### Appendix VI COMPARATIVE RECYCLING DATA for Chicago, Los Angeles, New York, San Francisco, and Seattle

including

Summary of Municipal Recycling Survey As Reported in *Waste News*, 2000–2004

Annual Waste Stream and Diversion Tonnages Across Five U.S. Cities

Summary of Waste Management Practices for Five U.S. Cities

#### Table AVI-1 Summary of Municipal Recycling Survey—Chicago As Reported in *Waste News*, 2000–2004

Waste News publication Date	Feb-00	Feb-01	Feb-02	Feb-03	Feb-04
	CHICAGO	CHICAGO	CHICAGO	CHICAGO	CHICAGO
Population:	2,802,079	2,802,079	2,896,016	2,896,016	2,896,016
Recycling rate:	47.30%	47.90%	44.90%	44.30%	22.30%
Calculated for year ended:		Jun-00	Jun-01	Jun-02	Jun-03
Rate includes:					
Residential	x	x	x	x	×
Commercial	x	x	x	x	
Other					
Rates by category:					
Residential		26.80%	44.90%	44.30%	22.30%
Commercial		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Materials included:					
(See key below)					
Paper	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP
Metal	ALC, TC	ALC,TC	ALC, TC	ALC, TC	ALC, TC
Plastic	PET, HDPE, PB	PET, HDPE, PB	PET, HDPE, PB	PET, HDPE, PB	PET, HDPE
Glass	GCON	GCON	GCON	GCON	GCON
Bulk	WOOD, CND	WOOD, CND	WOOD, CND	WOOD, CND	
Automotive					
Hazardous			FLP	FLP	
Organic	YARD	YARD	YARD	YARD	YARD
Other	OIL				
Total tonnage collected:	2,411,165	2,287,708	2,352,418	2,146,321	695,250
By city	275,000	294,909	293,744	283,441	211,228
By contracted haulers	2,136,165	1,992,799	2,058,674	1,862,880	484,022
Tonnage collected					
per material:		545 400	110.001	070.054	071 140
Paper	608,939	545,499	449,061	378,954	3/1,146
Metal	633,023	117,168	113,741	108,172	182,064
Plastic	6,722	2,197	3,684	2,791	4,039
Glass	23,321	22,741	22,220	13,347	3,002
Other	1 073 100	1/0,4/2	100,000	100,700	134,999
	1,073,199	1,423,031	1,577,516	1,477,271	U
Conection methods:	Vee	Yac	Yee	Yee	Yes
Frequency	Mookly	Mode	Mookly	Modelu	Modely
Number of households	740.000	740.000	740.000	660.000	560.000
le program mandatory?	740,000	No.	745,000 No	No	No
How are materials collected:		Single Source	Source-separated	Source-senarated	Source-separated
Program operated by:	200 mil	City crews	City crews	City crews	City crews
Dropoff	No	No	Ves	No	Yes
Number of sites	-	NA	5-10	5-10	10
Program operated by:	_	NA	Private haulers not-for-profits	Private haulers not-for-profits	Private haulers
Multifamily dwelling	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Program operated by:	201-01	Private baulers	Private haulers	Private baulers	Private baulers
Other	None	None	None	None	None
Commercial recycling program offered:		Recycling programs mandatory for owners, property managers	Recycling Program mandatory for property managers; collected by private haulers	Recycling programs mandatory for owners, property managers	N.A.
Recycling goals:					
Mandated goal	No	25% by 2001	0.25	0.25	0.25
Non-mandated goal	40% by 2002	40% by 2002	40% by 2002	40% by 2002	0.4
Goals met	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Financial information:					
Recycling budget	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Overall solid waste budget	\$139,774,240	\$144, 152, 637	\$150,000,000	\$160,000,000	\$154,000,000
Recyclables revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	N.A.
Amount spent per resident on recycling	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Recycling budget percentage	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

