



ERIC L. ADAMS
MAYOR

CIVILIAN COMPLAINT REVIEW BOARD
100 CHURCH STREET 10th FLOOR
NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10007 ♦ TELEPHONE (212) 912-7235
www.nyc.gov/ccrb



DR. MOHAMMAD KHALID
INTERIM CHAIR

Executive Director's Monthly Report
May 2025
(Statistics for April 2025)

Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Executive Summary | 2 |
| Glossary | 3 |
| Complaints Received | 4 |
| CCRB Cases Received By Borough and Precinct | 5 |
| Allegations Received | 7 |
| CCRB Docket | 10 |
| Body Worn Camera Footage Requests | 12 |
| Closed Cases | 14 |
| Dispositions / Case Abstracts | 15 |
| Dispositions - Full Investigations | 17 |
| Dispositions - All CCRB Cases | 18 |
| Dispositions - Allegations | 19 |
| Substantiation Rates | 23 |
| Substantiation Rates and Video | 24 |
| Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers | 25 |
| Complaints by PSA | 26 |
| Mediation Unit | 28 |
| Administrative Prosecution Unit | 30 |
| NYPD Discipline | 31 |
| List of Substantiated Allegations | 44 |

Executive Summary

The Civilian Complaint Review Board (“CCRB”) is an independent municipal Agency that investigates complaints of NYPD misconduct. Every month, the CCRB prepares an Executive Director report for its public meeting. Data for April 2025 included the following highlights:

- 1) Of the cases in the CCRB active investigations docket, 45% have been open for 4 months or fewer, and 63% have been open for 7 months or fewer (page 10). In April, the CCRB opened 480 new cases (page 4), and currently has a total open docket of 3,021 cases (page 11).
- 2) The CCRB substantiated allegations in 67% of its fully investigated cases in April (page 17).
- 3) The CCRB fully investigated 27% of the cases it closed in April (page 14).
- 4) For April, investigations using video evidence resulted in substantiated allegations in 68% of cases - compared to 33% of cases in which video was not available (page 24).
- 5) The Monthly Report includes a breakdown of complaints and substantiations by NYPD precinct and borough of occurrence (pages 5-6, 44-53).
- 6) In April the Police Commissioner finalized 14 decision(s) against police officers in Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) cases (page 30). The CCRB's APU prosecutes the most serious allegations of misconduct. The APU conducted 4 trials against members of the NYPD year-to-date; 1 trial was conducted against respondent officers in April.

The CCRB is committed to producing monthly reports that are valuable to the public, and welcomes feedback on how to make its data more accessible.

Glossary

In this glossary we have included a list of terms that regularly appear in our reports.

Allegation: An allegation is a specific act of misconduct. The same “complaint” can have multiple allegations – excessive force and discourteous language, for example. Each allegation is reviewed separately during an investigation.

APU: The Administrative Prosecution Unit is the division of the CCRB that has prosecuted “charges” cases since April 2013, after the signing of a 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the CCRB and NYPD.

Board Panel: The “Board” of the CCRB has 15 members. Following a completed investigation by the CCRB staff, three Board members, sitting as a Board Panel, will make a finding on whether misconduct occurred and will make a recommendation on what level of penalty should follow.

Case/Complaint: For the purposes of CCRB data, a “case” or “complaint” is defined as any incident within the Agency’s jurisdiction, brought to resolution by the CCRB.

Disposition: The Board’s finding as to the outcome of a case (i.e. if misconduct occurred).

FADO: Under the City Charter, the CCRB has jurisdiction to investigate the following categories of police misconduct: Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language, collectively known as “FADO”.

FADO&U: A ballot measure revising the New York City Charter, which passed on November 5, 2019, authorized the CCRB to investigate the truthfulness of an official statement made by a subject officer during a CCRB investigation into a FADO allegation. This expanded jurisdiction—Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy, Offensive Language, and Untruthful Statements (FADO&U)—went into effect on March 31, 2020.

Intake: CCRB’s intake team initially handles complaints from the public. Intake takes complaints that come via live phone calls, voicemails, an online complaint form, or in-person.

Investigation: CCRB investigators gather evidence and interview witnesses to prepare reports on misconduct allegations. An investigation ends when a closing report is prepared detailing the evidence and legal analysis, and the case is given to the Board for disposition.

Mediation: A complainant may mediate his or her case with the subject officer, in lieu of an investigation, with the CCRB providing a neutral, third-party mediator.

Unable to Investigate: A catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

Withdrawn: When the complainant/alleged victim asks that their complaint be withdrawn, the case is closed as “Withdrawn.”

Closed Pending Litigation: When a complainant is involved in criminal or civil litigation, and declines to cooperate with an investigation on the advice of their attorney, the complaint disposition is "Closed Pending Litigation."

Complaints Received

The CCRB’s Intake team processes misconduct complaints from the public and referrals from the NYPD. Under the New York City Charter, the CCRB’s jurisdiction is limited to allegations of misconduct related to Force, Abuse of Authority, Discourtesy and Offensive Language. All other complaints are referred to the appropriate agency. Figure 1 refers to all complaints that the CCRB receives and Figures 2 and 3 refer to new cases that remain with the Agency. In April 2025, the CCRB initiated 480 new complaints.

Figure 1: Total Intake by Month (January 2024 - April 2025)




Figure 2: New CCRB Complaints by Month (January 2024 - April 2025)





Figure 3: New CCRB Complaints by Year (YTD 2010 - YTD 2025)



CCRB Cases Received by Borough and Precinct

Figure 4: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (April 2025)




Figure 5: CCRB Complaints Received By Borough of Occurrence (YTD 2025)




Figure 6: CCRB Complaints Received By Precinct of Occurrence (April 2025)


| NYPD Precinct of Occurrence* | Number of Complaints | NYPD Precinct of Occurrence* | Number of Complaints |
|------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|
| 0 | 2 | 67 | 14 |
| 1 | 3 | 68 | 4 |
| 5 | 2 | 69 | 9 |
| 6 | 2 | 70 | 7 |
| 7 | 8 | 71 | 9 |
| 9 | 3 | 72 | 3 |
| 10 | 1 | 73 | 20 |
| 13 | 8 | 75 | 26 |
| 14 | 6 | 77 | 2 |
| 17 | 4 | 78 | 4 |
| 18 | 6 | 79 | 10 |
| 19 | 2 | 81 | 6 |
| 20 | 3 | 83 | 8 |
| 22 | 1 | 84 | 4 |
| 23 | 3 | 88 | 4 |
| 24 | 5 | 90 | 2 |
| 25 | 10 | 94 | 1 |
| 26 | 4 | 100 | 2 |
| 28 | 7 | 101 | 5 |
| 30 | 7 | 102 | 5 |
| 32 | 8 | 103 | 10 |
| 33 | 4 | 104 | 5 |
| 34 | 8 | 105 | 6 |
| 40 | 15 | 106 | 6 |
| 41 | 8 | 107 | 4 |
| 42 | 6 | 108 | 2 |
| 43 | 10 | 109 | 3 |
| 44 | 5 | 110 | 5 |
| 45 | 2 | 112 | 1 |
| 46 | 5 | 113 | 5 |
| 47 | 8 | 114 | 12 |
| 48 | 10 | 115 | 3 |
| 49 | 7 | 116 | 4 |
| 50 | 6 | 120 | 12 |
| 52 | 4 | 121 | 1 |
| 60 | 8 | 122 | 7 |
| 61 | 5 | 123 | 4 |
| 62 | 1 | Unknown | 32 |
| 63 | 3 | | |
| 66 | 3 | | |

*These figures track where an incident occurred, not necessarily the Command of the officer.

Allegations Received

As described in the previous section, the CCRB has jurisdiction over four categories of NYPD misconduct. The charts below show what types of allegations are contained in the CCRB complaints received.

Figure 7: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (April 2024 vs. April 2025)




*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 8: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (% of Complaints)

| | April 2024 | | April 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|------------------------|------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total Complaints | Count | % of Total Complaints | | |
| Force (F) | 194 | 44% | 228 | 48% | 34 | 18% |
| Abuse of Authority (A) | 319 | 72% | 347 | 72% | 28 | 9% |
| Discourtesy (D) | 114 | 26% | 100 | 21% | -14 | -12% |
| Offensive Language (O) | 26 | 6% | 26 | 5% | 0 | 0% |
| Total FADO Allegations | 653 | | 701 | | 48 | 7% |
| Total Complaints | 442 | | 480 | | 38 | 9% |

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 9: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation (YTD 2024 vs. YTD 2025)



*This is the total of distinct FADO allegation types in complaints received.

Figure 10: CCRB Complaints Received By Type of Allegation YTD (% of Complaints)

| | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|----------|-----------------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total Complaints | Count | % of Total Complaints | | |
| Force (F) | 856 | 47% | 900 | 49% | 44 | 5% |
| Abuse of Authority (A) | 1389 | 76% | 1348 | 73% | -41 | -3% |
| Discourtesy (D) | 489 | 27% | 431 | 23% | -58 | -12% |
| Offensive Language (O) | 128 | 7% | 103 | 6% | -25 | -20% |
| Total FADO Allegations | 2862 | | 2782 | | -80 | -3% |
| Total Complaints | 1835 | | 1838 | | 3 | 0% |

Note: the number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows somewhat as the complaints are investigated.

Figure 11: Total FADO Allegations (% of Total Allegations)

| | April 2024 | | April 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | | |
| Force (F) | 448 | 27% | 594 | 35% | 146 | 33% |
| Abuse of Authority (A) | 1010 | 61% | 955 | 56% | -55 | -5% |
| Discourtesy (D) | 150 | 9% | 129 | 8% | -21 | -14% |
| Offensive Language (O) | 35 | 2% | 29 | 2% | -6 | -17% |
| Total Allegations | 1643 | | 1707 | | 64 | 4% |
| Total Complaints | 442 | | 480 | | 38 | 9% |

Figure 12: Total FADO Allegations YTD (% of Total Allegations)

| | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|--------|----------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | | |
| Force (F) | 2223 | 28% | 2292 | 32% | 69 | 3% |
| Abuse of Authority (A) | 4928 | 61% | 4184 | 58% | -744 | -15% |
| Discourtesy (D) | 744 | 9% | 599 | 8% | -145 | -19% |
| Offensive Language (O) | 183 | 2% | 146 | 2% | -37 | -20% |
| Total Allegations | 8078 | | 7221 | | -857 | -11% |
| Total Complaints | 1835 | | 1838 | | 3 | 0% |

The number of allegations in recently received complaints typically grows as the complaints are investigated.

CCRB Docket

As of the end of April 2025, 45% of active CCRB cases are fewer than five months old, and 63% active cases have been open for fewer than eight months.

Figure 13: Age of Active Cases Based on Received Date (April 2025)

| Case Age Group | Count | % of Total |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cases 0-4 Months | 1355 | 45.0% |
| Cases 5-7 Months | 527 | 17.5% |
| Cases 8-11 Months | 689 | 22.9% |
| Cases 12-18 Months* | 429 | 14.3% |
| Cases Over 18 Months** | 9 | 0.3% |
| Total | 3009 | 100% |

*12-18 Months: 9 cases that were reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 0 cases that were reopened; 4 cases that were on DA Hold; 3 cases that were on FID Hold.

Figure 14: Age of Active Cases Based on Incident Date (April 2025)

| | Count | % of Total |
|------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cases 0-4 Months | 1236 | 41.1% |
| Cases 5-7 Months | 518 | 17.2% |
| Cases 8-11 Months | 762 | 25.3% |
| Cases 12-18 Months* | 482 | 16.0% |
| Cases Over 18 Months** | 11 | 0.4% |
| Total | 3009 | 100% |

*12-18 Months: 9 cases that were reopened; 2 cases that were on DA Hold; 0 cases that were on FID Hold.

**Over18 Months: 1 case that was reopened; 4 cases that were on DA Hold; 3 cases that were on FID Hold.

An active case is here defined as an investigation; cases in mediation are excluded.

Figure 15: Number of Active Investigations (January 2024 - April 2025)




Figure 16: Open Docket Analysis




Figure 17: Open Docket Analysis with % Change

| | March 2025 | | April 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|----------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | | |
| Investigations | 1458 | 49% | 1446 | 48% | -12 | -1% |
| Pending Board Review | 1484 | 50% | 1563 | 52% | 79 | 5% |
| Mediation | 7 | 0% | 8 | 0% | 1 | 14% |
| On DA / FID Hold | 4 | 0% | 4 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Total | 2953 | | 3021 | | 68 | 2% |

Body Worn Camera Footage Requests

Since the widespread roll out of body worn cameras in 2018, the collection of footage from these cameras has become an integral part of CCRB investigations.

The timeliness of the response to BWC footage requests has a direct impact on the length of time it takes to complete an investigation. The longer it takes to fulfill BWC requests, the longer CCRB investigations remain on the open docket.

Figure 18: Average Days To Recieve Positive Return on BWC Requests (January 2024 - April 2025)






Figure 19: Pending Requests for BWC Footage

| Days Pending | BWC Requests | % of Total |
|-----------------|--------------|-------------|
| 00 <= Days < 30 | 78 | 56.9% |
| 30 <= Days < 60 | 20 | 14.6% |
| 60 <= Days < 90 | 9 | 6.6% |
| 90 >= Days | 30 | 21.9% |
| Total | 137 | 100% |

Figure 20: Percentage of Open Investigations Docket with Pending BWC Requests (January 2024 - April 2025)




**Figure 21: Fulfilled BWC Requests
(January 2024 - April 2025)**



Closed Cases

In April 2025, the CCRB fully investigated 27% of the cases it closed.

Figure 22: Case Resolutions (January 2024 - April 2025) (%)



Dispositions

Cases fully investigated by the CCRB generally receive one of five outcomes:

- If the alleged misconduct is found to have occurred, based on the preponderance of the evidence, the allegation is closed as **substantiated**.
- If there is not enough evidence to determine whether or not the alleged misconduct occurred, the allegation is closed as **unable to determine**.*
- If the preponderance of the evidence suggests that the event or alleged act did not occur, the allegation is closed as **unfounded**.
- If the event did occur, but was not improper by a preponderance of evidence, the allegation is closed as **within NYPD guidelines**.**
- If the CCRB was unable to identify any of the officers accused of misconduct, the case is closed as **officer unidentified**.

Case Abstracts

The following case abstracts are taken from complaints closed this month and serve as examples of what the different CCRB dispositions mean in practice:

1. Substantiated

An individual stated that during a vehicle stop he was arrested and his vehicle seized. While his vehicle was at the precinct stationhouse in custody of the police department, the subject officer searched his vehicle. The incident was captured on BWC. It showed that the subject officer looked through the back window of the vehicle and removed a taser from the driver's door compartment, looked under the driver front seat and removed the individual's cellphone, looked around the center console and left a second cellphone and portable charger bank in the vehicle. The subject officer stated that he did not voucher the individual's vehicle because the vehicle was being released to the individual's spouse. The investigation found that the subject officer was required to conduct an inventory search, remove all valuable items, and prepare a voucher for the items removed from the vehicle before it is returned to anyone. The Board substantiated the Abuse of Authority allegation.

2. Unable to Determine

An individual stated that he was riding an electric motorcycle when he noticed a marked police vehicle activate its lights and sirens behind him. The individual stated that his vehicle did not have a license plate, and he was not wearing a helmet. He stated that he had also run a red light. He believed that the police vehicle wanted to pass him to respond to an emergency call, so he pulled over to the side of the road to let the vehicle pass. As he pulled over, the police vehicle, driven by the subject officer swerved towards him, almost striking him. The individual in fear drove away from the police vehicle and was subsequently pursued by the police vehicle. The subject officer stated that he had driven close to the individual for a time without his lights and sirens on because he wanted to speak to the individual after he had observed him run two red lights. He then turned the lights and sirens on after the individual began to flee from him. The incident was partially recorded on BWC. It showed the subject officer driving close to the individual's vehicle but it did not show whether the subject officer intentionally tried to ram the individual's vehicle. The Board closed the Use of Force allegation as Unable to Determine.

3. Unfounded

An individual stated that he was double parked with the engine on and his driver window down in front of his partner's building. His partner had gone instead to drop off a package. While waiting for his partner the subject officer along with two other officers pulled up behind the individual's vehicle in an

unmarked vehicle. They approached the individual's vehicle, the subject officer going to the driver's window. He asked the individual for his driver's license. The individual provided it and started to roll his window up. The subject officer ordered him to stop, reached in through the open window to open the car door and tried to pull the individual out of the vehicle by pulling on his wrists, his shirt collar and then the sides of his neck. The incident was recorded by the individual's partner. It showed the subject officer alternating with pulling on the individual's wrists and his jacket's shoulder. He did not put his hands on the individual's neck. The Board closed the Use of Force allegation as Unfounded.

4. Within NYPD Guidelines

An individual stated that he saw a news report on YouTube that stated that his brother had been attacked and killed a dog in his home. The individual stated that he went to the hospital to see his brother's body, but officers refused him access so he believed that the police had shot and killed his brother. The investigation found that several civilian witnesses at the individual's apartment building had called 911 because they heard a dog attacking the individual's brother. The girlfriend of the individual's brother had also called in a similar report. The following was captured on BWC - the two subject officers responded to the apartment and the individual's brother's girlfriend was inside the apartment. The subject officers witnessed the dog actively attacking the individual's brother and after yelling at the dog to stop, each fired two shots at the dog, killing the dog. The individual's brother was taken to the hospital by EMS. The investigation found that medical records showed that the individual's brother did not sustain any gunshot injuries and succumbed to the injuries from the dog attack that had resulted in substantial blood loss. The investigation found that the subject officers had a reasonable belief that there was an ongoing emergency at the individual brother's home due to the volume and nature of the 911 calls that stated that a man was being attacked by a dog in home, including the individual's brother's girlfriend was inside the apartment during the dog attack. The investigation also found that the subject officers discharging their firearms at the dog was justified because the dog was still attacking the individual's brother when they arrived and doing so was to protect both themselves and the individual's brother's girlfriend from harm. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority and Use of Force allegations as being Within NYPD Guidelines.

5. Officer Unidentified

An individual stated that while he was being fingerprinted at the precinct stationhouse subject officer 1 threatened to have ESU break his wrist if he refused to be fingerprinted, pushed him into a cell causing injury. He requested the name of subject officer 2 who was seating near the cells and the officer ignored his request. He then requested the name and shield numbers of all the officers' present and they ignored his request. The individual gave a general description of the officers. The investigation found that three officers were named in the arrest and transport documents concerning the individual and one of them did not match the individual's description while the other two somewhat matched the individual's description. The other officers in the precinct at the time of the incident also did not match the individual's description. The officers denied that they used force or threatened to use force against the individual, nor refuse to provide their name to the individual. Without additional pertinent information, the investigation could not identify the subject officers. The Board closed the Abuse of Authority and Use of Force allegations as Officer Unidentified.

* Unable to determine is reported to the Commissioner as Unsubstantiated, meaning that there was insufficient evidence to establish whether or not there was an act of misconduct.

** Within NYPD Guidelines is reported to the Commissioner as Exonerated, meaning there was a preponderance of the evidence that the acts alleged occurred but did not constitute misconduct.

Dispositions - Full Investigations

Figure 23: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (April 2025)





Figure 24: Disposition Counts of Full Investigations (YTD 2025)



Dispositions - All CCRB Cases

The following table lists all the CCRB case closures for the current month and year-to-date.

Figure 25: Disposition of Cases (2024 vs 2025)

| | Apr 2024 | | Apr 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|---|------------|------------|------------|------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|
| Full Investigations | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total |
| Substantiated | 68 | 40% | 74 | 67% | 269 | 37% | 370 | 53% |
| Within NYPD Guidelines | 22 | 13% | 10 | 9% | 105 | 15% | 80 | 11% |
| Unfounded | 42 | 25% | 10 | 9% | 155 | 21% | 104 | 15% |
| Unable to Determine | 26 | 15% | 11 | 10% | 143 | 20% | 119 | 17% |
| MOS Unidentified | 13 | 8% | 6 | 5% | 52 | 7% | 23 | 3% |
| Total - Full Investigations | 171 | | 111 | | 724 | | 696 | |
| Mediation Closures | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total |
| Mediated | 5 | 100% | 3 | 100% | 19 | 100% | 13 | 100% |
| Total - Mediation Closures | 5 | | 3 | | 19 | | 13 | |
| Unable to Investigate / Other Closures | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total | Count | % of Total |
| Complaint Withdrawn | 21 | 7% | 24 | 8% | 100 | 8% | 77 | 7% |
| Closed - Pending Litigation | 71 | 24% | 60 | 20% | 205 | 16% | 189 | 17% |
| Unable to Investigate* | 205 | 68% | 216 | 72% | 961 | 75% | 816 | 74% |
| Officer Retired/Resigned** | 2 | 1% | 2 | 1% | 16 | 1% | 11 | 1% |
| Administrative Closure*** | 3 | 1% | 0 | 0% | 3 | 0% | 4 | 0% |
| Total - Other Case Dispositions | 302 | | 302 | | 1285 | | 1097 | |
| Total - Closed Cases | 478 | | 416 | | 2028 | | 1806 | |

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Dispositions - Allegations

“Allegations” are different than “cases.” A case or complaint is based on an incident and may contain one or more allegations of police misconduct.

Figure 26: Disposition of FADO Allegations (2024 vs 2025)

| | Apr 2024 | | Apr 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|---|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|
| | Count | %of Total |
| Fully Investigated Allegations | | | | | | | | |
| Substantiated | 290 | 26% | 271 | 29% | 987 | 25% | 1210 | 24% |
| Unable to Determine | 175 | 16% | 139 | 15% | 682 | 17% | 892 | 18% |
| Unfounded | 203 | 18% | 163 | 18% | 763 | 19% | 906 | 18% |
| Within NYPD Guidelines | 397 | 36% | 328 | 36% | 1317 | 33% | 1824 | 36% |
| MOS Unidentified | 53 | 5% | 21 | 2% | 257 | 6% | 249 | 5% |
| Total - Full Investigations | 1118 | | 922 | | 4006 | | 5081 | |
| Mediation Closures | | | | | | | | |
| Mediated | 17 | 100% | 7 | 100% | 57 | 100% | 43 | 100% |
| Total - Mediation Closures | 17 | | 7 | | 57 | | 43 | |
| Unable to Investigate / Other Closures | | | | | | | | |
| Complaint Withdrawn | 58 | 7% | 67 | 9% | 275 | 8% | 250 | 8% |
| Unable to Investigate* | 458 | 51% | 493 | 63% | 2248 | 65% | 1879 | 62% |
| Closed - Pending Litigation | 292 | 33% | 197 | 25% | 711 | 21% | 662 | 22% |
| Officer Retired/Resigned** | 64 | 7% | 23 | 3% | 192 | 6% | 232 | 8% |
| Administrative Closure*** | 18 | 2% | 0 | 0% | 18 | 1% | 7 | 0% |
| Total - Other Case Dispositions | 890 | | 780 | | 3444 | | 3030 | |
| Total - Closed Allegations | 2025 | | 1709 | | 7507 | | 8154 | |

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. “Unable to Investigate” incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: “Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative”, “Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable”, “Witness Uncooperative”, “Witness Unavailable”, “Victim Unidentified”, “OMB PEG Directive Closure”, and “SRAD Closure.”

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD’s Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Figure 27: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (April 2025)

| | Substantiated | Unable to Determine | Within NYPD Guidelines | Unfounded | Officers Unidentified | Total |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------|-------------|
| Force | 13 8% | 29 17% | 87 50% | 41 24% | 3 2% | 173 100% |
| Abuse of Authority | 222 34% | 83 13% | 219 34% | 107 17% | 15 2% | 646 100% |
| Discourtesy | 27 33% | 18 22% | 22 27% | 13 16% | 3 4% | 83 100% |
| Offensive Language | 9 45% | 9 45% | 0 0% | 2 10% | 0 0% | 20 100% |
| Total | 271 29% | 139 15% | 328 36% | 163 18% | 21 2% | 922 100% |

Figure 28: Disposition of FADO Allegations by FADO Category (YTD 2025)

| | Substantiated | Unable to Determine | Within NYPD Guidelines | Unfounded | Officers Unidentified | Total |
|--------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Force | 66 6% | 144 13% | 626 55% | 279 24% | 31 3% | 1146 100% |
| Abuse of Authority | 1006 30% | 602 18% | 1101 33% | 504 15% | 166 5% | 3379 100% |
| Discourtesy | 116 26% | 102 23% | 95 21% | 96 21% | 38 9% | 447 100% |
| Offensive Language | 22 20% | 44 40% | 2 2% | 27 25% | 14 13% | 109 100% |
| Total | 1210 24% | 892 18% | 1824 36% | 906 18% | 249 5% | 5081 100% |

Dispositions - Untruthful Statement Allegations

The CCRB investigates untruthful statement allegations under two different allegation categories. Official statements made directly to the CCRB are investigated under the “Untruthful Statement” allegation category. Official statements made in other contexts (e.g. in court) are investigated under the “Abuse of Authority” allegation category.

All the untruthful official statement allegations are mutually exclusive, meaning that the CCRB will not plead more than one untruthful statement allegation against an officer for the same untruthful act. There are four distinct types of untruthful statement allegation as follows: 1) False official statement, 2) Misleading official statement, 3) Inaccurate official statement and 4) Impeding an investigation.

Figure 29: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations with % Change

| Untruthful Statement Allegations | April 2024 | | April 2025 | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | Change | % Change |
| False official statement | 1 | 100% | 1 | 100% | 0 | 0% |
| Impeding an investigation | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Inaccurate official statement | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Misleading official statement | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Total Allegations | 1 | | 1 | | 0 | 0% |

Figure 30: Substantiated Untruthful Statement Allegations YTD with % Change

| Untruthful Statement Allegations | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | Change | % Change |
| False official statement | 6 | 67% | 5 | 100% | -1 | -17% |
| Impeding an investigation | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Inaccurate official statement | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Misleading official statement | 3 | 33% | 0 | 0% | -3 | -100% |
| Total Allegations | 9 | | 5 | | -4 | -44% |

Dispositions - Bias-Based Policing Allegations

The Racial Profiling and Bias Based Policing (“RPBP”) Unit is a unit at the CCRB focused on investigating civilian complaints of profiling/biased policing by uniformed (not civilian) members of the NYPD based on 10 different protected categories: race, national origin/ethnicity, color, religion, age, immigration or citizenship status, gender/gender identity, sexual orientation, disability, and housing status.

Figure 31: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing Allegations with % Change

| Bias-Based Allegations | April 2024 | | April 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|--------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | | |
| Age | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Color | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Disability | 7 | 47% | 0 | 0% | -7 | -100% |
| Gender | 1 | 7% | 0 | 0% | -1 | -100% |
| Housing Status | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Immigration Status | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| National Origin | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Race | 7 | 47% | 3 | 100% | -4 | -57% |
| Religion | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Sexual Orientation | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Total Allegations | 15 | | 3 | | -12 | -80% |

Figure 32: Substantiated Bias-Based Policing Allegations YTD with % Change

| Bias-Based Allegations | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | | Change | % Change |
|--------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------|------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | Count | % of Total Allegations | Count | % of Total Allegations | | |
| Age | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Color | 0 | 0% | 1 | 8% | 1 | NA |
| Disability | 9 | 33% | 0 | 0% | -9 | -100% |
| Gender | 1 | 4% | 0 | 0% | -1 | -100% |
| Housing Status | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Immigration Status | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| National Origin | 5 | 19% | 0 | 0% | -5 | -100% |
| Race | 12 | 44% | 12 | 92% | 0 | 0% |
| Religion | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Sexual Orientation | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | NA |
| Total Allegations | 27 | | 13 | | -14 | -52% |

Substantiation Rates

The April 2025 case substantiation rate was 67%.

Figure 33: Percentage of Cases Substantiated (January 2024 - April 2025)





Figure 34: Disposition of Substantiated Complaints* (2025)



* A substantiated complaint may contain a number of substantiated allegations with different dispositions. To determine the disposition associated with the complaint as a whole, the CCRB uses the most severe of the substantiated allegation dispositions. The order of severity is: 1) Charges 2) Command Discipline B 3) Command Discipline A 4) Formalized Training.

Substantiation Rates and Video

In general, investigations relying on video evidence result in much higher substantiation rates.

Figure 35: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations without Video (Jan 2024 - Apr 2025) (% substantiated shown)





Figure 36: Substantiation Rates for Full Investigations with Video (Jan 2024 - Apr 2025) (% substantiated shown)



Board Discipline Recommendations for Substantiated Officers

After a CCRB investigative team has completed its investigation, a panel of Board members determines whether to substantiate the allegation(s) and make a disciplinary recommendation against the officer(s).

- “Charges and Specifications” are the most severe form of discipline. A decision to assign Charges commences a process that may result in an administrative trial in the NYPD Trial Room. An officer may lose vacation days, be suspended, or be terminated if the officer is found guilty.
- “Command Discipline B” and "Command Discipline A" are recommended for misconduct that is moderately serious. An officer can lose up to ten vacation days as a result of Command Discipline B and up to five vacation days as a result of Command Discipline A.
- “Formalized Training” are the least severe discipline, often recommended for officers who misunderstand a policy. This determination results in training at the Police Academy or NYPD Legal Bureau (Formalized Training).
- When the Board has recommended Formalized Training or Command Discipline, the case is sent to the NYPD Commissioner to impose training and/or other penalties. Cases where the Board recommends charges are prosecuted by the CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit.

**Figure 37: Board Discipline Recommendations for Officers with Substantiated Allegations*
(Apr 2024, Apr 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

| Disposition | April 2024 | | April 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|----------------------|------------|-----|------------|-----|----------|-----|----------|-----|
| | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % |
| Charges | 38 | 25% | 43 | 32% | 134 | 26% | 150 | 22% |
| Command Discipline B | 32 | 21% | 32 | 24% | 120 | 23% | 137 | 20% |
| Command Discipline A | 49 | 32% | 44 | 33% | 192 | 37% | 261 | 39% |
| Formalized Training | 36 | 23% | 15 | 11% | 78 | 15% | 127 | 19% |
| Total | 155 | | 134 | | 524 | | 675 | |

* The Board issues a separate Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer in a complaint against whom an allegation is substantiated.

Prior to the CCRB’s adoption of the NYPD’s Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer was determined by the most severe disposition of the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer, with the order of severity as follows: 1. Charges 2. Command Discipline B 3. Command Discipline A 4. Formalized Training 5. Instructions. With the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the CCRB no longer issues Instructions as a Board Discipline Recommendation.

Following the adoption of the NYPD Disciplinary Matrix on 03/15/2021, the Board Discipline Recommendation for each officer is determined by the sum of the Matrix penalty days associated with the allegation(s) substantiated against the officer as follows: 1. Charges (penalty days >= 11) 2. Command Discipline B (6 <= penalty days <= 10) 3. Command Discipline A (1 <= penalty days <= 5) 4. Formalized Training (0 < penalty days < 1)

Complaints Against Officers Assigned to Police Service Areas

The Police Service Areas (PSA) are commands that police New York City Housing Developments throughout the five boroughs. PSA complaints are defined as complaints that contain at least one FADO allegation against an officer assigned to a PSA command.

Figure 38: PSA Complaints Closed as % of Total Complaints Closed

| | Apr 2024 | Apr 2025 | YTD 2024 | YTD 2025 |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| PSA Complaints | 22 | 21 | 80 | 82 |
| Total Complaints | 478 | 416 | 2028 | 1806 |
| PSA Complaints as % of Total | 4.6% | 5.0% | 3.9% | 4.5% |

A single PSA complaint may contain multiple subject officers. The following table shows the number of officers assigned to each PSA against whom an allegation was made.

Figure 39: Closed Complaints Against Officers Assigned to a PSA

| | Apr 2024 | Apr 2025 | YTD 2024 | YTD 2025 |
|-------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| PSA 1 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 11 |
| PSA 2 | 9 | 4 | 24 | 22 |
| PSA 3 | 10 | 3 | 39 | 24 |
| PSA 4 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 20 |
| PSA 5 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 33 |
| PSA 6 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 12 |
| PSA 7 | 1 | 4 | 18 | 27 |
| PSA 8 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 7 |
| PSA 9 | 7 | 0 | 20 | 13 |
| Total | 43 | 37 | 163 | 169 |

Complaints typically contain more than one allegation. The following table shows the allegations made against officers assigned to PSA commands broken out by FADO&U type.

Figure 40: Closed Allegations Against Officers Assigned to a PSA by FADO&U Type

| | Apr 2024 | | Apr 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|--------------------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|
| | Count | % of Total |
| Force (F) | 19 | 33% | 18 | 38% | 68 | 33% | 88 | 39% |
| Abuse of Authority (A) | 28 | 49% | 23 | 49% | 111 | 53% | 106 | 47% |
| Discourtesy (D) | 8 | 14% | 5 | 11% | 24 | 12% | 23 | 10% |
| Offensive Language (O) | 2 | 4% | 1 | 2% | 5 | 2% | 5 | 2% |
| Untruthful Statement (U) | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 2 | 1% |
| Total | 57 | 100% | 47 | 100% | 208 | 100% | 224 | 99% |

Dispositions of Officers Assigned to PSAs

The following tables show the Board disposition of officers assigned to a PSA with a FADO&U allegation made against them.

Figure 41: Disposition of PSA Officers (2024 vs 2025)

| | Apr 2024 | | Apr 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total |
| Full Investigations | | | | | | | | |
| Substantiated | 12 | 50% | 7 | 41% | 41 | 44% | 33 | 34% |
| Within NYPD Guidelines | 9 | 38% | 6 | 35% | 26 | 28% | 24 | 25% |
| Unfounded | 2 | 8% | 3 | 18% | 19 | 20% | 25 | 26% |
| Unable to Determine | 1 | 4% | 1 | 6% | 7 | 7% | 13 | 13% |
| MOS Unidentified | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 1 | 1% | 2 | 2% |
| Total - Full Investigations | 24 | | 17 | | 94 | | 97 | |
| Mediation Closures | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total |
| Mediated | 0 | 0% | 1 | 100% | 3 | 100% | 2 | 100% |
| Total - Mediation Closures | 0 | | 1 | | 3 | | 2 | |
| Unable to Investigate / Other Closures | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total | Count | %of Total |
| Complaint withdrawn | 0 | 0% | 3 | 16% | 2 | 3% | 8 | 11% |
| Unable to Investigate* | 14 | 74% | 10 | 53% | 43 | 65% | 25 | 36% |
| Closed - Pending Litigation | 5 | 26% | 5 | 26% | 21 | 32% | 28 | 40% |
| Officer Retired/Resigned** | 0 | 0% | 1 | 5% | 0 | 0% | 9 | 13% |
| Administrative Closure*** | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% | 0 | 0% |
| Total - Other Case Dispositions | 19 | | 19 | | 66 | | 70 | |
| Total - Closed Cases | 43 | | 37 | | 163 | | 169 | |

*Unable to Investigate is catch-all term, used for reporting purposes only, that refers to cases where the CCRB was unable to conduct an investigation, typically because no statement could be obtained from the complainant/alleged victim. "Unable to Investigate" incorporates the following CCRB dispositions: "Complainant/Alleged Victim Uncooperative", "Complainant/Alleged Victim Unavailable", "Witness Uncooperative", "Witness Unavailable", "Victim Unidentified", "OMB PEG Directive Closure", and "SRAD Closure."

**Officer Retired/Resigned: CCRB closes an investigation if it learns that the subject officer has left the Department. In a small number of cases, CCRB will also close an investigation against a subject officer who is on extended leave and who will not be available for interview until after the Statute of Limitations has expired. These cases are elsewhere reported as "Miscellaneous" closures.

