 5. The Clerk of the Public Market of the City of New York is hereby directed to post in conspicuous places in the markets of this city copies of this ordinance.

Alderman Farrell moved to amend by striking out the figures "180" after the word "contain" in the first line of section 2, and insert the figures "172"; also by striking out, in said section 2, the words "sweet potatoes or."

Alderman Dowling moved to refer the whole subject to the Committee on Markets.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said motion.

Which was decided in the negative by the following vote:

Affirmative—Aldermen Dowling, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Long, Menninger, Mooney, Oakley, Tait, and Walker—10.

Negative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Farrell, Goetz, Holland, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Quinn, Smith, and Van Rensselaer—13.

Alderman Van Rensselaer moved to amend by adding section 6, as follows:

"Sec. 6. This ordinance shall take effect February 1, 1888."

Alderman Mooney moved to amend by striking out the words "barrel," "bushel," "basket," "box" or "package," and provide that all the articles enumerated in the ordinance be sold by the pound.

Alderman Conkling moved to amend by striking from the last line of section 3, the words "measurement or."

The Vice-President first put the question whether the Board would agree with the amendment of Alderman Farrell.

Which was decided in the affirmative on a division called by Alderman Mooney, as follows:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Farrell, Goetz, Holland, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Quinn, Smith, Tait, and Van Rensselaer—14.

Negative—Aldermen Dowling, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Long, Menninger, Mooney, Oakley, and Walker—8.

Alderman Flynn was excused from voting—1.

The Vice-President then put the question whether the Board would agree with the motion of Alderman Van Rensselaer.

Which was decided in the affirmative.

Alderman Walker moved that the whole matter be laid on the table.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said motion.

Which was decided in the negative on a division called by Alderman Fitzgerald, as follows:

Affirmative—Aldermen Dowling, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Long, Menninger, Mooney, Joseph Murray, Oakley, Tait, and Walker—11.

Negative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Farrell, Goetz, Holland, John Murray, Quinn, Smith, and Van Rensselaer—12.

Alderman Ficke moved that the Board do now adjourn.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said motion.

Which was decided in the negative on a division called by Alderman Menninger, as follows:

Affirmative—Aldermen Dowling, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Long, Menninger, Mooney, Tait, and Walker—8.

Negative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Farrell, Goetz, Holland, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, and Van Rensselaer—14.

Alderman Dowling moved that a special committee of three be appointed by the chair to prepare a suitable ordinance, and report at the next meeting of this Board.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said motion.

Which was decided in the negative on a division called by Alderman Van Rensselaer, as follows:

Affirmative—Aldermen Conkling, Corcoran, Dowling, Ficke, Flynn, Long, Menninger, Mooney, Oakley, Tait, and Walker—11.

Negative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Cowie, Farrell, Fitzgerald, Goetz, Holland, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Quinn, Smith, and Van Rensselaer—12.

Alderman Farrell moved the adoption of the ordinance as amended.

And, on his motion, called for the previous question.

Which having been duly seconded,

The Vice-President stated the question to be "Shall the main question be now put?"

And put the question whether the Board would agree with the motion for the main question.

Which was decided in the affirmative.

The Vice-President then put the question whether the Board would agree to adopt the ordinance as amended.

Which was decided in the negative on a division called by Alderman Farrell, as follows:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Cowie, Farrell, Goetz, Holland, John Murray, Quinn, Smith, and Van Rensselaer—10.

Negative—Aldermen Conkling, Corcoran, Dowling, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Long, Menninger, Mooney, Joseph Murray, Tait, and Walker—12.

Alderman Flynn moved a reconsideration of the above vote.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said motion.

Which was decided in the negative on a division called by Alderman Mooney, as follows:

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Aldermen Bennett, Farrell, Flynn, Holland, John Murray, Joseph Murray, Oakley, Quinn, Smith, and Van Rensselaer—11.

Negative—Aldermen Conkling, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Long, Menninger, Mooney, Tait, and Walker—11.

MESSAGES FROM HIS HONOR THE MAYOR.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor:

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 17, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen:

I return, without my approval, the resolution of the Board of Aldermen, adopted December 6, 1887, that the lamp-post and lamp now in front of No. 48 Second street, be moved and placed nearly in front of the entrance to No. 46 on said street, being the main entrance to the De La Salle Institute, and that an additional lamp-post and lamp be placed nearly in front of said institute.

The Commissioner of Public Works reports that this is a private institute used for educational purposes and is not of the city's public schools. Under these circumstances I cannot approve of that part of the resolution which provides for the placing of an additional lamp-post and lamp in front of said institute. If the resolution is amended so as to provide for the removal of the lamp now in front of No. 48 Second street to the front of the entrance to the said institute, it will receive my approval.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That the lamp-post and lamp now in front of No. 48 Second street be moved and placed nearly in front of the entrance to the De La Salle Institute, and that an additional lamp-post and lamp be placed also nearly in front of the entrance to No. 46 Second street, so that the two lamp-posts and lamps shall be in front of the said entrance to No. 46 Second street, the work to be done under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor:

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 17, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen:

I return, without my approval, the resolution of the Board of Aldermen, adopted December 6, 1887, that a lamp-post be erected and street-lamp placed thereon and lighted on the southeast corner of Lexington avenue and Seventy-eighth street.

The Commissioner of Public Works reports that there are now public lamps on the northeast and southwest corners of these streets, being the regular apportionment of lamps at street intersections. The additional and extra lamp is unnecessary. If this resolution were approved the precedent thus established would lead to a large increase of expenditure.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That a lamp-post be erected and street-lamp placed thereon and lighted on the southeast corner of Lexington avenue and Seventy-eighth street, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor :

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 19, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen :

I return, without my approval, the resolution of the Board of Aldermen, adopted December 13, 1887, giving permission to George Fritz to place and keep a barber-pole on the sidewalk, near the curb, in front of No. 168 East Thirty-third street.

While under the existing decisions of the Courts it may be lawful to authorize the placing of barber-poles upon the sidewalk, yet, as the object is the advertising of business, the result can be as well accomplished by placing the pole within the stoop-line. I do not think it expedient to authorize obstructions upon the sidewalk, which should be reserved for the free use of the public.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That permission be and the same is hereby given to George Fritz to place and keep a barber-pole on the sidewalk, near the curb, in front of No. 168 East Thirty-third street, provided such pole shall not be an obstruction to the free use of the street by the public, nor exceed eight feet in height ; such permission to continue only during the pleasure of the Common Council.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor :

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 19, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen :

I return, without my approval, the resolution of the Board of Aldermen, adopted December 6, 1887, that One Hundred and Twenty-seventh street, from the Boulevard to Manhattan street, be regulated and graded, etc.

The Commissioner of Public Works reports that One Hundred and Twenty-seventh street, between the points named in the resolution, has not been legally opened, and until the city acquires title to it no assessment can be levied for work done by the city, as required by the ordinance.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That One Hundred and Twenty-seventh street, from the Boulevard to Manhattan street, be regulated and graded, curb-stones set and sidewalks flagged a space four feet wide through the centre thereof, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works ; and that the accompanying ordinance therefor be adopted.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor :

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 15, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen :

I return herewith, without my approval, the resolution authorizing S. Epstein & Son to erect an awning in front of No. 99 Bowery.

The Bowery is one of the streets especially exempted by ordinance from the privilege of putting up awnings. The reason why awnings are prohibited upon this street is doubtless on account of the large amount of traffic which is carried on it that thoroughfare and which would be seriously interfered with by the erection of awnings. In an exception is made in any case it must be made in the case of all applicants, otherwise gross injustice would be done to the occupants of premises upon the line of the street. This grant is protested against by the neighbors in the vicinity as an unwarrantable interference with their rights. I am compelled, after due consideration, to come to the conclusion that these protestants are right in their opposition.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That permission be and is hereby given to S. Epstein & Son to place and keep an iron awning in front of their place of business, No. 99 Bowery, any ordinance heretofore passed by the Common Council to the contrary notwithstanding, the said awning to be erected in conformity with the provisions of the general ordinance relating to "the erection of awnings extending across the sidewalks and outside the stoop-lines in the City of New York, passed May 10, 1886," the permission hereby granted to continue only during the pleasure of the Common Council.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor :

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 17, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen :

I return, without my approval, the resolution of the Board of Aldermen, adopted December 6, 1887, that the grade of Eighty-fourth street, from Avenue B to the East river, be changed so as to conform with the red lines and red figures as shown in accompanying diagram.

The President of the Department of Docks reports that the red lines and figures on the diagram referred to show that at a point two hundred and fifty feet easterly of Avenue B, the elevation of Eighty-fourth street will be over thirty-three feet above mean high water.

Under the provisions of chapter 697 of the Laws of 1887, the Department of Docks constructed a plan for an exterior street along the East river, from Sixty-fourth to Eighty-sixth street, and said plan was submitted by that Department to the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund for their approval. The latter Commissioners now hold said plan under consideration. If this plan should be approved by the said Commissioners, and if the proposed grade of Eighty-fourth street should be executed, Eighty-fourth street would project into the proposed exterior street about thirty-two feet, at an elevation of over thirty-three feet above mean high water, while the grade of the proposed exterior street will be about five feet above mean high water.

It is recommended, therefore, that no action be taken by your Honorable Body upon the adoption of the proposed grade of Eighty-fourth street until some definite action is taken by the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund in relation to the plan for an exterior street herein referred to.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That the grade of Eighty-fourth street, from Avenue B to the East river, be changed so as to conform with the red lines and red figures as shown on the accompanying diagram, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor :

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 19, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen :

I return, without my approval, the resolution of the Board of Aldermen, adopted December 6, 1887, that the grade of Eighty-third street, from Avenue B to the East river, be changed so as to conform to the red lines and red figures as shown on an accompanying diagram.

The President of the Department of Docks reports that the diagram referred shows that at a point two hundred and twenty-five feet easterly of Avenue B the elevation of the street will be over thirty-seven feet above mean high water.

Under the provisions of chapter 697 of the Laws of 1887, the Commissioners of the Department of Docks made a plan for an exterior street along the East river, from Sixty-fourth street to Eighty-sixth street, which said plan was submitted to the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund for their approval, and which plan the latter Commissioners now hold under consideration. If this plan should be approved and the grade proposed for Eighty-third street executed, the latter street would project into the exterior street about sixty feet at an elevation of over thirty-seven feet above mean high water, while the grade of the exterior street would be about five feet above mean high water.

It is recommended therefore that no action upon the adoption of the proposed grade of Eighty-third street be taken by your Honorable Body until some action is taken by the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund in relation to the plan for an exterior street above mentioned.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That the grade of Eighty-third street, from Avenue B to the East river, be changed so as to conform to the red lines and red figures as shown on the accompanying diagram, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor :

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 17, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen :

I return, without my approval, the resolution of the Board of Aldermen, adopted December 6, 1887, that Fifty-sixth street, from Eleventh avenue to the bulkhead-line on the North river, be regulated and graded, etc.

The President of the Department of Docks reports that the established grade of Fifty-sixth street is at an elevation of about fourteen feet above mean high water at Twelfth avenue, whereas the

grade at which Twelfth avenue will be built by the Department of Docks as an exterior wharf, street or place, will be only five feet above mean high water. If Fifty-sixth street, between Eleventh avenue and the bulkhead-line, is graded to the established grade there will be a difference between its elevation at Twelfth avenue, from that of the avenue itself, of nine feet.