**MATERIALS KEY:** ABAT – automobile batteries; ALC – aluminum cans; APP – appliances; AUTO – automobiles; BVC – beverage cartons, drink boxes; CND – construction debris; ESRP – electronic scrap; FLP – fluorescent lamps; FOOD – food waste; FRN – furniture; GCON – glass containers; HDPE – HDPE plastic; HH – household hazardous waste; MG – magazines; MP – mixed paper; NP – newspaper; OCC – cardboard, corrugated containers; OP – office paper; PB – plastic bags; PET – PET plastic; TB – telephone books; TC – tin cans; TEX – textiles; WOOD – wood waste; TIRE – tires; OIL – oil, oil filters, grease; YARD – yard trimmings

**Note:** The data in this table reflect information reported in *Waste News* Municipal Recycling Surveys 2000 to 2004. The Department of Sanitation makes no warrant as to their accuracy with the exception of data on New York City.

# Table AVI-1 Summary of Municipal Recycling Survey—Los Angeles As Reported in Waste News, 2000–2004

Meste News publication Date	Esh 00	Esh Ad	Eab 00	Eab 02	Eab 04
waste News publication Date	LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES	LOS ANGELES
Population:	3.579.556	3.579.556	3.694.820	3.694.820	3.694.820
Recycling rate:	44 00%	40.90%	55 50%	39.00%	39.00%
Calculated for year ended:		lup-00	lun_01	lun_02	lun_03
Pate includes:		341-00	Suror	Juroz	Saroo
Rate includes:	100			2022	2
Residential	x	×	x	x	x
Other			×		
Omer					
Rates by category:		10 0001		00.0001	
Residentia		40.90%	42.00%	39.00%	39.00%
Commercial	-	NLA.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Materials included:					
(See key below)					
Paper	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB MP, OP
Metal	ALC, TC	ALC, TC, APP	ALC, TC, APP	ALC, TC, APP	ALC, TC
Plastic	PET, HDPE	PET, HDPE	PET, HDPE, PB	PET, HDPE, PB	PET, HDPE, PB, BVC
Glass	GCON	GCON	GCON	GCON	GCON
Bulk	WOOD		TEX, WOOD, CND, FRN	WOOD	
Automotive			AUTO		
Hazardous	10000000	ESRP	ESRP	2722.22	10000
Organic	YARD	YARD	FOOD, YARD	YARD	YARD
Other					
Total tonnage collected:	578,818	691,870	5,331,105	664,045	231,456
By city	578,818	691,870	628,561	664,045	231,456
By contracted haulers	0	0	4,702,544	0	0
Tonnage collected					
per material:	110,100	127 400	004 006	100 300	
Paper	112,120	137,439	0/0.000	100,300	E 470
Diactio	4,737	0,112	912,000	0,030	5,470
Plasic	0,291	2,900	0,073	0,824	6,395
Glass	13,404	19,224	202,041	22,383	20,010
rard unmings	405,312	454,803	1,076,657	408,030	6,495
Other	30,430	09,332	2,201,090		39,967
Collection methods:	Yee	Vee	Man	Mag	Yee
	res	Yes	Tes	Tes	Tes
Frequency	Weekly 700 000	750 000	Too coo	700 000	TAD COD
Number of Households	720,000	750,000	720,000	720,000	740,000
How are materials collected:		NLA.	Comminded	NU Source congrated	NU Source congrated
Program on orated by:	-	Comminged, single source	Commingled City Crown	City group	Ciby groups
Program operated by:	N	Vee	Voc	Voc	No
humber of sites	NO	Marian	1	1	NO
Program encrated by:		City Crowe	Privato Haulore	City crows private baulors	N.A.
Multifamily dwelling	Yee	Vae	No.	Vec	No
Dressen exemted by	165	City Comme	NA	City array private baulage	No
Other	Naso	None	NA	City crews, private naulers	N.A.
	None	Note	Note	Note	Note
offered:		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Recycling goals:					
Mandated goal	50% diversion by 2000	50% diversion by 2000	50% diversion by 2000	70% diversion by 2020	No
Non-mandated goal	No	No	70% by 2020	No	70% diversion by 2020
Goals met	Мр	No	No	N.A.	No
Financial information:	1000				
Recycling budget	N.A.	80000000	57064000	42400000	43000000
Overall solid waste budget	\$82,363,875	\$121,000.000	\$98,876,690	\$89,500,000	\$93,305,000
Recyclables revenue	\$1,486.675	\$1,729,680	\$1,500,000	\$1,654,730	\$2,434,884
Amount spent per resident on recycling	N.A.	22.24	15.44	11.48	11.64
Recycling budget percentage	N.A.	0.066	0.589	0.507	0.461
Contraction of the Contraction o					

**MATERIALS KEY:** ABAT – automobile batteries; ALC – aluminum cans; APP – appliances; AUTO – automobiles; BVC – beverage cartons, drink boxes; CND – construction debris; ESRP – electronic scrap; FLP – fluorescent lamps; FOOD – food waste; FRN – furniture; GCON – glass containers; HDPE – HDPE plastic; HH – household hazardous waste; MG – magazines; MP – mixed paper; NP – newspaper; OCC – cardboard, corrugated containers; OP – office paper; PB – plastic bags; PET – PET plastic; TB – telephone books; TC – tin cans; TEX – textiles; WOOD – wood waste; TIRE – tires; OIL – oil, oil filters, grease; YARD – yard trimmings

**Note:** The data in this table reflect information reported in *Waste News* Municipal Recycling Surveys 2000 to 2004. The Department of Sanitation makes no warrant as to their accuracy with the exception of data on New York City.

#### Table AVI-1 Summary of Municipal Recycling Survey—New York As Reported in *Waste News*, 2000–2004

Waste News publication Date	Feb-00	Feb-01	Feb-02	Feb-03	Feb-04
	NEW YORK	NEW YORK	NEW YORK	NEW YORK	NEW YORK
Population:	7,420,166	7,420,166	8,008,278	8,008,278	8,008,278
Recycling rate:	18.20%	19.70%	20.10%	35.70%	29.90%
Calculated for year ended:		Jun-00	Jun-01	Jun-02	Jun-03
Rate includes:					
Residential	×	x	×	×	×
Commercial				×	x
Other				x	×
Rates by category:					
Residential	<u>11</u> 21	19.70%	20.10%	19.80%	12.70%
Commercial	70.5	N.A.	0.6	0.44	0.637
Materials included:					
(See key below)					
Paper	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP
Metal	ALC, TC, APP	ALC, TC, APP	ALC, TC, APP	ALC, TC, APP	ALC, TC, APP
Plastic	PET, HDPE	PET, HDPE	PET, HDPE	PET, HDPE	
Glass	GCON	GCON	GCON	GCON	
Bulk	FRN	FRN	FRN	FRN, FOOD, CND	FRN, FOOD, CND
Automotive				AUTO	AUTO
Hazardous					
Organic	YARD	YARD	YARD	YARD	YARD
Other	BVC	BVC	BVC	BVC	
Total tonnage collected:	658,944	749,000	740,000	5,960,496	9,084,060
By city	658,944	749,000	740,000	1,869,000	1,557,000
By contracted haulers	0	0	0	4,091,496	7,527,060
Tonnage collected					
per material: Papor	285 622	422.000	420.000	406 866	251.022
Metal	N A	305.000	320,000	522 226*	96 759
Plastic	NA	(metal plastic and	(metal plastic and	(metal plastic and	00,103
Glass	NA	glass combined)	dlass combined)	glass combined)	
Yard trimmings	NA	21 000	0	50 000	5 476
Other	<u></u>	0	0	879.807	1.112.843
Collection methods:					
Curbside	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Frequency	weekly/biweekly	Weekly	Weekty	Weekty	Biweekly
Number of households	2,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,200,000	3,200,000
Is program mandatory?		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
How are materials collected:		Single source	Source-separated	Source-separated	Source-separated
Program operated by:		City crews	City crews	City crews	City crews
Dropoff	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Number of sites	4	4	4	4	4
Program operated by:		City crews	City crews	City crews	City crews
Mullifamily dwelling	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Program operated by:		City crews	City crews	City crews	City crews
Other	None	None	None	None	None
Commercial recycling program offered:	nc	Commercial establishments must recycle and have it collected by private carters	Commercial establishments must recycle and have it collected by private carters	N.A.	Commercial generators are required to recycle paper, metal, glass and plastic.
Recycling goals:	1260 too=61 5 2004	7 400 tops are doubly 4000	4 250 tone(days by 2004	4 250 tone/de .	4 250 tone/dev.
Non mendeted goal	Ha No	S,400 Ions per uay by 1999	a,∠ou tonsruay by 2001	4,200 Ions/uay	4,200 tonisruary
Costs met	No	Ver	No	No	NA
Financial information	NU	1 63	NU	NU	INLA.
Recycling budget	7600000	95000000	10000000	103438005	65463866
Overall solid waste budget	\$846,000,000	\$1,000,000,000	\$1 000 000 000	\$1 040 600 000	\$971 100 000
Recyclables revenue	\$2,000,000	\$3,000,000	\$8 200 000	\$5,960,000	\$7 767 797
Amount spert per resident on recycling	10.74	12.8	17 49	12.92	8 17
Recycling hudget percentage	0.09	0.095	01	0.099	0.067
	2.00	2.560		2.200	

