

# THE CITY RECORD.

## OFFICIAL JOURNAL.

VOL. X.

NEW YORK, SATURDAY, MARCH 4, 1882.

NUMBER 2,660.



### COMMISSIONERS OF THE SINKING FUND.

*Abstract of the Proceedings of the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund, at the meeting held February 28, 1882.*

Present—William R. Grace, Mayor; Frederick Smyth, Recorder; Allan Campbell, Comptroller; J. Nelson Tappan, Chamberlain; and John McClave, Chairman Finance Committee Board of Aldermen.

The minutes of the last meeting were read and approved.

The Comptroller submitted the following reports, viz.:

#### I.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT—COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, }  
February 28, 1882.

*To the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund:*

GENTLEMEN—I submit herewith a resolution of the Board of Aldermen, approved by the Mayor, February 14, 1882, establishing a ferry from a point near the foot of Liberty street, North river, to and from Communipaw, New Jersey, in compliance with a request embodied in a resolution of this Board, adopted July 16, 1881.

A resolution establishing the said ferry was a prerequisite to the sale of a lease of the ferry franchise by the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund.

A resolution to authorize such sale is now presented for your adoption.

The resolution of the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund, requesting the Board of Aldermen to establish said ferry, remained unacted upon for a long period, and was finally referred to the Committee on Finance, by whom a report was presented at a meeting of the Board of Aldermen, held January 31, 1882, containing a full history of the legal proceedings which have been pending for several years past against the New Jersey Central Railway Company, for unlawfully operating said ferry.

The New Jersey Central Railway Company have agreed to a settlement with the city for the past use and occupancy of the ferry foot of Liberty street, upon a discontinuance of the pending suit, and also to bid at a public sale of a lease of the ferry franchise, the upset price fixed for the annual rent.

The yearly rental value of the franchise of the ferry in question, on a lease for nine years, has been appraised at \$5,000.

A portion of the wharf property belonging to the city and occupied by the said railway company for ferry purposes, but which has not been leased with adjoining wharf property to that company by the Department of Docks, has been valued at a yearly rental of \$500, as valued and described in a communication from that Department, dated October 1, 1881.

Resolutions are therefore submitted to authorize the sale of the ferry franchise and wharf property for such term of lease, at such minimum yearly rentals, and requesting the Counsel to the Corporation to prepare a lease accordingly, containing the usual covenants and such conditions as are required by law.

Respectfully,

ALLAN CAMPBELL, Comptroller.

Resolved, That, pursuant to chapter 498, Laws of 1880, the Comptroller is hereby authorized and directed to advertise and sell at public auction, to the highest bidder, the franchise of or right to run a ferry from a point at or near the foot of Liberty street, North river, to and from Communipaw, or to some street or avenue contiguous or adjacent thereto, as the purchaser of the franchise may elect, along with such wharf property belonging to the city now used and required for ferry purposes, but not leased by the Department of Docks, for the term of nine years from May 1, 1882.

Resolved, That the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund do hereby fix the minimum yearly rental value of the franchise of said ferry at \$5,000, and the minimum yearly rental value of the wharf property required for said ferry and belonging to the city, and to be leased along with the ferry franchise, at the sum of \$500, as described and valued by the Commissioners of Docks, dated October 1, 1881.

Resolved, That the Counsel to the Corporation be requested to prepare a lease of such ferry franchise and wharf property when the same shall be sold, according to the requirements of law and the ordinances of the Common Council relating to the leasing of franchises and wharf property required for ferry purposes.

Report accepted, and, on motion, the resolutions were adopted.

Resolution of the Common Council submitted with the report, viz.:

#### IN COMMON COUNCIL.

Resolved, That a ferry be and is hereby established to run from a point at or near the foot of Liberty street, North river, in the City of New York, to and from Communipaw, or to some street or avenue contiguous or adjacent thereto, as the purchaser of the franchise may elect, in Hudson County, State of New Jersey, and the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund are hereby authorized and directed to sell, at public auction, to the highest bidder or bidders, as provided by law, the right to operate the ferry so established, on such terms and conditions and subject to such restrictions and regulations as may be prescribed by said Commissioners.

Adopted by the Board of Aldermen, February 7, 1882, three-fourths of all the members elected voting in favor thereof, having been first advertised, as required by law.

Approved by the Mayor, February 14, 1882.

F. J. TWOMEY, Clerk of the Common Council.

#### II.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT—COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, }  
February 28, 1882.

*To the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund:*

GENTLEMEN—Herewith I present a statement showing the amount of moneys to be refunded from the City Treasury to purchasers for "Assessment Sales" made at various times, which have been vacated by orders of the Supreme Court.

These orders are attached to the vouchers on which the treasury warrants are drawn, respectively, according to the numbers thereof, as stated, amounting to \$27,046.59.

For this sum a warrant drawn on the Sinking Fund for the Redemption of the City Debt, into which the moneys received from said "Assessment Sales" have been transferred under section 3 of chapter 383 of the Laws of 1878, is now required, in order to reimburse the City Treasury, and a resolution to authorize such warrant is herewith submitted.

Respectfully,

ALLAN CAMPBELL, Comptroller.

Resolved, That a warrant for twenty-seven thousand and forty-six dollars and fifty-nine cents (\$27,046.59), payable from the Sinking Fund for Redemption of the City Debt, be drawn in favor of the Chamberlain, for deposit in the City Treasury to credit of "Assessment Sales—Moneys Refunded," to reimburse that account for this amount of assessments included in purchase money refunded by City Treasury warrants, as per statement herewith—the sales having been vacated under decisions of the Supreme Court.

Report accepted, and, on motion, the resolution was adopted.

[Statement of Vacated Assessment Sales placed on file.]

#### III.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT—COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, }  
February 28, 1882.

*To the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund:*

GENTLEMEN—Herewith I present the petition of Mary A. Miller, for a confirmatory deed of parts of lots sold by the Corporation at a public sale, held April 8, 1852, designated as lots Nos. 47 and 48 on the map of the sale.

The sale was regular, and the terms, so far as the payment of ten per cent. of the purchase price required to be paid at the time of the sale, were complied with by the purchasers, but on account of some question of title involving litigation a deed was not executed and delivered to the purchasers until December 31, 1869, when, under a decree of the Supreme Court, the balance of the purchase money was paid, with interest in full, from date of the decree, and the amount deposited in the City Treasury to the credit of the Sinking Fund for the Redemption of the City Debt.

A confirmatory deed is now asked, because, as alleged, the signature of the then Mayor of the city was omitted on the original deed of the property from the Corporation.

Respectfully,

ALLAN CAMPBELL, Comptroller.

Resolved, That the petition of Mary A. Miller, for a confirmatory deed of parts of two lots of land sold by the Corporation, April 8, 1852, designated as lots Nos. 47 and 48 on the map of the sale, situated on the southerly side of Seventy-sixth street, between Madison and Fourth avenues, and more particularly described in said petition, be and the same is hereby granted and referred to the Counsel to the Corporation to prepare such a deed as the facts in the case may warrant and require, and that the Mayor and Clerk of the Common Council be authorized and directed to execute such deed when so prepared and approved by him, and that the Comptroller be directed to deliver the same, when so executed and recorded in his office, to said petitioner or her attorney.

Report accepted, and, on motion, the resolution was adopted.

#### IV.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT—COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, }  
February 28, 1882.

*To the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund:*

GENTLEMEN—Herewith I present an application from A. E. M. Purdy, M. D., late President of the Medical Society of the County of New York, requesting the payment to him of the sum of \$250, being one-half of the fines imposed upon the following persons:

Edward B. Lighthill, November 28, 1881.....	\$100
William H. McNair, December 5, 1881.....	50
David Dundas, December 7, 1881.....	50
Henry Dyer, December 15, 1881.....	150
John W. Grindle, December 21, 1881.....	150

Total ..... \$500

—for violation of the provisions of chapter 513 of the Laws of 1880, entitled "An act to regulate the licensing of Physicians and Surgeons."

It appears by the certificates of the District Attorney and Clerks of the Courts, that Dr. Purdy was the complainant in these cases, and the books of the Finance Department show that the amount of the fines has been deposited in the City Treasury to the credit of the Sinking Fund for the Payment of Interest on the City Debt.

A resolution is submitted to authorize the payment of the amount due to Dr. Purdy, pursuant to section 3 of said act, which provides that one-half the fines imposed under it "shall be paid to the person or corporation making the complaint."

Respectfully,

ALLAN CAMPBELL, Comptroller.

Resolved, That a warrant, payable from the Sinking Fund for the Payment of Interest on the City Debt, be drawn in favor of A. E. M. Purdy, M. D., late President of the Medical Society of the County of New York, for the sum of two hundred and fifty (\$250) dollars, being the one-half of the amount of fines imposed on and collected, as stated in the Comptroller's report, pursuant to chapter 513 of the Laws of 1880.

Report accepted, and, on motion, the resolution was adopted.

#### V.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT—COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE, }  
February 28, 1882.

*To the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund:*

GENTLEMEN—The Comptroller, to whom was referred a communication from the Commissioners of Docks, requesting the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund to rescind and annul certain resolutions heretofore adopted authorizing the issue of grants of land under water, which have not been executed or delivered, respectfully

#### REPORTS:

That, at a meeting held on August 18, 1871, the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund passed a resolution declaring that "no further grants of land under water be made, executed, or delivered, until further order of the Board."

In pursuance of that resolution the issue of grants of lands under water have not been authorized by the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund since it was passed, and no grants, the issue of which had been previously authorized, have been "executed or delivered."

The resolutions authorizing their issue are considered "null and void and of no effect," and no legal claim to the grants now exists.

The evident intention of the Legislature in passing the law in 1871, establishing the Department of Docks, was to change the system and policy of the city, previously pursued, in regard to the issue of grants of lands under water, and the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund have, therefore, refused all applications for them.

For the protection of the interests of the city, and to secure the Commissioners of Docks against any possible interference in the execution of the plans for the improvement of the water-front, under and pursuant to the provisions of chapter 574 of the Laws of 1871, I recommend that this Board now pass a resolution specifically rescinding all resolutions authorizing the issue of grants of lands under water which have not been executed.

For this purpose I submit a resolution for adoption.

Respectfully,

ALLAN CAMPBELL, Comptroller.

