
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

P.S. 312 Bergen Beach

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	136	135	141
Grade 1	142	145	143
Grade 2	124	141	141
Grade 3	149	125	141
Grade 4	161	154	131
Grade 5	152	149	146
All students	925	909	898

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	3%	4%
% Free Lunch Eligible	41%	41%	42%
% Student with IEPs	14%	17%	18%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	4%	5%
% HRA Eligible	-	27%	26%
% Temporary Housing	-	3%	3%
% Asian	3%	3%	3%
% Black	38%	39%	40%
% Hispanic	9%	10%	11%
% White	48%	46%	45%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

22K312 P.S. 312 Bergen Beach

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.52

		2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct	
State Test Results - ELA			0 0		J		0 0		- 0	
Average Student Proficiency	404	2.87	2.25	2.56	2.76	2.98	3.25	3.50	9.09%	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	404	46.0%	15.0%	29.7%	39.9%	51.5%	65.8%	3.53	9.09%	
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	262	68.5	46.6	55.2	62.8	68.0	80.6	4.04	9.09%	
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	91	77.0	54.4	63.1	70.7	76.0	88.6	4.08	9.09%	
Early Grade Progress	134	2.37	1.12	1.73	2.20	2.52	3.33	3.53	9.09%	
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency	404	3.03	2.28	2.68	2.94	3.23	3.59	3.31	9.09%	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	404	53.5%	16.7%	34.4%	46.6%	60.5%	77.4%	3.50	9.09%	
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	264	51.0	39.8	51.2	61.1	68.0	84.5	1.98	9.09%	
O Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	92	56.5	48.0	58.4	67.4	73.7	88.7	1.82	9.09%	
Early Grade Progress	134	2.76	0.98	1.85	2.50	2.95	4.14	3.58	9.09%	
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	130	99.4%	78.5%	84.7%	89.0%	93.9%	100.0%	4.90	9.09%	
								Weighted Average Score	3.43	

							044457					
							014-15 Targets		-			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4	"	r opulation /0	or numbe	School value	ranger name	ruiget	luiget	Tuiget	ranger name	Wieti ic Score	1 0331510	Lumeu
Self-Contained	20	5.0%	24.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	36	8.9%	45.2%	5.6%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.68	0.030	0.013
SETSS	5	1.2%	11.4%	40.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	20	5.0%	24.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	35	8.7%	44.4%	17.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.76	0.030	0.021
SETSS	5	1.2%	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	3.4%	7.3%	22.2%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	46	17.6%	24.0%	63.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	27	10.3%	26.1%	55.6%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.00	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	35	13.4%	27.2%	60.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.23	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	3.4%	7.0%	44.4%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	38	14.4%	19.8%	50.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	17	6.4%	16.7%	47.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	35	13.3%	27.7%	28.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.94	0.030	0.007
ELL Progress	29	3.4%	8.6%	75.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.09
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.52

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

22K312 P.S. 312 Bergen Beach

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	3.92	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	4.04	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	96%	4.32	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	3070	7.32	3370
EMS	87.4%	3.44	
HS	<i>57.176</i>	3	
Overall	87.4%	3.44	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.23	2.82	
HS			
Overall	0.23	2.82	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
•	83%	3 64	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	83%	3.64	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	83% Section Score:	3.64 3.64	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	Section Score:	3.64	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score: 86% Section Score:	3.48 3.48	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target St NYC School Survey - Trust	Section Score:	3.48	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score: 86% Section Score:	3.48 3.48	100%



P.S. 312 Bergen Beach

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.78	4.12
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	90	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		94%					3.92
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.68	3.72
School commitment	Teachers	92	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.81	4.24
Innovation	Teachers	92	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.74	3.96
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
Focus on student learning	Teachers	93	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.77	4.08
Collective responsibility	Teachers	90	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:		93%					4.04
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	88	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.63	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	88				0.63	3.52
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.96	4.84
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	93	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.72	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	93				0.72	3.88
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	98	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.92	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	97				0.83	4.32
Section Results:		96%					4.32

P.S. 312 Bergen Beach

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Effective School Leadership	Davanta	0.5	70.2	00.0	100.0	0.75	4.00
Inclusive principal leadership Table as influence.	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	63	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.53	3.12
Program coherence	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	84	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		83%					3.64
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.90	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.61	
 Teacher outreach to parents 	Combined	96				0.75	4.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.49	2.96
Section Results:		86%					3.48
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.62	3.48
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	86	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.67	3.68
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	95	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.82	4.28
Section Results:		93%					3.88

22K312

P.S. 312 Bergen Beach

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.87	2.76 or lower	2.77 to 2.87	2.88 to 2.95	2.96 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.24	2.16 or lower	2.17 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.37	2.38 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	46.0%	40.9% or lower	41.0% to 46.4%	46.5% to 50.6%	50.7% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.03	2.91 or lower	2.92 to 3.06	3.07 to 3.18	3.19 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.22	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.47	2.48 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	53.5%	47.2% or lower	47.3% to 54.4%	54.5% to 59.9%	60.0% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.4%	87.7% or lower	87.8% to 90.8%	90.9% to 93.1%	93.2% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.73	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.92	1.93 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.08	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.29	2.30 or higher			
SETSS	2.37	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.26	2.27 or higher			
ELL	2.52	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.51	2.52 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.73	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.30	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.52	2.53 or higher			
SETSS	2.05	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.46	2.47 or higher			
ELL	2.89	2.54 or lower	2.55 to 2.74	2.75 to 2.89	2.90 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.85	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
ELL Progress	75.9%	56.7% or lower	56.8% to 66.7%	66.8% to 74.2%	74.3% or highe			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	87.4%	78.3% or lower	78.4% to 83.8%	83.9% to 88.0%	88.1% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.23	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.32	0.33 or higher			