The bulkhead-line on the North river, as at present established, and upon which the Department of Docks will build the bulkhead or river-wall, is two hundred and fifty feet westerly of the easterly line of Twelfth avenue. If Fifty-sixth street is graded to this bulkhead-line, the Department of Docks will have to remove a large amount of the filling and grading in order to build the said bulkhead.

The President of the Department of Docks further reports that West Fifty-sixth street is filled in for about five hundred and fifty feet west of Eleventh avenue ; that a sewer is built about three hundred feet west of Eleventh avenue and that the sewage from said sewer runs in an open ditch or channel to the North river on the edge of the filling. It is impracticable to grade the street without first building a sewer. Original high-water mark line is about one hundred and eighty feet west of Eleventh avenue, outside and westerly of this line.

It is therefore recommended that the grade of Fifty-sixth street, west of Eleventh avenue, be first changed so that it will agree and harmonize with the proper grade of Twelfth avenue ; that the sewer should be built to a point from one hundred and five to one hundred and twenty-five feet west of the easterly line of Twelfth avenue, and that the street should be graded to about the same point.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That Fifty-sixth street, from the Eleventh avenue to the bulkhead-line on the North river, be regulated and graded, the curb-stones be set and the sidewalks be flagged a space four feet wide through the centre thereof, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works ; and that the accompanying ordinance therefor be adopted.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor :

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 16, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen :

I return herewith, without my approval, the resolution, accompanied by an ordinance, to regulate, grade and pave Forty-first street, from First avenue to the bulkhead-line on East river.

This particular property is subject to the same conditions as are specified in my previous message in relation to the paving of Fortieth street. A resolution unaccompanied by an ordinance would be approved, because it would not release the grantees of the water grant from the obligations imposed thereby. In this particular case, however, I am informed by the Equitable Gas-light Company that, as the owners of the property fronting on Forty-first and Fortieth streets, they have procured from the Commissioner of Public Works a permit to pave Fortieth street, from First avenue to East river, with trap-block pavement, and have already had the plan and profile prepared. It would seem, therefore, that any resolution upon this subject is unnecessary, because the grantees are actually engaged in the execution of the work. If there should be any delay, however, I will approve a resolution requiring the proper legal officers of the City Government to enforce the obligations of the contract.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That Forty-first street, from the First avenue to the bulkhead-line on the East river, be regulated and graded, the curb-stones be set and the sidewalks be flagged a space four feet wide through the centre thereof, where not already done, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works ; and that the accompanying ordinance therefor be adopted.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor :

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 16, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen :

I return herewith, without my approval, a resolution accompanied by an ordinance to pave Fortieth street, from First avenue to East river, with trap-blocks.

The original high-water mark on East Fortieth street is at a point about 280 feet east of First avenue. A water grant was made to Mrs. Gertrude Cutting on the 31st day of January, 1852, extending from the middle of East Thirty-ninth street to the middle of East Forty-second street, and from original high-water mark to the exterior street. As a condition of the grant, the grantee covenants that she will, at her own cost and charge, build, erect and finish, according to any resolution or ordinance of the city already passed or adopted, or that may hereafter be passed or adopted, good and sufficient bulkheads, wharves, streets or avenues, which shall form so much and such parts of East Thirty-ninth, Fortieth, Forty-first, and Forty-second streets and the avenue, as fall within the premises above described and reserved, and will, at her own proper cost and expense, uphold and keep in good order and repair the whole of those parts of the said streets which the said party of the second part covenanted and agreed to make, erect and build as aforesaid, and will at all times forever hereafter obey, fulfill and observe such ordinances, resolutions, orders and directions which the said government shall from time to time enact and pass and make relative thereto. From these covenants it appears that the grantee must do the regulating, grading, paving, etc., referred to in the resolution and ordinance in question, whenever so ordered by the city, at her own cost and expense so far as it is outside of high-water mark.

A simple resolution requiring the pavement between the east side of First avenue and the bulkhead-line with trap-block pavement would be unobjectionable. Such a resolution would be notice to the grantee and her assigns to do the work required by the condition of the grant. But this resolution is accompanied by an ordinance requiring the city to do the work and to assess the cost thereof upon the property benefited thereby. The effect of this ordinance will be to relieve the grantee and her assigns from the obligations of the grant and to impose upon the city an expense which could not, so far as I am advised, be collected from the property benefited. A resolution therefore of the Common Council, directing the work to be done at the cost of the parties responsible therefor under the grant, will be approved ; but the ordinance now submitted to me and returned herewith cannot be approved.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That the carriage-way of Fortieth street, from the crosswalk at the east side of First avenue to the bulkhead-line on the East river, be paved with trap-block pavement, under the direction of the Commissioner of Public Works ; and that the accompanying ordinance therefor be adopted.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

The President laid before the Board the following message from his Honor the Mayor :

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, December 17, 1887.

To the Honorable the Board of Aldermen :

I return, without my approval, the resolution of the Board of Aldermen, adopted December 6, 1887, that water-mains be laid in (Cliff street), East One Hundred and Sixty-first street, from Grove avenue to Eagle avenue, and in Caldwell avenue, from Cliff street, south to One Hundred and Sixtieth street.

The Commissioner of Public Works reports that it is proper that the mains be laid in Cliff street, but that Caldwell avenue, between Cliff and One Hundred and Sixtieth street, is not graded, the rock surface being five feet above grade. The line of Caldwell avenue is also to be changed. Under these circumstances, no mains should be laid in Caldwell avenue at the present time.

The resolution, therefore, should be amended so as to provide for the laying of water-mains in Cliff street only.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

Resolved, That water-mains be laid in (Cliff street) East One Hundred and Sixty-first street, from Grove avenue (to which point mains are now laid) to Eagle avenue, and in Caldwell avenue, from Cliff street south to One Hundred and Sixtieth street, pursuant to section 356 of the New York City Consolidation Act.

Which was laid over, ordered to be printed in the minutes and published in full in the CITY RECORD.

MOTIONS AND RESOLUTIONS RESUMED.

Alderman Joseph Murray moved that the Board do now adjourn.

The Vice-President put the question whether the Board would agree with said motion. Which was decided in the affirmative on a division called by Alderman Menninger, as follows :

Affirmative—Vice-President Divver, Alderman Bennett, Corcoran, Cowie, Dowling, Farrell, Ficke, Fitzgerald, Flynn, Holland, Menninger, John Murray, Joseph Murray, and Walker—14.

Negative—Aldermen Mooney, Smith, Tait, and Van Rensselaer—4.

And the Vice-President announced that the Board stood adjourned until Tuesday, December 27, 1887, at 1 o'clock P. M.

FRANCIS J. TWOMEY, Clerk.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

The following schedules form a report of the transactions of the office of the Counsel to the Corporation for the week ending December 10, 1887:
The Mayor, Aldermen and Commonality of the City of New York are defendants, unless otherwise mentioned.

SCHEDULE "A."

SUITS AND SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED.

SUPREME COURT.

Michael Roche—Summons only served.
 Abraham S. Jackson vs. John A. Lee—Attachment.
 The Citizens' Insurance Company of New York—That assessment for Boulevard sewers between One Hundred and Sixth and One Hundred and Fifty-third streets, on Ward Nos. 62, 63 and 64, Block 1183, be declared void, and to recover back, etc., \$481.81.
 Frank E. Bean—Damages caused by removal of platform-scales, etc., from bulkhead at foot of East Forty-second street by Department of Public Works.
 Peo. ex rel. John H. Walsh vs. Stephen B. French and others, constituting the Board of Police, etc.—Certiorari to review removal of relator, a patrolman, from the force November 21, 1887, on charge of assaulting a citizen.
 Peo. ex rel. Patrick Masterson vs. Stephen B. French and others, constituting the Board of Police, etc.—Certiorari to review removal of a patrolman from the force November 29, 1887, on charge of drunkenness.
 Le Roy King and others, as executors of the last will and testament of Edward King, deceased—That assessment for regulating, grading, etc., Seventy-third street, from Eighth avenue to Harlem river, on Ward Nos. 47 to 54, Block 161, be declared void and to recover back, etc., \$5,340.46.
 Mary E. Zimmerman and others—That assessment for Boulevard sewers, between Ninety-second and One Hundred and Sixth streets, on Ward Nos. 42 and 46, Block 1145, be declared void and to recover back, etc., \$611.62.
 William R. Morgan—That assessment for Sixty-sixth street outlet sewer, from Eighth to Tenth avenue, on Ward Nos. 33 to 36, Block 108, Twenty-second Ward, be declared void and to recover back, etc., \$210.72.
 Stephen Smith—For excess of assessment paid for regulating, grading, etc., Ninety-ninth street, between Eighth and Eleventh avenues, on Ward Nos. 5 and 6, Block 1026, \$197.27.
 In re petition of John S. Bassett—To vacate an assessment for One Hundred and Fifteenth street regulating, etc., Tenth avenue and westerly side of avenue west of Morningside Park.
 In re petition of Thomas C. Joyce—To vacate an assessment for One Hundred and Fifty-sixth street regulating, etc., Kingsbridge road to Boulevard.
 In re petition of John A. Bull—To vacate an assessment for One Hundred and Fifty-seventh street regulating, etc., from Tenth to Eleventh avenue.
 In re petition of John Daly—To vacate an assessment for One Hundred and Fifty-eighth street regulating, from Tenth avenue to Kingsbridge road.

SUPERIOR COURT.

Edward F. Eberstadt—Damages for alleged personal injuries sustained from falling into hole on sidewalk, in West Twenty-third street, between Eighth and St. Nicholas avenues, March 15, 1887, \$20,000.
 George G. Coffin—That assessment be vacated for sewer in Tenth avenue, between Seventy-fifth and Seventy-seventh streets, on Ward Nos. 49, 50 and 51, Block 164, and to recover back, etc., \$80.82.
 George G. Coffin—That assessment be vacated for paving Seventy-sixth street, between Eighth avenue and Riverside Drive, on Ward Nos. 47, 48, 49, 50 and 51, Block 164, and to recover back, etc., \$496.63.
 George G. Coffin—That assessment be vacated for sewer in Seventy-fifth street with branches, etc., on Ward Nos. 9 to 18, Block 164, and to recover back, etc., \$2,890.45.
 George G. Coffin—That assessment be vacated for regulating, grading, etc., Seventy-sixth street, from Eighth avenue to Riverside Drive, on Ward Nos. 47 to 51, Block 164, and to recover back, etc., \$1,317.88.
 George G. Coffin—That assessment be vacated for regulating, grading, etc., Seventy-fifth street, from Eighth avenue to Tenth avenue, on Ward Nos. 9 to 18, Block 164, and to recover back, etc., \$5,535.10.
 Leopold Erlebein—That assessment be vacated for One Hundred and Eighth street outlet sewer, on Ward No. 10, Block 1152, and to recover back, etc., \$530.86.
 Henry W. Unger—Balance due on account of services rendered in the District Attorney's office, between July 22, 1885 and July 30, 1887, \$3,443.95.

CITY COURT.

Sebastian G. Brinkman vs. Henry S. Eisler—For labor and services performed and materials furnished in construction of awning in front of No. 67 Bowery, June, 1887, afterwards removed by Department of Public Works, \$200.89.

SCHEDULE "B."

JUDGMENTS ENTERED AND ORDERS OF THE GENERAL AND SPECIAL TERMS.

Charles H. Cashman—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff for \$76.13 without trial; letter to Comptroller.
 Charles E. Tripler—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff for \$103.59 without trial; letter to Comptroller.
 Isabella S. Tripler—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff for \$37.32 without trial; letter to Comptroller.
 Michael H. Cashman—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff for \$146.77 without trial; letter to Comptroller.
 Frank O. Burridge—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff for \$1,350 upon offer.
 In re Randolph B. Martine, executor, etc., Ninety-second and One Hundred and Sixth streets underground drains—Order entered dismissing petition without costs by consent.
 Rose Brady—Judgment entered in favor of the City dismissing complaint and for \$107.10 costs, etc.
 Austin Gibbins vs. Broadway and Seventh Avenue Railroad Co. and others—Order entered discontinuing action without costs by consent.
 In re Annie M. Alexander, Tenth avenue regulating—Order entered dismissing petition without costs by consent.
 In re Joseph G. Mills, Sixty-sixth street outlet sewer—Order entered reducing assessment pursuant to decision in re Merriam.
 In re James A. Deering, Broadway sewers—Order entered reducing assessment pursuant to decision in re Merriam.
 Henry Gessel—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff pursuant to settlement between Finance and Law Departments.
 People ex rel. The Whiting Manufacturing Co. vs. Michael Coleman et al., Tax Commissioners, capital stock, year 1887—Order entered discontinuing proceedings without costs by consent.
 Elizabeth W. Pilon—Judgment entered in favor of the plaintiff for \$6,622 without trial; letter to Comptroller.
 Harriet A. Walter, executrix, etc.—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff for \$2,380.04 without trial; letter to Comptroller.
 August P. Wagener vs. John F. Harriot, Property Clerk, etc.—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff for \$485 after trial before Adams, J.
 People ex rel. Forty-second and Grand Street Ferry Railroad Company vs. Tax Commissioners—Order entered discontinuing action without costs by consent.
 William H. Nesbit and another—Order entered discontinuing action without costs by consent.
 Mary N. Townsend—Order entered discontinuing action without costs upon payment of award.
 Lucene A. Gunning—Entered order discontinuing action without costs by consent.
 Adolph Waldman—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff without trial; letter to Comptroller.
 Frederick W. Loew et al., executrix, etc.—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff declaring assessment invalid, for Seventy-fourth and Ninety-second streets, Sixty-second and Sixty-eighth streets and Seventy-third and Eighty-first streets underground drains, and that plaintiff recover back \$806.17 with \$149.33 costs, after trial before Donohue, J.
 Annie B. Phelps—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff declaring assessment invalid for regulating, etc., Broadway, Thirty-second to Fifty-ninth street, on plaintiff's lots and to recover back \$1,314.82 with \$153.83 costs, after trial before Donohue, J.
 In re Manhattan College, Broadway sewers—Order entered reducing assessment pursuant to decision in re Merriam.
 In re Charles Sedgwick, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re Thomas D. Smith, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re James H. Gaffney, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re A. J. Peabody, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re J. A. Weeks, Jr., One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.

In re Lena Lehmaier, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re John J. Palmer, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re Bernard Mayer, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re George A. Morrison, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re John Van Dolsen, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re Alexander Perry, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re John Murphy and ano., One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re Annie P. Stetson, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re Daniel F. Tieman, Boulevard tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re Moses Sahlien, Boulevard tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re Reformed Dutch Church of Bloomingdale, Boulevard tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re Eugene S. Ballin and another, Boulevard tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re John H. Morns, executor, Boulevard tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re Fannie McCormack, Boulevard tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re Charles F. Willis, Boulevard tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.
 In re H. C. Ogden, Boulevard tree-planting—Order entered dismissing petition without costs upon motion made before Lawrence, J.

SCHEDULE "C."

SUITS AND SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS TRIED OR ARGUED.

Matter St. Mary's German Church (Father Stumpf)—Hearing proceeded; testimony closed; T. P. Wickes for the City.
 John G. Janny—Tried before Barrett, J., and jury; complaint dismissed; J. J. Townsend, Jr., for the City.
 Ann Rohling—Tried before Barrett, J., and jury; verdict for the City; J. J. Townsend, Jr., for the City.
 Charles W. Clarke—Argued at General Term; decision reserved; W. Carmalt for the City.
 Otto Wunderlich—Tried before Wheeler, J., and jury; verdict for plaintiff for \$300; D. J. Dean and H. W. Wheeler for the City.
 Henry K. S. Williams—Reference proceeded three hours and adjourned to 16th, at 11 o'clock A. M.; F. A. Irish for the City.
 William M. Kingsland—Reference proceeded three hours and adjourned to 16th, at 11 o'clock A. M.; F. A. Irish for the City.
 In re Charles Sedgwick, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Thomas D. Smith, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re James H. Gaffney, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re A. J. Peabody, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re J. A. Weeks, Jr., One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Lena Lehmaier, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re John J. Palmer, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Bernard Mayer, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re George A. Morrison, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re John Van Dolsen, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Alexander Perry, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re John Murphy and another, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Annie P. Stetson, One Hundred and Tenth street tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Daniel F. Tieman, Boulevard tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Moses Sahlien, Boulevard tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Reformed Dutch Church of Bloomingdale, Boulevard tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Eugene S. Ballin and another, Boulevard tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re John H. Morns, executor, Boulevard tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Fannie McCormack, Boulevard tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re Charles F. Willis, Boulevard tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 In re H. C. Ogden, Boulevard tree-planting—Motion to dismiss petition made before Lawrence, J.; granted; G. L. Sterling for the City.
 Matter Harlem River Improvement, petition of United States—Motion for confirmation of report made before Lawrence, J.; granted; City interposed no opposition; R. L. Wensley for the City.

MORGAN J. O'BRIEN, Counsel to the Corporation.

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

The Board of Police met on the 13th day of December, 1887.
 Present—Commissioners French, Porter, McClave and Voorhis.

Leave of Absence Granted.

Patrolman Henry Norton, Sixth Precinct, three months' extension sick leave.

Sundry reports ordered on file and copies to be forwarded to the Mayor.
 Report of the Superintendent, enclosing \$70 fees for masked balls, was referred to the Treasurer to pay into the Pension Fund.

Deaths Reported.

Patrolman John Peters, Thirty-third Precinct, on 10th instant.
 " Thomas Hogan, Thirtieth Precinct, on 11th instant.

Mask Ball Permits Granted.

Antonio Podesta, at Tammany Hall, December 19. Fee, \$25.
 Samuel Newman, at Irving Hall, January 14. Fee, \$25.
 Application of Patrolman George A. Schenck, Eighteenth Precinct, for promotion, was referred to the Board of Examiners for citation.
 Application of F. F. Cook, General Agent Hospital Saturday and Sunday Association, for detail of an officer, was ordered on file. See detail.
 Application of William F. Andrews for permission to copy testimony in case of William Gibson, was granted.

THIRD DISTRICT COURT.

John Pender }
 vs. } Summons and complaint.
 Property Clerk. }
 Referred to the Counsel to the Corporation.

Communications Ordered on File.

Department of Public Works—On condition of pavement foot of East Eighth street.
Stephen S. Haight—Relative to Patrolman Jerome T. Grant, Thirty-fourth Precinct.
J. H. Graham—Relative to appointment on the force.

Communications Referred to the Superintendent for Action.

From the Mayor—Sundry complaints.

From Board of Excise—Licenses rejected, seven cases; relative to illegal sales of liquors by Pop Warren, No. 127 West Thirty-second street; asks evidence in charges against George Schuster, No. 15 Chrystie street; asks information as to George S. Swallow, No. 3 Barclay street; relative to unlicensed saloon of Henry Voss, No. 1887 First avenue.

Department of Public Works—Relative to use of fire-hydrants during the winter months.

Resolved, That the northern boundary of the Twenty-third Precinct and the southern boundary of the Twenty-fifth Precinct shall be the centre line of East Fifty-ninth street.

Resolved, That a division wall be constructed on the line of the lots dividing the property occupied by the Fire and Police Departments on East Sixty-seventh street, under direction of the Chairman of the Committee on Repairs and Supplies, and at a cost not to exceed \$985.

Resolved, That permission be and is hereby given (the same to be and remain only at the pleasure of the Board of Police) to the Metropolitan Telephone and Telegraph Company, to place two 2½ inch pipes horizontally across the area and extend the same perpendicularly against the rear wall and over the roof of the Station house of the Second Precinct, in an easterly direction, without any expense to this Department or any damage to the property in its control; the same to be done under the supervision and direction of the Chairman of the Committee on Repairs and Supplies.

Resolved, That full pay while sick be granted to Patrolman George Brodenck, Ninth Precinct, from November 12, to December 1, 1887—all aye.

Advanced to First Grade.

Patrolman Jacob G. Mohr, Second Precinct, December 9, 1887.

" John F. Malarkey, Fourth Precinct, December 8, 1887.

" Edward F. Conroy, Sixth Precinct, December 5, 1887.

" James B. Jones, Twenty-fifth Precinct, November 30, 1887.

Resolved, That the Captain of the Fifteenth Precinct be directed to station a Patrolman at the crossing Fifth avenue and Eighth street, each day, from 9.20 A. M. to 12 M. and 2.20 P. M. to 6 P. M.; said Patrolman to be taken from the reserve in the Station-house.

Transfers, etc.

Captain Moses W. Cortright, from Thirty-fourth Precinct to Thirty-second Precinct.

Sergeant William H. Webb, from Thirty-fourth Precinct to Twelfth Precinct.

" Patrick Connor, from Twelfth Precinct to Thirty-fourth Precinct, in command.

Patrolman George Snyder, from Fifth Precinct to Eighth Precinct.

Dooman Charles Flood, from Fourth Precinct to Central Office.

Roundsman Josiah H. Sprague, from Thirty-fourth Precinct, remand to patrol and transfer by Superintendent.

Patrolman James Adams, Twenty-third Precinct, detail for Hospital Saturday and Sunday Association, from December 15 to January 5.

Resolved, That the Committee of Surgeons be directed to examine the following applicants for appointment as Patrolmen:

W. H. Sullivan.

Abraham Wurtzberg.

Resolved, That the pension of Annie Gallagher, widow of Thomas Gallagher, be and is hereby increased from \$300 to \$600 per annum, from December 9, 1887—all aye.

Pensions Granted—all aye.

Margaret Maguire, widow of Patrick Maguire, late Patrolman, \$300 per year, from November 2, 1887.

Maggie Doorley, widow of James Doorley, late Patrolman, \$300 per year, from October 24, 1887.

Mary Jane Haley, widow of Owen Haley, late Detective Sergeant, \$300 per year, from October 14, 1887.

Euphemia M. Davis, widow of David C. Davis, late pensioner, \$300 per year, from October 30, 1887.

Mary J. Van Tassel, widow of Oscar Van Tassel, late pensioner, \$300 per year, from November 11, 1887.

Jacob Baker, guardian of children of Mary W. Dyckman, late pensioner, \$300 per year, from July 24, 1887, to be divided equally among the children under eighteen years of age.

William Minnick, guardian of children of Ellen Minnick, late pensioner, \$300 per year, from June 20, 1887, to be divided equally among the children under eighteen years of age.

Judgments—Fines imposed.

Patrolman Jerome T. Grant, Fifth Precinct, drinking in uniform, five days' pay.

" John J. O'Brien, Sixth Precinct, assaulted girl, five days' pay.

" Eugene Mahoney, Sixth Precinct, assaulted woman, ten days' pay.

" George Noll, Eighth Precinct, off post, asleep, ten days' pay.

" George Dennerlein, Eighth Precinct, drinking in uniform, ten days' pay.

" Daniel C. Moynihan, Thirty-fourth Precinct, failed to make charges, five days' pay.

" Josiah H. Sprague, Thirty-fourth Precinct, drinking in uniform, ten days' pay.

" George B. Daniels, Twenty-fifth Precinct, assaulted man, three days' pay.

Sergeant William H. Webb, Thirty-fourth Precinct, failed to make entry in blotter, ten days' pay.

Reprimand.

Patrolman John J. Curran, Second Precinct, refused to pay car-fare.

Complaints Dismissed.

Patrolman William F. O'Neill, Sixteenth Precinct, assaulted citizen.

" John Kennedy, Twentieth Precinct, assaulted citizen.

Adjourned.

WILLIAM H. KIPP, Chief Clerk.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT.

BALANCES IN BANK AT CLOSE OF BUSINESS, DECEMBER 19, 1887.

CHAMBERLAIN'S OFFICE.

Banks.		
Bank of North America.....	\$140,000 00	National Broadway Bank..... \$484,049 87
Bank of the State of New York.....	90,000 00	National Shoe and Leather Bank..... 148,000 00
Bowery National Bank.....	144,000 00	Ninth National Bank..... 171,000 00
Central National Bank.....	198,000 00	Oriental Bank..... 95,000 00
Chase National Bank.....	140,000 00	Phoenix National Bank..... 180,000 00
Chatham National Bank.....	140,000 00	Seaboard National Bank..... 105,000 00
Continental National Bank.....	200,000 00	St. Nicholas Bank..... 115,000 00
Corn Exchange Bank.....	122,000 00	Third National Bank..... 140,000 00
First National Bank.....	417,000 00	Tradesmen's National Bank..... 50,000 00
Fourth National Bank.....	594,077 47	Western National Bank..... 205,000 00
Garfield National Bank.....	75,000 00	Irving National Bank..... 50,000 00
Gallatin National Bank.....	400,000 00	Fifth National Bank..... 25,000 00
Hanover National Bank.....	351,700 00	Market National Bank..... 145,000 00
Importers and Traders' National Bank..	942,000 00	
Lincoln National Bank.....	172,000 00	Trust Companies.
Mechanics and Traders' Bank.....	70,000 00	Central Trust Company..... 499,975 00
Mechanics' National Bank.....	483,000 00	Knickerbocker Trust Company..... 50,000 00
Mercantile National Bank.....	205,000 00	Mercantile Trust Company..... 366,055 00
Manhattan Company.....	482,700 00	Metropolitan Trust Company..... 90,000 00
Merchants' Exchange National Bank....	140,000 00	Union Trust Company..... 375,000 00
National Bank of the Republic.....	370,000 00	Atlantic Trust Company..... 50,000 00
		\$9,128,557 34

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT.

MAYOR'S OFFICE, NEW YORK, November 28, 1887.

Whereas, It is provided in and by section twelve of chapter seven hundred and eighteen of the laws of eighteen hundred and eighty-seven, entitled "An Act to provide for the recording and indexing of conveyances and instruments relating to land in the City of New York, according to limited areas," that if it shall be determined by the Mayor of said city and the Register of the City and County of New York that said act cannot go into operation at the time therein designated therefore, by reason of the non-completion of the map or indices referred to in said act, or for any other reason, thereupon said Mayor, by notice published in the CITY RECORD, in the City of New York, for thirty days prior to the expiration of said time, may extend the time for said act to go into operation, and for the books, maps and indices in said act referred to be completed, not exceeding six months from the time in said act designated therefore, and that said act, upon such publication, shall thereupon go into operation in said Register's office at the time so to be designated by said Mayor; and

Whereas, The said Mayor and Register have determined that said act cannot go into operation at the time therein designated therefore, by reason of the non-completion of said map and indices, and for other reasons;

Now, therefore, I, Abram S. Hewitt, Mayor of the City of New York, do hereby give notice that I have extended and do hereby extend the time for said act to go into operation, and for said books, maps and indices to be completed, to the thirtieth day of June, in the year one thousand eight hundred and eighty-eight, which is hereby designated by me as the date upon which said act shall go into operation in said Register's office.

ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor.

FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT.

DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE FOURTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT,
COR. SECOND AVE. AND FIRST ST.,
NEW YORK, December 20, 1887.

To the Supervisor of the City Record of the City of New York:

DEAR SIR—I hereby inform you that I have this day appointed Julius Harburger to the office of Clerk of the District Court for the Fourth Judicial District in the City of New York, in the place of Bernard Kourike, who resigned said office on the 10th day of December, 1887.

Yours, respectfully,
ALFRED STECKLER.

CIVIL SERVICE SUPERVISORY AND EXAMINING BOARDS.

CITY OF NEW YORK—CIVIL SERVICE
SUPERVISORY AND EXAMINING BOARDS,
SECRETARY'S OFFICE,
ROOM 11, CITY HALL,
NEW YORK, June 3, 1887.

THOMAS COSTIGAN, Esq.,
Supervisor City Record:

DEAR SIR—The following amendment to Regulation 16 of the New York City Civil Service Regulations has been made:

If the appointing officer shall notify the Secretary of more than one vacancy at any one time, the Secretary shall certify to the appointing officer for appointment, the names of as many persons as there are vacancies to be filled, with the addition of two names for the first vacancy and one name for every two vacancies in addition to the first.

Yours respectfully,
LEE PHILLIPS,
Secretary and Executive Officer.

CITY OF NEW YORK—CIVIL SERVICE
SUPERVISORY AND EXAMINING BOARDS,
SECRETARY'S OFFICE,
ROOM 11, CITY HALL,
NEW YORK, May 31, 1887.

THOMAS COSTIGAN, Esq.,
Supervisor:

DEAR SIR—The following resolution was passed by the Supervisory Board at their meeting, held May 27, 1887:

"Resolved, That in view of the inadequate space in the Secretary's office and in order to enable him more readily to discharge the business of the same, the Secretary is authorized to arrange the business of the office so that the same shall be open for personal interviews with applicants and the public during a part of the day only."

Pursuant to the above action, I hereby designate the two hours between 2 and 4 o'clock in afternoon as the time for which the offices shall be open for personal interviews with applicants and the public.

Very respectfully,
LEE PHILLIPS,
Secretary and Executive Officer.

OFFICIAL DIRECTORY.

STATEMENT OF THE HOURS DURING WHICH all the Public Offices in the City are open for business, and at which each Court regularly opens and adjourns, as well as of the places where such offices are kept and such Courts are held; together with the heads of Departments and Courts.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT.

Mayor's Office.

No. 6 City Hall, 10 A. M. to 3 P. M.
ABRAM S. HEWITT, Mayor; ARTHUR BERRY, Secretary and Chief Clerk.

Mayor's Marshal's Office.

No. 1 City Hall, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
THOMAS W. BYRNES, First Marshal.
GEORGE W. BROWN, Jr., Second Marshal.

COMMISSIONERS OF ACCOUNTS.

Rooms 114 and 115, Stewart Building, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
WM. PITT SHEARMAN, J. B. ADAMSON.

AQUEDUCT COMMISSIONERS.

Room 809, Stewart Building, 5th floor, 9 A. M. to 5 P. M.
JAMES C. SPENCER, President; JOHN C. SHEEHAN, Secretary; BENJAMIN S. CHURCH, Chief Engineer; J. C. LULLIV, Auditor.

BOARD OF ARMORY COMMISSIONERS.
THE MAYOR, Chairman; PRESIDENT OF DEPARTMENT OF TAXES AND ASSESSMENTS, Secretary.
Address M. COLEMAN, Staats Zeitung Building, Tryon Row. Office hours, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.; Saturdays, 9 A. M. to 12 M.

LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.
Office of Clerk of Common Council.
No. 8 City Hall, 10 A. M. to 4 P. M.
HENRY R. BEEKMAN, President Board of Aldermen
FRANCIS J. TWOMEY, Clerk Common Council.

City Library.
No. 12 City Hall, 10 A. M. to 4 P. M.
BERNARD JACOBS, City Librarian.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.
Commissioner's Office.
No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
JOHN NEWTON, Commissioner; D. LOWBER SMITH, Deputy Commissioner.

Bureau of Chief Engineer.
No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
GEORGE W. BIRDSALL, Chief Engineer.

Bureau of Water Register.
No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
JOHN H. CHAMBERS, Register.

Bureau of Street Improvements.
No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
WM. M. DEAN, Superintendent.

Engineer-in-Charge of Sewers.
No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
HORACE LOOMIS, Engineer-in-Charge.

Bureau of Repairs and Supplies.
No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
WILLIAM G. BERGEN, Superintendent.

Bureau of Water Purveyor.
No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
ALSTON CUTLER, Water Purveyor.

Bureau of Lamps and Gas.
No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
STEPHEN MCCORMICK, Superintendent.

Bureau of Streets.
No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
GEO. E. BABCOCK, Superintendent.

Bureau of Incumbrances.
No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
JOHN RICHARDSON, Superintendent.

Keeper of Buildings in City Hall Park.
MARTIN J. KESSE, City Hall.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT.
Comptroller's Office.

No. 15 Stewart Building, Chambers street and Broadway, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
EDWARD V. LOEW, Comptroller; RICHARD A. STOKES, Deputy Comptroller.

Auditing Bureau.
Nos. 29, 21, 23 Stewart Building, Chambers street and Broadway, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
WILLIAM J. LYON, First Auditor.
DAVID E. AUSTIN, Second Auditor.

Bureau for the Collection of Assessments and Arrears of Taxes and Assessments and of Water Rents.
Nos. 31, 33, 35, 37, 39 Stewart Building, Chambers street and Broadway, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
ARTEMUS S. CADY, Collector of Assessments and Clerk of Arrears.

Bureau for the Collection of City Revenue and of Markets.
Nos. 1 and 3 Stewart Building, Chambers street and Broadway, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

JAMES J. KEISO, Collector of the City Revenue and Superintendent of Markets
GRAHAM MCADAM, Chief Clerk.

Bureau for the Collection of Taxes.
No. 57 Chambers street and No. 35 Reade street, Stewart Building.
GEORGE W. MCLEAN, Receiver of Taxes; ALFRED VREDENBURGH, Deputy Receiver of Taxes.

Bureau of the City Chamberlain.
Nos. 25, 27 Stewart Building, Chambers street and Broadway, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
WM. M. IVINS, City Chamberlain.

Office of the City Paymaster.
No. 33 Reade street, Stewart Building.
JOHN H. TIMMERMAN, City Paymaster.

LAW DEPARTMENT.
Office of the Counsel to the Corporation.
Staats Zeitung Building, third floor, 9 A. M. to 5 P. M.
Saturdays, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
MORGAN J. O'BRIEN, Counsel to the Corporation
ANDREW T. CAMPBELL, Chief Clerk.

Office of the Public Administrator.
No. 40 Beekman street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
RICHARD J. MORRISON, Public Administrator.

Office of the Corporation Attorney.
No. 40 Beekman street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
WILLIAM A. BOYD, Corporation Attorney.

POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Central Office.
No. 300 Mulberry street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
STEPHEN B. FRENCH, President; WILLIAM H. KIPP, Chief Clerk; JOHN J. O'BRIEN, Chief (Bureau of Elections).

DEPARTMENT OF CHARITIES AND CORRECTION.

Central Office.

No. 66 Third Avenue, corner Eleventh Street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
 CHARLES E. SIMMONS, President; GEORGE F. BRITTON, Secretary.
 Purchasing Agent, FREDERICK A. CUSHMAN. Office hours, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M. Closed Saturdays, 12 M.
 Contracts, Proposals and Estimates for Work and Materials for Building, Repairs and Supplies, Bills and Accounts, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M. Closed Saturdays, 12 M.
 RUFUS L. WILDER, General Bookkeeper and Auditor.

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Office hours for all except where otherwise noted from 9 A. M. to 4 P. M. Saturdays, 12 M.

Headquarters.

Nos. 157 and 159 East Sixty-seventh Street.
 HENRY D. PURROY, President; CARL JUSSEN, Secretary.

Bureau of Chief of Department.

CHARLES O. SHAY, Chief of Department.

Bureau of Inspector of Combustibles.

PETER SEERY, Inspector of Combustibles.

Bureau of Fire Marshal.

GEORGE H. SHERIDAN, Fire Marshal.

Bureau of Inspection of Buildings.

ALBERT F. D'ONCH, Superintendent of Buildings.

Attorney to Department.

WM. L. FINDLEY.

Fire Alarm Telegraph.

J. ELLIOT SMITH, Superintendent.
 Central Office open at all hours.

Repair Shops.

Nos. 128 and 130 West Third Street.
 JOHN CASTLES, Foreman-in-Charge, 8 A. M. to 5 P. M.

Hospital Stables.

Ninety-ninth Street, between Ninth and Tenth Avenues.
 JOSEPH SHERR, Foreman-in-Charge.
 Open at all hours.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

No. 201 Mott Street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.
 JAMES C. BAYLES, President; EDMONDS CLARK, Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

Emigrant Industrial Savings Bank Building, Nos. 49 and 51 Chambers Street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

M. C. D. BORDEN, President; CHARLES DE F. BURNS, Secretary.

Civil and Topographical Office.

Arsenal, Sixty-fourth Street and Fifth Avenue, 9 A. M. to 5 P. M.

Office of Superintendent of 23d and 24th Wards.

One Hundred and Forty-sixth Street and Third Avenue, 9 A. M. to 5 P. M.

DEPARTMENT OF DOCKS.

Battery, Pier A, North River, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

L. J. N. STARK, President; G. KEMBLE, Secretary.
 Office hours from 9 A. M. to 4 P. M. daily, except Saturdays, on Saturdays as follows: from October 1 to June 1, from 9 A. M. to 3 P. M.; from June 1 to September 30, from 9 A. M. to 12 M.

DEPARTMENT OF TAXES AND ASSESSMENTS.

Stark Building, Tryon Row, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M. Saturdays, 12 M.

MICHAEL COLEMAN, President; FLOPP T. SMITH, Secretary.

Office Bureau Collection of Arrears of Personal Taxes.
 Brown-Stone Building, City Hall Park, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

CHARLES S. BEARDSLEY, Attorney; WILLIAM COMBES, Clerk.

DEPARTMENT OF STREET CLEANING.

Nos. 31 and 33 Park Row, "World" Building, Rooms 1, 2 and 3, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

JAMES S. COLEMAN, Commissioner; JACOB SEABOLD, Deputy Commissioner; R. W. HOKNER, Chief Clerk.

CIVIL SERVICE SUPERVISORY AND EXAMINING BOARDS.

Room No. 11, City Hall.

EVERETT P. WHEELER, Chairman of the Supervisory Board; LEE PHILLIPS, Secretary and Executive Officer.

BOARD OF ESTIMATE AND APPORTIONMENT.

Office of Clerk, Staats Zeitung Building, Room 5.

The Mayor, Chairman; CHARLES V. ADEE, Clerk.

REGISTER'S OFFICE.

East Side City Hall Park, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

JAMES J. SLEVIN, Register; JAMES J. MARTIN, Deputy Register.

COMMISSIONER OF JURORS.

Room 127, Stewart Building, Chambers Street and Broadway, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

CHARLES REILLY, Commissioner; JAMES E. CONNER, Deputy Commissioner.

COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE.

Nos. 7 and 8 New County Court-house, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

JAMES A. FLACK, County Clerk; THOMAS F. GILROY, Deputy County Clerk.

DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

Second floor, Brown-Stone Building, City Hall Park, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

RANDOLPH B. MARTINE, District Attorney; ANDREW D. PARKER, Chief Clerk.

THE CITY RECORD OFFICE.

And Bureau of Printing, Stationery, and Blank Books.

No. 2 City Hall, 9 A. M. to 5 P. M., except Saturdays, on which days 9 A. M. to 3 P. M.

THOMAS COSTIGAN, Supervisor; R. P. H. ABELL, Book-keeper.

BOARD OF ASSESSORS.

Office City Hall, Room No. 1135, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

EDWARD GILSON, Chairman; WM. H. JASPER, Secretary.

BOARD OF EXCISE.

No. 54 Bond Street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

CHARLES H. WOODMAN, President; DAVID S. WHITE, Secretary and Chief Clerk.

SHERIFF'S OFFICE.

Nos. 3 and 4 New County Court-house, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

HUGH J. GRANT, Sheriff; JOHN B. SEXTON, Under Sheriff; BERNARD F. MARTIN, Order Arrest Clerk.

CORONERS' OFFICE.

Nos. 13 and 15 Chatham Street, 8 A. M. to 5 P. M. Sundays and holidays, 8 A. M. to 12 M. 30 P. M.
 MICHAEL J. B. MESSEMER, FERNAND LEVY, FREDERICK EDMAN, JOHN R. NUGENT, CORONERS; JOHN T. TOAL, Clerk of the Board of Coroners.

SUPREME COURT.

Second floor, New County Court-house, opens at 10.30 A. M.

FLACK, Clerk; THOMAS F. GILROY, Deputy County Clerk.

General Term, Room No. 9, WILLIAM LAMB, Jr., Clerk.

Special Term, Part I., Room No. 10, HUGH DONNELLY, Clerk.

Special Term, Part II., Room No. 18, WILLIAM J. HILL, Clerk.

Chambers, Room No. 12, WALTER BRADY, Clerk.

Circuit, Part I., Room No. 12, SAMUEL BARRY, Clerk.

Circuit, Part II., Room No. 14, RICHARD J. SULLIVAN, Clerk.

Circuit, Part III., Room No. 13, GEORGE F. LYON, Clerk.

Circuit, Part IV., Room No. 15, J. LEWIS LYON, Clerk.

Judges' Private Chambers, Rooms Nos. 19 and 20, EDWARD J. KNIGHT, Librarian.

SUPERIOR COURT.

Third floor, New County Court-house, 11 A. M.

General Term, Room No. 35.

Chambers, Room No. 33, 10 A. M.

Part I., Room No. 34.

Part II., Room No. 35.

Part III., Room No. 36.

Judges' Private Chambers, Rooms No. 30.

Naturalization Bureau, Room No. 32.

Clerk's Office, Room No. 31, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

JOHN SEDGWICK, Chief Judge; THOMAS BOESE, Chief Clerk.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC PARKS.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC PARKS,
 Nos. 49 and 51 CHAMBERS STREET,
 New York, December 14, 1887.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE COMMISSIONERS of the Department of Public Parks, in the City of New York, will, at their office, Nos. 49 and 51 Chambers Street, in the Emigrant's Savings Bank Building, in said city, on Wednesday, January 11, 1888, at 11 o'clock A. M., hear and consider all statements, objections and evidence that may then and there be offered in reference to the contemplated change and closing of that part of Queens Road, known as Vanderbilt Avenue, West and Bathgate Avenue in the Twenty-fourth Ward of said City, in pursuance of the provisions of chapter 721 of the Laws of 1887.

The map showing the contemplated change is now on exhibition in said office.

M. C. D. BORDEN,
 WALDO HUTCHINS,
 THEODORE W. MYERS,
 J. HAMPDEN ROBB,
 Commissioners of Public Parks.

CITY OF NEW YORK, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC PARKS,
 Nos. 49 and 51 CHAMBERS STREET,
 December 10, 1887.

PARTIES INTERESTED IN THE PROPOSED grade of Brookline Street, from Kingsbridge Road to Webster Avenue, in the Twenty-fourth Ward, are requested to call at the office of the Commissioners of Public Parks, Nos. 49 and 51 Chambers Street, within ten days from date, to examine the map showing the same, and make known their views in relation thereto.

By order of the Commissioners of Public Parks.

CHARLES DE F. BURNS,
 Secretary.

CITY OF NEW YORK, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC PARKS,
 Nos. 49 and 51 CHAMBERS STREET,
 December 8, 1887.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE COMMISSIONERS of the Department of Public Parks, in the City of New York, will, on the 28th day of December, 1887, at 11 o'clock A. M., at their office in the Emigrant's Savings Bank Building, Nos. 49 and 51 Chambers Street, in said city, hear and consider all statements, objections and evidence that may then and there be offered in reference to a proposed change in the grades of Railroad Avenue, East, from East One Hundred and Fifty-eight to East One Hundred and Sixty-first Street, and on the intersecting streets from Railroad Avenue, East, to Courtland Avenue, in the Twenty-third Ward, in accordance with the provisions of chapter 721 of the Laws of 1887.

A map showing the contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

Dated New York, December 8, 1887.

M. C. D. BORDEN,
 WALDO HUTCHINS,
 THEODORE W. MYERS,
 J. HAMPDEN ROBB,
 Commissioners of Public Parks.

CITY OF NEW YORK, DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC PARKS,
 Nos. 49 and 51 CHAMBERS STREET,
 December 8, 1887.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE COMMISSIONERS of the Department of Public Parks, in the City of New York, will, at their office, Nos. 49 and 51 Chambers Street, in the Emigrant's Savings Bank Building, in said city, at 11 o'clock A. M., on the 28th day of December, 1887, attend and hear and consider all statements, objections and evidence which may be then and there offered in reference to the contemplated change, alteration, amendment and revision, under the authority of chapter 721 of the Laws of 1887, of the maps or plans heretofore adopted by said Department by authority of law, showing streets, avenues, roads, etc., in that part of the "Suyten Duyvil District," in the Twenty-fourth Ward, bounded on the north by River Avenue, on the east by the Hudson River, on the south by the Hudson River, and on the west by the Hudson River.

The map showing such contemplated change is on exhibition in said office. The contemplated change consists in changing the location, width, course, windings and lines of certain streets, avenues, roads, etc., now running through, or in the vicinity of, the lands belonging to the estate of the late William Lewis Morris, in discontinuing and closing certain portions of the same, and in laying out or extending others to take the places of the same.

The map showing such contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The contemplated change is on exhibition in said office.

The general character and extent of the contemplated change is as follows:

To discontinue and close portions of Sheridan, Mott, Claremont, Highwood and Overlook Avenues, Juliet Street, Marchwood Place, Mars Crescent and Cannon Crescent.

To change Juliet, One Hundred and Sixty-second and One Hundred and Sixty-third Streets, Endrow, Woolf and Clark Places, Cromwell Avenue, Mott and Sheridan Avenues, and to change the class of "Inwood Avenue."

M. C. D. BORDEN,
 THEODORE W. MYERS,
 J. HAMPDEN ROBB,
 Commissioners of Public Parks.

BOARD OF EDUCATION.

SEALED PROPOSALS WILL BE RECEIVED BY the School Trustees for the Twelfth Ward, at the Hall of the Board of Education, corner of Grand and Elm Streets, until Wednesday, December 28, 1887, and until 9.30 o'clock A. M. on said day, for Altering and Enlarging Grammar School House No. 54, corner Tenth Avenue and One Hundred and Fourth Street.

Plans and specifications may be seen, and blanks for proposals and all necessary information may be obtained at the office of the Superintendent of School Buildings, No. 146 Grand, corner of Elm Street.

The Trustees reserve the right to reject any or all of the proposals submitted.

The party submitting a proposal, and the parties proposing to become sureties, must each write his name and place of residence on said proposal.

Two responsible and approved sureties, residents of this city, are required in each case.

No proposals will be considered from persons whose character and antecedent dealings with the Board of Education render their responsibility doubtful.

JOHN WHALEN,
 ANTONIO KASINES,
 DAVID H. KNAPP,
 ROBERT E. STEEL,
 WM. E. SILLINGS,
 Board of School Trustees, Twelfth Ward.

Dated New York, December 15, 1887.

BOARD OF ESTIMATE AND APPORTIONMENT.

NOTICE TO TAXPAYERS.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE COMMISSIONERS of the Board of Estimate and Apportionment will meet on Wednesday, December 14, 1887, at 2 o'clock P. M., and daily thereafter, to consider the Final Estimate for the year 1888, when opportunity will be afforded citizens and taxpayers to be heard in relation thereto.

CHAS. V. ADEE, Clerk.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

REGULATIONS ESTABLISHING A SCALE OF WATER RENTS AND RULES GOVERNING THE USE OF WATER, FOR THE CITY OF NEW YORK, BY ORDER OF JOHN NEWTON, COMMISSIONER OF PUBLIC WORKS.

UNDER CHAPTER 410, LAWS 1882, SECTIONS 320, 351, 352 and 353, and as amended by chapter 559, LAWS 1887, as follows:

The commissioner of public works shall, from time to time, establish scales of rents for the supply of water, which rents shall be collected in the manner now provided by law, and which shall be apportioned to different classes of buildings in said city in reference to their dimensions, values, uses, and the ordinary use for dwellings, stores, shops, private stables and other common purposes, number of families or occupants, or consumption of water, as near as may be practicable, and modify, alter, amend and increase such scale from time to time, and extend it to other descriptions of buildings and establishments. All extra charges for water shall be deemed to be included in the regular rents, and shall be collected as such.

Such regular rents, including the extra charges above mentioned, shall be collected from the owners or occupants of all such buildings respectively, which shall be situated upon lots adjoining any street or avenue in said city in which the discharging water-pipes are or may be laid, and from which they can be supplied with water.

Said rents, including the extra charges aforesaid, shall become a charge and lien upon such houses and lots, as respects the water supplied, but no charge whatever shall be made against any building in which a water-meter may have been, or shall be placed as provided in this act. In all such cases the charge for water shall be determined only by the quantity of water actually used as shown by said meters.

***** The said commissioner of public works is hereby authorized to prescribe a penalty not exceeding the sum of five dollars for each offense, for permitting water to be wasted, and for any violation of such reasonable rules as he may, from time to time, prescribe for the prevention of the waste of water: such fines shall be added to the regular water rents.

The regular annual rents to be collected by the Department of Public Works shall be as follows, to wit:

Croton Water Rates for Buildings from 16 to 50 feet, all others not specified subject to Special Rates.

FRONT WIDTH.

1 Story. 2 Stories. 3 Stories. 4 Stories. 5 Stories.

16 feet and under \$4 00 \$5 00 \$6 00 \$7 00 \$8 00

16 to 18 feet.... 5 00 6 00 7 00 8 00 9 00

18 to 20 feet.... 6 00 7 00 8 00 9 00 10 00

20 to 22 feet.... 7 00 8 00 9 00 10 00 11 00

22 to 24 feet.... 8 00 9 00 10 00 11 00 12 00

24 to 26 feet.... 9 00 10 00 11 00 12 00 13 00

26 to 28 feet.... 10 00 11 00 12 00 13 00 14 00

28 to 30 feet.... 11 00 12 00 13 00 14 00 15 00

30 to 32 feet.... 12 00 13 00 14 00 15 00 16 00

32 to 34 feet.... 13 00 14 00 15 00 16 00 17 00

34 to 36 feet.... 14 00 15 00 16 00 17 00 18 00

36 to 38 feet.... 15 00 16 00 17 00 18 00 19 00

38 to 40 feet.... 16 00 17 00 18 00 19 00 20 00

40 to 42 feet.... 17 00 18 00 19 00 20 00 21 00

42 to 44 feet.... 18 00 19 00 20 00 21 00 22 00

44 to 46 feet.... 19 00 20 00 21 00 22 00 23 00

46 to 48 feet.... 20 00 21 00 22 00 23 00 24 00

48 to 50 feet.... 21 00 22 00 23 00 24 00 25 00

50 to 52 feet.... 22 00 23 00 24 00 25 00 26 00

52 to 54 feet.... 23 00 24 00 25 00 26 00 27 00

54 to 5

- 10 dozen Extract Lemon.
15 dozen Extract Vanilla.
50 dozen Canned String Beans.
50 dozen Canned Lima Beans.
50 dozen Canned Corn.
50 dozen Canned Peas.
50 dozen Canned Peaches.
50 dozen Canned Pears.
50 dozen Canned Salmon.
50 dozen Canned Tomatoes.
50 dozen Sea Foam.
50 dozen Chow Chow, "C. & B."
50 dozen Worcester's Sauce, "L. & P."
50 dozen Gherkins.
50 dozen Gelatine.
3 cases Sardines, halves.
100 pieces prime quality city cured Bacon, to average about 6 pounds each.
100 prime city cured Smoked Hams, to average about 14 to 15 pounds each.
100 prime city cured Smoked Tongues, to average about 6 pounds each.
5,800 dozen Fresh Eggs, all to be candled.
1,300 barrels good Irish Potatoes, to weigh 175 pounds net per barrel.
100 barrels prime Red Onions, 150 pounds net per barrel.
200 barrels Carrots, 750 pounds net per barrel.
100 heads prime good-sized Cabbage.
200 bags Bran, 50 pounds net each.
100 bags Fine Meal, 100 pounds net each.
100 bags Corn Meal, 50 pounds net each.
1,000 bushels Oats, 30 pounds net per bushel.
500 bales prime quality long, bright Rye Straw, tare not to exceed three pounds; weight to average as received at Blackwell's Island.
100 bales prime quality Timothy Hay, tare and weight same as on straw.
100 bags Prime Brick.
100 barrels prime quality Charcoal, 3 bushels each.
120 dozen Shoe Blacking.
- CROCKERY.
5 gross Handled Mugs.
4 gross Chambers.
2 gross Bed Pans.
3 gross Spit Cups.
2 gross Feed Cups.
500 Pitchers, 1 quart.
2 gross Pitchers, 2 quarts.
2 gross Pitchers, 3 quarts.
3 gross Tumblers.
2 gross Male Urinals.
2 gross Female Urinals.
10 gross Dinner Plates.
5 gross Soup Plates.
10 gross Bowls.
4 gross Ewers.
1 gross Basins.
5 gross Cups.
5 gross Saucers.
16 gross Spittoons.
5 gross Lantern Globes.
- DRY GOODS.
50,000 yards Brown Muslin.
50,000 yards Bandage Muslin.
5,000 yards Bleached Muslin.
5,000 yards Stillwater Muslin.
100 pieces Cheap Muslin.
15,000 yards U. G. Cassimere.
12,000 yards Brown Cassimere.
10,000 yards Awning Stripes.
5,000 yards Tickling.
5,000 yards Cotton Jeans.
5,000 yards Linsey Woolsey.
25,000 yards Light Calico.
5,000 yards Brown Denims.
1,000 yards Red Flannel.
500 yards White Flannel.
20,000 yards Canton Flannel.
200 yards Crash.
1,500 pairs Colored Blankets.
500 pairs White Blankets.
400 Rubber Blankets—eyelets all around.
500 pairs Bed Blankets.
2 U. S. A. Overcoats.
350 dozen Kent Shirts.
500 dozen Buttoned Socks.
50 dozen pairs Boys' Socks, Nos. 8½, 9, 9½.
50 dozen pairs Women's Stockings.
50 dozen pairs Girls' Stockings, Nos. 6 to 8.
500 dozen Knives and Forks.
400 pounds pure S. A. Curled Hair.
100 dozen Cotton Mops.
100 dozen Handkerchiefs.
10 bales Cotton batts, 50 lbs. each, 16 oz. to the pound.
5 bales Cotton Duck, No. 4.
5 bales Cotton Duck, No. 10.
500 yards Lincolning.
150 dozen O. N. T. Spool Cotton, No. 30, 125 white, 25 black.
100 dozen Basting Cotton.
100 great gross Buttons, B 22.
50 great gross Suspender Buttons.
100 gross Coat Buttons.
100 gross Dress Buttons.
100 gross Pantaloon Buckles.
200 packs Pins.
100 gross Safety Pins, 50 each Nos. 3 and 4.
35,000 sewing Needles, No. 30, 3, 10 No. 4, 5 No. 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12.
- 500 gross Fine Combs.
500 gross Plantation Combs.
50 gross Dressing Combs.
100 gross Barber's Combs.
200 gross Cotton Shoe Laces.
24 dozen Hair Brushes.
- HARDWARE.
500 pounds Sash Cord.
10 dozen Scoop Shovels.
10 dozen Flat Shovels.
10 kgs best quality Cut Nails, 6d.
10 kgs best quality Cut Nails, 8d.
20 kgs best quality Cut Nails, rod.
14 dozen Knives and Forks, 72 dozen each.
6 dozen Razors.
6 dozen Razor's Trimmers, 8-inch.
6 dozen each Paper saw Files, 4, 5 and 6 inch.
10 dozen Glass Cutters.
1 dozen Brass Padlocks, "Mail," 2 keys each.
1 dozen Table Saws.
20 gross Tea Spoons.
100 quires Emery Cloth, assorted.
120 dozen Tin Dinner Forks.
- IRON AND TIN.
20 coils Bright Iron Wire, 5 each Nos. 4, 6, 8 and 10.
5 boxes best quality Charcoal Tin, IX, 14 x 20.
10 boxes best quality Charcoal Tin, IX, 10 x 14.
10 boxes best quality Charcoal Tin, IX, 12 x 12.
10 boxes best quality Charcoal Tin, IXX, 12 x 12.
5 pigs best quality Block Tin.
20 sheets best quality Tinned Copper.
- LEATHER AND FINDINGS.
200 bunches Leather Shoe Laces.
500 gross Shoe Binding.
500 sides Good Damaged Sole Leather, to weigh 21 to 25 pounds each.
300 sides good quality Waxed Upper Leather, to average about 17 feet.
300 sides prime quality Waxed Pig Leather, to average about 17 feet.
2,000 pounds Offal Leather.
1,000 pounds first quality Iron Shoe Nails, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 4

having abandoned it, and as in default to the Corporation, and the contract will be readjusted and relet as provided by law.

