NYC DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES OFFICE OF CIVIL JUSTICE

----X

PUBLIC HEARING ON OCJ'S UNIVERSAL ACCESS TO LEGAL SERVICES FOR TENANTS FACING EVICTION

----X

DATE: February 28, 2024

6 p.m. - 9 p.m.

HELD AT: Zoom Hearing

APPEARANCES: RANIECE MEDLEY

CHERYL WURTZ
PATTY ALEXIAR

BEN JAMIN, Spanish Interpreter

ALSO PRESENT: JENNIE LAURIE, Housing Court Answers

SARA WAGNER, NYC Bar Association

DANELLI RODRIGUEZ, LSSA 2320

LENEER HUTCHINSON, NAICA

ALFRED TOUSSAINT, Camba Legal Services DANIEL ROSENSTOCK, RiseBoro Community

JONATHAN FOX, NYLAG AUSTEN REFUERZO, NDS

MATTHEW TROPP, Legal Aid Services

TRICIA LENDORE, Brooklyn A

PHILIP DUNCAN, NMIC

JEROME FRIERSON, Bronx Defenders

ELISE BROWN, Mobilization for Justice

AMI SHAH, LSNYC MARY FOX, HCC CAROL RIDHAM FIDEL ALBERT RUTH RIDDICH

JOANNA LAINE, LAS ATUSA MOZAFFARI, LAS

BRIAN POTINO, CMS

CONNOR HYATT JOHN FRANCIS

## ALSO PRESENT continued on Page 2.

DIGITALLY RECORDED PROCEEDING, TRANSCRIBED BY: BRANDI CHAMBERLAIN UBIQUS

(CONT.)

ALSO PRESENT: ALEX JACOBS, LSSA 2220

SABRINA ORTIZ KIM STATUTTO

LESLIE ALLEN, NYC Anti-Violence Project

THELMO CORDONES

TARA JOY TOM DELGADO

DOMINICK DINAPOLI

FAMEDA

BARRY HOROWITZ

RAPHAEL

WANDA MARTINEZ

2.1

MS. MEDLEY: Good evening, everyone. Welcome to the City of New York Sixth Annual Public Hearing on the implementation of the City's Universal Access to Counsel Law, also known as Right to Counsel. Just letting you all know we'll begin in a few minutes. I want to give folks a few additional minutes for additional attendees to join just before we get started. Thank you.

MS. WURTZ: Ben, I'm not going to put you in the

MS. WURTZ: Ben, I'm not going to put you in the translation room yet, so that you can do that again in a minute when all of our participants have joined.

MR. BEN JAMIN: Not a problem. I just wanted to get it over with, the first round.

MS. WURTZ: All good. Multiple times is good.

Raniece, we now also have so many people here that our ASL interpreters may have fallen off people's screen. So just letting people know that we do also have ASL interpretation services. If you need their services, you can scroll around and you will find them and be able to pin their video. Let us know in the chat if you need help.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you, Cheryl. Good evening everyone again. Welcome to the City of New York Sixth Annual Public Hearing on the implementation of the City's Universal Access to Counsel Law, also known as Right to Counsel. My name is Raniece Medley and I'm the Civil

2.1

Justice Coordinator for the New York City Office of Civil Justice at the Department of Social Services.

I want to welcome those who have just joined the hearing and are in the process of joining. And we have a few housekeeping matters before we get started. We will have Spanish language interpretation services tonight. If you would like interpretation services, please listen to the following instructions. I'm going to ask our language interpreter to introduce themselves and give introductions on how to access language interpretation. Will the Spanish interpreter please -- will the Spanish interpreter please introduce themselves? Thank you so much.

I'm going to allow a moment for folks who need interpretation services to enter that Zoom breakout room and then we'll continue. And also, as Cherly mentioned, I just want to remind everyone as well that we have an ASL interpreter available. You may need to move around on your screen to be able to select it but you can freeze your screen, so that that ASL interpretation is always visible to you.

Okay. So if you'd like to continue to listen to this proceeding in English, please select English for the best experience. If you're using Zoom on a computer, you can do this by clicking on the globe icon on the bottom of your screen. If you're using a mobile device, you can

2.1

click on the three dots on the bottom of your phone screen. If you've dialed in by phone, you'll still be able to hear all the proceedings.

A reminder to everyone that this is a public hearing and I'd like to remind you again that it is being recorded. We invite anyone who wishes to be heard on tonight's topics of universal access to counsel and tenant legal services to speak. We have many speakers who have already signed up and they will be called upon in the order in which they signed up. If you wish to speak this evening and have not yet signed up, please let us know in the Zoom chat box and we will do our best to get to you by the end of the hearing at 9 p.m. If you've joined this hearing by calling in by phone, you can raise your hand by pressing star nine and we will add you to speaker's list.

Some information on the run of the show, I want to remind everyone again that this is a public hearing open to all. We will not be answering questions from any of the speakers. We will not be asking any questions.

This is an opportunity for the public to be heard.

So, once again, welcome to the Sixth Annual Public Hearing on Universal Access to Counsel. I'm Raniece Medley, the Civil Justice Coordinator here at DSS Office of Civil Justice. I want to take a moment to acknowledge and thank the team at OCJ from contract

2.1

management to procurement, data synthesis, to the halls of housing courts. The teams shows up for this critical work of supporting tenants and our provider partners every day. This could not happen without you all. You all are greatly appreciated. I see any number of our team across the Zoom platform right now and we have several members of our team who are in the office with me now.

I'm joined by Deputy Civil Justice Coordinator

Kevin Farley, Data and Research Coordinator Annabelle

DeCastro [phonetic], Assistant Coordinator Zach Sheola.

And, as I look across, I see that our new Executive

Director Jaclyn Binoti [phonetic] is here, as well as our

Procurement Lead, Marissa Espinoza [phonetic]. And I see

again a number of our staff on the -- on the chat, so I -
in the Google -- in the Zoom room, excuse me. So thank

you all. Thank you all for supporting and for showing.

I also want to of course acknowledge Cheryl
Wurtz and Carolyn Robleto [phonetic] of DSS's Office of
Refuge and Immigrant Affairs, ORIA. You've heard Cheryl's
voice. You will hear Cheryl's voice. They are critical
to us being able to put this on and host this, so thank
you. Thank you all.

As you may know, OCJ is a unit within DSS, the largest municipal social services agency in the country.

DSS assists more than three million New Yorkers annually

2.1

through the administration of a range of public assistance programs including cash assistance, employment services, the Supplemental Nutrition Assistant Program known as SNAP, rental assistance, and eviction prevention.

Since OCJ was established in 2015, the office has launched and operated a range of civilly -- civil legal services programs for New Yorkers in need. The centerpiece of our work and the reason we're all here tonight is the city's groundbreaking Universal Access to Counsel program. More than five years ago the city of New York made history in becoming the first city in the country to pass a law guaranteeing that all tenants facing eviction in housing courts or administrative termination of tenancy proceedings in public housing have access to free legal services.

I want to acknowledge the main sponsors of the bill in the city counsel who now serve as borough presidents, Bronx Borrough President Vanessa Gibson [phonetic] and Manhattan Borrough President Mark Levine [phonetic]. I want to thank them both for their tremendous work in support of this law.

Since 2017, when the Universal Access to Counsel
Law was enacted, access to civil legal services for
tenants in need has dramatically increased both here in
New York City and beyond, as cities and states across the

2.1

nation have pursued their own Right to Counsel initiatives. I'm proud to report that in the more than six years since enactment we have made real and significant progress in enhancing access to civil legal services and bringing about fair and just outcomes for tenants. Today, over a year after the end of the city's eviction moratorium, the Universal Access Program continues to be implemented citywide, with all New York City tenants in eviction proceedings and public housing residents in termination of tenancy proceedings having access to free legal advice or representation provided by a network of OCJ contracted legal services provider partners.

As proud as we are of this program's accomplishments, we are committed to further strengthening and improving this city's tenant legal services. Again, thank you all for joining tonight's hearing, amplifying your experiences with the UA program, and sharing your thoughts and ideas on improving the program going forward.

I once again would like to remind attendees of a few points. Again, this is a public hearing. It is being recorded. We invited anyone who wishes to be heard on topics of universal access to counsel and tenant legal services to speak. Again, we won't be answering any questions or asking any questions of the speakers. We

2.1

invite anyone who wishes to speak but is not yet on the speakers list to sign up now using the Zoom chat box, or to dial star nine if you dialed in. If you would prefer not to speak in public and would rather submit a statement in writing, you may submit your statement to us by email at civiljustice@hra.nyc.gov. That email will be placed in the chat as well.

OCJ is collecting all written statements and will make them part of the record for this hearing which will be made available to the public on our website at www.nyc.gov/civiljustice. This hearing is also being transcribed and the transcription will be made available on the website as well.

In order to ensure that everyone who wants to speak will have the opportunity to do so, we will limit speakers to three minutes each. I'll alert you when your three minutes have ended. I'm going to call on speakers one-by-one. When you hear your name or your phone number, you'll need to unmute yourself and turn your microphone on, so we can hear you. Those who dialed in can do so by dialing star six. That's how you unmute yourself there. Everyone must stay muted until you are called on to speak.

All right. Let us begin. The first speaker for tonight is Jennie Laurie of Housing Court Answers. Thank you, Jennie. Thank you, Jennie.

1 MS. JENNIE LAURIE: Thanks for the opportunity 2 to testify. I was definitely not expecting to go first. 3 It's a burden but thanks. Thanks so much for the opportunity to testify and for holding this hearing. 4 5 name is Jennie Laurie. I'm the Executive Director of Housing Court Answers. We staff a hotline and we staff 6 7 information tables in the New York City Housing Courts 8 with non-attorney housing advocates. We focus on helping 9 tenants stabilize their housing, fend off evictions, stay 10 in their homes, and get repairs. And every week we speak 11 to hundreds of tenants facing eviction.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

And it's no surprise, despite the Right to

Counsel law, we continue to work with hundreds of tenants

who are struggling through eviction cases without legal

representation. And our staff regularly speak to people

who are signing agreements just like they did in the old

days to pay money that they don't owe, or to pay on a

schedule that they can't possibly meet, to go without

repairs even though they're desperately needed.

The Right to Counsel law is great. It's a great law but it's just not being implemented and we need a lot more transparency both from the courts and I think from OCJ about the problems with the -- with the program. In the Bronx, for example, tenants call us all the time or come to our table confused about what happened on the

2.1

intake. They think they were screened. They weren't sure what happened. Some of them were promised a call that never comes. The Brooklyn Administrative Part Pilot is very similarly confusing for people. They think they're being screened for legal representation. They often have to wait for long periods of time for HRA to help them and they often get to the ninth floor to find that intake is full.

So we would love to see a lot more transparency as I said both from the court and from OCJ about like what the problems are and the fact that a lot of tenants coming to court are not likely to get representation.

We support the call by the Right to Counsel
Coalition and the legal service providers for the city to
fully fund the law, so that all tenants who are eligible,
who are facing eviction can get legal representation. The
Right to Counsel law, despite all the challenges, is
hugely successful. It has reduced evictions, reduced
filings, and allowed thousands and thousands of tenants to
stay in their homes, get needed repairs, and access public
benefits that allow for long-term housing stability.

So I think it's such an important law and we need to support it. Thank you very much.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you, Jennie. We'll next hear from the Civil Right to Counsel Task Force.

MS. SARA WAGNER: Hi.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. MEDLEY: Hi, good evening. Go ahead, Sara.

This testimony is presented on MS. WAGNER: behalf of the New York City Bar Association Civil Right to Counsel Task Force. The task force was formed and I am one of the co-chairs. And I join -- I'm joined as -- by co-chairs Allison King [phonetic]. She is pro counsel at Kirkland and Ellis [phonetic], and of course New York Law School Professor Andrew Scherer [phonetic].

New York City's passage of legislation quaranteeing a Right to Counsel for low income tenants facing eviction proceedings was a monumental step toward equal justice, one that was decades in the making. For the first time anywhere in the United States, tenants facing legal proceedings were guaranteed representation by an attorney. Since New York City passed this landmark legislation, 17 additional localities have adopted their own RTC laws.

The right to counsel for tenants in New York City has been an enormous success. Right to Counsel is leveling the playing field in housing court, giving people a fighting chance to assert their legal rights and sending a message that New York City's low income tenants are entitled to be treated with dignity and respect. right to counsel is transforming the culture in housing

2.1

court, making it a more balanced forum, with greater civility and deeper attention to legal rights and principals.

