
### **Summary of Section Ratings**

### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### **State Accountability Status: Reward**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

P.S. 042 Benjamin Altman

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	149	101	105
Grade 1	132	150	104
Grade 2	144	129	139
Grade 3	119	136	135
Grade 4	125	116	133
Grade 5	115	124	124
All students	820	792	776

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	36%	32%	31%
% Free Lunch Eligible	88%	88%	88%
% Student with IEPs	12%	14%	16%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	1%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	66%	62%
% Temporary Housing	-	5%	5%
% Asian	95%	93%	93%
% Black	1%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	3%	3%	3%
% White	1%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

## **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

02M042 P.S. 042 Benjamin Altman

Student Achievement Rating Student Achievement Score	Exceeding Target	4.64
	Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score

		2014-15 Targets							
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	381	2.94	2.30	2.56	2.70	2.86	3.07	4.38	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>	381	47.8%	17.8%	29.9%	37.1%	45.2%	55.5%	4.25	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	239	76.0	55.6	62.1	67.1	70.6	79.1	4.64	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third</li> </ul>	90	81.0	61.0	68.2	74.3	78.6	88.9	4.23	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	130	3.23	1.27	1.89	2.35	2.67	3.44	4.73	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	389	3.55	2.40	2.79	3.02	3.27	3.59	4.88	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>	389	76.9%	22.1%	39.1%	50.2%	62.9%	78.0%	4.93	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	247	75.0	50.6	60.0	67.4	72.6	84.9	4.20	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	87	71.0	57.0	65.1	71.8	76.5	87.9	2.88	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	134	5.38	1.23	2.25	3.05	3.61	4.95	4.99	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	117	99.4%	80.7%	86.5%	90.3%	94.6%	100.0%	4.89	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	4.45

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points  Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4					-	-						
Self-Contained	10	2.6%	12.8%	10.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	26	6.8%	34.5%	3.8%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.04	0.030	0.008
• SETSS	25	6.6%	62.9%	24.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	10	2.6%	12.9%	20.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	26	6.7%	34.2%	7.7%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.09	0.030	0.008
• SETSS	25	6.4%	61.5%	52.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	107	44.8%	95.7%	58.9%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.53	0.030	0.027
Lowest Third Citywide	43	18.0%	24.6%	79.1%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	4	1.7%	4.3%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	46	19.2%	43.8%	52.2%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	3.75	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	115	46.6%	95.7%	47.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.09	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	21	8.5%	11.7%	52.4%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	1.2%	3.1%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	47	19.0%	44.1%	42.6%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.20	0.030	0.017
ELL Progress	224	30.3%	76.9%	71.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.25	0.030	0.024
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.19
									Overa	III Student Achie	vement Score	4.64

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

02M042

P.S. 042 Benjamin Altman

Section Rating: Exceeding Target   Well Developed   4.99   22%   Quality Review 1.2   Well Developed   4.99   22%   Quality Review 2.2   Proficient   3.40   22%   22%   22%   22%   22%   3.44   34%   34		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1	Rigorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.2   Well Developed   4.99   2.2%	_	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2   Proficient   3.40   22%	·	·	4.99	22%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  4.12    Section Score:		·	3.40	22%
Ollaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.24 50%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84  Upportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.24 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 98.2% 4.96 HS Overall 98.2% 4.96 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.86 HS Overall 0.12 1.86 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  LTONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	·	91%	3.44	34%
Ollaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.24 50%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84  Upportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.24 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 98.2% 4.96 HS Overall 98.2% 4.96 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.86 HS Overall 0.12 1.86 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  LTONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36				
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.24 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84  Section Rating: Meeting Target Yellow 4.24 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 98.2% 4.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 98.2% 4.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 98.2% 4.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 98.2% 4.96 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.12	
Quality Review 4.2 Proficient 3.40 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 94% 4.24 50% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.84  Section Rating: Meeting Target Yellow 4.24 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 98.2% 4.96 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 98.2% 4.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 98.2% 4.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 98.2% 4.96 5% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36				
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.84  Upportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4  Well Developed  4.99  30%  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  96%  4.24  35%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  HS  Overall  98.2%  4.96  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  Overall  0.12  1.86  HS  Overall  0.12  1.86  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  4.56  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  4.16  Trong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  4.10  4.10  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  4.10  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  4.20  1.00%		_		
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.84  Upportive Environment Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.24 35%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 98.2% 4.96  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.86  HS Overall 0.12 1.86  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Trong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Trust NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%	·			
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.24 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 98.2% 4.96 BS Woverall 98.2% 4.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.86 BS Woverall 0.12 1.86 SS WOVERALD WOVE	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	94%	4.24	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.24 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 98.2% 4.96 HS Overall 98.2% 4.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.86 S Overall 0.12 1.86 S Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 89% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	
Quality Review 3.4 Well Developed 4.99 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4.24 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 98.2% 4.96 HS Overall 98.2% 4.96 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.12 1.86 S Overall 0.12 1.86 S Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 89% 4.16 100% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Frong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36				
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 96% 4,24 35%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 98.2% 4.96  HS Overall 98.2% 4.96 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.12 1.86  HS Overall 0.12 1.86 5%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 89% 4.16 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.36  Trust NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  For the section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36	upportive Environment			
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  Overall  98.2%  4.96  30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  HS  Overall  0.12  1.86  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  4.56  Frective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.36  NYC School Survey - Trust  96%  4.20  100%	·	Well Developed	4.99	30%
EMS 98.2% 4.96  HS Overall 98.2% 4.96 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.12 1.86  HS Overall 0.12 1.86 5%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 89% 4.16 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Etrong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Frust NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%	NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	96%	4.24	35%
HS Overall 98.2% 4.96 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.12 1.86 HS Overall 0.12 1.86 5%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 89% 4.16 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Trong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Frust NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%				
Overall 98.2% 4.96 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.12 1.86  HS Overall 0.12 1.86 5%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 89% 4.16 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Etrong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Frust NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%		98.2%	4.96	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.12 1.86 5%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Etrong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Furst NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%				
environments  EMS HS Overall 0.12 1.86 5%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Trong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Frust NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%		98.2%	4.96	30%
EMS HS Overall 0.12 1.86 5%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Trong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Frust NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%				
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Trust NYC School Survey - Trust NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%				
Overall 0.12 1.86 5%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 89% 4.16 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  Etrong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  Fust NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%		0.12	1.86	
Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score: 4.56  Ffective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score: 4.16  trong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.36  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.36  rust  NYC School Survey - Trust  96%  4.20  100%				
Ffective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score:  4.16  Section Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.36  Section Score:  NYC School Survey - Trust  96%  4.20  100%	Overall	0.12	1.86	5%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 89% 4.16 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  trong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  rust NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.56	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 89% 4.16 100%  Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.16  trong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  rust NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%				
Section Rating: Exceeding Target  Section Score: 4.16  trong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.36  rust  NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%				
trong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  rust  NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	89%	4.16	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  rust  NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.16	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 85% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.36  rust  NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%				
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.36  rust  NYC School Survey - Trust  96%  4.20  100%				
rust  NYC School Survey - Trust  96% 4.20 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	85%	3.36	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.36	
NYC School Survey - Trust 96% 4.20 100%				
		96%	4.20	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.20				
	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

P.S. 042 Benjamin Altman

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorou	us Instruction			•			•	
• Cor	mmon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Cor	mmon Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.57	3.28
Cou	urse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	ality of student discussion	Teachers	85	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.51	3.04
	Results:		91%					3.44
Collabo	orative Teachers							
	Itural awareness:							
Cui	Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	OF 4	05.0	100.0	0.00	
				85.4	95.0		0.90	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students	07	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.03	4.20
•	Cultural awareness	Combined	97	04.2	04.6	400.0	0.82	4.28
	lusive classroom instruction	Teachers	98	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.87	4.48
	ality of professional development	Teachers	83	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.66	3.64
	nool commitment	Teachers	96	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.89	4.56
	ovation	Teachers	88	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.58	3.32
	flective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
	er collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
	cus on student learning	Teachers	97	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.90	4.60
	llective responsibility  Results:	Teachers	86 94%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.59	3.36 <b>4.24</b>
	rtive Environment							
Sat	ety:		400	00.0	0.4.6	4000	4.00	
	Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Cla	ssroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	96	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.87	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
•	Classroom behavior	Combined	96				0.87	4.48
<ul><li>Soc</li></ul>	cial-emotional measure	Teachers	97	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.76	4.04
Pee	er interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Nex	xt-level guidance	Students						
Pre	ess toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	89	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.55	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	89				0.55	3.20
Per	rsonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Pee	er support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
		Combined	99				0.88	4.52
•	Peer support for academic work	Combined	99				0.00	4.32

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
<ul> <li>Inclusive principal leadership</li> </ul>	Parents	97	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.84	4.36
Teacher influence	Teachers	71	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.66	3.64
Program coherence	Teachers	93	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.82	4.28
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.82	4.28
Section Results:		89%					4.16
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.90	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	97				0.83	4.32
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	72	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.35	2.40
Section Results:		85%					3.36
Trust							
<ul> <li>Parent-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.86	4.44
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	95	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.88	4.52
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	92	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.69	3.76
Section Results:		96%					4.20

**Targets for 2015-16** 

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.94	2.45 or lower	2.46 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.64	2.65 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.37	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	47.8%	24.7% or lower	24.8% to 30.3%	30.4% to 34.4%	34.5% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	3.55	2.76 or lower	2.77 to 2.91	2.92 to 3.03	3.04 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.82	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.24	2.25 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	76.9%	40.1% or lower	40.2% to 47.4%	47.5% to 52.9%	53.0% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	99.4%	88.0% or lower	88.1% to 91.0%	91.1% to 93.3%	93.4% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.93	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.13	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
SETSS	2.50	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.26	2.27 or higher			
ELL	2.72	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.27	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	2.12	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.38	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.56	2.57 or higher			
SETSS	3.09	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.54	2.55 or higher			
ELL	3.38	2.49 or lower	2.50 to 2.68	2.69 to 2.83	2.84 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.09	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.10	2.11 or higher			
ELL Progress	71.0%	37.8% or lower	37.9% to 47.8%	47.9% to 55.3%	55.4% or higher			

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	98.2%	82.7% or lower	82.8% to 87.1%	87.2% to 90.4%	90.5% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.12	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.21	0.22 or higher			