
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	65	60	75
Grade 1	61	65	57
Grade 2	57	62	67
Grade 3	67	52	64
Grade 4	49	64	56
Grade 5	74	58	65
All students	445	433	453

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	8%	9%	10%
% Free Lunch Eligible	35%	35%	36%
% Student with IEPs	13%	11%	10%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	2%	2%
% HRA Eligible	-	17%	15%
% Temporary Housing	-	2%	4%
% Asian	71%	71%	67%
% Black	5%	6%	8%
% Hispanic	9%	10%	13%
% White	13%	11%	10%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

26Q213 P.S. 213 The Carl Ullman School

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.54

Student Achievement Matrice		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Metrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	170	3.02	2.51	2.83	3.00	3.20	3.42	3.10	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	170	54.7%	28.3%	42.9%	52.3%	63.0%	75.3%	3.22	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	110	64.0	51.3	59.3	66.2	71.0	82.4	2.68	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	42	67.5	54.9	63.6	71.1	76.4	89.0	2.52	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	57	2.47	1.38	2.02	2.49	2.82	3.60	2.96	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	175	3.40	2.65	3.06	3.27	3.51	3.78	3.54	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	175	70.9%	35.8%	53.0%	62.6%	73.5%	85.5%	3.76	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	109	73.0	45.2	55.3	63.9	69.8	84.0	4.23	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	37	73.0	50.1	59.6	67.9	73.7	87.6	3.88	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	60	3.12	1.41	2.25	2.78	3.16	4.18	3.89	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	50	99.3%	86.7%	92.0%	94.2%	96.8%	100.0%	4.78	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.51

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	4	2.4%	11.8%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	12	7.1%	36.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	3	1.8%	17.1%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	4	2.3%	11.4%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	11	6.3%	32.1%	27.3%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.70	0.030	0.028
SETSS	3	1.7%	16.3%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	7.3%	15.6%	37.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	10	9.1%	11.2%	40.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	1.8%	4.6%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
o SC/ICT/SETSS	14	12.7%	25.2%	21.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	8	7.3%	15.0%	62.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	11	10.1%	13.9%	45.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	2.8%	7.3%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	13	11.9%	23.6%	38.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	36	9.4%	23.9%	69.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.03
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.54

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 213 The Carl Ullman School

26Q213

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
gorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	3.00	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.36	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
pportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	98%	4.64	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	05.007	4.00	
EMS	95.2%	4.08	
HS	05.20/	4.00	200/
Overall	95.2%	4.08	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments	0.20	2.20	
EMS	0.28	3.30	
HS Overall	0.38	2 20	Γ0/
Overall	0.28	3.30	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.52	
fective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	79%	3.44	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	87%	3.48	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
occion nating. Meeting ranges	3331011 333731	3 .10	
rust NYC School Survey - Trust	92%	3.76	100%
THE SCHOOL SULVEY TRUST	J270	5.70	100/0
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
	Survey % Positive Bottom of Range City Avg Top of Range		Ton of Pango	Percent of Range	Score		
Rigorous Instruction		Survey % Positive	bottom of Kange	City Avg	Top of Kange	Percent of Kange	Score
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	86	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	2.00
		80				0.25	2.00
Course clarity	Students	0.4	84.3	92.7	100.0	0.40	2.00
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	84	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.49	2.96
ection Results:		89%					3.00
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	96				0.75	4.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	94	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.62	3.48
Quality of professional development	Teachers	72	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.42	2.68
School commitment	Teachers	88	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.70	3.80
Innovation	Teachers	77	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.22	1.88
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
Focus on student learning	Teachers	88	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.62	3.48
 Collective responsibility 	Teachers	70	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.13	1.52
section Results:		87%		U	200.0	0.20	3.36
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	98	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.93	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
 Classroom behavior 	Combined	98				0.93	4.72
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement		92	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.67	
Press toward academic achievement			85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement		92				0.67	3.68
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	99	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.87	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
 Peer support for academic work 	Combined	99				0.94	4.76
Section Results:		98%					4.64

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.76	4.04
Teacher influence	Teachers	36	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.12	1.48
Program coherence	Teachers	89	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.73	3.92
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	94	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.84	4.36
Section Results:	. 5005.5	79%	<u> </u>	07.0		0.0.	3.44
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.86	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.61	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.73	3.92
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	77	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.51	3.04
Section Results:		87%					3.48
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	84	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.62	3.48
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	88	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.54	3.16
Section Results:		92%					3.76

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.02	2.84 or lower	2.85 to 2.95	2.96 to 3.03	3.04 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.53	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.44	2.45 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	54.7%	44.9% or lower	45.0% to 50.4%	50.5% to 54.5%	54.6% or highe		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.40	3.15 or lower	3.16 to 3.30	3.31 to 3.42	3.43 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.64	2.39 or lower	2.40 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.69	2.70 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	70.9%	58.1% or lower	58.2% to 65.4%	65.5% to 70.9%	71.0% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.3%	89.0% or lower	89.1% to 91.8%	91.9% to 93.9%	94.0% or highe		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15						
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.23	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.30	2.31 or higher		
SETSS		1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.19	2.20 or higher		
ELL	2.87	2.30 or lower	2.31 to 2.44	2.45 to 2.54	2.55 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.25	2.26 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.59	2.48 or lower	2.49 to 2.65	2.66 to 2.78	2.79 or higher		
SETSS		2.32 or lower	2.33 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.63	2.64 or higher		
ELL	3.36	2.76 or lower	2.77 to 2.96	2.97 to 3.10	3.11 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.19	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.19	2.20 or higher		
ELL Progress	69.4%	57.8% or lower	57.9% to 67.8%	67.9% to 75.3%	75.4% or highe		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	95.2%	81.1% or lower	81.2% to 85.9%	86.0% to 89.5%	89.6% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.28	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.33	0.34 or higher			