# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Tar	get	ExceedingTarget
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Exceeding Target					4.24
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Exceeding Target					4.36
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target					4.32
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target				3.68	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.64			
Trust	Meeting Target			3.20		
Student Achievement	Exceeding Target				4.	03
		1	2	3	4	4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	122	93	111
Grade 1	127	125	100
Grade 2	110	138	130
Grade 3	113	115	128
Grade 4	105	127	118
Grade 5	123	113	128
All students	700	711	715

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	14%	13%	12%
% Free Lunch Eligible	44%	44%	43%
% Student with IEPs	10%	13%	13%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	0%	0%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	21%	22%
% Temporary Housing	-	1%	1%
% Asian	69%	70%	69%
% Black	1%	2%	3%
% Hispanic	9%	9%	10%
% White	20%	17%	17%
% Other	0%	1%	2%



# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Exceeding Target	4.03									
					2	014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	<ul> <li>Top of</li> <li>Target Range</li> </ul>	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		362	2.98	2.46	2.77	2.94	3.13	3.34	3.21	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		362	52.5%	25.7%	39.9%	49.0%	59.3%	71.2%	3.34	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		232	69.5	51.2	59.0	65.7	70.4	81.5	3.81	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	1	84	71.5	53.7	62.8	70.7	76.2	89.3	3.15	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		122	2.13	1.30	1.95	2.44	2.79	3.60	2.37	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		366	3.55	2.56	2.97	3.20	3.46	3.77	4.29	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		366	78.7%	31.5%	48.8%	59.3%	71.2%	84.9%	4.55	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		233	76.0	46.5	56.2	64.1	69.7	83.1	4.47	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	1	80	74.5	51.6	60.3	67.8	73.1	85.9	4.11	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		124	3.60	1.34	2.21	2.81	3.22	4.32	4.35	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students		106	99.3%	89.0%	94.3%	95.9%	97.7%	100.0%	4.70	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.85

						2	2014-15 Targets	S	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics			Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	2	0.6%	3.0%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	25	6.9%	35.0%	24.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
SETSS	16	4.4%	41.9%	6.3%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	3.04	0.030	0.015
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	2	0.5%	2.5%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	25	6.8%	34.7%	44.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	16	4.4%	42.3%	43.8%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	41	17.7%	37.8%	41.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.51	0.030	0.019
Lowest Third Citywide	20	8.6%	10.5%	70.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2	0.9%	2.3%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	26	11.2%	20.9%	46.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	43	18.5%	38.0%	51.2%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.26	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	15	6.4%	8.8%	46.7%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	1.3%	3.4%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	26	11.2%	21.6%	38.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	82	11.5%	29.2%	82.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.76	0.030	0.028
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.18
									Overa	Il Student Achie	vement Score	4.03

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
26Q162
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	3.80	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.24	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	91%	3.72	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	96%	4.16	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	95.6%	4.24	
HS			
Overall	95.6%	4.24	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.09	1.64	
HS			
Overall	0.09	1.64	5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.32	
ctive School Leadership	053/	2.52	4000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	85%	3.68	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	81%	2.64	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.64	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	89%	3.20	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigo	rous Instruction		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Kange	City Avg	TOP OF Kange	Percent of Range	Score	
•	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	94	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.56	3.24	
	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.64	3.56	
	Course clarity	Students	54	84.3	92.7	100.0	0.04	5.50	
	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	97	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.90	4.60	
	on Results:	Teachers	95%	08.7	85.5	100.0	0.90	4.60 <b>3.80</b>	
Section	on Results:		95%					3.80	
Colla	borative Teachers								
(	Cultural awareness:								
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75		
	Cultural awareness	Parents	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50		
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
	Cultural awareness	Combined	94				0.63	3.52	
• 1	nclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.81	4.24	
(	Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.66	3.64	
	School commitment	Teachers	87	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.66	3.64	
I	nnovation	Teachers	90	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.65	3.60	
• F	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
	Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.68	3.72	
	Focus on student learning	Teachers	86	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.57	3.28	
	Collective responsibility	Teachers	91	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.75	4.00	
	on Results:		91%		0.117	200.0	0.1.0	3.72	
	Safety:		0.0			100.0			
	Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.78		
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
•	Safety	Combined	96				0.78	4.12	
(	Classroom behavior:								
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	94	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.82		
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
•	Classroom behavior	Combined	94				0.82	4.28	
	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00	
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
	Next-level guidance	Students							
F	Press toward academic achievement:								
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	96	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.82		
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
•	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	96				0.82	4.28	
F	Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
F	Peer support for academic work:								
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.81		
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75		
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
•	Peer support for academic work	Combined	96				0.78	4.12	
Section	on Results:		96%					4.16	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.57	3.28
Teacher influence	Teachers	73	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.68	3.72
Program coherence	Teachers	91	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.78	4.12
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	86	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.63	3.52
Section Results:		85%					3.68
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	95	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	68	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.19	1.76
Section Results:		81%					2.64
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	90	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	84	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.63	3.52
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	89	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.58	3.32
Section Results:		89%					3.20
Section Results:		89%					

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.98	2.84 or lower	2.85 to 2.95	2.96 to 3.03	3.04 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.56	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	52.5%	45.1% or lower	45.2% to 50.6%	50.7% to 54.7%	54.8% or highe			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.55	3.13 or lower	3.14 to 3.28	3.29 to 3.40	3.41 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.88	2.39 or lower	2.40 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.69	2.70 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	78.7%	57.3% or lower	57.4% to 64.5%	64.6% to 70.0%	70.1% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.3%	89.6% or lower	89.7% to 92.2%	92.3% to 94.2%	94.3% or highe			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*		2015-16 Targets						
<b>c</b>	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.51	2.16 or lower	2.17 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.35	2.36 or higher			
SETSS	2.20	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.34	2.35 or higher			
ELL	2.74	2.48 or lower	2.49 to 2.62	2.63 to 2.72	2.73 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.32	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.12	2.13 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained								
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.96	2.46 or lower	2.47 to 2.63	2.64 to 2.76	2.77 or higher			
SETSS	2.86	2.33 or lower	2.34 to 2.51	2.52 to 2.64	2.65 or higher			
ELL	3.47	2.89 or lower	2.90 to 3.08	3.09 to 3.23	3.24 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.16	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
ELL Progress	82.9%	56.9% or lower	57.0% to 66.9%	67.0% to 74.4%	74.5% or highe			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2014-15 2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	95.6%	82.0% or lower	82.1% to 86.6%	86.7% to 90.0%	90.1% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.09	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.21	0.22 or higher		