**MATERIALS KEY:** ABAT – automobile batteries; ALC – aluminum cans; APP – appliances; AUTO – automobiles; BVC – beverage cartons, drink boxes; CND – construction debris; ESRP – electronic scrap; FLP – fluorescent lamps; FOOD – food waste; FRN – furniture; GCON – glass containers; HDPE – HDPE plastic; HH – household hazardous waste; MG – magazines; MP – mixed paper; NP – newspaper; OCC – cardboard, corrugated containers; OP – office paper; PB – plastic bags; PET – PET plastic; TB – telephone books; TC – tin cans; TEX – textiles; WOOD – wood waste; TIRE – tires; OIL – oil, oil filters, grease; YARD – yard trimmings

**Note:** The data in this table reflect information reported in *Waste News* Municipal Recycling Surveys 2000 to 2004. The Department of Sanitation makes no warrant as to their accuracy with the exception of data on New York City.

\* New York's total tonnage collected and recyclables revenue incorporate scrap collected from the World Trade Center.

# Table AVI-1 Summary of Municipal Recycling Survey—San Francisco As Reported in Waste News, 2000–2004

Waste News publication Date	Feb-00	Feb-01	Feb-02	Feb-03	Feb-04
	SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO	SAN FRANCISCO
Population:	745,774	745,774	776,733	776,733	776,733
Recycling rate:	40.00%	42.00%	46.00%	48.00%	48.00%
Calculated for year ended:		Dec-99	Dec-00	Dec-01	Dec-01
Rate includes:					
Residential	x	x	x	x	x
Commercial	x	x	X X		x
Other	-	City government, industrial	City government, industrial	City government, industrial	City government, industrial
Rates by category:					
Residential	-	N.A.	N.A.	38.00%	38.00%
Commercial	-	N.A.	N.A.	0.56	0.56
Materials included:					
(See key below)					
Paper	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP	NP, OCC, MG, TB, MP, OP
Metal	ALC, TC, APP	ALC, TC	ALC, TC, APP	ALC, TC, APP, ESRP	ALC, TC, APP, ESRP
Plastic	PET, HDPE	PET, HDPE	PET, HDPE, PB	PET, HDPE, PB, BVC	PET, HDPE, PB, BVC
Glass	GCON	GCON	GCON	GCON	GCON
Bulk	WOOD, CND, APP		TEX, WOOD, CND, FRN	TEX, WOOD, CND, FRN	TEX, WOOD, CND, FRN
Automotive	TIRE		TIRE	TIRE,OIL	TIRE,OIL
Hazardous			ESRP		
Organic	FOOD, YARD		FOOD, YARD	FOOD, YARD	FOOD, YARD
Other			BVC		
Total tonnage collected:	580,000	568,138	748,379	825,000	825,000
By city	0	D	0	O	0
By contracted haulers	580,000	568,138	748,379	N.A.	N.A.
Tonnage collected					
per material:	170.000	111.017	100.000	05 000	05 000
Paper	172,000	144,317	163,680	000,08	85,000
Metal	21,000	18,638	8,688	31,000	31,000
Plasuc	2,000	2,009	0,249	5,000	5,000
Glass	32,000	22,304	31,300	40,000	40,000
Tard unmings	302,000	12,146	61,974	50,000	80,000
	302,000	301,422	477,435	564,000	564,000
Collection methods:	Man	Var	Man	Mag	Ver
Curoside	Yes	Tes	Yes	Tes	Yes
humber of households	205.000	222.000	222.000	200.000	200,000
humber of households	325,000	333,000	535,000 No	300,000	500,000 No
How are materials collected:		Commingled single source	Comminded cource constated	Commingled source congrated	Comminded course congrated
Program operated by:	200	Drivate haulere	Drivate baulere	Drivato haulore	Drivate baulare
Dropoff	Voc	Vac	Voc	Voc	Voc
Number of sites	25	24	20	20	20
Program operated by:		Private haulere	Private baulers	Private haulers	Private haulers
Multifamily dwelling	Ves	Vec	Voe	Vac	Vec
Program operated by:	103	Private baulers	Private heulers	Private baulers	Private haulers
Other	None	Bulky items OII	Bulky items OII	None	None
Commercial reguling program	Huic	N A	Organic	Organic	Comingled ECOD waste
offered:	_	NA.	organic	Organic	bottles and cans
Recycling goals:					
Mandated goal	50% by 2000	50% diversion by 2000	50% diversion by 2000	50% diversion by 2000	50% diversion by 2000
Non-mandated goal	75% diversion by 2010	No	75% diversion by 2010	75% diversion by 2010	75% diversion by 2010
Goals met	No	N.A.	No	No	No
Financial information:					
Recycling budget	13000000	N.A.	N.A.	2231988	2231988
Overall solid waste budget	\$105,000,000	\$3,900,000	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Recyclables revenue	N/A	N.A.	N.A.	\$10,603	\$10,603
Amount spent per resident on recycling	17.43	N.A.	N.A.	2.87	2.87
Recycling budget percentage	0.124	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.

**MATERIALS KEY:** ABAT – automobile batteries; ALC – aluminum cans; APP – appliances; AUTO – automobiles; BVC – beverage cartons, drink boxes; CND – construction debris; ESRP – electronic scrap; FLP – fluorescent lamps; FOOD – food waste; FRN – furniture; GCON – glass containers; HDPE – HDPE plastic; HH – household hazardous waste; MG – magazines; MP – mixed paper; NP – newspaper; OCC – cardboard, corrugated containers; OP – office paper; PB – plastic bags; PET – PET plastic; TB – telephone books; TC – tin cans; TEX – textiles; WOOD – wood waste; TIRE – tires; OIL – oil, oil filters, grease; YARD – yard trimmings

**Note:** The data in this table reflect information reported in *Waste News* Municipal Recycling Surveys 2000 to 2004. The Department of Sanitation makes no warrant as to their accuracy with the exception of data on New York City.

The City of San Francisco did not submit updated information for the year ending December 2002, so *Waste News* published the same data for San Francisco in 2003 and 2004.