***Administrative Closure is a special category that deals with NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau-referred cases or spin off cases with no complainant/alleged victim, and in which CCRB attempts to locate or identify a complainant/alleged victim has yielded no results.

Mediation Unit

Whenever mediation between a complainant/alleged victim and subject officer is suitable, it is offered by CCRB investigators. If the complainant/alleged victim and subject officer both agree to participate, a neutral, third-party mediator facilitates a conversation between the parties. The chart below indicates the number of mediations in April and this year.

Figure 42: Mediated Complaints Closed

| | April 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|---------------------|------------|----------|
| Mediated Complaints | 3 | 13 |

Figure 43: Mediated FADO Allegations Closed

| | April 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|--------------------|------------|-----------|
| Force | 1 | 7 |
| Abuse of Authority | 4 | 24 |
| Discourtesy | 1 | 8 |
| Offensive Language | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 7 | 43 |

Figure 44: Mediated Complaints By Borough (April 2025)

| | Mediations |
|---------------|------------|
| Bronx | 1 |
| Brooklyn | 2 |
| Manhattan | 0 |
| Queens | 0 |
| Staten Island | 0 |

Figure 45: Mediated Allegations By Borough (April 2025)

| | Mediations |
|---------------|------------|
| Bronx | 2 |
| Brooklyn | 5 |
| Manhattan | 0 |
| Queens | 0 |
| Staten Island | 0 |

**Figure 46: Mediated Complaints By Precinct
(Apr 2025 - YTD 2025)**

| Precinct | Apr 2025 | YTD 2025 | Precinct | Apr 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 6 | 0 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 1 |
| 18 | 0 | 1 | 52 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 0 | 1 | 73 | 0 | 1 |
| 23 | 0 | 1 | 75 | 1 | 1 |
| 32 | 0 | 1 | 78 | 1 | 1 |
| 40 | 0 | 1 | 108 | 0 | 1 |
| | | | NA | 0 | 1 |

**Figure 47: Mediated Allegations By Precinct
(Apr 2025 - YTD 2025)**

| Precinct | Apr 2025 | YTD 2025 | Precinct | Apr 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| 6 | 0 | 9 | 48 | 0 | 6 |
| 18 | 0 | 3 | 52 | 2 | 2 |
| 19 | 0 | 6 | 73 | 0 | 1 |
| 23 | 0 | 3 | 75 | 4 | 4 |
| 32 | 0 | 2 | 78 | 1 | 1 |
| 40 | 0 | 2 | 108 | 0 | 3 |
| | | | NA | 0 | 1 |

Administrative Prosecution Unit

The CCRB’s Administrative Prosecution Unit (APU) prosecutes police misconduct cases when the Board has recommended charges, in the NYPD Trial Room. The APU is also able to offer pleas to officers who admit guilt rather than going to trial. Following a plea agreement or the conclusion of a disciplinary trial, cases are sent to the Police Commissioner for final penalties.

Figure 48: Administrative Prosecution Unit Case Closures

| Disposition Category | Prosecution Disposition | Apr 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|------------------------|--|-----------|-----------|
| Disciplinary Action | Not guilty after trial but Discipline Imposed | 0 | 0 |
| | Guilty after trial | 0 | 2 |
| | Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. A imposed | 0 | 0 |
| | Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Comm. Disc. B imposed | 0 | 0 |
| | Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Formalized Training imposed | 0 | 0 |
| | Trial verdict dismissed by PC, Instructions imposed | 0 | 0 |
| | Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Guilty | 0 | 0 |
| | Resolved by plea | 7 | 39 |
| | Plea Renegotiated by PC | 0 | 0 |
| | Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. B | 0 | 1 |
| | Plea set aside, Comm. Disc. A | 0 | 0 |
| | Plea set aside, Formalized Training | 1 | 1 |
| | Plea set aside, Instructions | 0 | 0 |
| | *Retained, with discipline | 4 | 9 |
| | Disciplinary Action Total | 12 | 52 |
| No Disciplinary Action | Not guilty after trial | 1 | 3 |
| | Trial verdict reversed by PC, Final verdict Not Guilty | 0 | 0 |
| | Plea set aside, Without discipline | 0 | 3 |
| | **Retained, without discipline | 1 | 5 |
| | Dismissed by Police Commissioner | 0 | 0 |
| | No Disciplinary Action Total | 2 | 11 |
| Not Adjudicated | Other | 0 | 4 |
| | Adjudication in process | 2 | 2 |
| | ***Previously adjudicated, without discipline | 0 | 2 |
| | Retired | 1 | 1 |
| | Resigned | 0 | 2 |
| | Not Adjudicated Total | 3 | 11 |
| Total Closures | | 17 | 74 |

*Retained cases are those in which the Department kept jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 of the April 2, 2012 Memorandum of Understanding between the NYPD and the CCRB. ** When the Department keeps jurisdiction pursuant to Section 2 and does not impose any discipline on the officer, it is the equivalent of a category referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute" (DUP). Cases are referred to as DUP when the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges. *** In some cases, the Department conducts its own investigation and prosecution prior to the completion of the CCRB's investigation. In those cases, the APU does not conduct a second prosecution. † Under the Board's reconsideration process, an officer who has charges recommended as the penalty for a substantiated allegation may have the recommended penalty changed to something other than charges or have the disposition changed to something other than substantiated. In those cases, the APU ceases its prosecution.

NYPD Discipline

Under the New York City Charter, the Police Commissioner makes the final decision regarding discipline and the outcome of disciplinary trials. When the Police Commissioner issues the discipline recommended by the CCRB, we report it as discipline concurrence.

Figure 49: NYPD-CCRB Discipline Concurrence

| Discipline Report Year | Non APU % | APU % | Total % |
|------------------------|-----------|-------|---------|
| 2023 | 55.53 | 57.69 | 55.90 |
| 2024 | 26.48 | 45.40 | 30.27 |
| 2025 YTD | 63.04 | 67.69 | 63.70 |

The remaining charts in this section provide additional detail regarding NYPD-imposed discipline, both for cases brought by the APU (Charges) and for Non-APU cases referred to the Police Commissioner with a recommendation of Command Discipline or Formalized Training.

Figure 50: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Adjudicated APU Cases

| Discipline* | April 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|---|------------|------------|
| Terminated | 0 | 0 |
| Forced Separation | 0 | 0 |
| Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation | 0 | 0 |
| Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days | 0 | 1 |
| Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days | 0 | 9 |
| Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days | 5 | 27 |
| Command Discipline B | 1 | 1 |
| Command Discipline A | 1 | 2 |
| Formalized Training** | 5 | 12 |
| Warned & Admonished/Reprimanded | 0 | 0 |
| Disciplinary Action† Total | 12 | 52 |
| No Disciplinary Action† | 2 | 11 |
| Adjudicated Total | 14 | 63 |
| Discipline Rate | 86% | 83% |
| Not Adjudicated† Total | 3 | 11 |
| Total Closures | 17 | 74 |

*Where more than one penalty is imposed on a respondent, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† The case closure types that define the "Disciplinary Action", "No Disciplinary Action" and "Not Adjudicated" categories are listed in Figure 51 on the previous page.

Figure 51: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Non-APU Cases

| Disposition | Disposition Type* | March 2025 | YTD 2025 |
|------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| Disciplinary Action | Terminated | 0 | 0 |
| | Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 31 or more days and/or Dismissal Probation | 0 | 0 |
| | Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 21 to 30 days | 0 | 0 |
| | Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 11 to 20 days | 0 | 0 |
| | Suspension for or loss of vacation time of 1 to 10 days | 0 | 0 |
| | Command Discipline B | 25 | 37 |
| | Command Discipline A | 67 | 82 |
| | Formalized Training** | 45 | 131 |
| | Closed Administratively (With Discipline) †† | 3 | 5 |
| | Total | 140 | 255 |
| No Disciplinary Action | Retired | 1 | 1 |
| | Resigned | 3 | 3 |
| | SOL Expired | 0 | 0 |
| | Department Unable to Prosecute ††† | 9 | 10 |
| | Department Unable to Prosecute (Short SOL) †††† | 50 | 128 |
| | Closed Administratively (No penalty reported) †† | 0 | 0 |
| | Total | 63 | 142 |
| | Discipline Rate | 69% | 64% |
| | DUP Rate | 29% | 35% |

*Where the respondent is found guilty of charges, and the penalty imposed would fall into more than one of the above listed categories, it is reported under the more severe penalty.

** Formalized training is conducted by the Police Academy, the NYPD Legal Bureau, or other NYPD Unit.

† Trial outcomes in non-APU cases typically involve MOS who turned down command discipline, prompting the police department to proceed with charges.

†† "Closed Administratively" is a term typically used by the police department to report on an incident of misconduct that has been previously adjudicated by the department itself prior to the receipt of a disciplinary recommendation from the CCRB.

††† When the department decides that it will not discipline an officer against whom the Board recommended discipline other than charges, those cases are referred to as "Department Unable to Prosecute," or DUP.