Whereas, The Commissioners of the Sinking Fund have, at sundry times, adopted resolutions, authorizing the Comptroller to issue grants of lands under water on the shores of Manhattan Island, on the Harlem, North and East rivers, which grants have not been executed or issued; and

Whereas, The Commissioners of the Sinking Fund have received a communication from the Commissioners of Docks, requesting them to rescind such resolutions; and

Whereas, For the protection of the interests of the city in carrying out the provisions of the act of 1871, establishing the Department of Docks for the purpose of improving the city water-front, it is deemed advisable to rescind all such resolutions heretofore adopted, under and pursuant to which grants of lands under water have not been executed and issued; therefore

Resolved, That the following resolutions be and the same are hereby rescinded, to wit:

A resolution adopted March 17, 1851, authorizing the issue of a grant to Dennis Harris o



lands under water, between One Hundred and Fifty-eighth and One Hundred and Sixty-second streets, North river, at \$3 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted December 13, 1852, authorizing the issue of a grant to Shepherd Knapp of lands under water, between One Hundred and Sixty-second and One Hundred and Sixty-fourth streets, North river, at \$3 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted November 8, 1858, authorizing the issue of a grant to Plume & Lamont of lands under water, from One Hundred and Sixtieth to One Hundred and Sixty-second street, North river, at \$5 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted December 27, 1865, authorizing the issue of a grant to William F. Beekman and others of lands under water, between Sixty-first and Sixty-fourth streets, East river, at \$30 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted December 27, 1865, authorizing the issue of a grant to C. & A. C. Poillon of lands under water, between Forty-seventh and Forty-eighth streets, East river, at \$100 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted December 27, 1865, authorizing the issue of a grant to Norman Reynolds of lands under water, between Twenty-seventh and Twenty-eighth streets, North river, at \$100 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted December 27, 1865, authorizing the issue of a grant to Bartholome Blanco of lands under water, between One Hundred and Fifty-eighth and One Hundred and Sixty-first streets, North river, at \$50 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted December 27, 1865, authorizing the issue of a grant to George W. Pell of lands under water, between Seventy-ninth and Eightieth streets, North river, at \$50 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted December 27, 1865, authorizing the issue of a grant to George J. Byrd of lands under water, between Eighty-third and Eighty-fourth streets, Twelfth avenue, North river, at \$50 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted December 27, 1865, authorizing the issue of a grant to trustees of Mrs. Laura A. Delano, between Fifty-fifth and Fifty-seventh streets, North river, of lands under water, at \$200 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted December 27, 1865, authorizing the issue of a grant to Mrs. E. A. Porier of lands under water, between One Hundred and Fifteenth and One Hundred and Sixteenth streets, North river, at \$50 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted December 27, 1865, authorizing the issue of a grant to Gustavus A. Sacchi of lands under water, between Two Hundred and Eleventh and Two Hundred and Twelfth streets, Harlem river, at \$50 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted March 19, 1867, authorizing the issue of a grant to Edward Green, executor of Garret Green, of lands under water, at Horatio and Gansevoort streets (extension), North river, at \$10 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 21, 1867, authorizing the issue of a grant to Harriet Washburn of lands under water, on the north side of Thirty-eighth street, East river, at \$150 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 21, 1867, authorizing the issue of a grant to Margaret V. Garrigus of lands under water, on the south side of Thirty-ninth street, East river, at \$150 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 21, 1867, authorizing the issue of a grant to Peter Goelet of lands under water, on south side of Eighty-first street and between Eighty-first and Eighty-second streets, East river, at \$75 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 21, 1867, authorizing the issue of a grant to J. A. Voissier of lands under water, between Forty-fifth and Forty-sixth streets, East river, at \$100 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 21, 1867, authorizing the issue of a grant to J. J. Astor, Jr., and others, of lands under water, between Forty-ninth and Fifty-first streets, North river, at \$75 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 21, 1867, authorizing the issue of a grant to David McMasters of lands under water, between Fifty-second and Fifty-third streets, North river, at \$75 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted June 7, 1867, authorizing the issue of a grant to James H. McLean and others of lands under water at Kingsbridge, Harlem river, and Spuyten Duyvil creek, at 50 cents per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted June 7, 1867, authorizing the issue of a grant to Thomas Faye of lands under water, at Eighth avenue, One Hundred and Fifty-first and One Hundred and Fifty-third streets, running into creek, Harlem river, at \$5 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted January 21, 1868, authorizing the issue of a grant to the New York Protestant Episcopal Public School of lands under water, between Seventy-sixth and Seventy-ninth streets, East river, at \$50 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted March 2, 1868, authorizing the issue of a grant to Aaron Jacobs of lands under water, between Fifty-seventh and Fifty-eighth streets, East river, at \$50 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted March 2, 1868, authorizing the issue of a grant to Francis McCabe of lands under water, at Eighty-sixth street, East river, at \$50 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted March 2, 1868, authorizing the issue of a grant to E. S. Higgins & Co. of lands under water, between Forty-third and Forty-fourth streets, North river, at \$125 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted March 2, 1868, authorizing the issue of a grant to James B. Johnson of lands under water, between One Hundred and Twenty-fifth and One Hundred and Twenty-sixth streets (extension), Harlem river, at \$5 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 14, 1869, authorizing the issue of a grant to F. W. Coghill of lands under water, between One Hundred and Forty-sixth and One Hundred and Forty-seventh streets, North river, at \$10 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 14, 1869, authorizing the issue of a grant to William A. Wheelock of lands under water, between One Hundred and Fifty-seventh and One Hundred and Fifty-ninth streets, North river, at \$10 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 14, 1869, authorizing the issue of a grant to M. H. Schieffelin of lands under water, between Ninety-first and Ninety-third streets, North river, at \$40 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted July 1, 1869, authorizing the issue of a grant to the executors of Isaac Dyckman of lands under water, between One Hundred and Ninety-sixth and Two Hundred and Eleventh streets, Harlem river, at \$1 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 27, 1870, authorizing the issue of a grant to Leander Buck and D. McMasters of lands under water, between Fifty-second and Fifty-third streets, North river, at \$100 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 1, 1871, authorizing the issue of a grant to Thomas Smith of lands under water, on the north side of One Hundred and Thirty-fourth street, ninety feet west of Fourth avenue, Harlem river, at \$1 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 11, 1871, authorizing the issue of a grant to George D. Post of lands under water, between One Hundred and Twentieth and One Hundred and Twenty-ninth streets, North river, at \$15 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 11, 1871, authorizing the issue of a grant to Peter Cooper of lands under water, between One Hundred and Thirteenth and One Hundred and Fourteenth streets, North river, at \$20 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 18, 1871, authorizing the issue of a grant to Shepherd F. Knapp of lands under water, between One Hundred and Fifty-seventh and One Hundred and Fifty-eighth streets, North river, at \$5 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 18, 1871, authorizing the issue of a grant to Shepherd Knapp of lands under water, north of and near One Hundred and Sixty-first street, North river, at \$5 per running foot, front.

A resolution adopted May 18, 1881, authorizing the issue of a grant to Isaac Hartman and John Martin, Jr., of lands under water, between One Hundred and Twenty-first and One Hundred and Twenty-second streets, Harlem river, at \$50 per running foot, front.

Resolved, That all resolutions heretofore adopted by the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund authorizing the Comptroller to issue grants of lands under water which have not been executed or issued, be and the same are hereby rescinded, and declared to be "null and void and of no effect."

Report accepted, and, on motion, the resolutions were adopted.

A communication was received from "The Society of the New York Hospital," showing that owing to the growth of the city, and the enormous increase of emergency cases requiring immediate hospital treatment, the building No. 160 Chambers street (now used as a hospital for the gratuitous treatment of cases arising from accidents or acute or sudden illness) has become wholly inadequate for the service, and requesting that the premises northeast corner of White and Elm streets, known as the "Armory," now unoccupied, may be substituted for the purposes mentioned, in place of the premises No. 160 Chambers street, now occupied by said hospital.

On motion, the communication was referred to the Comptroller.

W. H. DIKEMAN, Secretary.

## HEALTH DEPARTMENT.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK, {  
NEW YORK, February 21, 1882.

The Board met this day.

### Reports.

From the Sanitary Superintendent: On operations of the Sanitary Bureau; on contagious diseases; on slaughter-houses; on work performed by the Sanitary Company of Police; on work performed by the Milk Inspectors; weekly report from Riverside Hospital; on work performed by the Meat Inspectors; on work of the Night Medical Service for the month of January; on the relation of the death-rate at Riverside Hospital to vaccination; on applications for permits; on Shiloh Lodging-house; on condition of certain streets, etc.; on street pavements, etc.; on condition of premises 150 Broadway and 247 Seventh avenue; on condition of Public School building at 259 West Fortieth street; on applications for relief from certain orders.

From the Attorney and Counsel: Weekly letter.

From the Deputy Register of Records: Weekly letter; weekly abstract of marriages; weekly abstract of births; weekly abstract of still-births; weekly mortuary statement; weekly abstract of deaths from contagious diseases.

### Communications from other Departments.

From the Department of Finance: Comptroller's weekly letter.

From the Department of Public Works: in respect to receiving-basins corner of Park and Mulberry streets and Rivington and Essex streets.

From the Department of Public Works: in respect to sanitary condition of Essex Market.

From the Police Department: in respect to hot air furnace at premises 939 Fourth avenue.

### Miscellaneous Communications.

From R. H. Macy & Co.: in respect to compliance with order on premises corner Fourteenth street and Sixth avenue.

### Bills Audited.

O. D. Case's Sons.....	\$24 20	Gustave E. Stechert.....	\$59 15
E. D. Young.....	312 50	L. H. Landy.....	102 00
P. H. Duffy & Sons.....	36 00	John J. Hayes.....	80 56
J. H. Vail & Co.....	7 62	W. B. Chichester.....	6 00
White & Co.....	40 39	Fairbanks & Co.....	2 10

### Permits Granted.

To remove manure and keep chickens at 57 Thomas street.  
To clean tripe and pigs' feet at 616 West Forty-eighth street.  
To slaughter sheep at 629 West Forty-seventh street.  
" " 621 West Forty-seventh street.

### Resolutions.

The bids for erection of a hospital for contagious diseases were opened as follows:

P. K. Horgan, \$68,850, and \$4 per cubic yard for rock blasting.

B. Gallagher, \$67,500, and \$2.95 per cubic yard for rock blasting.

Mahoney Brothers, \$66,900, and \$2 per cubic yard for rock blasting.

