
#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

## P.S. 220 Edward Mandel

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	100	93	84
Grade 1	108	108	112
Grade 2	124	113	110
Grade 3	119	126	120
Grade 4	98	116	118
Grade 5	72	101	114
All students	647	689	711

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	21%	20%	19%
% Free Lunch Eligible	69%	69%	66%
% Student with IEPs	14%	15%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	4%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	47%	43%
% Temporary Housing	-	4%	5%
% Asian	27%	30%	29%
% Black	10%	9%	9%
% Hispanic	23%	24%	23%
% White	38%	35%	37%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

28Q220 P.S. 220 Edward Mandel

## **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.55

				2	.014-15 Target	s			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	335	2.66	2.29	2.55	2.68	2.83	3.05	2.85	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	335	37.6%	17.9%	29.6%	36.3%	43.9%	53.8%	3.17	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	212	68.0	52.0	58.7	64.3	68.1	77.5	3.97	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	76	71.0	57.8	65.3	71.9	76.4	87.4	2.86	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	112	2.19	1.21	1.79	2.21	2.50	3.24	2.95	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	339	2.97	2.37	2.73	2.93	3.16	3.46	3.17	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	339	48.1%	21.3%	36.8%	46.3%	57.2%	70.6%	3.17	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	216	68.0	45.8	55.6	63.9	69.6	83.4	3.72	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	77	72.0	54.3	62.5	69.6	74.5	86.6	3.49	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	112	2.87	1.22	2.12	2.78	3.24	4.41	3.20	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	83	97.3%	81.2%	86.8%	90.5%	94.7%	100.0%	4.49	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.37

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
<ul> <li>Self-Contained</li> </ul>	21	6.3%	31.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	27	8.1%	41.1%	11.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.28	0.030	0.025
SETSS	3	0.9%	8.6%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	21	6.2%	30.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	26	7.7%	39.3%	23.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.37	0.030	0.025
SETSS	3	0.9%	8.7%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	58	27.4%	58.5%	37.9%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.16	0.030	0.016
Lowest Third Citywide	77	36.3%	51.9%	40.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.18	0.030	0.009
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	15	7.1%	18.0%	46.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	32	15.1%	32.1%	37.5%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.26	0.030	0.009
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	62	28.7%	58.9%	45.2%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.02	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	63	29.2%	40.1%	49.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.62	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	11	5.1%	13.3%	63.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
• SC/ICT/SETSS	32	14.8%	32.0%	59.4%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.33	0.030	0.025
• ELL Progress	123	18.7%	47.5%	66.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.06	0.030	0.023
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.18
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.55

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 220 Edward Mandel

28Q220

Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  Deportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS  Well Developed  Well Developed  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A	3.40 22% 3.40 22% 4.99 22% 3.88 34%
Quality Review 1.2 Proficient Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  Pportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	3.40 22% 4.99 22% 3.88 34%
Quality Review 1.2 Proficient Quality Review 2.2 Well Developed NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 94%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:  Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 93%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:  Proficient Well Developed A Well Developed A Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:  A  Well Developed A Well Developed A Well Developed A NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% A Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	4.99 22% 3.88 34%
Quality Review 2.2  NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Quality Review 4.2  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  Pportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	3.88 34%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Quality Review 4.2  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  Pportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  HS  Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	
Comparison of Students with disabilities to less restrictive   Well Developed   And Develope	3.92
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMIS  Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	4.99 50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score: 4  Pportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  Overall  Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	4.00 50%
Opportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 90.9%  HS  Overall 90.9%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	+.00 30%
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 90.9%  HS  Overall 90.9%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	4.52
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 90.9%  HS  Overall 90.9%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 90.9%  HS  Overall 90.9%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 90.9%  HS Overall 90.9%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	4.99 30%
EMS 90.9% HS Overall 90.9% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	4.36 35%
HS Overall 90.9%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	
Overall 90.9%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	4.16
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	
	4.16 30%
environments	
EMS 0.19	2.45
HS	
Overall 0.19	2.45 5%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score:	4.40
ective School Leadership	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 84%	3.60 100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3	3.60
ong Family-Community Ties	
	3.24 100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:	3.24
ust NYC School Survey - Trust 93% 3	
TWIC School Survey - Hust 5376	₹ 88 1∩∩%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3	3.88 100%
	3.88 100% 3.88



P.S. 220 Edward Mandel

City Range							
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction				-	-	-	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.89	4.56
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.66	3.64
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	88	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.62	3.48
Section Results:		94%					3.88
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	90	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
	Combined	96	08.0	87.4	100.0	0.75	4.00
			04.2	04.6	100.0		
Inclusive classroom instruction  Ouglity of professional development	Teachers Teachers	96	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development		79	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.56	3.24
School commitment	Teachers	92	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.79	4.16
Innovation	Teachers	92	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.73	3.92
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
Focus on student learning	Teachers	91	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.72	3.88
Collective responsibility	Teachers	94	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.83	4.32
Section Results:		93%					4.00
Supportive Environment							
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students	100	74.5	88.5	100.0	1.00	
• Safety	Combined	100	74.3	00.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:	Combined	100				1.00	4.33
Classroom behavior	Toochors	90	66.0	0.5.5	100.0	0.66	
	Teachers	89	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.66	
Classroom behavior	Students	00	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.55	2.54
Classroom behavior	Combined	89	22.2	00.0	400.0	0.66	3.64
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	95	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.80	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
<ul> <li>Press toward academic achievement</li> </ul>	Combined	95				0.80	4.20
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	93	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.72	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	95				0.73	3.92
Section Results:		96%					4.36

P.S. 220 Edward Mandel

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	91	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.57	3.28
Teacher influence	Teachers	65	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.57	3.28
Program coherence	Teachers	89	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.73	3.92
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	90	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.73	3.92
Section Results:	. 600.16.6	84%	<u> </u>	00		00	3.60
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.81	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.55	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.68	3.72
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.44	2.76
Section Results:		85%					3.24
Turret							
Trust	Douante	0.5	00.0	05.3	00.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.64	3.56
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
<ul> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	91	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.79	4.16
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	92	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.70	3.80
Section Results:		93%					3.88

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 220 Edward Mandel

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.66	2.55 or lower	2.56 to 2.66	2.67 to 2.74	2.75 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.96	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	37.6%	30.5% or lower	30.6% to 36.0%	36.1% to 40.2%	40.3% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.97	2.76 or lower	2.77 to 2.91	2.92 to 3.03	3.04 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.10	2.01 or lower	2.02 to 2.18	2.19 to 2.31	2.32 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	48.1%	39.8% or lower	39.9% to 47.1%	47.2% to 52.6%	52.7% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	97.3%	87.1% or lower	87.2% to 90.4%	90.5% to 92.8%	92.9% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.74	1.76 or lower	1.77 to 1.85	1.86 to 1.91	1.92 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.09	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.16	2.17 or higher			
SETSS		2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.25	2.26 or higher			
ELL	2.04	2.16 or lower	2.17 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.41	2.42 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.01	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.11	2.12 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.44	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.36	2.37 to 2.49	2.50 or higher			
SETSS		2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.58	2.59 or higher			
ELL	2.40	2.44 or lower	2.45 to 2.64	2.65 to 2.79	2.80 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.04	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher			
ELL Progress	66.7%	50.5% or lower	50.6% to 60.5%	60.6% to 68.0%	68.1% or higher			

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	90.9%	78.5% or lower	78.6% to 84.0%	84.1% to 88.1%	88.2% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.19	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher			