
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
	63	60	- 4
Kindergarten	62	60	54
Grade 1	58	73	76
Grade 2	63	53	72
Grade 3	83	82	72
Grade 4	85	76	81
Grade 5	77	86	74
Grade 6	80	70	74
Grade 7	64	81	63
Grade 8	59	61	82
All students	631	642	648

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	13%	13%	15%
% Free Lunch Eligible	79%	79%	79%
% Student with IEPs	23%	25%	24%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	11%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	73%	67%
% Temporary Housing	-	15%	17%
% Asian	1%	2%	2%
% Black	22%	23%	23%
% Hispanic	74%	73%	72%
% White	2%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

10X037

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score

Meeting Target 3.91

				2	014-15 Targets	S	_		
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	430	2.37	1.97	2.16	2.31	2.42	2.70	3.55	8.20%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	430	17.7%	2.0%	9.6%	15.6%	20.3%	31.8%	3.45	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	346	66.0	51.4	57.5	62.7	66.8	75.4	3.80	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	124	80.0	66.0	72.1	77.0	80.8	88.8	3.79	8.20%
Early Grade Progress	70	1.91	0.23	0.96	1.59	2.09	3.13	3.64	8.20%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	432	2.55	1.85	2.14	2.37	2.56	2.94	3.95	8.20%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	432	25.5%	0.0%	10.5%	19.1%	25.9%	40.6%	3.94	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	356	65.0	46.4	53.9	60.2	65.2	75.6	3.96	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	122	81.5	61.3	67.6	72.8	76.8	85.4	4.55	8.20%
Early Grade Progress	70	1.74	0.11	1.15	2.04	2.74	4.23	2.66	8.20%
Core Course Pass Rates									
ELA	213	77.0%	58.1%	69.9%	79.6%	87.3%	100.0%	2.73	1.64%
Math	213	76.5%	55.4%	68.0%	78.4%	86.5%	100.0%	2.82	1.64%
Science	213	72.8%	56.2%	68.6%	78.7%	86.7%	100.0%	2.42	1.64%
 Social Studies 	213	62.4%	53.4%	66.6%	77.4%	85.9%	100.0%	1.68	1.64%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	80	27.5%	0.0%	5.2%	9.5%	12.9%	24.3%	4.99	3.28%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	57	82.0%	60.0%	71.0%	79.0%	86.0%	98.0%	3.43	8.20%
								Weighted Average Score	3.66

						2	2014-15 Targets					
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		-						-				
 Self-Contained 	37	8.6%	48.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	0.5%	2.8%		0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	48	11.2%	95.7%	2.1%	0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%	1.54	0.030	0.004
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	37	8.6%	48.6%	5.4%	0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%	4.15	0.030	0.024
Integrated Co-Teaching	2	0.5%	2.8%		0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	49	11.3%	97.4%	10.2%	0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%	3.13	0.030	0.016
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	56	16.2%	42.7%	55.4%	14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%	4.34	0.030	0.025
Lowest Third Citywide	156	45.1%	70.4%	59.6%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%	4.22	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	91	26.3%	73.3%	56.0%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%	3.96	0.030	0.022
• SC/ICT/SETSS	75	21.7%	58.7%	66.7%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	4.54	0.030	0.027
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	67	18.8%	47.6%	49.3%	13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%	4.20	0.030	0.024
 Lowest Third Citywide 	130	36.5%	52.3%	62.3%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%	4.37	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	71	19.9%	55.3%	60.6%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%	4.22	0.030	0.024
• SC/ICT/SETSS	76	21.3%	58.1%	60.5%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%	4.56	0.030	0.027
O ELL Progress	92	14.3%	49.8%	35.9%	24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%	1.70	0.030	0.005
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.25
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	3.91

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. X037 - Multiple Intelligence School

10X037

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.80	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
llaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	92%	4.00	50%
The sensor survey conductive redeners	3270	1.00	3070
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
nnortivo Environment			
oportive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	85%	3.00	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	8376	3.00	33/6
EMS	67.3%	2.64	
HS	07.570	2.04	
Overall	67.3%	2.64	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	07.370	2.01	3070
environments			
EMS	0.23	2.27	
HS	0.23	2.27	
Overall	0.23	2.27	5%
		• • •	
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	86%	3.88	100%
		0.00	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
ong Family-Community Ties		_	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	88%	3.84	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
ust		_	
NYC School Survey - Trust	92%	3.92	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	



Framework		C	C	Λ
Framowork	FIAMONTS.	. SIITWOW	Scoring	Annandiv
I I dille WOLK	LICITICITY -	Julycy	JUVIIIE	ADDCIIUIA

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	99	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.92	4.68
Course clarity	Students	92	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.56	3.24
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	85	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.57	3.28
Section Results:		93%					3.80
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	86.2	94.8	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.79	
Cultural awareness	Students	86	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.56	
Cultural awareness	Combined	94	05.0	04.0	50.4	0.78	4.12
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	99	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.90	4.12
Quality of professional development	Teachers	80	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.57	3.28
School commitment	Teachers	90	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.76	4.04
Innovation	Teachers	87	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.61	3.44
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.4	95.2	100.0	0.88	4.52
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.83	4.32
Focus on student learning	Teachers	93	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.79	4.32
Collective responsibility	Teachers	93 87	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.67	3.68
ection Results:	reactiers	92%	39.0	02.2	100.0	0.07	4.00
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	85	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.45	
Safety	Combined	85				0.45	2.80
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	68	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.12	
Classroom behavior	Combined	68				0.12	1.48
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	98	88.0	96.2	100.0	0.80	4.20
Peer interactions	Students	80	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.42	2.68
Next-level guidance	Students	93	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	88	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.39	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.39	2.56
Personal attention and support	Students	88	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.59	3.36
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	96	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students	58	45.8	67.0	88.2	0.30	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	77				0.52	3.08
Section Results:		85%					3.00

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.66	3.64
Teacher influence	Teachers	66	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.60	3.40
Program coherence	Teachers	93	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.83	4.32
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	92	63.6	87.0	100.0	0.77	4.08
Section Results:		86%					3.88
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.80	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.66	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	95				0.73	3.92
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	80	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.68	3.72
Section Results:		88%					3.84
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	95	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.79	4.16
Student-teacher trust	Students	82	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.50	3.00
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	92	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.80	4.20
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	96	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Section Results:	. 5455.3	92%		3	200.0	0.02	3.92
							

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.37	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.39	2.40 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.96	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.04	2.05 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	17.7%	13.0% or lower	13.1% to 17.3%	17.4% to 20.5%	20.6% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.55	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.49	2.50 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.05	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	25.5%	15.3% or lower	15.4% to 21.4%	21.5% to 25.9%	26.0% or higher			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	77.0%	73.6% or lower	73.7% to 80.0%	80.1% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher			
Math	76.5%	73.2% or lower	73.3% to 79.7%	79.8% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher			
Science	72.8%	75.9% or lower	76.0% to 81.8%	81.9% to 86.0%	86.1% or higher			
Social Studies	62.4%	71.6% or lower	71.7% to 78.5%	78.6% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	27.5%	14.0% or lower	14.1% to 21.7%	21.8% to 27.3%	27.4% or higher			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	82.0%	76.9% or lower	77.0% to 81.9%	82.0% to 84.9%	85.0% or higher			

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
_	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.85	1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or higher			
SETSS	2.04	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.12	2.13 or higher			
ELL	2.23	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.23	2.24 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.08	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
SETSS	2.09	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.18	2.19 or higher			
ELL	2.48	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.41	2.42 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.08	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.08	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
ELL Progress	35.9%	37.2% or lower	37.3% to 46.1%	46.2% to 52.5%	52.6% or higher			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	67.3%	65.1% or lower	65.2% to 73.5%	73.6% to 79.6%	79.7% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.23	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.24	0.25 to 0.31	0.32 or higher

^{*} If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.