New York City is to be applauded for leading the nation in adopting this measure, yet the promise of Right to Counsel is far from being fully realized. There are not enough attorneys available to meet the need, with the result that thousands of tenants are going unrepresented. Housing court operations are sliding back to the system with chaos and one-sided justice that RTC sought to end. This crisis is not simply an issue of access to justice. Given the vastly disproportionate rate of eviction proceedings brought against people of color, it is an issue of racial justice as well.

The task force urges HRA's Office of Civil
Justice to take two immediate steps. First, the task
force strongly urges OCJ to ensure that funding be
increased in order that RTC's underlying goals be met.
Providers must have sufficient funding to hire and retain
enough attorneys to support staff, to provide the highest
quality legal representation, to meet the needs of
eligible clients. Funding must be sufficient to ensure
that caseloads are management and employee compensation at
the level that will support recruitment and retention of
qualified candidates and support staff.

PUBLIC HEARING - 2/28/2024 14 1 Second, the city should support and urge the New 2 York State legislature to pass and the governor to sign 3 the statewide Right to Counsel Bill. Besides providing 4 for a Right to Counsel to tenants in all parts of the 5 state, this legislation would improve upon the NYC law in 6 key respects and would greatly assist OCJ with effective 7 implementation of the NYC program in averting evictions. 8 One final note --9 MS. MEDLEY: -- Thank you, Sara. You're at 10 time but go ahead. I'll let you sign off, sign off. 11 MS. WAGNER: The task force has long supported 12 the term Right to Counsel, to describe the Right to

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

the term Right to Counsel, to describe the Right to
Counsel program, we greatly appreciate that OCJ has
adopted this term and shifted away from the confusing and
opaque phrase "universal access." Tenants and their
allies fought hard to win the Right to Counsel and RTC has
inspired a movement to replicate this right in
jurisdictions across the country.

Thank you for your consideration and please don't hesitate to call on the task force if we can be helpful in any way.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Danelli, Danelli Rodriguez? Is Danelli on?

MR. DANELLI RODRIGUEZ: What's good ya'll? Can ya'll hear me? When I say housing, you say justice.

Housing.

2.1

MULTIPLE VOICES: Justice.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Housing.

MULTIPLE VOICES: Justice.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: Housing.

MULTIPLE VOICES: Justice.

MR. RODRIGUZ: Housing.

MULTIPLE VOICES: Justice.

MR. RODRIGUEZ: And that's exactly why I'm here today, to fight for housing justice and the formal Right to Counsel. My name is Danelli Rodriguez. I am the people's lawyer and I am a member of LSSA 2320, our Right to Counsel group of attorneys union. So shout out to the shop, first and foremost.

I'm here on my own behalf and I have been a right to counsel attorney for the past two years. And I want to take a really quick step back. On the train right here, on the train ride here today, I saw four houseless individuals. And I couldn't think to myself how many times I've been in housing court and how many times my representation and the representation of my colleagues have prevented that from happening. And every single time I step onto the train and I see more houseless individuals, I look at it as a policy failure. That is a policy choice. And here we have the Right to Counsel,

2.1

which is a bill for the people, by the people, specifically with the task to make sure that people have housing as a human right. And that's the bottom line. People deserve to have housing. People deserve to have counsel.

I personally have experienced the eviction process and the eviction mill. And one of the biggest challenges was the disparity in power between the landlords and the tenants. It's no surprise that the tenant lobby is very impacted our benches. It is no surprise that property is a constitutional right and the deference to property in New York City is huge. The developers own our politicians and it's important that we pass something like the Right to Counsel because it is a hedge against the power imbalance and the inequity that exists in housing court day in and day out.

So my community is being gentrified. I'm an attorney. I can barely afford to live here. I can't begin to imagine migrants. I can't imagine the poor. I can't imagine black and brown people in this community. These are the people who are mostly impacted by the power imbalance that exists in our courts. And this is the issue of justice.

Housing is a human right and the Right to

Counsel is essential to the preservation of housing as a

1 human right. We need to pass the Right to Counsel for the 2 people, by the people. Housing is a human right. 3 MULTIPLE VOICES: Fight, fight, fight. 4 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Housing is a human right. 5 MULTIPLE VOICES: Fight, fight, fight. 6 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Housing is a human right. 7 MULTIPLE VOICES: Fight, fight, fight. 8 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Housing is a human right. 9 MULTIPLE VOICES: Fight, fight, fight. 10 MR. RODRIGUEZ: Housing is a human right. 11 MULTIPLE VOICES: Fight, fight, fight. 12 MR. RODRIGUEZ: All power to the people, to the 13 tenants of New York City. Let's go. 14 MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. We have a number of 15 legal services providers who are provider partners in the 16 -- with OCJ who have signed up to speak together. 17 the number that I have here and the three minutes that we 18 have allotted to folks, I will say that we'll be looking 19 at about 30 minutes of a joint presentation from our legal 20 services providers. So I'm just going to go ahead and 2.1 turn the floor over to the providers, to begin to share. 22 Thank you. 23 Any particular provider partner that was going

Any particular provider partner that was going to begin the sharing? Leneer, if you're speaking, you're on mute.

24

25

2.1

MS. LENEER HUTCHINSON: Sorry, I (inaudible).

In 2017, the city of New York became the first locality in the United States to enact a law ensuring access to free legal services for any residential tenant facing an eviction proceeding in court. New York City's Universal Access legislation, referred to as Right to Counsel by the tenant advocate community is a local law requiring the city's Office of Civil Justice to establish a program that provides access to free legal services, either full legal representation or brief legal assistance, depending on the annual household income of the tenant litigant, to all tenant respondents facing eviction in New York City housing.

Implementation of the Universal Access Program was phased in by the city over time initially all income eligible tenant respondents in high needs zip codes selected by the city were provided access to free legal representation via court based intake, as well as through community legal offices. By the end of 2019, the Universal Access Program had grown to include 25 zip codes across the five boroughs, and thousands of tenant respondents residing in other zip codes likewise received free legal representation in housing court through UA and the city's other legal assistance programs.

According to an analysis by OCJ, at the end of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

2019 the percentage of tenants appearing in housing court who had legal representation in eviction proceedings had reached 38%. And 67% of tenants who appeared in housing court in the UA zip codes were represented by counsel in court.

It is critical for low income people to have legal representation in housing court proceedings. Tenants who have an attorney in an eviction proceeding are less likely to be evicted. The money judgement in these cases are less than in cases when a tenant is unrepresented and these cases are less likely to have a warrant of eviction issued against them. Additionally, tenants who are represented by attorneys are almost quaranteed to remain housed. Right to Counsel saves the city money by reducing the number of families that enter the shelter system. The shelter system is an extremely costly remedy for addressing housing insecurity. fiscal year 2022 alone, the average daily number of households in the New York City shelter system equaled approximately 27,000, costing the city and state approximately 1.7 billion. The funding needed to fully implement Right to Counsel significantly less than the cost of sheltering families. Money spent on Right to Counsel significantly offsets the need to spend money on shelters and is a powerful tool to combat the housing

1 crisis in New York City.

2.1

While the Right to Counsel has had a measurable impact on individuals facing eviction, the various stakeholders tasked with its implementation face significant challenges. These challenges include the expansion of Right to Counsel beyond the zip code approach, the end of various pandemic related eviction moratoriums, housing courts steady and increasing calendaring of eviction cases, and adequate funding for the program, and high rates of attrition of housing attorneys employed by the Right to Counsel legal service providers and staff at the various New York City agencies. The interrelated factors have resulted in large numbers of tenants across the city being deprived of the right to counsel in the eviction proceedings.

And on behalf of NAICA, my time is running out. I would like to say thank you for your consideration.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you.

MR. ALFRED TOUSSAINT: Thank you, Ms.

Hutchinson. Good evening, everyone. My name is Alfred

Toussaint, Director of the Housing Unit at Camba Legal

Services. The providers thought it would be fitting to

begin our testimony on the recent challenges in the

implementation of the Right to Counsel, also known as RTC.

As we begin the work together to sustain and

2.1

strengthen the RTC program, it is worth reviewing the last few years on how the program has functioned during the height of the devastating COVID-19 pandemic. The pandemic resulted in not just over 20,000 deaths within the United States during the initial months of the crisis, it also resulted in unprecedented levels of unemployment, underemployment, and economic distress. Those economic effects of the pandemic crisis inevitably resulted in a massive wave of housing instability in New York City.

To meet the challenges posed by the crisis, especially for the low income, elderly, and disabled New Yorkers, legal service community, in coordination with OCJ, accelerated the rollout for the RTC program citywide during 2020 and 2021. The sudden, full implementation of the program forced the providers to manage the rollout without the necessary funding and resources. Also, the wide-ranging changes in the state law that came into effect in June 2019 greatly increased the complexity of eviction defense law and practice. Also, the eventual end of the various pandemic eviction moratoriums have together led to a tremendous strain under RTC program and under RTC stakeholders. The initial strain under RTC program and on the stakeholders was a direct result of the rapid, citywide expansion of RTC.

So for some additional background to the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

program, it should be noted that the RTC law enacted in 2017 provided for the program to be phased in all parts of New York City over a five-year period. Before the pandemic struck New York City, as early as 2020, the RTC program and the legal service providers participating in the program were expected to provide representation to tenants in only 25 of the city's 180 zip codes. The rush to implement the RTC program citywide and expand its coverage to all zip codes was necessary in the face of the housing instability caused by the pandemic. However, the swift pace of the expansion and the lack of related increase in funding made it extremely difficult for legal service providers to hire, train, and retain the staff needed to meet the increased demand for services. of the number of housing court cases filed during the pandemic plummeted, the mismatch between the funding and the eventual post-pandemic demand for services was not immediately apparent.

I'm going to stop here and at this time I'd like to turn it over to my colleague, Mr. Rosenstock, to continue under recent challenges in the implementation of RTC.

MR. DANIEL ROSENSTOCK: Thank you, Mr.

Toussaint. Good evening, everyone. My name is Daniel

Rosenstock. I am the Assistant Director at RiseBoro

2.1

Community Partnership, Legal Empowerment and Assistance Program here in Brooklyn.

To continue where Mr. Toussaint left off, I am now turning to the effects felt by the wave of the new eviction proceedings commenced at the end of the pandemic eviction moratoria.

As a result of the COVID pandemic crisis, New York City and State authorities implemented a series of overlapping eviction moratoria starting on March 16th of 2020. The first moratorium was implemented by the state judiciary. That moratorium was followed and superseded by the governor's eviction moratorium implemented through executive orders. Finally, in December 2020, the state legislature enacted eviction moratorium law. And in April of 2021 the Emergency Rental and Assistance Program, known as ERAP, contained within its laws limited eviction protections. These laws and moratoria led to a dramatic reduction in new housing court filings.

The state eviction moratorium law lapsed in January of 2022. And the ERAP law and its limited eviction protections are now also nearing an end. As these eviction moratoria expire and lapse, the number of residential eviction filings have only increased during the past two years. The increased number of eviction filings have inevitably led to a greatly increased demand

2.1

for Right to Counsel legal services citywide and, in particular, for low income tenants.

Since the end of the eviction moratorium in January of 2022, there have been more than 10,000 evictions in New York City, and that number has only increased. However, RTC providers have not had sufficient funding and resources in the face of this greatly increased need for representation in eviction proceedings.

Turning to the ongoing effects of the pandemic, it is well known that the COVID-19 pandemic is one of the worst tragedies to hit New York and of course the world in recent years, affecting people emotionally, physically, and financially. Millions of people have been and continue to be adversely impacted as a result of COVID-19. Thousands of New Yorkers have lost their jobs, their loved ones, their savings, and the fragile stability many had prior to the pandemic, with many still suffering its ongoing effects. Many had to assist family members financially or pay unexpected funeral costs.

A number of clients that our organizations have assisted during the pandemic, as well as clients that we presently represent, report that they have not been able to get back to the financial spot that they were in prior to the pandemic. Many New Yorkers live paycheck to paycheck, and any interruption in their pay or any

2.1

unforeseen expense such as those caused by the pandemic may and have caused tenants, oftentimes New York's most vulnerable, to fall behind in rend. The result has been a large number of eviction proceedings being brought in housing court, which in turn Right to Counsel providers must then defend. Without the services provided by the RTC program, many would have surely lost their homes.

Thank you. I'll now turn it over to my colleague at NYLAG to provide further testimony.

MR. JONATHAN FOX: Thank you. My name is

Jonathan Fox and I am the Director of the Tenants Rights

Unit at the New York Legal Assistance Group. Thank you

for the opportunity to testify tonight.

I am going to be talking about some of the inadequacies of the RFX that was issued by the Office of Civil Justice in August of 2023. The RFX that was proposed to us was for approximately 408 million dollars, 408 million dollars for fiscal years 2025, 2026, and 2027, approximately 136 million dollars a year to cover approximately 44,000 full representation cases.

Unfortunately, based on our estimates, we believe that there will actually be 71,000 cases filed per year. So providing full legal representation for only 62% of eligible tenants is the antithesis of the intent of the Right to Counsel law, which was to ensure that all

2.1

eligible tenants have access to counsel in eviction proceedings. The city is severely under resourcing a program that it admits is successful. In 75% of cases where a tenant was represented by counsel in fiscal year 2023, the tenant remained housed.

In addition to this issue of not providing sufficient funding for the -- sufficient funding for the number of cases that we anticipate will be filed, the RFX also underfunds the case -- the case rate. We believe that our data shows that it costs about \$7,500 to defend an eviction case. Initially the RFX that we received from the Office of Civil Justice had a case rate of \$3,063. After protests, the city took away the case rate and made the providers come up with their own case rate but they kept the number of cases the same and the dollar amount the same. So effectively the providers were being forced to subsidize the gap between the \$7,500 it takes to defend a case and the \$3,063 that we are likely going to receive.

These required subsidies have forced the provider community to limit staff they needed for wholistic representation, including lawyers, social workers, paralegals, process servers, and administrative support staff necessary to manage a fully implemented RTC program.

Fully funding the RTC program is part of the

2.1

solution of the housing affordability crisis plaguing this city. Keeping low income people in their homes is essential not only for their individual wellbeing but also as a bulwark against skyrocketing rents in the housing market. When a low income tenant is evicted, their apartment will not be rented again to another low income tenant. Instead, the rent will likely be raised and that unit will become unaffordable.

And I'd like to pass the mic to my colleague,
Austen Refuerzo. Thank you.

MR. AUSTEN REFUERZO: Thanks, Jonathan. I'm

Austen Refuerzo. Good evening. I'm a comanaging attorney
of the Civil Defense Practice at the Neighborhood Defender

Service of Harlem. NDS is a community based public
defender office located in northern Manhattan, and a

member of the Elite Coalition, as well as the Right to

Counsel Coalition. And as a wholistic public defender
office, we're particularly familiar with the collateral
consequences of homelessness, including an increased
chance of entering the criminal legal system.

I want to talk a little bit about how the RFX structure does not allow for quality legal representation. Eviction defense proceedings in New York are complex and require significant time to resolve. New York City has some of the most robust and complicated housing laws in

2.1

the country, important laws such as the Housing Stability and Tenant Protection Act of 2019, and recent amendments to the rent laws have increased the critical tenant protections while also adding to the complexities of tenant defense. Because of the way these laws are enforced, Right to Counsel attorneys are almost solely responsible for policing illegal deregulations and rent overcharges. As a result of these complexities, providers must increase staff training to stay current on the changes in the law, and dedicate more personal -- more scrutiny and time per case. Notably, these changes have all occurred in the last few years, after the formal launch of the Right to Counsel program.

The new RFX failed to account for these changes and the impact they have on eviction prevention and tenant defense. Full representation cases at the low RFX case rate will not allow attorneys the time and resources needed to litigate these complex matters properly and ethically. Since we refuse to compromise on the quality of our representation, we providers must instead keep caseloads reasonable for our staffs, in which in turn means fewer tenants will be represented under the proposed funding structure.

The funding also failed to take into account the full breadth of staffing and support needed to provide

2.1

wholistic legal representation. In order to meet clients' needs, provider organizations must staff programs with paralegals, social workers, administrative staff, and infrastructure supports, in addition to the attorneys.

As we continue to emerge from the pandemic, eviction filings have increased almost to the same level as pre-pandemic and many tenants are facing the prospect of eviction without an attorney, in contradiction of the spirit of the Right to Counsel law. Housing courts are scheduling cases at the same or similar pace as pre-pandemic, despite providers frequent and early warnings about the lack of capacity, leaving low income tenants unrepresented.

Since the end of the eviction moratorium in

January 2022, there have been more than 10,000 evictions

in New York City. Without more support for Right to

Counsel, that rate of increase of eviction will increase.

Continuing to underfund this critical program will leave

even more tenants without legal representation, needlessly

increasing their chances of eviction.

With that, I'd like to pass it over to my colleague Matthew Tropp at the Legal Aid Society. Thank you.

MR. MATTHEW TROPP: Good evening. My name is Matthew Tropp and I am interim attorney in charge of the

2.1

Citywide Housing Program at the Legal Aid Society. I currently oversee our entire Right to Counsel Eviction Defense Program. Thank you for the opportunity to speak again.

Legal Aid Society is the oldest and largest nonprofit legal services organization. We were founded in 1876 and we've been doing this work since it started being funded by the city and really even before it was funded. This is a really important time in the Universal Access Right to Counsel, as there are many challenges that we want to raise. I'm here to talk a little bit about the impact on the brief legal assistance.

The proposed funding level for the brief legal services will not cover the cost of providing that assistance to clients who often have complex eviction proceedings, as we have illuminated. It actually only funds roughly \$91 per caller assisted. This law, the Right to Counsel law has been critical in keeping thousands of low income tenants, often tenants of color, in their homes. Under the law, it provides that everyone should be entitled to at least brief legal assistance.

We've been providing that assistance at the Legal Aid Society since it was established in 2020, along with some other providers. And then we assumed full operation of the Housing Justice Helpline in July of 2021.

2.1

Our helpline is left to fill a very considerable gap right now due to the lack of capacity of the legal services provider community. The callers to the helpline include those tenants who are not only eligible for right to counsel but are unable to get an attorney through the program. In addition, it's also covering people who are not eligible for the program, who are over income, and also those who have unrelated issues to their housing eviction case.

This helpline has bridged the justice gap for tenants who would otherwise not have access to any legal assistance. The RFX eliminates the option of in-court brief legal assistance by the Right to Counsel provider that is there to staff a shift and it reduces access to these services then for tenants who may prefer to seek assistance in court or also constrains those providers who may want to be able to provide those services while they're in court if they're not able to take all the cases due to capacity.

The RFX also does not incorporate the case load report. On August 31st, 2023, the Universal Access to Justice Caseload Working Group reported recommendations were published from the Office of Civil -- sorry, the Office of Court Administration. And they concluded that given the complexity and pace of eviction proceedings a

2.1

fulltime, experienced RTC attorney can provide representation in approximately 48 full representation cases per year. This is not -- this analysis has not been incorporated in the funding for the program. The funding would actually require an RTC lawyer to almost double those cases, which would not provide for quality legal representation and would lead to even more burnout and attrition of staff, as well as other professional and ethical challenges.

We need funding certainty for these programs. The RFX includes a very draconian 10% penalty. That penalty would be imposed if a provider cannot meet 100% of their contract goals. Such providers then would be ineligible to receive the 10% additional funding, or funding that would be under their contract and would be extremely difficult to plan for staffing, and would increase the problems we've had with retention.

Unless providers can already contribute towards this funding to the program, it's not sustainable. We're already meeting the gap of funding and to make it even more would really hurt our program and our ability to sustain this work. The uncertainty of this 10% funding also makes it impossible for organizations to do necessary planning for investment in staff hiring and retention, as well as to combat and plan for attrition.

2.1

We thank you for your time and consideration of these important issues. We are committed to improving the RTC model, so that it becomes a sustainable program that better serves our client community. I am now going to pass it over to my colleagues in Brooklyn Legal Service Corp A.

MS. TRICIA LENDORE: Thank you. Good evening, everyone. My name is Tricia Lendore and I am a Deputy Director of the Preserving Affordable Housing Program at Brooklyn Legal Services Corporation A, also known as Brooklyn A. Now, Brooklyn A is a member of the LEAP Coalition, as well as the Right to Counsel Coalition. And we represent low and moderate income individuals and families living in rapidly gentrifying neighborhoods where many residents have been either displaced or are facing displacement and harassment. Now, our Preserving Affordable Housing Program uses legal and advocacy strategies to preserve and protect affordable housing prevent evictions, and combat tenant harassment and discrimination.

Now, in February of 2023, OCA and OCJ launched an Administration Pilot Program in Brooklyn Housing Court. While this initiative has had some success in streamlining intake protocols, we urge OCJ to consider providers' suggestions about how to improve the operation of the

administrative part before expanding the pilot to additional boroughs.

2.1

Now, as an initial matter, there are several positive aspects of the pilot project which include all cases receive an automatic adjournment regardless of the type of case, as well as income eligibility is processed by HRA prior to the tenant speaking with counsel.

Further, tenants can apply for a one-shot deal at the courthouse and the process is more efficient for legal service providers on a whole.

However, there are several setbacks of the pilot project which include that the one-shot deal that is applied for is generally denied because the tenant is not permitted to upload their documents, or the applications, or the tenant fails to complete their BEV interviews, thus delaying the resolution of their case. Second is that the legal service providers miss the opportunity to speak with all eligible tenants because many respondents do not make it to the legal service providers during their first appearance. Third, rent breakdowns are not provided by all petitioners, or petitioners as counsel to the tenant on the first appearance.

These results work to unfortunately not make the program as effective as it could be but overall we would like for OCJ to continue to meet with providers and the

1 Office of Court Administration to discuss ideas to 2 optimize the administrative part, to ensure better 3 outcomes for all parties. Thank you. And now I'm going to turn it over to my 4 5 colleague at MNIC. 6 MS. MEDLEY: And I'm just going to ask you all 7 to pause there. Thank you. I want to ask just to be 8 conscientious. I think we have five providers that remain 9 to speak in this group. So I'll just ask you all to 10 please just try to be mindful of the three minutes, so 11 that we can move through and make sure others who've 12 joined are able to. 13 We've had a number of people join the call. So 14 I'd just also like to let folks know again that we have a 15 language interpretation services available for language --16 excuse me, for Spanish language. And so I would ask the 17 Spanish interpreter to please introduce themselves. 18 MS. WURTZ: Patty, go ahead. That's you. 19 MS. LENDORE: And, yes, I now turn it over to my 20 colleague at MNIC. 2.1 MS. MEDLEY: One second. I'm sorry. The 22 interpretation is happening in the breakout room. We'll 23 get a signal and then I'll let MNIC know when they can

## **Ubiqus** 61 Broadway – Suite 1400, New York, NY 10006 Phone: 212-346-6666 \* Fax: 888-412-3655

MS. LENDORE: Thank you, Raniece.

24

25

speak. Thank you.

PUBLIC HEARING - 2/28/2024 36 1 MS. WURTZ: Patty, can you hear me? Ben, can 2 you make our interpretation about how to repeat the -- how 3 to access the Spanish interpretation, please? 4 MR. JAMIN: Sure. Can you hear me? 5 MS. WURTZ: Yeah. Go ahead, Ben. 6 MS. MEDLEY: All right. So folks can go into 7 that room should they wish to hear the Spanish 8 interpretation as speaking is happening. And we'll go

head over to NMIC for their hearing.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. PHILIP DUNCAN: Hi, thank you so much,
Raniece and Tricia. I'm here to talk about attrition,
attorney attrition. This is a particularly urgent issue I
think that all of us are facing. And I ask to think about
it particularly because of the experience that NMIC has
had with it. So I'm the Assistant Director for Housing at
Northern Manhattan Improvement Corporation, or NMIC. NMIC
has provided housing services since our founding 45 years
ago and has been a Right to Counsel provider since the
program's inception.

Thank you.

Attrition has always been an issue in this practice but in recent years we've seen a remarkably high attrition rate. To speak from personal experience, in the past year NMIC Right to Counsel -- the NMIC Right to Counsel Program has lost six staff attorneys out of a staff of 12, and three supervising attorneys. So NMIC is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

one organization but this is representative of the experience of all of the Right to Counsel providers. We are constantly scrambling to fill vacancies and to attract dedicated and qualified attorneys to the practice. Worse, we are competing against each other to hire from a small pool of applicants.

The New York Times chronicled the issue providers were facing in a 2022 article, attributing the problem largely, largely to low pay and burnout. According to the article, public defenders, including housing attorneys, are often overworked and undercompensated, with our salaries well below the salaries of city lawyers and prosecutors. And I can attest to that reality because out of the nine departed attorneys from NMIC four have left for higher paying jobs with the city and state government. So we have experienced practitioners burnout and leave for higher paying jobs. New and inexperienced attorneys then take over their cases which are frequently in advanced procedural postures, while at the same time trying to take on an unmanageable number of new cases referred by the court and OCJ. With high caseloads and relatively low pay, the cycle of burnout and attrition continues.

We are asking the city and OCJ to partner with us, dedicating the funding that can be used to engage law

2.1

students, present at law schools, and train new staff. The program as a whole must be funded sufficiently to offer a competitive wage in a city with an ever increasing cost of living. And it must be structured in a way that ensures manageable caseloads for attorneys with varying levels of experience.

And that's -- I think -- I have more to say but I don't -- I want to respect the three minute time limit. So I'll, I'll turn it over to my colleague at Bronx Defenders.

MS. MEDLEY: Thanks, Phil.

MR. JEROME FRIERSON: Good evening. Jerome Frierson, Housing Director at the Bronx Defenders, an organization that has pioneered a nationally recognized interdisciplinary model of wholistic defense. We are a Right to Counsel provider, representing hundreds of low income tenants facing eviction each year, and also a member of the LEAD coalition.

Tonight I'll be speaking briefly on the recently released caseload standards report issued by the Office of Court Administration. This report, which sought to standardize expectations on the number of cases a housing attorney could handle in a year, while greatly appreciated, does not reflect the realities of housing practice and is ultimately of limited usefulness in its

2.1

current form. According to the report, an experienced attorney spending 100% of their time on casework should be able to handle 48 housing cases per year.

The first issue with this is the caseload standard is based on an attorney who spends 100% of their time on casework. In reality, housing attorneys on average are able to spend 77% of their time on casework, to provide time for administrative needs, CLE, supervision, and other crucial aspects of this work. Secondly, this caseload standard is based on what a senior, experienced attorney should have the capacity to do. In reality, the majority of housing advocates are new and inexperienced law graduates.

Third, even assuming providers were fully staffed with experienced attorneys who could spend 100% of their time on casework, the report provides no recommendation on what should happen once attorneys meet their case maximum for the year, whether it is 48 cases or some other number.

We're committed to a reasonable and realistic caseload standard that would enable our housing attorneys to continue to provide ethical and high quality legal representation to the clients and communities we serve.

While these recommendations of the Office of Court

Administration again are a great starting place for this

2.1

conversation about caseload standards and we are eager to incorporate some standard in our -- into our right to counsel representation, we as providers are left wondering how we can implement the important recommendations of this report when there have been no changes to the calendaring of cases for the purposes of intake shifts or any meaningful changes to how our work is structured or funded. The reality is the structure and amounts of our contracted work would need to be dramatically increased in order to incorporate recommendations to caseloads.

And thank you. There's more to say. Thank you for the, the opportunity to testify. With that, I'll turn it over to my colleagues at the Mobilization for Justice.

MS. ELISE BROWN: Thank you, Jerome. Good evening Raniece and my colleagues in the Civil Legal Services who provide Right to Counsel. It's a great pleasure to work with you to -- it's a dedicated, smart, experienced group of people. And, as you've probably realized, you know, we've been struggling. Everybody struggled from the pandemic and we're certainly struggling and continue, continue to struggle post pandemic.

And I'm going to reiterate some things that my colleagues have said because our recommendations are to increase funding so that -- so that -- so that we -- the funding matches the -- what it costs to do the work.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

We're subsidizing the work at a -- at a huge amount at the moment and the funding doesn't take into account the nature of the practice in terms of it really requires bringing on new law graduates to meet the need, but new law graduates require a lot of training. They can' handle the 48 cases a year because they're learning their work. And if they're coming on and actually inheriting cases that are in the middle, it's very hard. It's very difficult to do that transfer. So with appropriate funding and assisting us in creating a pipeline is essential for this program to work long-term and also for us to sufficiently staff the programs because paralegals are a highly important part of this practice because they do benefits advocacy, meet with -- meet with tenants, handle administrative hearings. And, and the funding doesn't even take into account that they exist and we need them, they would -- as partners in our work to get it done.

The other thing that I can't remember if anybody addressed but the 10% penalty that's in the current RFX is, is really -- ignores where we are right now and that -- as if people are not trying to get their work done. And their, you know, insufficiencies and deliverables should be viewed in the challenges that we face, including lingering effects of COVID, difficulties recruiting and

2.1

hiring, and high staff attrition. And it's also as a -- as a structural matter nonprofits cannot change their funding year-to-year. It's going to create additional, additional problems.

So we appreciate your time tonight and your -OCJ's commitment to the work as well but we need more help
than we're getting. And we want to do a good job. We
want to prevent every last single person we can from being
evicted who can be. And, and we need more funding and
more support to get that work done.

And now I'm going to hand it off to my colleague at Legal Services New York City, Ami Shah.

MS. AMI SHAH: Thank you and thank you for the opportunity to testify. My name is Ami Shah. I'm the citywide Deputy Director of Housing at Legal Services NYC. Legal Services NYC is the largest civil legal services provider in the country and a proud member of the Right to Counsel Coalition. Our staff of over 700 assist more than 110,000 low income New Yorkers each year. Continue on the testimony already presented by my colleagues, I'd like to focus my speaking on recommendations around the Administration Part Pilot.

The Administrative Part Pilot started in Brooklyn in 2023 as a way for new cases to be calendared for the first time and for tenants to connect to counsel.

2.1

2.3

As we come up on one year of this program and consider expanding it to other boroughs, the legal services providers would like to submit some recommendations for improvement.

Administration and OCJ develop clear metrics and goals for the Administrative Part. As a starting point, we recommend the three metrics should be considered. First, are the vast majority of eligible respondents appearing in the Administrative Part engaging with HRA and seeing a legal services provider within a reasonable timeframe? Second, are nonpayment cases that are filtered through the Part being resolved with the need for less court appearances from tenants? And, third, are tenants engaging in the Administrative Part experiencing court as more fair and accessible?

We'd recommend OCJ elicit tenant feedback
through a survey or other mechanism about the
Administrative Part, so that tenants can share their
experiences in the courthouse and we can further ascertain
how the Part can be improved.

Next, we'd recommend that HRA employ staff that can process one-shot deals and FHEPS applications from start to finish in the courthouse. HRA can turn its courthouse offices into outposts of job centers where

2.1

tenants can not only start one-shot deal or FHEPS applications but also conduct their Bev interview and submit necessary documents. To the extent additional documents are needed, HRA staff should provide tenants with a detailed list and instructions to complete their applications. Said processing would greatly increase the speed and efficiency of background applications and, as a result, the speed at which non-payment cases can be resolved.

Third, we'd strongly recommend that OCJ urge the Office of Court Administration to develop a rule requiring all petitioners in non-payment cases provide a current rent breakdown in the Administrative Part for a tenants first appearance. Given the time that can elapse between a petitioner's filing date and a first court date, it's essential for tenants, legal services providers, and HRA staff to have a current rent breakdown which can facilitate the prompt resolution of an eviction case.

Lastly, we'd suggest OCJ to develop talking points for its own staff and court staff, so that respondents in housing court hear a consistent message on how to engage with legal services providers. We'd also suggest OCJ develop plainly written fact sheets in multiple languages that can be provided to respondents with the same clear explanations. Together we believe

2.1

these recommendations will enable the Administrative Part to more successfully meet our mutual goals of ensuring tenants facing eviction are able to fairly and thoroughly resolve their housing concerns.

Thank you for the opportunity to speak. And, with that, I'm going to turn it over to my colleague at HCC to, to finish our testimony.

MS. MARY FOX: Thank you, Raniece, and allowing us to testify tonight. My name is Mary Fox. I'm the Associate Director at Housing Conservation Coordinators, HCC is a 52-year-old community based organization anchored in Hell's Kitchen. We are a LEAP provider. We are a member of LEAP. We are a Right to Counsel provider and we are a member of the Right to Counsel Coalition.

Very briefly, the -- we implore you to look at the caseload standards reports. This provides an invaluable starting point about how tenant protection and eviction prevention defense can be effectively and ethically conducted. Thus far, OCJ has provided no insight into how or even if the city is considering the report and the UA RTC program in implementation and funding decisions. Given the extensive data collection and analysis that went into the report, OCJ -- we're asking OCJ should carefully consider the report's recommendations and give providers details in how

2.1

recommendations can be incorporated into the UA RTC program.

In conclusion, as we -- I know you like to hear that. As we move through the stages of this housing and health crisis, we remain on the frontline of efforts to ensure that the needs of New York's marginalized communities are met. We will continue to meet the case for justice an equity. As our clients undergo this unparalleled crisis, we stand right beside them.

On behalf of Bronx Defenders, Brooklyn Legal
Services Corp A, Camba Legal Services, Housing
Conservation Coordinators, Legal Services NYC,
Mobilization for Justice, Neighborhood Association for
Intercultural Affairs, Neighborhood Defender Services,
NYLAG, Northern Manhattan Improvement Corp, RiseBoro Legal
Employment Assistant Program, and the Legal Aid Society,
we thank you. We thank you for your time and continued
support, and allowing us to testify today.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you all. I'll call Kimbac Atium [phonetic], apologies for mispronunciation there. Kimbac? William Barshefsky [phonetic]? William Barshefsky? Excuse me. I'll move to Gordon Lee [phonetic]. Carol Ridham?

MS. WURTZ: Carol is here. She's coming up now.
MS. MEDLEY: Sure, thank you. We'll hold for

**Ubiqus** 61 Broadway – Suite 1400, New York, NY 10006 Phone: 212-346-6666 \* Fax: 888-412-3655 1 Carol. Thank you.

2.1

MS. CAROL RIDHAM: Thank you. Yeah. My name is Carol Ridham. I am a member of the (inaudible) Tenant Coalition, a resident of Brooklyn, a teacher of 25 years in the New York City Public School System. And I am here to attest to the trauma of evictions and the need to respect the Right to Counsel Law, and ensure that not one more RTC eligible family has to face the devastation of an eviction without legal representation.

I am here to urge the Office of Civil Justice to protect and uphold the Right to Counsel Law. We know that Right to Counsel works. It is a law with a proven track record. We know that upwards of 80% of tenants who are threatened with eviction, who go to court with an attorney are able to remain in their homes. RTC Coalition and New York tenants are not demanding a luxury, just a basic right to uphold a just law. No one would think it acceptable to face a labor dispute, a financial, medical, or any legal problem without representation. Any type of lifechanging challenge in court without an attorney is unacceptable and neither must tenants have to give up their right to due process.

My personal testimony before you, I felt compelled to share having seen as a teacher the trauma suffered by my students and their families year after

2.1

year, children uprooted from -- uprooted from stable homes, deprived of consistency and security, suffering a cruel and unnecessary setback in their education. Right to Counsel is a law that shows we care about investing in our children, in our future. Evictions uprooted too many of my children from stable, consistent, secure lives. And the consequences go deeper when you consider the city's financial costs in health, mental, social services required when there's an eviction crisis.

I remember having a conversation in a legislature -- legislature's office when we were there talking about the cause ERAP, and other tenants, protenant laws. And the case of the Silicon Valley bank bailout came up. He said, "It was not a bailout," the person said. "It was urgently needed in order to protect investors, to make them whole. We did not want to create a panic. Avoid a panic at all costs."

Well, imagine the panic of tens of thousands of families that today face eviction alone. That is a panic. To lose your home and many of them are eligible for RTC that are evicted because the law that would protect them is not respected. RTC is the urgent investors that need to make in New York communities, in making families whole by taking a stand for these tenants in upholding and protecting their right to counsel, you can honor your

pledge to New York.

2.1

Join the (inaudible) NY Coalition and demand that the city fully fund Right to Counsel by adding at least 300 million to the budget, to ensure that there are enough attorneys. Meet with the RTC Coalition and help New York City to continue to lead in the fight to protect tenants and their right to due process. It's an investment in New York tenants and New York families and an investment that will save lives.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you, Carol. Fidel Albert?

MR. FIDEL ALBERT: Good evening, everyone.

MS. MEDLEY: Fidel Albert?

MR. FIDEL ALBERT: Good evening, everyone. When I say tenant, you say power. Right? Because we are powerful tenants (inaudible). Good evening, everyone. Once again, my name is Fidel Albert and I am an active member of the Flatbush Coalition Right to Counsel (inaudible). I'm here to urge the Office of Civil Justice to protect and uphold the Right to Counsel Law. Having right to counsel is very important because me to have an attorney at present in court to defend me for (inaudible) that (inaudible) case against me (inaudible) because I stand up and I speak up for my rights. I personally don't back down when I know I'm right because that's in my DNA. I am going nowhere.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I've been going through a series of harassments from my landlord and my roommates. For example, I've been through the process where I was locked out of the bathroom for eight months and this was during COVID. I guess some of you guys heard this before. Electricity turned off for another seven months from the bathroom and the bedroom.

And (inaudible) but because (inaudible) I stood out and I fight back because that's in my DNA. Stand up for your rights. Bob Marley said it.

So I decided (inaudible). I don't scare. like to speak to the media and I like to speak to anybody when it comes to my right. Because of that, my landlord retaliates and he refused. That was my form of retaliation. And when my landlord refused to accept the ERAP, he refused to accept the rent. That didn't bother me (inaudible). There, there is a well-known judge in Brooklyn Housing Court. Some of you might know her, some might not. I call her the eviction machine (inaudible). She's known to be dismissing all HP cases against tenant that bring cases against the landlord. This has got to stop and the OCJ has to power to do something about that. We have thousands of thousands upon thousands of homeless (inaudible) on the street. We don't need anymore.

MS. MEDLEY: 30 seconds.

MR. ALBERT: Okay. Being a poor (inaudible)

## Ubiqus

61 Broadway - Suite 1400, New York, NY 10006 Phone: 212-346-6666 \* Fax: 888-412-3655

2.1

support the tenants, I've seen many times where tenants will speak up for their rights and the judges don't listen to them. That's because they don't have an attorney.

I've seen cases where and the judge made the decision the paramedics come and take them out to court (inaudible).

The (inaudible) and make sure that there's (inaudible) that are facing eviction in Brooklyn Housing Court. They need to slow down and adjourn cases until tenants have an attorney to represent them.

Office of Civil Justice, we ask them to join our demands for the city to fully fund right to counsel, so it there can be enough attorneys to represent them. We demand publicly that -- we, we demand that publicly support -- we demand that publicly support (inaudible) legislation defend Right to Counsel. We demand that publicly they come -- we demand that publicly they support. We call on Judge Wilson to support and (inaudible) Administrative Part (inaudible) all cases and so the tenants can have an attorney. Thank you so much.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Ruth Riddich?

MS. RUTH RIDDICH: Good evening all. My name is Ruth Riddich. I'm a steering committee member of the Flatbush Tenant Coalition and of the Right to Counsel New York City Coalition. We are here to urge the Office of Civil Justice to protect and uphold the Right to Counsel

2.1

Law. It was created to implement and protect the Right to Counsel Law. You exist because of the tenant movement.

We know Right to Counsel works. Landlords are not begging to get away with suing and evicting tenants. Over 84,000

-- 84% of tenants that qualify for Right to Counsel attorneys were able to remain in their homes.

The Right to Counsel Coalition report for the (inaudible) OCJ to implement the Right to Counsel Law and we need you to do just that, ensure that tenants receive the service that they are entitled to. Preemptively, the courts are pushing cases through at an alarming rate, without honoring the time to get an attorney under the Right to Counsel legislation that has passed. Right now we don't have enough attorneys to represent all of the tenants in housing court. We are demanding that OCJ join the Right to Counsel New York City to demand the city fully fund Right to Counsel by adding at least 330 million dollars to the budget, to ensure there are enough attorneys to represent our tenants who are entitled to this right. We demand that tenants cases are adjourned until they receive adequate representation.

As I reflect back over 25 or more years ago, at that time I had major repairs that needed to be done in my apartment, bathroom ceiling about to collapse and other serious repairs needed. The landlord had the nerve to

1	subpoena me into court for back rent. I went to court not
2	knowing what to expect, being coached by an attorney,
3	signed a stipulation I didn't fully understand to pay the
4	back rent. It turns out, this was the landlord's attorney
5	and I didn't know it at the time. After all that, I still
6	did not get my repairs done. Fast forward to 2014, Right
7	to Counsel legislation was passed which changed the whole
8	scenario.
9	MS. MEDLEY: 30 seconds.
10	MS. RIDDICH: But it doesn't end there. We have
11	to fight to keep everyone on their toes and do what
12	they're supposed to do. Thank you.
13	MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Joanna Laine?
14	MS. JOANNA LAINE: (Inaudible) Legal Aid
15	attorney (inaudible) is it okay if we go one after the
16	other? We emailed about this.
17	MS. MEDLEY: Joanna, are you saying that you,
18	Atusa as well, and there are a couple of others, is that
19	who you're referencing?
20	MS. LAINE: It's me, Atusa, who is appearing via
21	Zoom, and
22	MS. MEDLEY: That's okay.
23	MS. LAINE: then Brian, Connor, and John.
24	MS. MEDLEY: That's fine. Thank you.
25	MS. LAINE: Thank you so much. Good evening

2.1

everyone. My name is Joanna Laine. I am an Executive
Board Member of the Legal Aid Society's chapter of the
Association of (inaudible) Attorneys, which is (inaudible)
diverse group of attorneys, paralegals, and organizers,
social workers, and other workers at organizations
throughout the city, the Legal Aid Society, Camba Legal
Services, NYLAG, Neighborhood Defender Services Harlem,
Camba Migration Services, Bronx Defender, and many other
legal services and organizations who are dedicated to
safeguard the rights of tenants.

I'm testifying today on behalf of (inaudible) along with my comrades here and I thank the Office of Civil Justice for allowing us to speak about our experience on the frontline of implementing the Right to Counsel program.

In addition to my role as a union leader, I am also a staff attorney in the Brooklyn Neighborhood Office of the Legal Aid Society. And I've seen firsthand how powerful the right to counsel has been for my clients. So many of my clients were on a path (inaudible) an attorney but after strenuous litigation the landlord relented and gave my clients a lease. Or after complex benefits advocacy my clients finally got their rent paid and able to afford their apartment moving forward after a long trial, they finally got their repairs. It is no wonder

2.1

that almost 85% of tenants who have Right to Counsel stay in their homes. It is (inaudible).

Unfortunately, I am also seeing firsthand that the Right to Counsel has been gravely threatened by the crushing caseloads that our attorneys and other staff are facing. OCJ and the courts are well on their way to destroying Right to Counsel by underfunding legal services organizations, pushing unmanageable caseloads on attorneys, and allowing cases to be calendared at least faster than our attorneys can take them.

As a result, workers at ALAA organizations are leaving at unprecedented rates. And those who stay are often forced to take mental health leaves of absence due to the unhealthy demands of our work. The RFX that OCJ has recently put forth will only compound our caseload and attrition crisis by grossly underfunding our organizations and imposing unrealistic caseload standards on our staff.

If the city and OCJ are serious about Right to Counsel, it must fully fund Right to Counsel, so that attorneys, paralegals, tenant organizers, social workers, and other staff can stay at this job long term. We need experiences attorneys to stay, to supervisor and mentor the new cases of housing lawyers that join our community each year. We also need manageable caseloads, so that we can actually do the complex legal work that we're hired to

2.1

do, providing overcharges, enforcing tenants right to repairs, and so on.

Without manageable caseloads, RTC will become no more than conveyor belt justice and our clients will be denied the high quality representation that they deserve. Our union requests that OCJ work directly with ALAA and other legal services unions to re-envision its RFX process and establish a funding process and caseload guidelines that accurately reflect the needs of our clients.

A starting point for this conversation should be the report issued by the Universal Access to Justice Caseload Working Group on August 31st, 2023, which recommended -- which, as other panelists have said, recommended a guideline of no more than 48 new case assignments per year for RTC attorneys, or on average no more than for new case assignments per month with even fewer case assignments for new attorneys and for attorneys who did (inaudible) full administrative support for their cases.

And, spoiler alert, as of now every single one of us lack adequate administrative support for our cases. So that number should be well under the 48. Based on this

MS. MEDLEY: -- Just checking time.

MS. LAINE: Okay, I'll wrap up. Based on this

Ubiqus

61 Broadway - Suite 1400, New York, NY 10006 Phone: 212-346-6666 \* Fax: 888-412-3655

2.1

report and our own experiences, we demand that at a ceiling attorneys receive no more than four new cases per month and that attorneys should no -- have no more than 30 actives cases at any given time. We hope that OCJ will incorporate these guidelines when negotiating contracts to their employers and we demand that RTC be fully funded at the level needed to truly sustain the right to counsel.

Thank you. And I now pass it down to Atusa.

MS. ATUSA MOZAFFARI: Good evening and thank you for your time. My name is Atusa Mozaffari and I'm a Civil Vice President of the Legal Aid Chapter of ALAA. In addition to my role as a union leader, I am a staff attorney in the Queens Neighborhood Office of the Legal Aid Society.

Like many others here tonight, I've been able to preserve housing for my clients and assist with related benefits issues that have had a monumental impact on their housing. And while I'm very proud of the work I do and very grateful for Right to Counsel, I am also acutely aware of its limitations. Two major issues I want to bring to your attention are the number of tenants being turned away due to inadequate staffing, as well as the lack of (inaudible) for tenants who need immediate referrals for post-evict and lockout cases.

It's obviously no surprise to anyone here that

2.1

there's simply not enough attorneys to meet with every tenant who is screened and eligible for legal services.

Joanna just touched on this. The greatest contribution to this is obviously a lack of funding to the providers who would need to attract, hire, and retain qualified staff in order to provide said services. And if we are ever to say the Right to Counsel as a civil parallel to Gideon, then we absolutely must prioritize funding it as such. To not do so would mean an unimaginable number of tenants at risk of eviction homelessness.

But it's not simply an issue of staffing. Even with adequate staffing, the current intake process for right to counsel has a flaw. Providers are connected with tenants at their first appearances in housing court. And emphasis first because by connecting to tenants then it creates a barrier for tenants who need assistance later down the road. So tenants who already have judgments against them and seek assistance thereafter are not prioritized when they are already considerably higher risk of eviction then tenants who retain counsel early on.

In this type of scenario, it's not atypical to see tenants returning to court after receiving a notice of eviction, a marshal's notice. And at that point there's absolutely no guarantee that their cases are calendared by the court clerks on Right to Counsel intake dates. So

2.1

effectively they are without means to seek representation or advice, and are entirely reliant on the discretion of the court, the judges, or the availability of providers who happen to be present in court and are not necessarily assigned to an intake shift.

Having done this work for eight years, I remember when we used to have emergency rotations for situations just like this but there is no such system in place now when attorneys get all of their cases assigned during a single intake and that leaves vulnerable tenants with limited options. We must create a failsafe mechanism so that all tenants, regardless of when they are seen, are afforded the right to connect with attorneys.

The current intake process is shortsighted in that there's an assumption that if tenants meet with counsel ahead of time evictions will not occur. However, there is always the possibility that landlords will engage in unlawful evictions, circumventing the legal process and summary proceeding altogether. That shifts the burden to those tenants to now navigate the legal system and file orders to show cause without any guidance after already being displaced. By then, tangible harm has been done and again there is no guarantee that they'll be able to meet with an attorney.

There is no procedure wherein clerks

2.1

automatically assign illegal lockout cases to intakes, which I highly encourage the courts to immediately adopt and implement. I've personally advised tenants who end up coming to our court based offices on strategies and arguments to raise on lockout cases, fully knowing I have no capacity to take on their case but desperately wanting to advise them of their options regardless.

MS. MEDLEY: (Inaudible).

MS. MOZAFFARI: However, it does not prevent harm from happening in the first place. There is no functioning mechanism to assist tenants who have suffered harm. And unlawful evictions are not the only type of harm tenants can suffer without recourse. Constructive and actual evictions because of failure to make repairs and ongoing harassment continue to contribute to these numbers. The ability to affirmatively enforce tenants' rights to habitable, safe homes should be a priority as much as defending their rights to counsel.

So, in addition to funding for eviction defense, the city must fund tenant organizing and representation for individuals in groups in HP actions, to force landlords to make repairs and to combat tenant harassment. We call on the city to fully fund the Right to Counsel for all tenants at every stage of their cases, in all types of cases, including illegal lockout proceedings and HP

actions. Thank you for your time.

2.1

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Joanna, did you have someone else there? You had few others?

MS. LAINE: Yes, Brian, Connor, and John (inaudible).

MR. BRIAN POTINO: Hi, OCJ. So back here after another year and still dealing with the same issues. My name is Brian Potino. I am a tenant organizer at Catholic Migration Services and a member of the Right to Counsel NYC Coalition and United Autoworkers, the Local 2325, along with these wonderful folks. And it is critical that funding for Right to Counsel include funding for all staff, including community organizers like myself, paralegals, social workers, and administrative staff.

members, Honey Tundra [phonetic], who lives in Jackson
Heights. I met Honey during our Court Watch program where
organizers and tenant leaders visit Queens Housing Court
to inform tenants of their rights. Honey was in tears.
She had received a judgment of possession, informing her
that she might be evicted in two weeks, even though her
landlord had never served her with an initial notice to
come to court. Honey wasn't given an attorney by
(inaudible). When we asked the attorney why not, they
said it wasn't her first court date but this was her first

2.1

time that she had been at court. To us at the Right to Counsel NYC Coalition, this was unacceptable. Honey had the right to an attorney who could help her raise defenses like improper service and give her a fighting chance at her home.

So I, along with other organizers across the city, mobilized tenants to show up to Honey's day in court. Wearing our yellow Right to Counsel shirts, we packed the courtroom and demanded that the court adjourn - court attorney adjourn her case to a date when legal services providers were giving full legal representation. Despite protests from the landlord's attorney, the court attorney listened to the tenant movement. Honey got an adjournment and attorney, and that fighting chance.

Sadly, most tenants aren't as lucky. We know that there are currently over 46,000 tenants who have gone without legal representation since January 2022 right here in New York City, even though we have a Right to Counsel Law on the books.

Community organizing is an essential component to ensuring tenants know about their rights and use their rights effectively to protect against eviction and can fight to both protect those rights and expand them, so tenants can benefit. Right to Counsel has been proven to stop evictions in New York City but many tenants who are

2.1

eligible for Right to Counsel don't know about it or are too afraid to use it. And that's because nobody is letting them know proactively that they have a right to counsel, especially if it's not their first court date.

The consequences of underfunding community organizing are severe. When tenants don't know they have a right to counsel, they might decide not to appear in court, decline representation, sign agreements with their landlords lawyer prior, decide not to ask for repairs in fear of being evicted, or face a variety of other serious consequences. When tenants don't know about or use their rights, they are more easily harassed out of their apartments. This leads to displacement, especially of black and brown New Yorkers. According to the New York Times, the city's black population has declined by nearly 200,000 in the past two decades, or about 9%. Now about -

MS. MEDLEY: -- Time check.

MR. POTINO: Okay. Well, I'm going to continue.

Now, about one in five -- because I think this is

important. Now, about one in five residents are non
Hispanic black, compared with one in four in 2000,

according to the latest census data.

OCJ, we call on you to do the right thing and advocate that the city fully fund our Right to Counsel

2.1

program. We need 300 million dollars to have an effective Right to Counsel program and we need you to come out in support of our statewide legislature.

MR. CONNOR HYATT: Good evening all. My name is Connor Hyatt and I'm a housing attorney and a member of the Association of Legal Aid Attorneys through the CAMBA Legal Services Workers United (inaudible). I work in Kings County Housing Court as a Right to Counsel lawyer and tonight I want to discuss something that a couple of people have touched on already, which is the New York (inaudible) Resource Administration relationship both with the Housing Court and the Right to Counsel.

Housing attorneys and the courts can agree that (inaudible) issues of the benefit (inaudible) of the Human Resource Administration be addressed as soon as possible as part and parcel to the overall prevention of evictions in New York City. Hundreds of thousands of New Yorkers rely on the states various forms of public assistance. As Right of Counsel workers, we engage closely with our clients public benefits, as they're often central to resolution of their housing court cases. What we're seeing is regular and significant errors and delays in the processing of these public benefits, whether it's ongoing payments in the form of a housing voucher, of SNAP case allowing a tenant to pay out of their small social

2.1

security income, or an emergency assistance case, also known as a one-shot deal, too many New Yorkers are being pulled into court for eviction proceedings and waiting endlessly for resolutions.

And the city's Human Resource Administration

Centers delay or fail to update these benefits cases. The benefits cases often languish for months without resolution and tenants receive few updates or responses from their assigned caseworkers. Or, in the alternative, tenants receive arbitrary denials of public benefits due to mishandling of provided documents or failure to acknowledge already completed telephone interviews.

Simultaneously, their Right to Counsel attorneys experience the same delays and lack of response when attempting to resolve these outstanding cases with their agencies or offices. Public benefit systems in New York should not require an attorney to navigate but with the poorly resourced HRA centers, they often do. And this takes valuable time away from Right to Counsel offices who are concerned with eviction cases in which tenants are experiencing discrimination, overcharge, contact issues, or other eviction proceedings that are not wholly reliant on public benefits.

I'll given an example which is a case of my own in the past year. In the spring of 2023, I began

2.1

representing an elderly tenant with severe physical disabilities. He had cancer. He had severely limited mobility. He had no friends or relatives and he lived alone. He was many, many, many thousands in arrears due only to a failure to reinstate what was formerly a SEPS voucher into a CityFHEPS voucher. So I made an immediate adult protective services referral through HRA in order for a caseworker to be assigned to assist him with this renewal process.

The case was accepted around a month later and the caseworker --

MS. MEDLEY: -- 30 second.

MS. HYATT: -- ostensibly represented that all of the paperwork had been submitted for the voucher renewal. Four months and many court appearances later we were informed that no paperwork had been submitted and that the renewal was not processed. A few months later, his paperwork had been submitted but no updates were made by Adult Protective Services. The judge in this eviction proceeding went so far as to issue a written decision ordering one office of HRA to send necessary documents to another office of HRA but no resolution was ever reached in this case. Eight months after his initial referral to Adult Protective Services for renewal of his benefits, my client passed away in the middle of his eviction

1 proceeding.

2.1

We need properly resourced benefits center and increase in caseworkers. The access to HRA acts wonderful but it doesn't allow a tenant to explain to their caseworker that this three months processing time for their housing voucher is likely going to result in them and their family entering one of the city's already overcrowded shelters. Now, more than ever, as a new program goes out for the CityFHEPS voucher allowing tenants to seek residency outside the city and reducing the hoops that one must jump through in obtaining the voucher, we need a properly funded Human Resources Administration, the Right to Counsel, and the city's tenants (inaudible). Thank you.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you.

MR. JOHN FRANCIS: My name is John Francis. I'm a senior staff attorney at Catholic Migration Services and a member of the Association (inaudible). I urge the Office of Civil Justice to fully fund the Right to Counsel, to ensure that every tenant has access to high quality legal services. Housing, above all, is (inaudible) participation in society. You often can't get a bank account, apply for benefits, go to school, get scholarships, or keep a job with stable housing. Housing is a bedrock need and it should be the highest priority

2.1

that tenants have (inaudible) so that they're able to remain in their homes.

As a (inaudible) attorney, I know firsthand how great a difference my representation — my representation makes to a client's case. In most cases, having an attorney allows tenants to stay in their homes, whether by preventing illegal evictions, resolving disputes with landlords, getting much needed repairs, keeping homes safe, or resolving rental arrears. And while there are some cases where a tenant absolutely must move out, it is still necessary for that tenant to have a lawyer to safeguard their rights. In these cases, my representation provides tenants adequate time to find alternative housing and often helps tenants obtain (inaudible) affordable homes.

Unfortunately, there aren't enough of us to meet the demand for Right to Counsel in housing court. These days we're forced to turn away tenants who need (inaudible) representation because the city has failed to fully fund the Right to Counsel. As a result of this lack of funding, our organizations don't have the ability to hire the number of attorneys needed to cover all of the cases that are brought to housing court each day.

Most importantly, as of December of 2023, there are 91,343 people sleeping in a shelter, many more on the

PUBLIC HEARING - 2/28/2024 streets. Many of these individuals had become homeless via the housing court system. Many of their evictions were preventable and they would not have been in the shelter today if they had a lawyer in housing court. the city is serious about combating homelessness, fully funding the Right to Counsel must be a priority. Of the over 90,000 people in shelter, a devastating 33,000 of them are children. Let that sink This is the most important part of the Right to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Counsel conversation. The children are often left behind. The Right to Counsel is not just about protection for the adults who are sued in housing court but also for their children who cannot fight for themselves. We have the power to end (inaudible) in New York City and start to fully fund the Right to Counsel. Thank you.

MS. MEDLEY: And do we have a Ryan with that group or is that our last -- is that our last speaker there?

MS. LAINE: That will be our last speaker. Thank you.

MS. MEDLEY: All right, thank you. Alex Jacobs? MR. ALEX JACOBS: Okay, hello. Yeah. My name is Alex Jacobs. I'm a senior staff attorney in housing at Legal Services NYC, LSSA 2320. First things first, I just want to express my complete and total solidarity with my

2.1

peers at MFJ. I can't believe that the director of their housing unit couldn't mention that the staff at MFJ is on strike for dignity, that they're overworked, underpaid, and underappreciated. And though I am not a member of MFJ, I understand what they're going through because I've been doing this for eight years.

And I've heard from a lot of people over the past eight years that, well, housing has a lot of turnover and attrition is normal because this is a, quote-unquote, starter job. Or that housing units can be or even should be staffed with law graduates. And I couldn't think of anything more condescending. This isn't a beginning job where the consequences are minor, where we can fly by the seat of our pants. I'm very sorry that my clients are poor but they deserve competent representation and the institutional knowledge that experienced attorneys bring to our offices should be valued by the city.

But I'll be extremely honest. I'm not convinced that New York actually cares about poor people. I remember last year when I was on this very same call there were a lot more elected officials. And as I'm scanning through, I'll be honest, I don't necessarily recognize everybody's name. I don't see any electee's here. Maybe there is one. Maybe there is two but last year there was a dozen or so. Where are they? In fact, I think this

2.1

city would prefer that my clients would just disappear and would want to act that there is -- would want to act as if there isn't a problem here at all.

And I'll be even more honest still because even though we call this Right to Counsel, as far as I can see, there is no, quote, "right" to counsel. Tenants have a right to speak to somebody like me in court for a few minutes on their first time in court, if they're lucky enough to be in court when my organization is doing intake. And, only if caseloads and staffing permits, will they maybe possibly have an attorney. There is a value in that to be sure but what kind of alleged right is subject to funding and subject to appropriation?

Because when I look around I don't think that

New York City is a poor city. In fact, what I see is that

our little crystal major with all of his Bitcoin and all

of his ephemeral ideas of how to make this city great,

we're able to give more and more money to the NYPD and

less and less money to our social services that actually

keep people safe. And just to point something out about

that, every time it rains in this city, our subways flood.

And I see little bunches of cops in the train stations and

they see people walking up and down stairs with bags, with

strollers, and with different objects. But you know what?

I've never once seen a cop help someone with a stroller up

or down the stairs. It's always my beautiful citizens and my coworkers and people who look like me that are going around and helping people, so that they don't fall on the stairs.

So I know that we have the money in the city to fund actual services but for some reason it goes to people that wear a blue uniform and have guns. And I can't think of anything more upsetting than the fact that you can look. You can go to Bronx Housing Court, right, in the poorest congressional district in this country. You can go to Bronx --

MS. MEDLEY: -- That was time.

MR. JACOBS: And 30 seconds you said or is it time? All I was going to say is it's about a 15-minute train ride into Midtown where you've got a whole bunch of fancy-smacy [sic] law firms, people that are making \$210,000 a year as baby attorneys. And what do we get? We deserve dignity. Our clients deserve dignity. And the only way to guarantee that is by funding us and by paying us what we deserve.

And, again, solidarity to MFJ. They deserve the world and I can't believe that nothing was mentioned by their own director about how they aren't being funded adequately. It's heartbreaking.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you.

## 25 MS. MEDLE

2.1

1 MR. JACOBS: Also, the RTC, if you have an extra 2 shirt, size medium, please. I've been begging for years, 3 medium shirt. Thank you. MS. MEDLEY: Depatricia Jewitt [phonetic]? 4 5 Depatricia Jewitt? Sabrina Ortiz? Oh, wait a minute. 6 Depatricia, are you speaking? Were you on mute still? 7 Okay. 8 One moment. I just want to also remind folks 9 about the Spanish interpretation services that are 10 available. 11 MS. WURTZ: Are we going -- we have Sabrina now. 12 She's (inaudible). 13 MS. MEDLEY: I was calling for Depatricia 14 Jewitt. Is that person available? Okay. Sabrina Ortiz? 15 MS. SABRINA ORTIZ: Good evening. My name is 16 Sabrina Ortiz. Bear with me one moment. I am here as a 17 member of CASA in the Bronx and Right to Counsel 18 Coalition. I am here to urge the Office of Civil Rights -19 - of Civil Justice to protect and uphold the Right to 20 Counsel Law. I just want to give you a literal insight on 21 22 what my last 14 months have spent navigating the system. 23 In December of 2022, my life was changed due to a fire 24 while I was not home, which displaced me and my family 25 into a shelter. I just want to backtrack for just one

2.1

second because my mom is a senior and she was illegally evicted in July of 2021, which forced her to come live with me because I'm not going to leave my mother out on the street.

Unfortunately, during that timeframe, she didn't have anywhere to turn to and nor was she provided someone to assist her during that time. Still to this day, she still has not received any assistance to navigate, which has interrupted her rental assistance for Section 8 and that is another battle that she is fighting to get it reinstated.

