

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


Summary of Section Ratings

16K627

Brighter Choice Community School

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	17	23	26
Grade 1	24	23	22
Grade 2	25	24	18
Grade 3	27	24	21
Grade 4	20	24	25
Grade 5	25	17	22
All students	157	149	149

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	7%	4%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	74%	74%	78%
% Student with IEPs	19%	19%	16%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	7%	4%
% HRA Eligible	-	67%	68%
% Temporary Housing	-	19%	23%
% Asian	1%	0%	0%
% Black	77%	80%	75%
% Hispanic	21%	18%	24%
% White	0%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	0%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.42

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA									
● Average Student Proficiency	64	2.62	1.98	2.21	2.36	2.53	2.80	4.33	9.09%
● Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	64	28.1%	3.0%	12.9%	19.8%	27.6%	39.5%	4.04	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	44	62.0	45.8	53.9	60.9	65.9	77.6	3.22	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	17	75.0	58.1	66.5	73.9	79.0	91.1	3.22	9.09%
● Early Grade Progress	19	2.40	0.40	1.06	1.63	2.03	3.00	4.38	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
○ Average Student Proficiency	65	2.48	1.97	2.28	2.48	2.72	3.08	3.00	9.09%
○ Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	65	27.7%	1.4%	15.2%	25.0%	36.2%	52.0%	3.24	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	45	16.0	36.7	48.7	59.1	66.4	83.7	1.00	9.09%
○ Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	18	54.5	52.4	62.5	71.3	77.4	92.0	1.21	9.09%
● Early Grade Progress	19	4.96	0.02	1.17	2.17	2.86	4.53	4.99	9.09%
● MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	15	95.1%	68.3%	77.6%	83.9%	91.0%	100.0%	4.46	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.37

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
○ Self-Contained	6	9.4%	46.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
○ Integrated Co-Teaching			0.0%		0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
○ SETSS	6	9.4%	89.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
● Self-Contained	6	9.2%	45.8%	16.7%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
○ Integrated Co-Teaching			0.0%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
○ SETSS	6	9.2%	88.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
○ ELL	3	6.8%	14.5%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
○ Lowest Third Citywide	5	11.4%	14.7%	40.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	6.8%	17.2%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
○ SC/ICT/SETSS	10	22.7%	53.9%	30.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.62	0.030	0.005
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
○ ELL	4	8.9%	18.3%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
○ Lowest Third Citywide	11	24.4%	33.5%	36.4%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.38	0.030	0.010
○ Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	4	8.9%	23.2%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
○ SC/ICT/SETSS	10	22.2%	53.3%	20.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.33	0.030	0.002
○ ELL Progress	4	3.0%	7.6%		31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
											CtAG Additional Points	0.05
											Overall Student Achievement Score	3.42

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	88%	3.08	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target		Section Score:	4.00

Collaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	95%	4.40	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target		Section Score:	4.72

Supportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	35%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	97%	4.52	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	63.4%	2.04	
HS			
Overall	63.4%	2.04	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS			
HS			
Overall			
Section Rating: Meeting Target		Section Score:	3.96

Effective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	91%	4.52	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target		Section Score:	4.52

Strong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	97%	4.99	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target		Section Score:	4.99

Trust			
NYC School Survey - Trust	100%	4.96	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target		Section Score:	4.96

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score	
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range			
Rigorous Instruction								
•	Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
	Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
	Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	78	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.31	2.24
Section Results:			88%					3.08
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	100	90.5	94.9	99.3	1.00	
	Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
•	Cultural awareness	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
•	Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.84	4.36
	Quality of professional development	Teachers	82	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.63	3.52
•	School commitment	Teachers	98	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.95	4.80
	Innovation	Teachers	91	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.70	3.80
•	Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
•	Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
•	Focus on student learning	Teachers	100	68.2	89.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
•	Collective responsibility	Teachers	93	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.81	4.24
Section Results:			95%					4.40
Supportive Environment								
Safety:								
	Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.78	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	96				0.78	4.12
Classroom behavior:								
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	94	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.82	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
•	Classroom behavior	Combined	94				0.82	4.28
•	Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
	Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
	Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:								
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	100	75.0	88.8	100.0	1.00	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
•	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
	Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:								
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	91	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.62	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	100	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.98	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
•	Peer support for academic work	Combined	95				0.80	4.20
Section Results:			97%					4.52

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
Effective School Leadership							
• Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	99	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.95	4.80
Teacher influence	Teachers	66	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.58	3.32
• Program coherence	Teachers	100	60.0	85.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
• Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	100	61.6	87.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		91%					4.52
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	100	86.0	92.6	99.2	1.00	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	94	62.4	76.6	90.8	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		97%					4.99
Trust							
• Parent-teacher trust	Parents	100	90.9	95.3	99.7	1.00	4.99
• Parent-principal trust	Parents	99	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.96	4.84
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
• Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	99	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.98	4.92
• Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		100%					4.96

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.62	2.31 or lower	2.32 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.50	2.51 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.45	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.11	2.12 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	28.1%	16.9% or lower	17.0% to 22.4%	22.5% to 26.6%	26.7% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.48	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.53	2.54 to 2.65	2.66 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.12	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.20	2.21 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	27.7%	21.8% or lower	21.9% to 29.1%	29.2% to 34.6%	34.7% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.1%	86.3% or lower	86.4% to 89.8%	89.9% to 92.4%	92.5% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.69	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.92	1.93 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching					
SETSS	2.21				
ELL		2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.30	2.31 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.08	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching					
SETSS	1.82				
ELL	2.32	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.45	2.46 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.16	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
ELL Progress		49.7% or lower	49.8% to 59.7%	59.8% to 67.3%	67.4% or higher

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	63.4%	56.2% or lower	56.3% to 63.0%	63.1% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments		0.21 or lower	0.22 to 0.32	0.33 to 0.40	0.41 or higher