#### Table AVI-1 Summary of Municipal Recycling Survey—Seattle As Reported in *Waste News*, 2000–2004

Waste News publication Date	Feb-00	Feb-01	Feb-02	Feb-03	Feb-04	
	SEATTLE	SEATTLE	SEATTLE	SEATTLE	SEATTLE	
Population:	536,978	536,978	563,374	563,374	563,374	
Recycling rate:	44.00%	52.00%	43.00%	37.90%	38.00%	
Calculated for year ended:		Dec-99	Sep-01	Sep-02	Sep-03	
Rate includes:						
Residential	x	x	x	x	x	
Commercial	x	x	x	x	x	
Other			x	x	x	
Rates by category:						
Residential		57.00%	N.A.	48.50%	58.00%	
Commercial	-	0.45	N.A.	0.367	N.A.	
Materials included:						
(See key below)						
Paper	NP. OCC. MG. TB. MP. OP					
Metal	ALC. TC					
Plastic	PET, HDPE, PB, BVC	PET, HDPE, PB, BVC	PET HDPE PB BVC	PET, HDPE, PB, BVC	PET, HDPE, PB, BVC	
Glass	GCON	GCON	GCON	GCON	GCON	
Bulk	TEX, FOOD, APP, FRN	WOOD	TEX, WOOD, FOOD, APP.	TEX WOOD, FOOD, APP.		
Automotive	OIL		TIRE, OIL	TIRE.OIL		
Hazardous						
Organic	YARD	YARD	YARD	YARD		
Other	OTHER		10000			
Total tonnage collected:	355 142	102 500	102 500	43 919	54 210	
By city	0	0	0	0	0	
By contracted baulers	355 142	102 500	102 500	43 919	54 210	
Toppage collected	000,112	102,000	102,000	10,010	01,210	
per material:						
Paper	230,842	46,800	46,800	N.A.	42,470	
Metal	7,103	23,00	2,300	N.A.	1,035	
Plastic	1,776	700	700	N.A.	1,205	
Glass	14,205	13,000	13,000	N.A.	9,500	
Yard trimmings	56,823	39,700	39,700	N.A.	0	
Other	44,393	0	0		N.A.	
Collection methods:						
Curbside	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Frequency	variable	Biweekly	Bi-weekly	<b>Bi-weekly</b>	Bi-weekly	
Number of households	250,000	180,000	146,000	146,000	N.A.	
Is program mandatory?	<u></u>	No	No	No	No	
How are materials collected:	<u> </u>	Commingled	Source-separated	Source-separated	Source-separated	
Program operated by:	<u>1410</u> );	Private Haulers	Private haulers	Private haulers	Private haulers	
Dropoff	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Number of sites	72	N.A.	2	2	2	
Program operated by:	-	N.A.	City crews	City crews	City crews	
Multifamily dwelling	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Program operated by:	<u></u>	Private Haulers	Private haulers	Private haulers	Private haulers	
Other	None	None	None	None	None	
Commercial recycling program offered:		N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
Pocyceling goals:						
Mendeted goal	No	No	No	No	blo	
Non mandated goal	NU 2008	60% by 2009	F09/ by 2009	60% by 2009	50% by 2010	
Goels met	00% UY 2006	00% Dy 2000	00% UY ∠000	DU% Dy 2000	00% UY 2010	
	NU	INLA.	N.A.	IND	IND	
	40000000	0000000	0000000			
Recycling budget	12000000	6200000	6800000	N.A.	N.A.	
Overall solid waste budget	\$85,000,000	\$88,500,000	\$88,000,000	N.A.	N.A.	
Recyclables revenue	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.	
Amount spent per resident on recycling	22.35	11.55	12.07	N.A.	N.A.	
Recycling budget percentage	U.141	0.07	0.077	N.A.	N.A.	

**MATERIALS KEY:** ABAT – automobile batteries; ALC – aluminum cans; APP – appliances; AUTO – automobiles; BVC – beverage cartons, drink boxes; CND – construction debris; ESRP – electronic scrap; FLP – fluorescent lamps; FOOD – food waste; FRN – furniture; GCON – glass containers; HDPE – HDPE plastic; HH – household hazardous waste; MG – magazines; MP – mixed paper; NP – newspaper; OCC – cardboard, corrugated containers; OP – office paper; PB – plastic bags; PET – PET plastic; TB – telephone books; TC – tin cans; TEX – textiles; WOOD – wood waste; TIRE – tires; OIL – oil, oil filters, grease; YARD – yard trimmings

**Note:** The data in this table reflect information reported in *Waste News* Municipal Recycling Surveys 2000 to 2004. The Department of Sanitation makes no warrant as to their accuracy with the exception of data on New York City.

Table AVI-2         Annual Waste Stream and Diversion Tonnages         Across Five U.S. Cities										
	Chica	go	Los Ang	jeles	New Yor	k City	San Francisco		Seattle	
	Tons	Rate	Tons	Rate	Tons	Rate	Tons	Rate	Tons	Rate
Combined Waste Stream (Commercial, Residential, Institutional)	4,844,968 <sup>1</sup>		9,700,000 <sup>1</sup>		16,432,136 <sup>12</sup>		1,718,750 <sup>1</sup>		1,110,309 <sup>1</sup>	
<b>Combined Diversion</b>	2,146,321 <sup>2</sup>	44.3% <sup>2</sup>	5,331,105 <sup>8</sup>	55.0% <sup>8</sup>	8,430,774 <sup>12</sup>	51.31% <sup>4</sup>	825,000 <sup>15</sup>	48.0% 4	477,433 <sup>17</sup>	43.0% 17
Paper/MGP-Only Diversion	503,264 <sup>3</sup>	10.4% 4	2,002,859 <sup>9</sup>	20.6% 4	643,554 <sup>12</sup>	3.92% 4	161,000 <sup>16</sup>	9.4% 4	N/A	N/A
Organics Diversion	165,786 <sup>2</sup>	3.4% <sup>4</sup>	1,076,657 <sup>8</sup>	11.1% <sup>4</sup>	6,787 <sup>12</sup>	0.04% 4	80,000 <sup>15</sup>	4.7% <sup>4</sup>	N/A	N/A
Other Diversion	1,477,271 <sup>2</sup>	30.5% 4	2,251,590 <sup>8</sup>	23.2% 4	7,780,433 <sup>12</sup>	47.35% 4	584,000 <sup>15</sup>	34.0% 4	N/A	N/A
Residential Waste Stream	3,117,713 <sup>5</sup>		593,477 <sup>5</sup>		3,454,181 <sup>5</sup>		N/A		251,479 <sup>18</sup>	
<b>Residential Diversion</b>	695,250 <sup>6</sup>	22.3% <sup>6</sup>	231,456 <sup>10</sup>	39.0% <sup>10</sup>	438,681 <sup>13</sup>	(12.7% <sup>13</sup> )	N/A	38.0% 15	107,947 <sup>21</sup>	42.9% 4
Paper/MGP-Only Diversion	560,251 <sup>7</sup>	18.0% <sup>4</sup>	184,994 <sup>11</sup>	31.2% 4	433,205 14	12.5% 4	N/A	N/A	74,024 <sup>19</sup>	29.4% 4
Organics Diversion	134,999 <sup>6</sup>	4.3% 4	6,495 <sup>10</sup>	1.1% 4	5,476 <sup>13</sup>	0.2% 4	N/A	N/A	33,923 <sup>20</sup>	13.5% 4
See "Notes to Illustrations" for so	ource information	n for this table	Э.		This rate	is for Fiscal Y	'ear 2003,			

during which time glass collection was temporarily suspended from NYC's recycling program. Since the reinstatement of glass collection in April 2004, the diversion rate has risen, attaining 17.2% as of May 2004. NYC's diversion rate before the temporary suspensions to the program averaged around 20%. Appendix VI: Comparative Recycling Data

Table AVI-3           Summary of Waste Management Practices for Five U.S. Cities						
	Chicago	Los Angeles				
Service coverage City's solid-waste agency	Chicago Department of Streets and Sanitation	LA Bureau of Sanitation				
Entity collecting waste Single, 1-4 family housing	Chicago Department of Streets and Sanitation	LA Bureau of Sanitation				
Multifamily housing (5+ units)	Numerous private haulers	Numerous private haulers				
<b>Residential recycling service</b> 1-4 unit housing Multifamily housing	All residences N/A	All residences Few residences. Pilot began 2004.				
Residential waste-management funding structure	City taxes for single to four-unit housing; private fees for multiunit housing	Public program – property taxes supplemented by additional fees if resident sets out more than 60 gallons of refuse; Private program – private hauling arrangements				
Is program voluntary or mandatory?	Voluntary	Voluntary				
Number of separations	Two: commingled metal, glass, plastic, and paper in blue bag; refuse in black bag, but blue and black bags are collected in one truck	For public program – three: refuse, single-stream paper/MGP, and yard waste; For private program – none or two: paper/MGP and refuse				
Separate organics collection?	No	Yes				
Organics defined as	Organic residuals	Yard waste				
How is contamination handled?	N/A since refuse and recycling are processed together in a mixed MRF	Recycling service suspension				
Vehicle specifications						
Refuse	All material collected manually or	Automated, dual bin				
Recycling	semi-automated in single-bin truck	Automated, dual bin				
Organics		Automated, dual bin				
Number of vehicle operators per truck Refuse Recycling Organics	Three (all waste collected in same truck)	One (same truck)				
MRFs and processing arrangements	Four mixed-materials recovery facilities	Six single-stream MRFs				
Organics processing arrangements	Organics are land applied after passage through mixed-waste MRF	Outdoor facility co-composting yard waste, zoo waste, and biosolids in aerated stack piles				
State container deposit law?	No	Yes				
State recycled-content manufacturing law?	No	Yes				

Table AVI-3           Summary of Waste Management Practices for Five U.S. Cities (continued)					
New York City	San Francisco	Seattle			
NYC Department of Sanitation	San Francisco Department of the Environment	Seattle Public Utilities			
NYC Department of Sanitation	Norcal, Inc.	Waste Management of Seattle; US Disposal (Rabanco)			
NYC Department of Sanitation	Norcal, Inc.	Waste Management of Seattle; US Disposal (Rabanco)			
All residences All residences	All residences N/A	All residences 90% of units			
City taxes	Residents pay monthly, quantity- based fees for refuse collection; recy- cling and organics collection is free. Residential program is supplemented by funds from commercial collection.	Residents pay monthly quantity- based fees for refuse collection; recycling and yard-waste collection is free			
Mandatory	Voluntary	Voluntary			
Three: commingled MGP, paper, and refuse	Three: refuse, single-stream paper/MGP, yard/food waste	Four: refuse; commingled paper, plastic, and metal; glass; and yard waste			
Yes <sup>1</sup>	Yes	Yes			
Leaves and yard waste	Yard and food waste	Yard waste			
Ticketing and fines	Leaving bin at curb uncollected, with note	Suspension of service if a continual problem			
Manual, single bin	Semi-automated, dual bin	Semi-automated, some dual bins; others with separate noncompacting box for glass			
Manual, single or dual bin	Semi-automated, dual bin	Semi-automated, single bin			
Manual, single bin	Semi-automated, single bin	Semi-automated, single bin			
Two		020			
Тило	One (same truck)	One			
Τωο	One	One			
Multiple paper processors, one recycled paper mill, one MGP processor with three MRFs	Single-stream MRF, "Recycle Central"	Two single-stream MRFs, glass beneficiation facility <sup>2</sup> also used sometimes			
Outdoor, windrow composting facilities	Ag-bag in vessel/outdoor windrow	Outdoor, static-pile composting facility			
Yes	Yes	No			
No	Yes	No			
Notes: <sup>1</sup> NYC leaf and yard-waste composting pro	gram was suspended in 2002; due to resume Fall 200 re recovered glass cullet is cleaned of contaminants.	4.			