Bidders will write out the amount of their estimate in addition to inserting the same in figures.

Payment will be made by a requisition on the Comptroller, in accordance with the terms of the contract, from time to time, as the Commissioners may determine.

The form of the contract, including specifications, and showing the manner of payment, or it may be obtained at the office of the Department, and bidders are especially cautioned to examine each and all of its provisions carefully, as the Board of Public Charities and Correction will insist upon its absolute enforcement in every particular.

Dated New York, December 15, 1887.

CHARLES E. SIMMONS, President,
HENRY H. PORTER, Commissioner,
THOMAS S. BRENNAN, Commissioner,
Public Charities and Correction.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION,
No. 66 THIRD AVENUE.

TO CONTRACTORS.

PROPOSALS FOR DRY GOODS.

SEALED BIDS OR ESTIMATES FOR FURNISHING

DRY GOODS.

1,500 pairs Grey Blankets,
500 pairs White Blankets.

—will be received at the Department of Public Charities and Correction, in the City of New York, until 9:30 o'clock A. M. of Tuesday, December 27, 1887. The person or persons making the estimate shall be accompanied by the same in a sealed envelope, indorsed "Bid or Estimate for Dry Goods," with his or their name or names, and the date of presentation, to the head of said Department, at the said office, and the bids or estimates received will be publicly opened by the President of said Department and read.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ALL BIDS OR ESTIMATES IF DEEMED TO BE FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 64, CHAPTER 410, LAWS OF 1882.

No bid or estimate will be accepted from, or a contract awarded to, any person who is in arrears to the Corporation upon debt or contract, or who is a defaulter, as surety or otherwise, upon any obligation to the Corporation.

The award of the contract will be made as soon as practicable after the opening of the bids.

Delivery will be required to be made from time to time, and in such quantities as may be directed by the said Commissioners.

Any bidder for this contract must be known to be engaged in and well prepared for the business, and must have satisfactory testimonials to that effect; and the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded will be required to give security for the performance of the contract by his or their bond, with two sufficient sureties, in the penal amount of fifty (50) per cent. of the ESTIMATED amount of the contract.

Each bid or estimate shall contain and state the name and place of residence of each of the persons making the same; the names of all persons interested with him or her therein; and if no other person be so interested, it shall distinctly state that fact; also that it is made without any connection with any other person making an estimate for the same purpose, and that no member of the Common Council, Head of a Department, Chief of a Bureau, Deputy thereof or Clerk therein, or other officer of the Corporation, is directly or indirectly interested therein, or in the supplies or work to which it relates, or in any portion of the profits thereof. The bid or estimate must be verified by the oath, in writing, of the party or parties making the estimate, that the several matters stated therein are in all respects true. Where more than one person is interested, it is requisite that the verification be made and subscribed by all the parties interested.

Each bid or estimate shall be accompanied by the consent, in writing, of two householders or freeholders in the City of New York, with their respective places of business or residence, to the effect that if the contract is awarded to the person making the estimate, they will, on its being so awarded, become bound as his sureties for its faithful performance; and that if he shall omit or refuse to execute the same, they shall pay to the Corporation any difference between the sum to which he would be entitled on its completion, and that which the Corporation may be obliged to pay to the person or persons to whom he consents to execute the same, or to any subsequent letting; the amount in each case to be calculated upon the estimated amount of supplies by which the bids are tested. The consent above mentioned shall be accompanied by the oath or affirmation, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same that he is a householder or freeholder in the City of New York, and is worth the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such oaths and affirmations, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same, shall be enclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the Estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York, as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but, if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid, the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

No bid or estimate will be considered unless accompanied by either a certified check upon one of the National or State banks of the City of New York, drawn to the order of the Comptroller, or money, to the amount of five per centum of the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such check or money must not be enclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the Estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York, as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but, if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid, the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

Should the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded neglect or refuse to accept the contract within five days after written notice that the same has been awarded to his or their bid or proposal, or if he or they accept but do not execute the contract and give the proper security, he or they shall be considered as having abandoned it and as in default to the Corporation, and the contract will be readjusted and relet as provided by law.

Bidders are cautioned to examine the specifications for particulars of the articles, etc., required, before making their estimates.

Bidders will state the price for each article, by which the bids will be tested.

Bidders will write out the amount of their estimate in addition to inserting the same in figures.

Payment will be made by a requisition on the Comptroller, in accordance with the terms of the contract, from time to time, as the Commissioners may determine.

BIDDERS ARE INFORMED THAT NO DEVIATION FROM THE SPECIFICATIONS WILL BE ALLOWED, UNLESS UNDER THE WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS OF THE COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION.

The form of the agreement, including specifications, and showing the manner of payment, can be obtained at the office of the Department.

Dated New York, December 15, 1887.
CHARLES E. SIMMONS, President,
HENRY H. PORTER, Commissioner,
THOMAS S. BRENNAN, Commissioner,
Public Charities and Correction.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION,
No. 66 THIRD AVENUE.

TO CONTRACTORS.

PROPOSALS FOR FRESH COW'S MILK, 1888.

SEALED BIDS OR ESTIMATES FOR FURNISHING Fresh Cow's Milk for the year 1888, will be received at the office of the Department of Public Charities and Correction, No. 66 Third Avenue, in the City of New York, until 9:30 o'clock A. M., of Wednesday, December 28, 1887. The person or persons making any bid or estimate shall furnish the same in a sealed envelope, indorsed "Bid or Estimate for Fresh Cow's Milk for Bellevue Hospital, etc., for the year 1888," and with his or their name or names, and the date of presentation, to the head of said Department, at the said office, on or before the day and hour above named, at which time and place the bids or estimates received will be publicly opened by the President of said Department and read.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ALL BIDS OR ESTIMATES IF DEEMED TO BE FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 64, CHAPTER 410, LAWS OF 1882.

No bid or estimate will be accepted from, or a contract awarded to, any person who is in arrears to the Corporation upon debt or contract, or who is a defaulter, as surety or otherwise, upon any obligation to the Corporation.

The award of the contract will be made as soon as practicable after the opening of the bids.

Delivery will be required to be made from time to time, and in such quantities as may be directed by the said Commissioners.

Any bidder for this contract must be known to be engaged in and well prepared for the business, and must have satisfactory testimonials to that effect; and the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded will be required to give security for the performance of the contract by his or their bond, with two sufficient sureties, in the penal amount of TEN THOUSAND (\$10,000) DOLLARS.

Each bid or estimate shall contain and state the name and place of residence of each of the persons making the same; the names of all persons interested with him or her therein; and if no other person be so interested, it shall distinctly state that fact; also that it is made without any connection with any other person making an estimate for the same purpose, and that no member of the Common Council, Head of a Department, Chief of a Bureau, Deputy thereof or Clerk therein, or other officer of the Corporation, is directly or indirectly interested therein, or in the supplies or work to which it relates, or in any portion of the profits thereof. The bid or estimate must be verified by the oath, in writing, of the party or parties making the estimate, that the several matters stated therein are in all respects true. Where more than one person is interested, it is requisite that the verification be made and subscribed by all the parties interested.

Each bid or estimate shall be accompanied by the consent, in writing, of two householders or freeholders in the City of New York, with their respective places of business or residence, to the effect that if the contract is awarded to the person making the estimate, they will, on its being so awarded, become bound as his sureties for its faithful performance; and that if he shall omit or refuse to execute the same, they shall pay to the Corporation any difference between the sum to which he would be entitled on its completion, and that which the Corporation may be obliged to pay to the person or persons to whom he consents to execute the same, or to any subsequent letting; the amount in each case to be calculated upon the estimated amount of the Fresh Cow's Milk by which the bids are tested. The consent above mentioned shall be accompanied by the oath or affirmation, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same that he is a householder or freeholder in the City of New York, and is worth the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such oaths and affirmations, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same, shall be enclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the Estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York, as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but, if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid, the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

No bid or estimate will be considered unless accompanied by either a certified check upon one of the National or State banks of the City of New York, drawn to the order of the Comptroller, or money, to the amount of five per centum of the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such check or money must not be enclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the Estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York, as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but, if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid, the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

Should the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded neglect or refuse to accept the contract within five days after written notice that the same has been awarded to his or their bid or proposal, or if he or they accept but do not execute the contract and give the proper security, he or they shall be considered as having abandoned it and as in default to the Corporation, and the contract will be readjusted and relet as provided by law.

Bidders will write out the amount of their estimate in addition to inserting the same in figures.

Payment will be made by a requisition on the Comptroller, in accordance with the terms of the contract.

The form of the contract, including specifications, and showing the manner of payment, can be obtained at the office of the Department, and bidders are cautioned to examine each and all of its provisions carefully, as the Board of Public Charities and Correction will insist upon its absolute enforcement in every particular.

Dated New York, December 15, 1887.

CHARLES E. SIMMONS, President,
HENRY H. PORTER, Commissioner,
THOMAS S. BRENNAN, Commissioner,
Public Charities and Correction.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION,
No. 66 THIRD AVENUE.

TO CONTRACTORS.

PROPOSALS FOR POULTRY FOR THE YEAR 1888.

SEALED BIDS OR ESTIMATES FOR FURNISHING Poultry for the year ending December 31, 1888, will be received at the office of the Department of Public Charities and Correction, No. 66 Third Avenue, in the City of New York, until 9:30 o'clock A. M., of Wednesday

December 28, 1887. The person or persons making any bid or estimate shall furnish the same in a sealed envelope, indorsed "Bid or Estimate for Poultry for the Year 1888," and with his or their name or names, and the date of presentation, to the head of said Department, at the said office, on or before the day and hour above named, at which time and place the bids or estimates received will be publicly opened by the President of said Department, and read.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ALL BIDS OR ESTIMATES IF DEEMED TO BE FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 64, CHAPTER 410, LAWS OF 1882.

No bid or estimate will be accepted from, or a contract awarded to, any person who is in arrears to the Corporation upon debt or contract, or who is a defaulter, as surety or otherwise, upon any obligation to the Corporation.

The award of the contract will be made as soon as practicable after the opening of the bids.

Delivery will be required to be made from time to time, and in such quantities as may be directed by the said Commissioners.

Any bidder for this contract must be known to be engaged in and well prepared for the business, and must have satisfactory testimonials to that effect; and the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded will be required to give security for the performance of the contract by his or their bond, with two sufficient sureties, each in the penal amount of FIVE THOUSAND (\$5,000) DOLLARS.

Each bid or estimate shall contain and state the name and place of residence of each of the persons making the same; the names of all persons interested with him or her therein; and if no other person be so interested, it shall distinctly state that fact; also that it is made without any connection with any other person making an estimate for the same purpose, and that no member of the Common Council, Head of a Department, Chief of a Bureau, Deputy thereof or Clerk therein, or other officer of the Corporation, is directly or indirectly interested therein, or in the supplies or work to which it relates, or in any portion of the profits thereof. The bid or estimate must be verified by the oath, in writing, of the party or parties making the estimate, that the several matters stated therein are in all respects true. Where more than one person is interested, it is requisite that the verification be made and subscribed by all the parties interested.

Each bid or estimate shall be accompanied by the consent, in writing, of two householders or freeholders in the City of New York, with their respective places of business or residence, to the effect that if the contract is awarded to the person making the estimate, they will, on its being so awarded, become bound as his sureties for its faithful performance; and that if he shall omit or refuse to execute the same, they shall pay to the Corporation any difference between the sum to which he would be entitled on its completion, and that which the Corporation may be obliged to pay to the person or persons to whom he consents to execute the same, or to any subsequent letting; the amount in each case to be calculated upon the estimated amount of the Poultry by which the bids are tested. The consent above mentioned shall be accompanied by the oath or affirmation, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same that he is a householder or freeholder in the City of New York, and is worth the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such oaths and affirmations, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same, shall be enclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the Estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York, as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but, if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid, the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

No bid or estimate will be considered unless accompanied by either a certified check upon one of the National or State banks of the City of New York, drawn to the order of the Comptroller, or money, to the amount of five per centum of the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such check or money must not be enclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the Estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York, as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but, if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid, the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

Should the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded neglect or refuse to accept the contract within five days after written notice that the same has been awarded to his or their bid or proposal, or if he or they accept but do not execute the contract and give the proper security, he or they shall be considered as having abandoned it and as in default to the Corporation, and the contract will be readjusted and relet as provided by law.

Bidders will write out the amount of their estimate in addition to inserting the same in figures.

Payment will be made by a requisition on the Comptroller, in accordance with the terms of the contract.

The form of the contract, including specifications, and showing the manner of payment, can be obtained at the office of the Department, and bidders are cautioned to examine each and all of its provisions carefully, as the Board of Public Charities and Correction will insist upon its absolute enforcement in every particular.

Dated New York, December 15, 1887.

CHARLES E. SIMMONS, President,
HENRY H. PORTER, Commissioner,
THOMAS S. BRENNAN, Commissioner,
Public Charities and Correction.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION,
No. 66 THIRD AVENUE.

TO CONTRACTORS.

PROPOSALS FOR TWELVE HUNDRED AND FORTY-FIVE TONS OF WHITE ASH COAL.

SEALED BIDS OR ESTIMATES FOR FURNISHING twelve hundred and forty-five (1,445) tons of White Ash Coal, as required during the year 1888, and in accordance with the specifications, will be received at the office of the Department of Public Charities and Correction, No. 66 Third Avenue, in the City of New York, until 9:30 o'clock A. M. of Wednesday, December 28, 1887.

The person or persons making any bid or estimate shall furnish the same in a sealed envelope, indorsed "Bid or Estimate for 1,445 tons of White Ash Coal," with his or their name or names, and the date of presentation, to the head of said Department, at the said office, on or before the day and hour above named, at which time and place the bids or estimates received will be publicly opened by the President of said Department and read.

THE BOARD OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION RESERVES THE RIGHT TO REJECT ALL BIDS OR ESTIMATES IF DEEMED TO BE FOR THE PUBLIC INTEREST, AS PROVIDED IN SECTION 64, CHAPTER 410, LAWS OF 1882.

No bid or estimate will be accepted from, or a contract awarded to, any person who is in arrears to the Corporation upon debt or contract, or who is a defaulter, as surety or otherwise, upon any obligation to the Corporation.

The award of the contract will be made as soon as practicable after the opening of the bids.

Delivery will be required to be made from time to time, and in such quantities as may be directed by the said Commissioners.

Any bidder for this contract must be known to be engaged in and well prepared for the business, and must have satisfactory testimonials to that effect; and the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded will be required to give security for the performance of the contract by his or their bond, with two sufficient sureties, each in the penal amount of THREE THOUSAND (\$3,000) DOLLARS.

Each bid or estimate shall contain and state the name and place of residence of each of the persons making the same; the names of all persons interested with him or her therein; and if no other person be so interested, it shall distinctly state that fact; also that it is made without any connection with any other person making an estimate for the same purpose, and that no member of the Common Council, Head of a Department, Chief of a Bureau, Deputy thereof or Clerk therein, or other officer of the Corporation, is directly or indirectly interested therein, or in the supplies or work to which it relates, or in any portion of the profits thereof. The bid or estimate must be verified by the oath, in writing, of the party or parties making the estimate, that the several matters stated therein are in all respects true. Where more than one person is interested, it is requisite that the verification be made and subscribed by all the parties interested.

Each bid or estimate shall be accompanied by the consent, in writing, of two householders or freeholders in the City of New York, with their respective places of business or residence, to the effect that if the contract is awarded to the person making the estimate, they will, on its being so awarded, become bound as his sureties for its faithful performance; and that if he shall omit or refuse to execute the same, they shall pay to the Corporation any difference between the sum to which he would be entitled on its completion, and that which the Corporation may be obliged to pay to the person or persons to whom he consents to execute the same, or to any subsequent letting; the amount in each case to be calculated upon the estimated amount of the Coal by which the bids are tested. The consent above mentioned shall be accompanied by the oath or affirmation, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same, that he is a householder or freeholder in the City of New York, and is worth the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract, over and above all his debts of every nature, and over and above his liabilities as bail, surety or otherwise; and that he has offered himself as a surety in good faith and with the intention to execute the bond required by section 12 of chapter 7 of the Revised Ordinances of the City of New York, if the contract shall be awarded to the person or persons for whom he consents to execute the same, or to any subsequent letting; the amount in each case to be calculated upon the estimated amount of the Poultry by which the bids are tested. The consent above mentioned shall be accompanied by the oath or affirmation, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same that he is a householder or freeholder in the City of New York, and is worth the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such oaths and affirmations, in writing, of each of the persons signing the same, shall be enclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the Estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York, as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but, if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid, the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

No bid or estimate will be considered unless accompanied by either a certified check upon one of the National or State banks of the City of New York, drawn to the order of the Comptroller, or money, to the amount of five per centum of the amount of the security required for the faithful performance of the contract. Such check or money must not be enclosed in the sealed envelope containing the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of the Department who has charge of the Estimate-box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check or money has been examined by said officer or clerk and found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making the same within three days after the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect, within five days after notice that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be forfeited to and retained by the City of New York, as liquidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but, if he shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid, the amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

Should the person or persons to whom the contract may be awarded neglect or refuse to accept the contract within five days after written notice that the same has been awarded to his or their bid or proposal, or if he or they accept but do not execute the contract and give the proper security, he or they shall be considered as having abandoned it and as in default to the Corporation, and the contract will be readjusted and relet as provided by law.

Bidders will state the prices for each article, by which the bids will be tested.

Bidders will write out the amount of their estimate in addition to inserting the same in figures.

Payment will be made by a requisition on the Comptroller, issued on the completion of the contract, or from time to time, as the Commissioners may determine.

BIDDERS ARE INFORMED THAT NO DEVIATION FROM THE SPECIFICATIONS WILL BE ALLOWED, UNLESS UNDER THE WRITTEN INSTRUCTIONS OF THE COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION.

No bid or estimate will be accepted from, or a contract awarded to, any person who is in arrears to the Corporation upon debt or contract, or who is a defaulter, as surety or otherwise, upon any obligation to the Corporation.

The form of the agreement, including specifications, and showing the manner of payment, can be obtained at the office of the Department.

Dated New York, December 15, 1887.
CHARLES E. SIMMONS, President,
HENRY H. PORTER, Commissioner,
THOMAS S. BRENNAN, Commissioner,
Public Charities and Correction.

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

HEADQUARTERS FIRE DEPARTMENT,
Nos. 137 & 159 EAST SIXTY-SEVENTH STREET.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE City of New York, by its Board of Fire Commissioners, will meet daily, at 10 o'clock A. M., for the transaction of business.

By order of
HENRY D. PURROY, President,
RICHARD CROKER, Commissioners.

CARL JUSSEN,
Secretary.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK,
No. 301 MOTT STREET,
New York, December 21, 1887.

BIDS OR PROPOSALS FOR DOING THE WORK of dredging and removing from Mott Haven Canal 15,443 cubic yards of mud and deposit, more or less, with price per cubic yard; also, price for 100,000 ft. will be received at this office until December 28, 1887.

JAMES C. BAYLES,
President.

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION.

STATE OF NEW YORK—CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,
OFFICE OF CHIEF EXAMINER,
ALBANY, N. Y., December 12, 1887.

AN OPEN COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION FOR an Engraving Clerk to the Board of Excise will be held in Room No. 11, City Hall, at 10 o'clock A. M., Friday, December 23d. The salary attached to the position is \$2,000 per annum. The duty is to engrave certificates of license. Formal application should be made by the 21st instant to the Secretary of the Civil Service Commission, Albany, N. Y., who will give all necessary information.

WILLIAM POTTS,
Chief Examiner.

IN RELATION TO JURORS FOR STATE
COURTS.

CHARLES REILLY,
Commissioner of Jurors.

Third—That the limits embraced by the assessment are as follows, to wit: All those lots, pieces or parcels of land, situate, lying and being in the City of New York, and in the County of New York, bounded and described as follows, viz: Northerly by the centre line of the blocks between One Hundred and Seventieth street and One Hundred and Seventy-first street; easterly by the westerly side of Tenth avenue; southerly by the line of the blocks between One Hundred and Sixty-first street and One Hundred and Sixty-second street; and westerly by the easterly side of Kingsbridge road and the easterly side of Eleventh avenue; excepting from said area all the streets and avenues heretofore opened and all the unimproved lands included in the same, and all the unimproved lands, structures or places shown on the maps of the City of New York.

The work to be done under the contract is to be commenced within thirty days after the date of the contract and all the work to be done under the contract is to be fully completed on or before the 31st day of May, 1888 and the damages to be paid by the contractor for each

sum of Eleven Thousand Six Hundred Dollars.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

LUCIUS J. N. STARK,
JAMES MATTHEWS,
CHARLES H. MARSHALL,
Commissioners of the Department of Docks.

No. 17. Both sides of One Hundred and Twenty-second street, between Sixth avenue and new avenue west.

EDWARD V. LOEW,
Comptroller.

CITY OF NEW YORK—FINANCE DEPARTMENT,
COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE December 30, 1887.

Mayor and Chairman.
City of New York, November 1, 1887