†††† The Department did not pursue discipline because DAO felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

NYPD Penalty Departure Letters are posted on the CCRB website at: <https://www.nyc.gov/site/ccrb/complaints/redacted-departure-letter.page>

**Figure 52: “Short SOL”* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration
(Mar 2024, Mar 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

| Days to SOL at Close Group | March 2024 | | March 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|--|------------|-----|------------|-----|-----------|-----|------------|-----|
| | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % |
| 03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20 | | 0% | | 0% | 8 | 11% | | 0% |
| 20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30 | | 0% | 9 | 18% | 3 | 4% | 33 | 26% |
| 30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40 | 1 | 5% | 32 | 65% | 1 | 1% | 77 | 61% |
| 40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50 | 3 | 16% | 8 | 16% | 9 | 13% | 17 | 13% |
| 50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60 | 3 | 16% | | 0% | 10 | 14% | | 0% |
| 60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90 | 12 | 63% | | 0% | 40 | 56% | | 0% |
| Total | 19 | | 49 | | 71 | | 127 | |

**Figure 53: “Short SOL”* Decisions Returned by Days to SOL Expiration for
Complaints Containing a Substantiated SQF Allegation
(Mar 2024, Mar 2025, YTD 2024, YTD 2025)**

| Days to SOL at Close Group | March 2024 | | March 2025 | | YTD 2024 | | YTD 2025 | |
|--|------------|----|------------|------|----------|-----|----------|------|
| | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % | Count | % |
| 03 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 05 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 05 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 10 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 10 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 20 | | 0% | | 0% | 2 | 50% | | 0% |
| 20 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 30 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 30 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 40 | | 0% | 1 | 100% | | 0% | 1 | 100% |
| 40 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 50 | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% | | 0% |
| 50 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 60 | | 0% | | 0% | 1 | 25% | | 0% |
| 60 <= Days from CCRB Case Closing to SOL Expiration < 90 | | 0% | | 0% | 1 | 25% | | 0% |
| Total | | | 1 | | 4 | | 1 | |

* "Short SOL" decisions are those where the NYPD decided not to pursue disciplinary proceedings against an officer because NYPD's Department Advocate's Office felt that the Board's discipline recommendation was made too close to the expiration of the statute of limitations (SOL) period.

Figure 54: NYPD Discipline Imposed for Allegations - Non-APU Cases (March 2025)

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | LT Dania Castro | A: Forcible Removal to Hospital; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Elliot Hernandez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Gregory Upshur | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Mkanwar Hossain | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Sukhdev Rathour | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Devon Davis | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Carlos Machuca | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 0 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Georin Duran | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 0 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Kyle Johnson | A: Retaliatory summons; A: Stop | 1 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Geoffrey Richard | A: Stop; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 1 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Mayko Matos | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Seizure of property | 6 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Liubov Kozhakgrigoras | A: Other | 7 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Rommel Javonero | A: Other | 7 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DT3 Estharlin Lopez | F: Physical force | 7 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Ricardo Nuesi | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 7 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Eda Bifulco | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 7 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Vadym Khalos | A: Threat to notify ACS | 14 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 10 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Vincent Martinez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 14 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DT1 Timothy Aiken | A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment | 14 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT SA Federico Martinez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 17 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Paul Searchwell | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 17 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Yamilet Perezbonilla | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 23 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joseph Medina | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 23 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Edward Weisenburger | D: Word | 23 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Alexander Sanchez | A: Search (of person) | 23 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|-----------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Marissa Medina | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 23 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Brian Sanchez | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 23 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Andrew Trupiano | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 24 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Ibrahim Morsi | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 24 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Vincent Degennaro | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 25 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Henry Gregorek | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 25 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Ena Lewis | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 26 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO German Geronimo | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 26 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Nathalie Fernandez | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 26 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Crismarlin Henriquezcruz | A: Search (of person) | 26 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Tonvia Mays | A: Search (of person) | 26 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Justin Diaz | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 28 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Kazi Ahmed | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 28 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Amandeep Kaur | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 28 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Marcella Hildevert | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 28 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Chanchol Sarkar | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 30 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Katrina Larue | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 30 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Sade Emmanuel | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 30 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Muhitul Ambia | D: Word | 32 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Aleksey Berezovskiy | F: Physical force | 32 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Riquelmy Mendez | A: Entry of Premises | 32 | Manhattan | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Peter Crane | A: Search of Premises | 32 | Manhattan | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Malachi Mckenith | D: Word | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jonathan Estevez | D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Anthony Antigua | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Husamedin Umer | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|-------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Alex Durst | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Vehicle search | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Hosward Veloz | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Vehicle search | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Anthony Perlmutter | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jonathan Torres | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jorge Ortiz | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Peter Delohery | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Mayky Santos | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | LT Christopher Crain | D: Word | 40 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Denis Rocha | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Refusal to provide shield number | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Sinaka Javorovac | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jonathan Torres | A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 40 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.38 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Brandon Roman | A: Question | 41 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.13 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Tatiana Cruz | A: Vehicle search | 41 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Evangellos Georgakis | A: Vehicle search | 41 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days) |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | DT3 Kendrick Maldonado | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 41 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jairo Asilis | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 42 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Angel Padilla | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 43 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Maile Lopez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 43 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Michael Bonifacio | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 43 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Steven Duch | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 43 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Deanna Collins | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 43 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Moises Garcia | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; D: Word; O: Other; A: Seizure of property | 43 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DTS John Ohagan | A: Refusal to provide name | 43 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DTS Justin Hoff | A: Refusal to provide name | 43 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Theo Roussos | A: Refusal to provide name | 43 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Calvin Black | A: Vehicle search | 43 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Felix Jimenez | A: Vehicle search; D: Word | 43 | Bronx | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jesus Gonzalez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 44 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Tasheka Smith | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 44 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO April Sanchez | D: Word; A: Entry of Premises | 44 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Mario Padillabello | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 44 | Bronx | Resigned |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Edgar Guerrero | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 45 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Shahzeb Ahmed | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 45 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Jose Feliciano | D: Word | 46 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Ariel Cruz | D: Word; O: Other | 46 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Erick Xoyatlacabada | F: Physical force | 46 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | DT3 Carolyn Daley | A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); A: Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 46 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Adam Morales | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 46 | Bronx | Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A) / Vacation: 0.50 days |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Brandon Scott | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 46 | Bronx | Closed Administratively (Command Discipline - A) / Vacation: 0.50 days |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Francisco Orellana | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 46 | Bronx | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jonathan Guzman | D: Word | 47 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Melanie Duran | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 47 | Bronx | Resigned |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Juan Acostavilla | A: Refusal to provide name; A: Interference with recording; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Refusal to provide shield number | 48 | Bronx | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Melissa Marquez | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Other; A: Question | 48 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Ismael Reyeslopez | A: Question; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 48 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Taylor Williams | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 49 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Dexter Ortiz | D: Word | 49 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jonathan Baptiste | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 52 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | CPT Gene Ryerson | A: Property damaged | 52 | Bronx | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Crystal Dones | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; D: Word; A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Stop | 52 | Bronx | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days) |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|---------------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Joel Ayala | A: Frisk; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop | 52 | Bronx | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 7 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Nanakwame Mensah | A: Frisk; A: Stop; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 52 | Bronx | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Christopher Mcgrisenraymond | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Frisk; A: Stop; D: Word | 52 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Nico Martino | A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; A: Stop | 52 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joseph Giacoio | A: Search (of person); A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Stop; A: Frisk | 52 | Bronx | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DT3 Oliver Vidal | A: Frisk | 60 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Stephen Locastro | D: Word | 61 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Anthony Caravana | A: Frisk; A: Question | 61 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Ridhwanul Rabbe | A: Question; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk | 61 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Timothy Commander | A: Question; A: Frisk; A: Vehicle search | 61 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Muneeb Shahbaz | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 62 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joseph An | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 63 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jonathon Perez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 63 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Dylan Grottano | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 66 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Elizabeth Ramoscastelan | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 66 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Lemali Outrambaptiste | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 66 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | SGT Michael Guglielmo | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 66 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Denise Garciatorres | D: Word | 69 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Justin Flores | D: Word; D: Word | 69 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Michael Baratta | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 71 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Adam Elmaadawy | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 71 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joseph Loporto | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 71 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Andrew Rosen | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 71 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Sergey Shklarov | A: Other; A: Entry of Premises | 71 | Brooklyn | No penalty |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|-------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Arnol Rodriguez | A: Entry of Premises | 71 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Charles Davis | A: Threat of arrest | 71 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Luz Fulcher | D: Action | 72 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Kelsey Corpac | D: Word | 73 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Daniel Roman | A: Question | 73 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO John Dominguez | A: Vehicle search | 73 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Andrea Medina | A: Vehicle search; A: Question | 73 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Ramil Casimir | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 73 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Jonathan Baez | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Kelsey Corpac | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 2 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Mirza Baig | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Refusal to provide name; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 73 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Kyle Barnett | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 75 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DT3 Deondre Castello | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 75 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Brandon Toles | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 75 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | DT2 Robert Schierenbeck | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 75 | Brooklyn | Retired |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Kelsey Corpac | D: Word | 75 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joseph Guarrera | D: Word | 75 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Gregory Clena | F: Physical force | 75 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO James Fowler | F: Physical force | 75 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Mirza Baig | F: Physical force | 75 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Donald Daal | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; F: Physical force | 75 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Davaughn Clinton | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 76 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Kervens Desforges | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 76 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Michael Sanchez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 76 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Norman Brown | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 76 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Raysean Lindo | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 76 | Brooklyn | No penalty |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|--------------------------|--|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Joshua Lopez | D: Word; D: Action | 76 | Brooklyn | Closed Administratively (Instructions) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Joshua Lopez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 76 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DTS Vincent Marrone | D: Word; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 76 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Larry Malcolm | F: Physical force | 77 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 5 days) |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jean Polonia | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 77 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jason Simmons | A: Seizure of property | 77 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Isaac Quarshie | A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 79 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Isaac Quarshie | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 79 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Christopher Mcdonald | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 79 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Jose Jimenez | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 79 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Demitrious Peterson | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 79 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Sohaib Rasool | A: Refusal to obtain medical treatment | 81 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Elias Martinez | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 83 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Scarlett Suarez | A: Improper use of body-worn camera; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 83 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Brandon Rivera | A: Refusal to process civilian complaint | 84 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jeff Mayard | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 84 | Brooklyn | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Raphael Mero | A: Stop | 101 | Queens | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Philip Jendzo | A: Frisk; A: Vehicle search; A: Stop | 101 | Queens | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Matthew Presta | D: Word | 102 | Queens | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.50 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DT3 Daniel Efinger | A: Vehicle search | 103 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Justin Rodriguez | A: Vehicle search | 103 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Todd Hansen | A: Vehicle search | 103 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Kevin Donato | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 103 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Daniel Barreto | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 103 | Queens | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Tyler Harper | D: Word | 103 | Queens | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Philippe Dupont | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 104 | Queens | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Hananel Wanunu | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 105 | Queens | Command Discipline - A |