The assumption that myself and (inaudible) had was that the repair would be done from the fire that was mainly just one wall, and it was never done. 90 days later, in February of 2023, which was 90 days -- which was 60 days later, I received a 90-day notice, which we were kind of baffled about because we were under the assumption that (inaudible) repairs were going to be done and (inaudible) two weeks after the fire. I went from a hotel with my mom and my animals, and then switched into the shelter system (inaudible) to surrender my animals to a shelter, which I refused and I still have now.

During this timeframe, HPD assured me I would be returning and they would just (inaudible) repairs to get done, which never happened. The entire time, as I'm

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

asking for assistance, making probably 70 phone calls back-to-back during a traumatic time that I didn't know what to do, which was odd because I work in property management and I'm also a bookkeeper. So I know --

MS. MEDLEY: -- 30 seconds.

MS. ORTIZ: That's fine. So in order for me to get back into the workforce, I would have to be mentally stable to be able to concentrate. So I am not then subpoenaed for making errors on people's finances and rental contracts. Not knowing what to do, I then (inaudible) conversations that went absolutely nowhere, had to go to court figuring out how to -- trying to figure out how to navigate the system blindly by myself because I didn't know what to do. It was my first time ever having to go into the court system and having to be with an eviction, which I didn't get evicted. I was mandated by the lawyer -- I mean by the judge to surrender my rights, which then put me into default with HPD's rules and regulations, and had me at a moment (inaudible) whether or not I would be sitting in a park with my mom, my minimum belongings and my animals. To this day, I still have not received any type of resource.

So I just wanted to give you some bullet points. July of 2021, my mom was illegally evicted. December of 2022, I had a fire and I was displaced. February 2023, I

2.1

got a 90-day notice. This May of 2023 I did an intake. In June of 2023, I had my first court date which really didn't make much sense. I really didn't understand what the, the judge told me. So it was postponed until September, another court date. I had another court date in November which was when I was --

MS. MEDLEY: -- Sabrina, I just ask you to just wrap up.

MS. ORTIZ: In December of 2023, a year after my fire, I was then provided a shop letter, so I can then start looking for an apartment, an entire year. So that just puts me in a place now to fight another battle of trying to find an apartment because I'm discriminated against because of having rental assistance. On top of that, they're discriminating against my source of income because my source of income has gone from \$90,000 a year to \$189 a month because I cannot focus long enough to actually have employment.

So it is essential for us to have legal representation when something so tragic happens to people and people do not know what to do and how to navigate through a system that when the people that are getting paid to navigate that system tell you they don't know what to do. Something needs to change. I am a tax paying citizen. I have been working for over 25 years. And with

2.1

the time I have needed for your assistance, I have not received it. It needs to be given to everyone. It's deserved.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you, Sabrina. Kim Statutto?

MS. KIM STATUTTO: Good evening. My name is Kim

Statutto. Sorry I couldn't be there in person. I'm a

little under the weather but I did want to testify. First

and foremost -- first and foremost, I am a product of

eviction before Right to Counsel in 1994. So I do know

what an eviction looks like. I'm a member of CASA and

RTC. And I am here to urge the Office of Civil Justice to

protect and uphold the RTC law.

Bringing hundreds of tenants -- every day
hundreds of tenants are brought into court for nonpayment
or eviction cases. Landlords rarely show, show up because
they have attorneys that represent them. Tenants across
the boroughs have a -- have fought and won the Right to
Counsel, not HRA or 45-days to get a one-shot deal. What
is for -- what is being missed in the process is that
tenants' rights, living conditions, and the hell that they
are going through. Tenants voices are slowly being
drowned out with these unlawful practices.

RTC means the same thing for a tenant as it does for a landlord. If a landlord can have a tenant, a lawyer there, so should a tenant. Landlords have gotten away

2.1

with lots of unlawful practices. They rack up violations that exist years and years. Right, right now landlords across the boroughs owe 500 million in violations and yet nobody is going after them to pay those violations. That have put tenants at risk. Tenants aren't compensated when they don't have heat or hot water, when they don't have gas, when they are fighting for repairs. Tenant are being displaced because of landlords' greed.

MS. MEDLEY: 30 seconds.

MS. STATUTTO: Instead of only hearing the landlord's side, to try hearing what the tenants have lived through. I lived through 14 months, no gas, and nothing happened to the landlord. Thank you.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. New York City Anti-Violence Project?

MS. LESLIE ALLEN: Yes, good evening. My name is Leslie Allen and I'm the Deputy Director of Legal Services at New York City Anti-Violence Project, also known as AVP. I use she/her pronouns. We serve LGBTQ and HIV affected survivors in a wide variety of legal services, including those facing eviction. LGBTQ and HIV affected survivors are referred to AVP's legal and client services department by calling our free 24/7 hotline and through referrals from community partners. The hotline is a longtime cornerstone of AVP's work and it is a service

2.1

that the community members access regularly. The legal services department is a small, generalized practice offering legal advice and some legal representation across all five boroughs in a variety of areas of law.

With regularity, our LGBTQ callers face eviction in housing court and in the public housing system. While the availability of legal services to these callers has increased dramatically in the last few years, we have found that representation is far from guaranteed for our clients. We try our best to fill in the gaps, representing clients here and there, and providing advice and referrals when we cannot. But even as experienced attorneys the depths of support from a generalist is vastly different than a dedicated housing attorney with expertise in an individual court. Keeping our clients housed and off the street is fundamentally essential to their wellbeing and safety, especially LGBTQ clients who face a higher rate of violence and, as a result, a higher rate of homelessness than the average population.

As a lawyer at AVP, I regularly witness and try to help navigate with my clients systemic barriers faced by them when accessing legal services for housing. In particular, I'd like to draw attention to that my clients often face confusion about what stage of the process they are at and when they would get an attorney, particularly

2.1

if they did not meet with an attorney at their first court date, difficulty in knowing if they are eligible for any subsidized housing, one-shot deals, or CityFHEPS vouchers, lack of understanding about what the process is and what they are facing as a consequence, issues with LGBTQ discrimination and lack of cultural competency within the court system, particularly from judges and landlord attorneys, and finding that to be an extra level intimidation when they go to court. Difficulty in finding, if they are representing themselves, up to date information on who the judges are, how to contact them, how to contact their clerks, and how to contact city agencies. Often that type of information should be easily available on a website is missing and it certainly is not handed out to them when they get to court.

Our experience in housing court emphasizes the pivotal role attorneys play in identifying eligible housing programs and navigating bureaucratic hurdles, the complexities the systems are significant, and individuals — and eligible individuals are constantly missing out on housing benefits. LGBTQ and HIV affected survivors deserve enhanced legal representation in eviction cases. We implore the city of New York to renew and expand funding for civil housing legal aid services. Thank you for the opportunity to testify.

2.1

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Simone [phonetic],
Right to Counsel Coalition? I also have Charles Ward
[phonetic], Charles Ward at the Right to Counsel
Coalition. Simone or Charles?

MS. WURTZ: I have someone who wants to go in his place.

MS. THELMO CORDONES: Good evening. My name is Thelmo. I'm a member of the Right to Counsel New York City Coalition. I'm a tenant in the (inaudible) Heights Queens. I have lived in the same building with my family for more than four years. And I have some horror stories from housing court from 32,000. I went in a trial against my landlord in 2014 by myself, a very powerful firm in Queens. And eventually I lost. The trial was (inaudible) is the counsel for the landlord in front of the judge threatened to lock me out if I was too close to him.

Judge didn't do anything. I had all kinds of irregular actions in the -- in the trial. I was not an attorney. I put up a fight like for eight months. At the end I was evicted with a -- with a judgement of \$14,000 that to this day I (inaudible) to pay.

And now I'm facing eviction again from the same landlord, same law firm, and in a rent stabilized apartment in the same building because my family have lived in this building for decades, all in different

2.1

apartments. And without representation like my first trial, it was a learning experience but I didn't have a shot. And now I have faith in it again. And (inaudible) was supposed to come up with \$14,000 as part of a, quote-unquote, settlement that it was a shotgun settlement because I was like 90-days to get \$14,000. I'm supposed to apply for a one-shot deal, CityFHEPS, CASA system, but it has been stonewalling everywhere with the processing.

And I have somebody helping me legally but I am like against the wall and they telling me, oh, they want their money. The, the counsel, they say they want their money. So it's like, you know, eventually I'm starting to think I might lose my apartment. And if I lose my apartment I have to leave the city because there's no way that somebody with a judgement from 2014 and basically evicted again, it's going to be rented. I will have to get a room somewhere. I'll pay probably more than I pay now in rent.

So I am asking OCJ to fund with the 300 million dollars, so we can get representation in eviction trials and eviction actions. Evictions are violent actions. I lived it. Evictions are traumatic actions. I lived it. Landlords have very powerful firms representing them and a tenant without legal representation has no chance to beat a holdover or a no payment eviction case. I have to learn

1	all these terms, what is a holdover, on the go while I've
2	been representing myself. I'm not an attorney. There's a
3	point that they just beat me. And I am lucky because I
4	went to college and I took a little law but there's a lot
5	of people that have no clue what's going on. And they
6	just think we're of the
7	MS. MEDLEY: Thank you.
8	MR. CORDONES: clerk and they lie a lot.
9	And I'm like they're not supposed to be lying in court but
10	they do. I have to
11	MS. MEDLEY: That's and that's the time
12	but thank you.
13	MR. CORDONES: Thank you.
14	MS. MEDLEY: You can wrap please wrap up.
15	Thank you. And I wasn't sure whether Charles Ward would
16	speak. I also have Teddy Thomas [phonetic].
17	MR. CORDONES: My name is Thelmo Cordones.
18	MS. MEDLEY: I'm sorry. what was that? What
19	was that?
20	MR. CORDONES: Thelmo, T-H-E-L-M-O, Cordones, C-
21	O-R-D-O-N-E-S.
22	MS. MEDLEY: Thank you.
23	FEMALE VOICE: Is it okay if we have another
24	tenant take Simone's time?
25	MS. MEDLEY: I'm going to I'll come back. I

1	just want to see if there are other people in the room.
2	So let me just see if there are others. I don't know if
3	these folks are part of the group there or not. There is
4	Teddy Thomas. There is Briana Solin [phonetic]. Are
5	those folks all with you all? Okay.
6	MS. BRIANA SOLIN: So we're going to chant
7	instead of me taking my time because (inaudible). So,
8	Evi, take it away.
9	MS. EVI: When I say (inaudible).
10	MULTIPLE VOICES: (Inaudible).
11	MS. EVI: Housing is a human right, fight,
12	fight, fight. Housing is a human right.
13	MULTIPLE VOICES: (Inaudible). Keep up the
14	fight until we have the right. We'll keep up the fight
15	until we have our right. Keep up the fight until we have
16	our right. Keep up the fight (inaudible).
17	MS. SOLIN: Thanks, we're done with my time.
18	MS. MEDLEY: Thank you all. Thank you. Tara
19	Joy?
20	MS. TARA JOY: That's me. Hi, good evening,
21	everyone. My name is Tara Joy. I'm speaking tonight as a
22	New York City tenant, as well as a member of the unionized
23	staff for Mobilization for Justice and of the Right to
24	Counsel Coalition when I urge the Office of Civil Justice
2.5	to protect and unhald the Dight to Councel I

to protect and uphold the Right to Counsel Law.

25

2.1

This law is hugely impactful to low income New Yorkers. Studies of the city's Right to Counsel program show that tenants who have lawyers are less likely to be subject to possessory judgements, face smaller monetary judgements, are less likely to have eviction warrants issued against them, and are ultimately less likely to be evicted. In addition, evictions have been shown to have a number of adverse effects on evictee's housing stability, likelihood of homelessness, excuse me, earnings and employment, financial wellbeing, and physical and mental health. So access to legal representation can be legitimately life changing.

However, as important as it is, the Right to
Counsel program in its current iteration is neither
sufficient nor sustainable. Personally, I am currently
employed in the Housing Project of Mobilization for
Justice, which provides Right to Counsel eviction defense
to tenants in Brooklyn, Manhattan, and the Bronx. In my
role as intake specialist, I'm responsible for tracking
and coordinating the intake of new cases, which means that
I can see firsthand how overwhelming the current volume of
eviction cases is. To be frank, my colleagues are
drowning. Every week, we speak with dozens of new tenants
and we simply do not have the manpower to represent all of
them. This means that every week tenants who deserve and

2.1

are legally qualified for representation are forced to navigate housing court by themselves.

Additionally, it means our staff are reaching a breaking point and I am not being hyperbolic when I say that. MFJ is facing extremely high staff attrition. We currently have over a dozen vacant positions and, as some of you may be aware, my colleagues and I recently voted overwhelmingly to go on strike due in large part to our untenable working conditions, which means our ability to take on new cases is indefinitely halted. Our staff is overwhelmed and we are not the only organization feeling the strain.

I want to be very clear that I am here tonight because I know that our current strike for a fair contract within our workplace and the fight for stronger Right to Counsel program in the city at large are inextricably linked. I watch my colleagues go above and beyond for their clients and for each other every day. So I know that we are making the demands we're making not out of greed or laziness, but because we know that the current circumstances simply do not allow us to do our jobs effectively. Tenants and legal workers both deserve better.

As such, I am calling on the city to fully and adequately fund Right to Counsel by adding at least 300

2.1

million dollars to the budget, to ensure that there are sufficient attorneys to represent all qualifying tenants and on OCA to reduce the volume of eviction cases being calendared, so the number of cases no longer exceeds the capacity of legal service providers.

With these changes, Right to Counsel can live up to its potential as a powerful tool to prevent evictions.

Or else it can continue to crumble under its own weight,

Thank you for your time.

MS. MEDLEY: Time. Thank you. Thomas Delgado?

MR. TOM DELGADO: Hey.

MS. MEDLEY: We can't hear you. Now we can hear you. Go ahead.

taking many of New York's most vulnerable tenants with it.

MR. DELGADO: Hey, I'm Tom Delgado. I'm here with Woodside on the Move. I'm actually an artist, former lawyer. You guys have heard a ton of statistics. I've prepared a bunch of stuff but you guys have heard a ton of statistics. So I've -- you guys have already heard a lot of really, you know, deep stories and personal stories. So I'm just going to pretty much state the obvious.

I don't know if you can see this room but this, this room is New York City. This is (inaudible) have a pretty good view right here of what the city is. And this is not an accident. The reason it looks like this and it

2.1

can still look like this is because there are laws in place that protect this. And those laws aren't done out of the courtesy of, you know, legislatures or special interests. They're done because they're fought for. And that's what everyone here is trying to do. And that's what we're asking you guys to do.

The saddest part about all of this is that the law is right there. The law is done. It just needs to be funded and enforced. It's that simple. I can tell you this. As a former lawyer, I've been in -- I've been in rent negotiations. I've been in lease signings. I've been with repairs, all those different things. And multiple times in the time I've been there they've tried to take advantage of me. And the only reason I know what they're doing is because I'm a lawyer. And the only reason they feel like they can take advantage of me is because they assume I don't have one. So that's the reason this law exists and it has to be funded for it to work at all. Otherwise, this city is going to go down the drain.

You're going to look at this room. This room will disappear. You'll get a room. You'll get a -- you'll get a city with fancy brunch spots and fancy condo buildings but all this is going to be gone. So, if that's what you want, then don't fight for the law. The law is -

2.1

- but the law was put there because ewe fought for it and we're going to continue to fight for it.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Anselmo Vero

[phonetic]? Anselmo Vero? Anselma, sorry, Anselma Vero?

Perhaps that's someone in the Zoom, else in the Zoom room.

Okay. Daniel Inne? Daniel Inne or Inne? It's I-N-N-E,

Daniel.

MS. WURTZ: Someone is coming up to testify.

MR. DOMINICK DINAPOLI: Good afternoon. Good to see everyone. My name is Dominick DiNapoli. I've lived in the Bronx for about 30 years, same building, same apartment. All these just different things happening in the city, we personally did not face eviction. It happened with my friends, my family, really just anyone around me in my neighborhood is being outpriced and just really pushed out of our homes.

As Tom was saying between the fancy brunch spots and all these overpriced, underqualified shops, restaurants, all that type of stuff, it's just not cool. You guys need to protect us. This law that we're fighting for is to protect us. So we're just going to keep fighting. You can try all you want to push us out but we don't stand back (inaudible).

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Famada Havees [phonetic].

1	MS. WURTZ: Can you repeat that?
2	MS. MEDLEY: Famada?
3	MALE VOICE: Fameda (inaudible).
4	MS. MEDLEY: Fameda, excuse me. Fameda.
5	MS. FAMEDA HAVEES: Hi, my name is Fameda
6	Havees. Okay. I feel very (inaudible) to people who have
7	spoken (inaudible). I did have very big problem with
8	apartment but I believe is already (inaudible). So I
9	think it is important to know before we make an eviction
10	that what eviction you are going to make and what's the
11	cause of that eviction. What's the root cause? And then
12	if you find the root cause, then you find the solutions.
13	And then you can have a better life (inaudible). So I
14	will ask you to (inaudible) and allow the money and the
15	opportunity, and whatever needed (inaudible) and lead a
16	better life. Thank you so much.
17	MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Barry Horowitz?
18	MALE VOICE: Who?
19	MS. MEDLEY: Barry Horowitz.
20	MALE VOICE: He could be from here.
21	MR. BARRY HOROWITZ: I'm Barry (inaudible) and
22	I'm with the Right to Counsel Coalition and the
23	(inaudible) Coalition. Okay. Everybody here and
24	everybody is telling me just complaining, complaining, and
25	complaining. We need an emergency meeting to have the

2.1

mayor or whoever is in charge to stop the housing court.

Just put it to a stop (inaudible) tens of thousands of people are being abused every day. I was there. I was dragged through the mud for five years and they're not stopping. The landlord keeps coming at me and they're coming after the elderly, after the disabled, and the court doesn't care. Everybody in the housing court is evil and they are looking to abuse (inaudible) everyone that's fighting to stay in their apartment.

We need to stop the housing court immediately. However is in charge of this meeting, I would like for you guys to put together an emergency meeting, include me in

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Jessica Cardenas

[phonetic]? Jessica Cardenas? And then Cristine Guzman

[phonetic].

speak with the city leaders to stop the housing court in

that meeting. And I'm not kidding. Okay? To go and

MR. RAPHAEL: Hi. My name is Raphael. I'm going to testify in Jessica's place (inaudible).

MS. MEDLEY: That's fine.

the five boroughs until (inaudible).

MR. RAPHAEL. I was a tenant organizer. Well, first off, I was a rent stabilized tenant for 25 years. I was a tenant organizer and community leader for eight or nine, up until 2020. And I'm, I'm kind of new to these,

2.1

what do you call them, Zoom meetings or digital meetings.

And, quite frankly, they are not the same. And it's 55

degrees outside. I really don't see a reason why this

can't be an in-person meeting in a church, in a school, in

somewhere because, well, when face-to-face you cannot

replace.

In my view, I mean the host here, Leneer, I believe her name is. Or I'm trying to read names. I don't know whose name is who. You've been very nice, very accommodating but there is no replacement for having a town hall or a meet -- a hearing that is in public. You can -- we can have hybrid. People want to testify digitally, fine. That's what community boards do.

Community boards are meeting in public and they have people who are there on the screen that want to testify in private. My view being a -- being in touch with all government agencies and it's -- you're ducking accountability by having this meeting on the screen.

And frankly I, I appreciate the food and camaraderie but I am bored out of my mind staring at a screen for two and a half hours. I don't -- I am serious. This, it puts people to sleep. The level of engagement, it's not the same.

It's 55 degrees outside. I'm not a public health expert. The pandemic is over. We've got to meet

in person. Let's go.

2.1

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Cristine Guzman?

MALE VOICE: Anybody else want to testify in Cristine's place?

MS. MEDLEY: And I have Rosa Kaye [phonetic]?

Toki Mokay [phonetic]? Apologize for any

mispronunciation, Toki Mokay, T-O-K-I. Thelmo, I see your

name but I know you spoke already, Thelmo Cordones, you

spoke already earlier. Wanda Martinez?

MS. WANDA MARTINEZ: Yes, good evening. Tenant power, eviction free New York. My name is Wanda Martinez and I am part of the tenant leadership with CMS and with the Right to Counsel Coalition. And I'm here tonight because we need the OCJ to publicly fight for Right to Counsel and for the funding that we need so that the right to counsel can function the way it's supposed to.

Right now, as we heard from all the other speakers before me, we're in crisis. There's no -- not enough lawyers available. There's a lot of restrictions as far as who and how to get your right to counsel protected. And so what we need to see is for the OCJ to publicly, publicly show their support for us and our movement. We are New Yorkers in the middle of a housing crisis. And as a long-term tenant in Queens, I can tell you that housing and security is a fact that we're living

2.1

with here. And I've been in the same apartment for 30 years thanks to CMS and the Right to Counsel Coalition for funding the organizing versus the CMS provided, I was able to help form a tenant association in the building that I reside in, in Jackson Heights. And we were able to get repairs that had been unaddressed for 30 years.

So while, again, I always testify to this story. While my outcome, that's not (inaudible). I was part of a housing court watch and I can tell you that it is sad that we were unable to approach tenants with documents that can show them where to go to find the resources, so that they can have their rights to counsel protected. In fact, we had some of the court (inaudible) we shouldn't do that. So we had to go outside to do this or do it on, on, how they say, the downlow.

So it's, it's, to me, very important that the OCJ sees that there is a problem and addresses the problem because a fact does not cease to be a fact because it's being ignored. You know what's going on. It's time to act. You're there to help us and we require you and urge you to step up and do it now. The time is now. Thank you for letting me speak.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you, Wanda. We have reached the end of our speakers list. If there is anyone in the room who has not spoken, who would like to at this time,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you could put your name as we've said in the chat. And we'll go ahead and call on you in that way. Or if folks want to, as we're winding down here, raise their hand. But we don't have any other speakers.

While, while we see if anyone else would like to speak, I just want to again acknowledge OCJ staff who've joined us, who are here virtually, here in person. have OCJ current and former staff who are with us tonight from Jaclyn Binoti, our new Executive Director, Stacy, Marisa, Julian, Suzanna, Kyla, Jacklyn Moore [phonetic], our former ED, just really appreciate you all being in solidarity with us tonight. And really just -- you know, it just shows how the staff, whether they're in the housing court side or, you know, supporting the work that happens here on the finance side, are really invested and wanting to understand, and see what's happening and how this works to again strengthen, and improve, and allow us to support the work. So I appreciate you all. And of course the staff, whether they joined or not, you know, they're dedicated and really appreciate that very much so.

Is there anyone else that would like to speak or have put their hand up or anything just to be acknowledged? Or speak just before we close out?

All right. Well, I really want to thank those - I want to thank Cheryl again, Cheryl's team, Cheryl,

1	Carolyn, our ASL interpreter, our Spanish language
2	interpretation, also really thank the Right to Counsel
3	Coalition for your coordination and how you all have
4	showed up and, you know, really coordinated and brought
5	the energy. I appreciate, you know, how you all have
6	shown up and participated here today. Thank you for that.
7	Go ahead. Did you have something you wanted to
8	add?
9	MALE VOICE: We just have one more speaker, if
10	that's okay.
11	MS. MEDLEY: That's fine, thank you.
12	FEMALE VOICE: When I say tenants, you say
13	power. Tenants.
14	MULTIPLE VOICES: Power.
15	FEMALE VOICE: Tenants.
16	MULTIPLE VOICES: Power.
17	FEMALE VOICE: Tenants.
18	MULTIPLE VOICES: Power.
19	FEMALE VOICE: Tenants.
20	MULTIPLE VOICES: Power.
21	FEMALE VOICE: Tenants.
22	MULTIPLE VOICES: Power.
23	FEMALE VOICE: We're going to.
24	MULTIPLE VOICES: Fight, fight, fight. Housing
25	is a human right, fight, fight. Housing is a human

## **Ubiqus** 61 Broadway – Suite 1400, New York, NY 10006 Phone: 212-346-6666 \* Fax: 888-412-3655

right, fight, fight. Housing is a human right, fight, fight, fight, fight. Housing is a human right, fight, fight, fight. (Inaudible). We'll keep up the fight, until we have our right. We'll keep up the fight, until we have our right. We'll keep up the fight, until we have our right.

MS. MEDLEY: Thank you. Thank you again.

Anyone else who'd like to -- who'd like to speak? Well, I want to thank everyone here for your participation, for your engagement, and joining in and contributing. It's much appreciated. Again, reminder that this was recorded. We also have the transcript and the video recording available at the website. If you'd like to submit or anyone would like to submit additional comment or contribution, it can be done through the email address in writing. And those writings will also be reflected on the website as well.

If there is nothing else, I wish you all a good evening. And thank you again for your support of this critical program. And we look forward to engaging and further working with you. Have a good evening. Thank you.

(Proceeding Concluded.)

2.1

of proceedings in the NYC Department of Social Services,

Office of Civil Justice, Public Hering on OCJ's Universal

Access to Legal Services for Tenants Facing Eviction, was

prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a

I, Brandi Chamberlain, certify that the foregoing transcript

## C E R T I F I C A T E

true and accurate record of the proceedings. L

do 90

03/04/2024

Tout Chertste

Ubiqus