## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

#### Not Meeting Target Approaching Target Meeting Target ExceedingTarget Section Section Rating **Rigorous Instruction Meeting Target** 3.68 **Collaborative Teachers Exceeding Target** 4.36 **Supportive Environment Meeting Target** 3.76 **Effective School Leadership Meeting Target** 3.40 **Strong Family-Community Ties** Approaching Target 2.04 **Meeting Target** Trust 3.76 **Student Achievement Exceeding Target** 4.24 3 Δ 1 2 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	326	323	391
Grade 7	363	330	342
Grade 8	341	381	340
All students	1030	1034	1073

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	7%	7%	6%
% Free Lunch Eligible	62%	62%	60%
% Student with IEPs	17%	18%	17%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	4%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	41%	38%
% Temporary Housing	-	10%	8%
% Asian	56%	50%	45%
% Black	9%	10%	9%
% Hispanic	17%	19%	20%
% White	16%	19%	25%
% Other	0%	1%	2%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	3.10	2.83	2.88
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	3.72	3.34	3.33



## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Exceeding Target	4.24									
					2	014-15 Targets	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		1039	3.05	2.31	2.63	2.78	2.96	3.16	4.45	9.80%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>		1039	53.2%	16.7%	27.8%	35.8%	44.7%	54.6%	4.86	9.80%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile</li> </ul>		983	69.0	51.7	56.7	63.9	68.7	77.3	4.03	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		345	77.0	62.0	66.6	73.3	77.7	85.8	3.84	9.80%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		1033	3.25	2.24	2.63	2.86	3.11	3.40	4.48	9.80%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>		1033	61.4%	14.0%	27.8%	38.5%	50.4%	64.9%	4.76	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		987	62.0	44.4	51.5	61.6	68.3	80.3	3.06	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		339	63.0	56.1	61.8	70.3	75.9	86.0	2.14	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates										
• ELA		1035	97.1%	80.9%	88.3%	91.7%	95.6%	100.0%	4.34	1.96%
• Math		1035	97.5%	82.0%	89.4%	92.5%	96.0%	100.0%	4.38	1.96%
• Science		1035	97.1%	77.2%	84.4%	89.0%	94.1%	100.0%	4.51	1.96%
Social Studies		1035	98.1%	81.6%	89.5%	92.6%	96.1%	100.0%	4.51	1.96%
<ul> <li>Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit</li> </ul>		326	63.8%	0.0%	20.1%	35.1%	51.8%	73.7%	4.55	3.92%
• 9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		344	96.0%	75.0%	84.0%	88.0%	94.0%	100.0%	4.33	9.80%
									Weighted Average Score	4.05

						2	014-15 Targets	6	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	39	3.8%	19.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%		0.030	0.000
<ul> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> </ul>	74	7.1%	39.0%	13.5%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	58	5.6%	60.2%	19.0%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	39	3.8%	20.1%	5.1%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%		0.030	0.000
<ul> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> </ul>	72	7.0%	38.7%	15.3%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	57	5.5%	59.8%	26.3%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	148	15.1%	33.8%	39.9%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%	3.29	0.030	0.017
Lowest Third Citywide	149	15.2%	15.7%	66.4%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	50	5.1%	11.4%	64.0%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	165	16.8%	34.7%	56.4%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	3.61	0.030	0.020
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	163	16.5%	34.0%	32.5%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	2.95	0.030	0.015
Lowest Third Citywide	133	13.5%	16.0%	45.9%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	44	4.5%	10.1%	40.9%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	162	16.4%	34.5%	41.4%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	2.81	0.030	0.014
ELL Progress	63	5.9%	16.3%	68.3%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.19
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	4.24

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
02M104
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	87%	3.16	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	89%	3.68	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	85%	3.16	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	89.3%	3.56	
HS			
Overall	89.3%	3.56	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments EMS	0.16	1.94	
EMIS HS	0.10	1.94	
ns Overall	0.16	1.94	5%
		2	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	84%	3.40	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	70%	2.04	100%
		• • •	
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.04	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	92%	3.76	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.76	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.68	3.72
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	83	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.45	2.80
Course clarity	Students	88	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.38	2.52
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	84	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.66	3.64
Section Results:		87%					3.16
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	94	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.60	
Cultural awareness	Parents	91	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	81	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.37	
Cultural awareness	Combined	88				0.49	2.96
<ul> <li>Inclusive classroom instruction</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.81	4.24
Quality of professional development	Teachers	73	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.41	2.64
School commitment	Teachers	93	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Innovation	Teachers	87	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.61	3.44
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	86.6	95.8	100.0	0.81	4.24
Peer collaboration	Teachers	98	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.89	4.56
<ul> <li>Focus on student learning</li> </ul>	Teachers	92	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.75	4.00
Collective responsibility	Teachers	77	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.45	2.80
Section Results:		89%					3.68
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	88	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.65	
Safety	Combined	88				0.65	3.60
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	77	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.42	
Classroom behavior	Combined	77				0.42	2.68
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	84.7	95.3	100.0	0.82	4.28
Peer interactions	Students	80	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.49	2.96
Next-level guidance	Students	87	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.46	2.84
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	88	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.48	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.48	2.92
Personal attention and support	Students	83	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.39	2.56
reisonarattention and support							
Peer support for academic work:							
	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work:	Teachers Parents	90	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.56	
Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work		90 72	76.8 48.0	88.6 66.6	100.0 85.2	0.56 0.65	
Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Parents						3.40

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.50	3.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	61	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.41	2.64
Program coherence	Teachers	91	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.76	4.04
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	91	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:		84%					3.40
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.77	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	84	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.12	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.44	2.76
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	50	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.08	1.32
Section Results:		70%					2.04
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	96	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	85	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.61	3.44
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	92	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.79	4.16
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	95	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.82	4.28
Section Results:		92%					3.76

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 2015-16 Targets							
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.05	2.78 or lower	2.79 to 2.85	2.86 to 2.91	2.92 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.38	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.21	2.22 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	53.2%	40.3% or lower	40.4% to 44.0%	44.1% to 47.0%	47.1% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.25	2.87 or lower	2.88 to 3.00	3.01 to 3.11	3.12 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.31	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	61.4%	43.5% or lower	43.6% to 49.3%	49.4% to 54.0%	54.1% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	97.1%	82.0% or lower	82.1% to 86.2%	86.3% to 89.6%	89.7% or higher			
Math	97.5%	84.2% or lower	84.3% to 87.9%	88.0% to 90.9%	91.0% or higher			
Science	97.1%	85.0% or lower	85.1% to 88.5%	88.6% to 91.3%	91.4% or higher			
Social Studies	98.1%	79.8% or lower	79.9% to 84.5%	84.6% to 88.4%	88.5% or higher			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	63.8%	25.5% or lower	25.6% to 37.6%	37.7% to 47.5%	47.6% or higher			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	96.0%	83.9% or lower	84.0% to 87.9%	88.0% to 90.9%	91.0% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.90	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.33	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.26	2.27 or higher			
SETSS	2.54	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.39	2.40 or higher			
ELL	2.62	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.42	2.43 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.09	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.87	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.29	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.33	2.34 or higher			
SETSS	2.62	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.48	2.49 or higher			
ELL	3.15	2.54 or lower	2.55 to 2.70	2.71 to 2.83	2.84 or higher			
		107 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.87 or lower	1.88 (0 1.55	1.50 to 2.01	-			
	1.92 1.86	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide					-			

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	89.3%	78.5% or lower	78.6% to 83.5%	83.6% to 87.6%	87.7% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.16	0.13 or lower	0.14 to 0.21	0.22 to 0.27	0.28 or higher		

\* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

### 02M104