
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

P.S. 062 Inocensio Casanova

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	126	132	131
Grade 1	118	139	151
Grade 2	135	122	133
Grade 3	98	118	115
Grade 4	100	99	111
Grade 5	118	102	103
All students	748	766	798

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	13%	10%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	91%	91%	89%
% Student with IEPs	20%	27%	27%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	13%	14%
% HRA Eligible	-	79%	77%
% Temporary Housing	-	22%	25%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	22%	21%	23%
% Hispanic	76%	76%	74%
% White	1%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

08X062 P.S. 062 Inocensio Casanova

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.43

			2014-15 Targets							
;	Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
9	State Test Results - ELA									
	Average Student Proficiency	310	2.15	1.92	2.09	2.20	2.33	2.61	2.55	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	310	9.0%	0.7%	7.9%	12.9%	18.7%	30.6%	2.22	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	190	64.0	44.5	54.0	62.1	67.7	81.2	3.34	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	69	82.0	60.8	69.1	76.4	81.5	93.5	4.04	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	109	0.96	0.34	0.91	1.41	1.77	2.68	2.10	9.09%
9	State Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	315	2.35	1.90	2.14	2.29	2.47	2.84	3.33	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	315	18.1%	0.1%	9.7%	16.6%	24.5%	39.5%	3.19	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	191	65.0	34.7	47.3	58.1	65.7	83.6	3.91	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	71	80.0	53.1	63.5	72.6	78.9	94.1	4.07	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	112	1.74	0.02	0.98	1.82	2.41	3.89	2.90	9.09%
• 1	MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	88	93.3%	73.4%	80.9%	86.2%	92.2%	99.8%	4.14	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.25

			2014-15 Targets									
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	58	18.7%	92.1%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	27	8.7%	44.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	15	4.8%	45.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	58	18.4%	91.5%	1.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.59	0.030	0.004
Integrated Co-Teaching	27	8.6%	43.9%	14.8%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.35	0.030	0.018
SETSS	15	4.8%	46.2%	20.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.28	0.030	0.025
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	21	11.1%	23.7%	23.8%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	102	53.7%	78.0%	46.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.88	0.030	0.014
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	59	31.1%	78.7%	55.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.01	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	65	34.2%	86.8%	55.4%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.03	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	22	11.5%	23.6%	36.4%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	92	48.2%	66.2%	52.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.91	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	47	24.6%	64.1%	59.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.26	0.030	0.025
SC/ICT/SETSS	65	34.0%	87.3%	52.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.04	0.030	0.023
ELL Progress	83	11.2%	28.4%	42.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.80	0.030	0.006
									CtAG Additional Points			
Overall Student Achievement Sco							vement Score	3.43				

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 062 Inocensio Casanova

08X062

Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 **Portive Environment** Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 **Section Rating: Meeting Target** Section Score: 3.92 **Portive Environments** EMS 0.16 2.18 5% 5% 3.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 **Portive School Leadership** NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 **Portive School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties** NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.2 Proficient 3.40 22% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 89% 2.92 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Image: Section Score Section Score: 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Proportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 5% EMS 0.16 2.18 5% 3.24 5% Overall 0.16 2.18 5% 3.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target	prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2 Proficient 3.40 22% Quality Review 2.2 Proficient 3.40 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 89% 2.92 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Image: Section Faring: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Section Score: 4.52 Opportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 EMS 0.00 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section S		Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous instruction 89% 2.92 34% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Iaborative Teachers	·	Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Score: 3.24	·	Proficient	3.40	22%
Comparison Com	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	2.92	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Diportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 5% Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Diportive Environments	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Diportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 5% Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 DONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	aborative Teachers			
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.04 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Poportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 64.8% 2.76 HS Overall 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24		Well Developed	4.99	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Section Score: 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.52 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 64.8% 2.76 BAS 0.276 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	·	·		
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 5% Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Score: 3.68 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Diagramily-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24				
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 64.8% 2.76 Survey - Supportive Environment 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 Survey - Survey - Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.52	
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 64.8% 2.76 Survey - Survey - Survey - Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 30% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 30% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	portive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 92% 3.52 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.16 2.18 HS Overall 0.16 2.18 HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Corp Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24		Well Developed	4.99	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.16 2.18 HS Overall 0.16 2.18 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 POR Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	·	·		
EMS HS Overall Overall 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24		·		
Overall 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Cictive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92	-	64.8%	2.76	
Overall 64.8% 2.76 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.16 2.18 HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92				
environments EMS HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Citive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24		64.8%	2.76	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24				
Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	environments			
Overall 0.16 2.18 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	EMS	0.16	2.18	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.68 Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24				
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 100%	Overall	0.16	2.18	5%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 86% 3.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24				
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.92 Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	-	<u></u> 86%	3.92	100%
ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 St				100/0
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 St	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.24 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 st	ing Family-Community Ties			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24	-	85%	3.24	100%
st	July Community Hes	3570	5.2 1	100/0
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
	<u>.</u>			
23333475, 1.430. 3.30 100%		95%	3 96	100%
	itt o ochoor our vey - Hust	JJ/0	3.30	10070
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.96	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score	3 96	



P.S. 062 Inocensio Casanova

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
igorous Instruction								
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	93	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	91	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	83	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.45	2.80	
ection Results:		89%					2.92	
ollaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.78		
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50		
Cultural awareness	Students	54	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50		
Cultural awareness	Combined	96	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.64	3.56	
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	85	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.69	3.76	
School commitment	Teachers	94	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.85	4.40	
Innovation	Teachers	93	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.85	4.40	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.86	4.44	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	96	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.88	4.44	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	89	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.67	3.68	
ection Results:	reactiers	94%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.07	4.04	
upportive Environment Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	92	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.62		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
Safety	Combined	92				0.62	3.48	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	87	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.60		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	87				0.60	3.40	
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	98	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.86	4.44	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:								
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	88	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.51		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.51	3.04	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:								
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	92	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.66		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
Peer support for academic work	Combined	93				0.58	3.32	
ection Results:		92%					3.52	

P.S. 062 Inocensio Casanova

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Calcast Landaushin							
Effective School Leadership		0.0			4000	0.00	2.42
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.60	3.40
Teacher influence	Teachers	59	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.48	2.92
 Program coherence 	Teachers	97	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.92	4.68
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	97	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.92	4.68
Section Results:		86%					3.92
Charles Family Construction The							
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.85	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.67	3.68
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.45	2.80
Section Results:		85%					3.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.65	3.60
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	96	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.90	4.60
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	97	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.89	4.56
Section Results:		95%					3.96

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.15	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.31	2.32 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.87	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	9.0%	8.9% or lower	9.0% to 14.0%	14.1% to 17.9%	18.0% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.35	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.48	2.49 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.94	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	18.1%	14.0% or lower	14.1% to 21.3%	21.4% to 26.8%	26.9% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.3%	83.9% or lower	84.0% to 88.0%	88.1% to 91.1%	91.2% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.73	1.73 or lower	1.74 to 1.82	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.95	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
SETSS	1.96	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher		
ELL	1.90	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.92	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.92	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.27	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.20	2.21 to 2.33	2.34 or higher		
SETSS	2.24	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 or higher		
ELL	2.11	2.10 or lower	2.11 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.44	2.45 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
ELL Progress	42.2%	40.3% or lower	40.4% to 50.3%	50.4% to 57.8%	57.9% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	64.8%	61.8% or lower	61.9% to 68.7%	68.8% to 73.8%	73.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.16	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher			