
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 059 William Floyd

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	60	45	52
Grade 1	49	71	49
Grade 2	58	43	49
Grade 3	62	59	40
Grade 4	69	65	44
Grade 5	72	55	54
All students	407	374	325

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	9%	11%	13%
% Free Lunch Eligible	88%	88%	88%
% Student with IEPs	18%	24%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	9%	8%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	78%	81%
% Temporary Housing	-	16%	13%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	50%	47%	47%
% Hispanic	48%	49%	50%
% White	1%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	1%

14K059 P.S. 059 William Floyd

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.34

				2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	133	2.19	1.93	2.13	2.26	2.41	2.69	2.46	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	133	12.8%	1.0%	9.3%	15.2%	22.0%	33.9%	2.59	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	94	68.5	47.2	55.8	63.3	68.5	80.9	4.00	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	36	78.5	61.8	69.9	76.9	81.7	93.2	3.33	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	38	1.16	0.37	1.00	1.55	1.93	2.89	2.29	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	133	2.26	1.90	2.18	2.37	2.59	2.96	2.42	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	133	15.8%	0.0%	11.8%	20.3%	29.9%	45.3%	2.47	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	94	79.5	37.1	49.0	59.4	66.6	83.8	4.75	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	35	87.0	56.2	65.7	74.0	79.7	93.3	4.54	9.09%
O Early Grade Progress	38	0.78	0.02	1.08	2.00	2.65	4.22	1.72	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	49	89.6%	73.6%	81.0%	86.4%	92.4%	100.0%	3.53	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.10

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4			-			-	-					
 Self-Contained 	18	13.5%	66.5%	5.6%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	3	2.3%	11.7%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	8	6.0%	57.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	18	13.5%	67.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	3	2.3%	11.7%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	8	6.0%	57.7%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL ELL	13	13.8%	29.5%	38.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.22	0.030	0.017
Lowest Third Citywide	51	54.3%	78.9%	54.9%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	3.94	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	25.5%	64.6%	54.2%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.86	0.030	0.022
SC/ICT/SETSS	23	24.5%	59.0%	73.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.85	0.030	0.029
Adult Develop Title Count Develop												
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile	12	12.00/	20.20/	C1 F0/	7.00/	22 20/	22 50/	44.60/	70.20/	4.66	0.020	0.028
ELLLowest Third Citywide	13 67	13.8% 71.3%	28.3% 97.9%	61.5% 53.7%	7.8% 19.1%	22.3% 32.5%	33.5% 42.8%	44.6% 53.1%	70.2% 76.7%	4.66 4.03	0.030 0.030	0.028
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	30	31.9%	83.1%	56.7%	19.1%	29.5%	41.2%	53.1%	76.7%	4.03	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	23	24.5%	59.9%	52.2%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	79.4%	4.13	0.030	0.024
3C/ICI/3E133	23	24.3/0	33.370	32.2/0	13.4%	29.376	40.470	31.3%	70.276	4.04	0.030	0.023
ELL Progress	34	11.9%	30.2%	58.8%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	3.37	0.030	0.018
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.24
									Overa	ıll Student Achie	vement Score	3.34

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).


2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 059 William Floyd

14K059

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction	meme value	Wet it Store	vveignt PCT
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	92%	3.72	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
aborative Teachers	D. of the state of	2.42	F 00/
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	96%	4.44	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	91%	3.48	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	J1/0	J. 1 0	33/0
EMS	58.0%	1.64	
HS		-	
Overall	58.0%	1.64	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.12	1.86	
HS			
Overall	0.12	1.86	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
ctive School Leadership			
NIVC Cohool Curvoy Effortive Cohool Londonship	000/	2.06	1000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	88%	3.96	100%
	88% Section Score:	3.96 3.96	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target			100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties	Section Score:	3.96	
Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties			100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	Section Score:	3.96	
Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96 3.04	
Section Rating: Meeting Target In Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target NYC School Survey - Trust	Section Score: 84% Section Score:	3.96 3.04 3.04	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96 3.04	



P.S. 059 William Floyd

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.78	4.12
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	95	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	85	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.52	3.08
Section Results:		92%					3.72
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.93	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	97				0.84	4.36
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.92	4.68
 Quality of professional development 	Teachers	91	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.81	4.24
 School commitment 	Teachers	96	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.90	4.60
Innovation	Teachers	96	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.87	4.48
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	98	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.96	4.84
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	96	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.87	4.48
 Collective responsibility 	Teachers	92	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.76	4.04
Section Results:		96%					4.44
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.82	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	96				0.82	4.28
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	80	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.40	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	80				0.40	2.60
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.82	4.28
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	89	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.54	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	89				0.54	3.16
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	90	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.55	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	91	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	90				0.53	3.12
Section Results:		91%					3.48

14K059 P.S. 059 William Floyd

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.53	3.12
Teacher influence	Teachers	75	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.72	3.88
Program coherence	Teachers	93	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.83	4.32
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	95	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.86	4.44
Section Results:		88%					3.96
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.80	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.65	3.60
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.37	2.48
Section Results:		84%					3.04
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.58	3.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	92	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
 Teacher-teacher trust 	Teachers	98	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.91	4.64
Section Results:		94%					3.80

14K059

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 059 William Floyd

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.19	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.84	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	12.8%	7.4% or lower	7.5% to 11.7%	11.8% to 15.0%	15.1% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.26	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.38	2.39 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	15.8%	10.2% or lower	10.3% to 16.2%	16.3% to 20.7%	20.8% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	89.6%	84.8% or lower	84.9% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.82	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
SETSS	1.86	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL	2.01	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.78	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.94	1.95 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
SETSS	1.89	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.14	2.15 or higher			
ELL	2.12	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.30	2.31 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	58.8%	39.7% or lower	39.8% to 49.7%	49.8% to 57.2%	57.3% or higher			
					-			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	58.0%	59.1% or lower	59.2% to 65.9%	66.0% to 71.1%	71.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.12	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.16	0.17 to 0.20	0.21 or higher			