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|-------------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|--|
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Jason Quintanilla | A: Vehicle search | 105 | Queens | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Daniel Carro | A: Vehicle search | 105 | Queens | Resigned |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Nicholas Angello | A: Vehicle search | 106 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Ronald Calderon | A: Vehicle search | 106 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO John Lenahan | A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 106 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Thomas Boller | A: Refusal to provide name | 108 | Queens | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Ming Wong | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 108 | Queens | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Brenda Velasquez | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 108 | Queens | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Joseph Martino | A: Refusal to provide shield number | 108 | Queens | Command Discipline - A (Vacation: 0.25 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DTS Rashard Jones | A: Vehicle search; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Frisk | 110 | Queens | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 1 day) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Patrick Maher | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 113 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Anthony Lombardi | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 113 | Queens | Command Discipline - B (Vacation: 3 days) |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Alex Mills | A: Frisk | 114 | Queens | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | LT Nikolaos Stefopoulos | A: Failure to Explain; A: Failure to Explain; A: Failure to Explain | 114 | Queens | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Peter Falgiano | A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number | 115 | Queens | No penalty |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Michael Miller | A: Seizure of property | 120 | Staten Island | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Paul Shehata | A: Seizure of property | 120 | Staten Island | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Stephen Picca | A: Seizure of property | 120 | Staten Island | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Kevin Young | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 120 | Staten Island | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Maurice Singletary | A: Refusal to provide name; A: Refusal to provide shield number; A: Seizure of property | 120 | Staten Island | Command Discipline - B |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Ashley Notaro | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 120 | Staten Island | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Kevin Vega | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 120 | Staten Island | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Jason Leung | A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 120 | Staten Island | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Daniel Tucker | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 121 | Staten Island | Command Discipline - A |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Lauren Caminiti | A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 121 | Staten Island | Formalized Training |

Figure 55: NYPD Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (April 2025)

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation(s) | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|------------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|---|
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Haroon Hussain | A: Threat re: removal to hospital; D: Action | 7 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Charges) | POM Frank Fiorenza | F: Physical force | 17 | Manhattan | Forfeit vacation 5 days / Command Discipline A |
| Substantiated (Charges) | POM Alberto Leonardo | D: Word; D: Word; D: Word | 19 | Manhattan | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joesne Araujopassos | D: Word; D: Word; F: Physical force; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical); F: Physical force; U: False official statement; A: Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 52 | Bronx | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DT3 Joseph Walsh | A: Search (of person); A: Stop; A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card | 52 | Bronx | Forfeit vacation 1 days |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Smithu Samuel | A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Search of Premises; A: Entry of Premises | 61 | Brooklyn | Forfeit vacation 3 days / Command Discipline A |
| Substantiated (Charges) | POM Enoch Choi | A: Frisk; A: Sex Miscon (Sexual Harassment, Verbal) | 67 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Charges) | POM Matthew Kelly | A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; A: Sex Miscon (Sexual Harassment, Verbal) | 67 | Brooklyn | Formalized Training |
| Substantiated (Charges) | POM Brandon Gentz | D: Word; D: Word; F: Nightstick as club (incl asp & baton) | 75 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline B |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Sandeep Thomas | A: Search (of person); A: Frisk; A: Failure to provide RTKA card; A: Stop | 75 | Brooklyn | Command Discipline A 1 days |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Casey Haft | A: Entry of Premises; A: Improper use of body-worn camera | 75 | Brooklyn | Forfeit vacation 5 days |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ikenna Akobundu | A: Interference with recording; F: Physical force | 103 | Queens | Forfeit vacation 10 days / Command Discipline B |

Figure 56: NYPD No Discipline Imposed for APU Adjudicated Cases (April 2025)

| Board Disposition Recommendation | Officer | Allegation | Precinct | Borough | NYPD Discipline |
|---|------------------|---|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Joseph Abate | F: Physical force; F: Physical force | 78 | Brooklyn | Closed: Retained, without discipline |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO John Gonzalez | A: Property damaged; F: Vehicle; F: Vehicle | 123 | Staten Island | Closed: Not guilty after trial |

Figure 57: Substantiated Allegations By Borough and NYPD Precinct (April 2025)

The figures in this table reflect all substantiated allegations for each MOS.

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Ruben Rivera | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Angel Estrella | Abuse of Authority | Sex Miscon (Sexual Harassment, Verbal) | | |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Joel Manou | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 7 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Joel Manou | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 7 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Roger Ordonez | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Arrest | 7 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Md Siddiq | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 7 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Md Ahmed | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 7 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Vadym Khalos | Abuse of Authority | Interference with recording | 14 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Marc Canicatti | Abuse of Authority | Interference with recording | 14 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Marc Canicatti | Abuse of Authority | Threat re: removal to hospital | 14 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Marc Canicatti | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 14 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Jose Toro | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 14 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Michele Carrieri | Abuse of Authority | Photography/Videography | 19 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Franklin Garrido | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Franklin Garrido | Abuse of Authority | Question | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Franklin Garrido | Abuse of Authority | Question | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO David Caquias | Abuse of Authority | Question | 23 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Frank Gashi | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alyssa Trigueno | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Emanuel Crespo | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Frank Gashi | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alyssa Trigueno | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO David Freund | Abuse of Authority | Forcible Removal to Hospital | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Jonathan Guillen | Abuse of Authority | Forcible Removal to Hospital | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alyssa Trigueno | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Emanuel Crespo | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Edwin Yanez | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 24 | Manhattan |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Frank Gashi | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Tyler Morales | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Emanuel Crespo | Discourtesy | Word | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alyssa Trigueno | Discourtesy | Word | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Emanuel Crespo | Discourtesy | Word | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alyssa Trigueno | Offensive Language | Disability | 24 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Zacharie Moncher | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 25 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Dicarlos Martinez | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 25 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Zacharie Moncher | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 25 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Zacharie Moncher | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 25 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Dicarlos Martinez | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 25 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Zacharie Moncher | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 25 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Zacharie Moncher | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 25 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Juan Rodriguez | Force | Physical force | 26 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ernesto Bautista | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ernesto Bautista | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ernesto Bautista | Discourtesy | Word | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ernesto Bautista | Force | Physical force | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ernesto Bautista | Offensive Language | Disability | 30 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Lallit Beharry | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Lallit Beharry | Abuse of Authority | Question | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Shpresa Tulovic | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide shield number | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | LT Michael Bletcher | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Erick Clarck | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Erick Clarck | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 32 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Benjamin Roman | Abuse of Authority | Threat of arrest | 33 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Raymond Serrano | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 33 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Christian Anasa | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 33 | Manhattan |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Raymond Serrano | Discourtesy | Word | 33 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Christian Anasa | Force | Gun Pointed | 33 | Manhattan |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Eric Arcangel | Abuse of Authority | False official statement | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Tiago Gomes | Abuse of Authority | Failure to Explain | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Tiago Gomes | Discourtesy | Word | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Eric Arcangel | Force | Hit against inanimate object | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Watson Dorce | Force | Physical force | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Eric Arcangel | Force | Physical force | 40 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Danny Aguilar | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 42 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Danny Aguilar | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 42 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Harmanjot Singh | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 42 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Harmanjot Singh | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 42 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Harmanjot Singh | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 42 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Harmanjot Singh | Abuse of Authority | Question | 42 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Danny Aguilar | Abuse of Authority | Question | 42 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT SA Brian Query | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Arrest | 42 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Brandon Bacalles | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Edwin Olivo | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Brandon Bacalles | Abuse of Authority | Search of Premises | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Edwin Olivo | Abuse of Authority | Search of Premises | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Edwin Olivo | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Brandon Bacalles | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Brandon Bacalles | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 43 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Andrei Nijnic | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Tiago Gomes | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Jose Rivera | Abuse of Authority | Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Joksan Barragan | Abuse of Authority | Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Jonathan Cancel | Abuse of Authority | Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Tiago Gomes | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 44 | Bronx |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Andrei Nijnic | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Andrei Nijnic | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Tiago Gomes | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Todd Jacoby | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Tiago Gomes | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Jonathan Cancel | Abuse of Authority | Interference with recording | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Tiago Gomes | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Omar Aguilarmartinez | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 44 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Shahzeb Ahmed | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 45 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Shahzeb Ahmed | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 45 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Shahzeb Ahmed | Abuse of Authority | Question | 45 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Shahzeb Ahmed | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 45 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Shahzeb Ahmed | Abuse of Authority | Failure to Explain | 45 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Richard Montanez | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Christopher Cotto | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kaseem Pennant | Abuse of Authority | Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kaseem Pennant | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Clairet Corderolantigua | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Phong Le | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kaseem Pennant | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Clairet Corderolantigua | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Richard Montanez | Abuse of Authority | Search of Premises | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Christopher Cotto | Abuse of Authority | Search of Premises | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Phong Le | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Christopher Cotto | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Richard Montanez | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Gustavo Santana | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Phong Le | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Md Nasiruddin | Discourtesy | Word | 48 | Bronx |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Yakuba Jalloh | Discourtesy | Action | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | CPT Francis Drummond | Discourtesy | Action | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Md Nasiruddin | Discourtesy | Action | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kaseem Pennant | Force | Physical force | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Md Nasiruddin | Offensive Language | Race | 48 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jose Santory | Abuse of Authority | Threat of arrest | 49 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jose Santory | Abuse of Authority | Forcible Removal to Hospital | 49 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jose Santory | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 49 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kevin Coogan | Discourtesy | Word | 49 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kevin Coogan | Offensive Language | Gender | 49 | Bronx |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Maxime Archange | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 60 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Sheldon Maxwell | Abuse of Authority | Seizure of property | 61 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DTS James Lukeson | Abuse of Authority | Question | 61 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DTS James Lukeson | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 61 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Ashur Morris | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 61 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Aaron Lee | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 61 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Sebastian Villa | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 61 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Giovany Diegue | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 62 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Johnandrew Cusmano | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 62 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Johnandrew Cusmano | Abuse of Authority | Search of Premises | 62 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Zureno Wilson | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Daniston Swaby | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Daniston Swaby | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Summons | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Sean Keegan | Abuse of Authority | Detention | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Dean Perez | Discourtesy | Word | 63 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Brandon Aldas | Discourtesy | Word | 66 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Brandon Aldas | Offensive Language | Other | 66 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Mehmet Kanbur | Abuse of Authority | Entry of Premises | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DTS Dennis Wu | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 67 | Brooklyn |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Brian Williams | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Francesca Lovetro | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Christian Perez | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Joseph Rosario | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Roger Haynes | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Brian Williams | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Francesca Lovetro | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Roger Haynes | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Joseph Rosario | Abuse of Authority | Question | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Mehmet Kanbur | Abuse of Authority | Search of Premises | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DTS Julio Martinez | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT SA Leonardo Deoliveira | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Joseph Rosario | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Dennis Diaz | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO James Obrien | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Kyle Sforza | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Brian Mejjamorel | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 67 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | SGT Tyrone Cassara | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 68 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Daniston Swaby | Abuse of Authority | Threat of summons | 69 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Daniston Swaby | Abuse of Authority | Threat of arrest | 69 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Daniston Swaby | Abuse of Authority | Threat to notify ACS | 69 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Mubbashar Zahid | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Dia Abdallah | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Nicholas Toner | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Rosarion Saintelme | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Dia Abdallah | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Dia Abdallah | Abuse of Authority | Question | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO David Nunez | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 70 | Brooklyn |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DTS Junior Sesay | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Michael Venditti | Abuse of Authority | Detention | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kenneth Vega | Discourtesy | Word | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kenneth Vega | Discourtesy | Word | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Kenneth Vega | Force | Hit against inanimate object | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DTS Junior Sesay | Force | Physical force | 70 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Rafal Korycki | Abuse of Authority | Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jonathan Grajales | Abuse of Authority | Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jared Delaney | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Mohammad Shan | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Jared Delaney | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jared Delaney | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Mohammad Shan | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jared Delaney | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | DT3 Paul Mastronardi | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jared Delaney | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Jared Delaney | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Arrest | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Cristian Puchuelachauca | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Summons | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jared Delaney | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | LT Jared Delaney | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Mdabdul Halim | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Rafal Korycki | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Konrad Zieba | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Rafal Korycki | Discourtesy | Word | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Mohammad Shan | Discourtesy | Word | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jonathan Grajales | Discourtesy | Word | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Rafal Korycki | Discourtesy | Word | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Jared Delaney | Force | Physical force | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Mohammad Shan | Force | Physical force | 73 | Brooklyn |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Rafal Korycki | Offensive Language | Gender | 73 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Puja Negi | Abuse of Authority | Question | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Puja Negi | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Arrest | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Matthew Bessen | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Arrest | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Patrick Hughes | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Arrest | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Patrick Hughes | Abuse of Authority | Bias-Based Policing (Race) | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Puja Negi | Abuse of Authority | Bias-Based Policing (Race) | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Matthew Bessen | Abuse of Authority | Bias-Based Policing (Race) | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Matthew Bessen | Discourtesy | Word | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Matthew Bessen | Force | Physical force | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Matthew Bessen | Force | Physical force | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Matthew Bessen | Offensive Language | Gender | 75 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ryan Macero | Abuse of Authority | Unlawful Summons | 81 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Tejinder Singh | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide shield number | 90 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alejandro Pimentel | Abuse of Authority | Refusal to provide shield number | 90 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alejandro Pimentel | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 90 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alejandro Pimentel | Discourtesy | Word | 90 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alejandro Pimentel | Discourtesy | Word | 90 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Alejandro Pimentel | Offensive Language | Gender | 90 | Brooklyn |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Christopher Kearney | Abuse of Authority | Threat of force (verbal or physical) | 101 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Christopher Kearney | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 101 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Christopher Kearney | Abuse of Authority | Detention | 101 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Christopher Kearney | Discourtesy | Word | 101 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | DT3 Ryan Olsen | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 102 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | DT3 Christopher Hughes | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 102 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | DT3 Nicholas Bueti | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 102 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS Ryan Coffaro | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 103 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 103 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Michael Boyle | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 103 | Queens |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 103 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Question | 103 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Damir Vukosa | Abuse of Authority | Interference with recording | 103 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Michael Boyle | Abuse of Authority | Failure to Explain | 103 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO Imtiaz Mohamed | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 105 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Matthew Regan | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 106 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Matthew Regan | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 106 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Arjun Kumar | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 106 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Arjun Kumar | Discourtesy | Word | 106 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Matthew Regan | Discourtesy | Word | 106 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Formalized Training) | PO Stephanie Delanuez | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 110 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Terrance Lloyd | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Anthony Riccardi | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Terrance Lloyd | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Anthony Riccardi | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | SGT Michael Bicchetti | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Dominique Hyson | Abuse of Authority | Failure to provide RTKA card | 114 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Marlena Nelson | Abuse of Authority | Improper use of body-worn camera | 115 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Marlena Nelson | Discourtesy | Word | 115 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Marlena Nelson | Discourtesy | Word | 115 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Marlena Nelson | Offensive Language | Ethnicity | 115 | Queens |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | DTS Maurice Singletary | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Kevin Vega | Abuse of Authority | Frisk | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Kevin Vega | Abuse of Authority | Search (of person) | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline B) | PO Kevin Vega | Abuse of Authority | Question | 120 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Olsen Hoxha | Abuse of Authority | Other | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | SGT Olsen Hoxha | Abuse of Authority | Other | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ismael Diaz | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS John Murphy | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |

| Board Disposition | Officer | FADO&U Category | Allegation | Precinct of Occurrence | Borough of Occurrence |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS John Murphy | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS John Murphy | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ismael Diaz | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ismael Diaz | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS John Murphy | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Anthony Gitto | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Anthony Gitto | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Anthony Gitto | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ismael Diaz | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Ismael Diaz | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Anthony Gitto | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | PO Anthony Gitto | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Charges) | DTS John Murphy | Abuse of Authority | Stop | 121 | Staten Island |
| Substantiated (Command Discipline A) | PO William Tagliaferro | Abuse of Authority | Vehicle search | 123 | Staten Island |