
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

02M001

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	83	70	69
Grade 1	81	73	64
Grade 2	82	77	61
Grade 3	71	76	67
Grade 4	78	68	79
Grade 5	69	79	68
All students	515	496	465

Student Demographics

2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
41%	36%	36%
76%	76%	76%
19%	22%	18%
5%	5%	5%
-	67%	63%
-	12%	11%
71%	67%	67%
11%	11%	11%
17%	21%	22%
0%	0%	0%
0%	0%	0%
	41% 76% 19% 5% 71% 11% 17% 0%	41% 36% 76% 76% 19% 22% 5% 5% - 67% - 12% 71% 67% 11% 11% 17% 21% 0% 0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.20

				2	2014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics	_	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of	Madde Comm	W-!-L+ D-+
State Test Results - ELA	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Average Student Proficiency	210	2.59	2.21	2.46	2.60	2.76	3.00	2.93	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	210	31.9%	13.7%	25.1%	32.1%	40.1%	50.8%	2.97	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	143	75.0	52.8	59.9	65.9	70.0	79.9	4.51	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	55	80.0	62.4	69.3	75.0	78.9	88.5	4.11	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	66	2.58	1.13	1.74	2.21	2.54	3.34	4.05	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	211	3.14	2.28	2.65	2.88	3.14	3.47	4.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	211	61.1%	16.4%	32.9%	43.8%	56.3%	71.7%	4.31	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	141	72.0	47.3	57.4	65.9	71.9	85.9	4.01	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	48	70.5	58.4	66.2	72.6	77.0	87.8	2.67	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	66	4.14	1.07	2.08	2.90	3.47	4.84	4.49	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	72	99.6%	79.1%	85.2%	89.3%	94.1%	100.0%	4.93	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.91

							014-15 Target	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	15	7.1%	35.0%	13.3%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	8.1%	41.1%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• SETSS	8	3.8%	36.2%	25.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	15	7.1%	35.3%	20.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	8.1%	41.3%	29.4%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.86	0.030	0.029
SETSS	8	3.8%	36.5%	12.5%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.16	0.030	0.016
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	62	43.4%	92.7%	56.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.43	0.030	0.026
Lowest Third Citywide	47	32.9%	46.9%	57.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.11	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	9.8%	24.8%	42.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	18.9%	43.0%	51.9%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.72	0.030	0.020
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	61	43.3%	88.9%	44.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.97	0.030	0.022
Lowest Third Citywide	29	20.6%	28.3%	44.8%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.19	0.030	0.016
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	13	9.2%	24.0%	38.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	25	17.7%	40.3%	48.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.70	0.030	0.020
ELL Progress	142	34.8%	88.3%	71.1%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.25	0.030	0.024
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.29
												4.00
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	4.20

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

Quality Review 1.1 Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction Section Rating: Meeting Target Ollaborative Teachers	Proficient Proficient Proficient 89% Section Score:	3.40 3.40 3.40 3.16	22% 22% 22% 34%
Quality Review 1.1 Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction Section Rating: Meeting Target ollaborative Teachers	Proficient Proficient 89%	3.40 3.40 3.16	22% 22%
Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction Section Rating: Meeting Target ollaborative Teachers	Proficient 89%	3.40 3.16	22%
Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction Section Rating: Meeting Target ollaborative Teachers	89%	3.16	
Section Rating: Meeting Target ollaborative Teachers			34%
ollaborative Teachers	Section Score:	3.32	
	Des Caland	2.40	F00/
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	3.80	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
ipportive Environment	Dunfiniont	2.40	200/
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40 4.12	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.12	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	82.3%	2.40	
HS	02.3/0	2.40	
Overall	82.3%	2.40	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	02.370	2.40	3070
environments			
EMS	0.20	2.55	
HS	0.20	2.55	
Overall	0.20	2.55	5%
Overan	0.20	2.55	370
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
fective School Leadership	2004	2.00	1000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	86%	3.80	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	87%	3.40	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	
r ust NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.12	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.12	100%



			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			_			-	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	92	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	98	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.88	4.52
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	76	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.23	1.92
Section Results:		89%					3.16
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.83	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	33	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.66	3.64
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.91	4.64
Quality of professional development	Teachers	78	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.54	3.16
School commitment	Teachers	91	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.77	4.08
Innovation	Teachers	85	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.48	2.92
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	95	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
Focus on student learning	Teachers	93	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.79	4.16
Collective responsibility	Teachers	88	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.64	3.56
Section Results:		91%					3.80
Supportive Environment Safety:		0.7	00.0	0.4.5	400.0	0.05	
Safety	Teachers	97	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.85	
Safety	Students	07	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.05	4.40
• Safety	Combined	97				0.85	4.40
Classroom behavior:		00	66.0	05.5	400.0	0.60	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	90	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.69	
Classroom behavior	Students	20	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.50	2.76
Classroom behavior	Combined	90	00.0	00.0	100.0	0.69	3.76
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.93	4.72
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	T l	0.4	75.0	00.0	400.0	0.62	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	91	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.62	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	04	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.62	2.40
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	91	77.0	00.6	100.0	0.62	3.48
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Tools	0.0	76.5	04.5	100.0	0.03	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.83	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.77	
Peer support for academic work	Students	07	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.00	4.30
Peer support for academic work Section Results:	Combined	97				0.80	4.20
Section Results:		95%					4.12

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.68	3.72
Teacher influence	Teachers	71	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.66	3.64
 Program coherence 	Teachers	92	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.81	4.24
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	86	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.64	3.56
Section Results:		86%					3.80
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.63	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.54	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.59	3.36
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	79	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.60	3.40
Section Results:		87%					3.40
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	85	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.65	3.60
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:		94%					4.12

02M001

P.S. 001 Alfred E. Smith

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.59	2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.48	2.49 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.07	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	31.9%	15.8% or lower	15.9% to 21.3%	21.4% to 25.5%	25.6% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.14	2.51 or lower	2.52 to 2.66	2.67 to 2.78	2.79 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.32	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	61.1%	28.2% or lower	28.3% to 35.5%	35.6% to 41.0%	41.1% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.6%	87.2% or lower	87.3% to 90.5%	90.6% to 92.9%	93.0% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.89	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.97	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
SETSS	2.17	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
ELL	2.59	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.21	2.22 to 2.32	2.33 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.71	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.11	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.51	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.44	2.45 or higher		
SETSS	2.18	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.40	2.41 or higher		
ELL	3.29	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.65	2.66 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.75	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
ELL Progress	71.1%	42.3% or lower	42.4% to 52.3%	52.4% to 59.8%	59.9% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	82.3%	76.8% or lower	76.9% to 82.7%	82.8% to 87.1%	87.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.20	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			