Resolved, That the contract for building a hospital for contagious diseases on North Brothers Island be and is hereby awarded to Mahoney Brothers for the sum of sixty-six thousand nine hundred dollars (\$66,900), and two dollars per cubic yard for rock blasting, they being the lowest bidders, and that the President be and is hereby authorized to execute the contract on the approval of the sureties by the Comptroller, the work to commence within after the due execution of such contract.

Resolved, That the checks payable to the order of the Comptroller, accompanying bids for erection of a hospital for contagious diseases, be forwarded to the Comptroller.

Resolved, That the proposal of Mahoney Brothers for building a hospital for contagious diseases on North Brothers Island, they being the lowest bidders, be forwarded to the Comptroller for approval of sureties.

Resolved, That upon the report of the Sanitary Superintendent, to the effect that the apparatus of the following-named companies and persons to empty privy vaults, sinks, and cesspools, meets the requirements of the Board of Health, this Board respectfully recommends to his Honor the Mayor that licenses as scavengers be granted for one year: Samuel Rowlinson, Francis Drube.

Resolved, That copies of the reports of Sanitary Inspectors Murtha and Tracy on the condition of certain streets be forwarded to the Department of Street Cleaning for the necessary action.

Resolved, That a copy of the report of Sanitary Inspector Ewing on condition of Jersey street be forwarded to the Department of Street Cleaning.

Resolved, That copies of the following reports of Sanitary Inspectors on the condition of street pavements, etc., be forwarded to the Department of Public Works for the necessary action:

Street sewer in East One Hundred and Thirteenth street, between Second and Third avenues.

Receiving-basin, northeast corner Mott and Bayard streets.

Unpaved street, East One Hundred and Thirteenth street, between Second and Third avenues.

Street pavement at Fourth avenue and East Forty-ninth street.

Resolved, That copies of the reports of Sanitary Inspectors Tracy and Lockwood on condition of premises 150 Broadway and 247 Seventh avenue, be forwarded to the Fire Department for the necessary action.

Resolved, That a copy of the report of Sanitary Inspector Doty upon the condition of Public School building at 259 West Fortieth street, be forwarded to the Board of Education for the necessary action.

Resolved, That the following orders be enforced:

No. 782, on premises 406 West Twenty-ninth street.

No. 1055, on premises 310 East Fifty-fourth street.

Resolved, That Order 956, on premises 1 East Thirtieth street, be extended to April 15, 1882.

Resolved, That the portion of Order No. 1345, on premises 227 Tenth avenue, which refers to waste-pipe of bath-tub and water-closet on second floor of extension, be enforced without delay and remainder of order be extended to May 1, 1882.

Resolved, That the application of F. S. Morrison for extension of time on Order No. 1079, premises 445 West Twenty-sixth street, be and is hereby denied.

Resolved, That Order No. 20867 (1881), premises 435 West Twenty-first street, be extended to May 1, 1882, provided the owner sign a stipulation to do the work at that time.

Resolved, That the portion of Order 18471 (1881), premises 265 West Twentieth street, requiring the ventilation of water-closet, be enforced.

Resolved, That a copy of the report of Sergeant Lefferts, in respect to hot air furnace at premises 939 Fourth avenue, be forwarded to the Fire Department for the necessary action.

Resolved, That the Fire Department be and is hereby respectfully requested to cause an inspection to be made of Riverside Hospital, Blackwell's Island, and to suggest and supply what additional facilities may be needed for the prevention and extinguishing of fire.

Resolved, That leave of absence of one week from February 20 be and is hereby granted to Sanitary Inspector S. F. Morris.

Resolved, That the Attorney be and is hereby authorized and directed to suspend suit Nos. 1935 and 1936, against owner of premises No. 333 East Twenty-third street, and the same referred to the Sanitary Superintendent for examination and report.

Resolved, That Order 1654, on premises No. 2240 Third avenue, be and is hereby extended fifteen days.

Resolved, That the Register of Records be and is hereby authorized and directed to register the following birth returns:

Nathan David Reich, August 9, 1881.

Hannah Rauch, May 28, 1881.

Michael Connolly, October 21, 1881.

Nicholas Walsh, October 20, 1881.

William H. Lynch, December 11, 1881.

David McGrody, December 21, 1881.

Resolved, That Order 12053 (series of 1881), on premises 133 West Thirty-fifth street, be and is hereby rescinded.

Resolved, That Order 22929, on premises northwest corner Fifty-seventh street and First avenue, be and is hereby extended to May 1, 1882.

Whereas, Several cases of typhus fever have been recently discovered in lodging and tenement houses in this city; and

Whereas, It is of the first importance to check the spread of this disease; therefore

Resolved, That pursuant to the provisions of chapter 246, Laws of 1881, the Board of Apportionment be requested to appropriate the sum of \$1,200 for the employment of four Special Assistant Sanitary Inspectors for such temporary duty.

Resolved, That requisition be and is hereby made upon the Comptroller for the following sum of money which is required to enable the Board of Health to pay to the Board of Police the amount of the salaries of thirty Policemen detailed to the service of the Board of Health pursuant to the provisions of section 5, chapter 399, Laws of 1880, being one-twelfth part of the amount esti-



mated, levied, raised, and appropriated for the support and maintenance of the Sanitary Company of Police for the current year, to wit, thirty Patrolmen, \$3,000.

Resolved, That the pay-rolls of this Department for the month of February, 1882, when approved by the Finance Committee, shall be signed by the President and forwarded to the Comptroller for payment.

Resolved, That the Board of Estimate and Apportionment be and is hereby respectfully requested to transfer from the unexpended balance of the appropriation "Health Fund for 1877, 1879, 1880 and 1881," the same being in excess of the amount required for the purposes and objects thereof, the sum of \$5,990.65, entitled as follows:

Rebuilding Small-pox Hospital, 1877	\$161 01
Salaries, 1879	11 23
Law Expenses, 1879	1,279 31
Disinfection, 1879	1,535 40
Contingent Expenses, 1879	26 79
Pay to Board of Police, 1879	430 34
Fund for Small-pox Hospital, etc., 1879	45 90
Tenement House Fund, 1879	15 65
Fund for Rebuilding Reception Hospital, etc., 1879	50 00
Health Fund for Salaries for an Extra Corps, etc., 1879	4 14
Salaries, 1880	163 35
Law Expenses, 1880	60
Disinfection, 1880	224 78
Contingent Expenses, 1880	180 28
Fund for Small-pox Hospital, etc., 1880	324 46
Tenement House Fund, 1880	176 62
Salaries, 1881	201 53
Disinfection, 1881	350 19
Contingent expenses, 1881	51 11
Hospitals Care of Contagious Diseases, 1881	11 55
Tenement House Fund, 1881	641 43
Transportation, etc., 1881	14 48
Prevention of Dangers, etc., 1881	90 44
	5,990 65

—to the appropriation for the year 1881, "Printing, Stationery, and Blank-books," which is insufficient for the purpose thereof.

An application to change the certificate of death of Carl Eggerth, who died January 26, 1881, was received, and, on motion, it was denied.

An application from J. M. Jarvis to extend order on premises 165 West One Hundred and Twenty-eighth street, was received and referred to the Sanitary Superintendent.

An application from Coroner T. C. Knox, to substitute the name of Samuel Bartholemew, died October 18, 1881, in place of that of an unknown man, was received and referred to the Attorney.

*Action of the Board on Tenement-house Plans.*

Resolved, That the following plans, for light and ventilation of tenement-houses, be and are hereby approved upon the conditions specified in the several permits granted:

Plan No. 1351, for two six-story tenements, each 37 feet 6 inches by 86 feet, on lots 37 feet 6 inches by 100 feet 5 inches, on the north side of Forty-third street, 275 feet east of Eighth avenue, each to be occupied by twelve families.

Plan No. 1353, for two four-story tenements, one 25 feet by 65 feet, on a lot 25 feet by 79 feet, on the north side of Lawrence street, 150 feet west of Ninth avenue; and one 25 feet by 40 feet, on a lot 25 feet by 51 feet, on the south side of One Hundred and Twenty-seventh street, 150 feet west of Ninth avenue, each house to be occupied by eight families.

Plan No. 1354, for one four-story tenement, on the northwest corner of Greenwich and Beach streets, 25 feet by 79 feet 10 inches, on a lot of the same dimensions, to be altered and occupied by twelve families.

Plan No. 1355, for two four-story tenements, one 27 feet by 39 feet 6 inches, and the other 16 feet by 39 feet 6 inches, on lots of the same width respectively and 50 feet deep, on the north side of Sixtieth street, 77 feet west of Boulevard, to be occupied by eight and four families respectively.

Plan No. 1358, for three four-story tenements, each 25 feet by 60 feet, on lots 25 feet by 100 feet, on the north side of Seventy-eighth street, beginning 250 feet east of First avenue, each to be occupied by eight families.

Plan No. 1359, for two four-story tenements, each 25 feet by 65 feet, on lots 25 feet by 100 feet, on the north side of Twenty-seventh street, beginning 300 feet east of Seventh avenue, each to be occupied by four families.

Plan No. 1360, for two four-story tenements, each 80 feet deep and 20 and 30 feet wide respectively, on lots of the same width and 100 feet deep, on the north side of One Hundred and Twenty-fifth street, 100 feet west of Eighth avenue, to be occupied by four and eight families respectively.

Plan No. 1362, for two four-story tenements, each 20 feet by 79 feet 4 inches, on lots 20 feet by 100 feet 8 inches, on the north side of Eighty-sixth street, beginning 105 feet east of Fourth avenue, each to be occupied by four families.

Plan No. 1363, for one four-story tenement, 27 feet 8 inches by 60 feet, with an extension 15 feet deep, on a lot 27 feet 8 inches by 100 feet, on the north side of Eighty-second street, 225 feet west of First avenue, to be occupied by eight families.

Plan No. 1364, for one five-story tenement of irregular dimensions, on a lot of irregular dimensions, at Nos. 39 and 41 Rose street, to be occupied by eight families.

*Plans Disapproved.*

Resolved, That Plans Nos. 1356 and 1357, for two four-story tenements, proposed to be built at the southeast corner of Third avenue and One Hundred and Second street, be and are hereby disapproved.

The weekly report of inspections of tenement-houses in course of construction were received and ordered on file.

*Plumbing and Drainage of New Houses.*

Resolved, That plans for the plumbing and drainage of new houses be and are hereby approved as follows:

Plan No. 160, one tenement at No. 537 West Fifty-fourth street, conditionally.

Plan No. 161, four tenements, south side of Sixth street, —feet West of Second avenue, conditionally.

Plan No. 164, two tenements, north side of Sixtieth street, 77 feet west of Western Boulevard.

Plan No. 165, one tenement, northeast corner of West and Cortlandt streets, conditionally.

Plan No. 166, two tenements, north side of One Hundred and Twenty-fifth street, 100 feet west of Eighth avenue.

Plan No. 167, one dwelling on the south side of Grand street, 21 feet east of South Fifth avenue.

Plan No. 170, two tenements, one north side of Lawrence street and one south side of One Hundred and Twenty-seventh street, each 150 feet west of Ninth avenue.

Plan No. 171, four tenements, south side of Lawrence street, 150 feet west of Ninth avenue.

Plan No. 172, one tenement, south side of Fifty-ninth street, —feet east of Broadway, conditionally; and

Plan No. 173, one dwelling, north side of One Hundred and Forty-third street, 350 feet west of Third avenue.

The weekly reports of Assistant Sanitary Engineers on the plumbing and drainage of houses in course of construction were received and ordered on file.

*Sanitary Bureau.*

The following is a record of the work performed in the Sanitary Bureau for the week ending February 18, 1882:

The total number of inspections made by the Sanitary and Assistant Sanitary Inspectors was 1,796, as follows, viz.: 2 public buildings, 557 tenement-houses, 124 private dwellings, 93 other dwellings, 8 manufactories and workshops, 19 stores and warehouses, 24 stables, 45 slaughter-houses, 1 cattle yard, 6 lodging-houses, 3 public sewers, 1 ash and garbage dump, 1 dangerous building, 48 sunken and vacant lots, 2 roadways, 1 dye establishment, 103 yards, courts, and areas, 140 cellars and basements, 236 waste-pipes and drains, 314 privies and water-closets, 46 streets, gutters, and sidewalks, 3 dangerous stairways, 4 smoky chimneys, 7 cesspools, and 8 other nuisances.

The number of reports thereon received from the Sanitary and Assistant Sanitary Inspectors was 476.

During the past week 172 complaints were received from citizens and referred to the Sanitary and Assistant Sanitary Inspectors for investigation and report.

Permits were issued to the consignees of 41 vessels to discharge cargoes, on vouchers from the Health Officer of the Port.

77 permits were granted scavengers to empty, clean, and disinfect privy sinks.

The Disinfecting Corps have visited 358 premises where contagious diseases have occurred, and have disinfected and fumigated 328 houses, 328 privy sinks, together with clothing, bedding, etc.

41 cases of contagious disease were removed to hospital by the Ambulance Corps.

*Bureau of Vital Statistics.*

The certificates of 512 births, 54 still-births, 276 marriages, and 892 deaths, reported to have taken place in this city, were received by this Bureau during the week ending Saturday, February 18, 1882; this shows an increase of 40 births, 4 still-births, 70 marriages, and 53 deaths, when compared with the number received during the preceding week, but when compared with the corresponding week of the year 1881, there was a decrease of 48 births, and an increase of 9 still-births, 116 marriages, and 209 deaths. Compared with the mortality reported during the preceding week, the deaths from small-pox decreased 4; measles 9; diphtheria, 7; croup, 2; erysipelas, 1; puerperal diseases, 6; rheumatism and gout, 3; cancer, 12; aneurism, 1; convulsions, 9; all diseases of the brain and nervous system, 3; suicide, 2; while the deaths from scarlatina increased 25; whooping cough, 1; typhoid fever, 1; cerebro-spinal fever, 7; malarial fevers, 6; diarrhoeal diseases, 10; alcoholism, 5; phthisis pulmonalis, 3; bronchitis, 10; pneumonia, 11; heart diseases, 5; hydrocephalus and tubercular meningitis, 3; meningitis and encephalitis, 5; apoplexy, 6; cirrhosis and hepatitis, 3; gastritis, enteritis, and peritonitis, 13; Bright's disease and nephritis, 1; cyanosis and atelectasis, 4; premature and preterm births, 4; surgical operations, 1, and drowning, 1. The number of deaths from typhus fever, inanition and marasmus, tabes-mesenterica, and scrofula, was the same in the two successive weeks.

*Deaths from the principal Zymotic Diseases, Phthisis Pulmonalis, Pneumonia, Bronchitis, and Children under five years of age, reported during the week and compared with the three preceding weeks.*

WEEK ENDING—	Small-Pox.	Measles.	Scarlatina.	Diphtheria.	Membranous Croup.	Whooping Cough.	Typhus Fever.	Typhoid Fever.	Cerebro-Spinal Fever.	Remittent, Intermittent, Typho-Malarial, Colic, and Simple Continued Fevers.	Diarrhoeal Diseases.	Phthisis Pulmonalis.	Pneumonia.	Bronchitis.	Diseases of the Nervous System.	Diseases of the Urinary System.	DEATHS OF CHILDREN.		
																	Under 1 year of age.	Under 2 years of age.	Under 5 years of age.
January 28, 1882	11	36	115	33	32	8	..	5	6	5	7	102	82	42	57	36	150	238	387
February 4, "	20	35	101	57	24	5	..	5	2	9	11	96	81	40	59	40	161	243	394
" 11, "	21	46	91	47	19	12	1	4	3	2	7	117	92	33	68	52	173	266	406
" 18, "	17	37	116	70	17	13	1	5	10	8	17	120	103	43	65	52	198	297	421
Total.....	69	154	423	207	92	38	2	19	21	24	42	435	358	158	249	180	682	1044	1608

The ages of 198 of the persons who died during the week were reported to be under one year, 297 under two years, 421 under five years, and 57 seventy years and over, which shows that the deaths of children under five years of age was 15 more than the number reported during last week, and represent 47.20 per cent. of the total weekly mortality.

*Deaths reported from Small-pox, Measles, Scarlatina, Diphtheria, Membranous Croup, Whooping Cough, Typhus, Typhoid, Cerebro-Spinal, and Malarial Fevers, in Institutions, Tenement and other Dwellings, with Average Age, Floor, and Ward where the Death occurred, and the Hour of Death, for the week ending February 18, 1882.*

DISEASE.	In Houses containing 3 Families and under.	In Houses containing over 3 Families.	Canal Boats.	Hotels and Boarding-houses.	Institutions.	In Streets, Rivers, etc.	Basement.	FLOOR.								AVERAGE AGE.		
								First.	Second.	Third.	Fourth.	Fifth.	Sixth.	Top.	Not Stated.	Years.	Months.	Days.
Small-pox.....	1	4	..	..	..	..	..	1	3	1	..	..	..	..	..	9	4	11
Measles.....	3	23	..	..	11	..	..	8	7	7	2	2	..	..	..	1	10	1
Scarlatina.....	25	87	..	1	3	..	3	29	28	34	15	4	..	..	..	4	7	11
Diphtheria.....	16	23	..	1	..	..	..	9	16	8	6	1	..	..	..	3	5	23
Membranous Croup.	4	12	..	..	1	..	..	2	6	5	2	1	..	..	..	3	2	28
Whooping Cough...	..	12	..	..	1	..	..	3	2	3	2	2	..	..	..	1	4	28
Typhus Fever.....	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	29	..	..
Typhoid Fever.....	2	2	..	..	1	..	..	1	2	1	..	..	..	..	..	29	9	14
Cerebro-Spinal Fever	3	5	..	1	1	..	..	2	4	2	1	..	..	..	..	2	1	2
Malarial Fevers....	3	4	..	..	1	..	..	1	4	..	2	..	..	..	..	25	11	24

DISEASE.	WARDS.																			TOTAL DEATHS.
	First.	Second.	Third.	Fourth.	Fifth.	Sixth.	Seventh.	Eighth.	Ninth.	Tenth.	Eleventh.	Twelfth.	Thirteenth.	Fourteenth.	Fifteenth.	Sixteenth.	Seventeenth.	Eighteenth.	Nineteenth.	
Small-pox.....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	2	..	..	..	..	..	12	2	..	17
Measles.....	..	..	..	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	3	..	5	..	1	4	10	2	1	37
Scarlatina.....	..	..	1	1	5	3	8	4	7	14	7	2	1	2	12	6	14	5	2	116
Diphtheria.....	1	..	1	1	..	..	2	1	1	7	2	2	..	1	2	3	4	1	5	40
Membranous Croup..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	1	1	..	6	4	..	2	17
Whooping Cough....	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	2	1	..	1	..	..	1	1	..	2	3	..	13
Typhus Fever.....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	1
Typhoid Fever.....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	1	1	5
Cerebro-Spinal Fever	1	..	..	..	2	1	..	1	2	1	..	..	..	..	..	2	..	..	..	10
Malarial Fevers.....	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2	..	..	..	1	2	1	1	1	1	..	8

*Hours at which Deaths Occurred.*

DISEASE.	A. M.												P. M.												TOTAL.	
	1 o'clock.	2 o'clock.	3 o'clock.	4 o'clock.	5 o'clock.	6 o'clock.	7 o'clock.	8 o'clock.	9 o'clock.	10 o'clock.	11 o'clock.	12 o'clock.	1 o'clock.	2 o'clock.	3 o'clock.	4 o'clock.	5 o'clock.	6 o'clock.	7 o'clock.	8 o'clock.	9 o'clock.	10 o'clock.	11 o'clock.	12 o'clock.		Not stated.
Small-pox .....	1	..	1	..	..	2	1	..	2	1	1	..	..	..	1	1	..	..	2	1	..	1	1	..	17	
Measles .....	..	..	1	3	..	5	1	2	1	2	1	3	1	2	3	..	..	4	1	1	2	..	4	..	37	
Scarlatina .....	5	6	9	6	6	3	5	4	3	9	5	3	5	5	3	3	4	3	4	4	3	7	4	5	2	116
Diphtheria .....	2	4	1	1	7	2	2	1	..	2	2	..	2	1	1	3	4	1	..	..	3	4	2	1	40	
Membranous Croup.	2	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	1	2	..	2	..	..	..	..	2	5	1	..	17	
Whooping Cough....	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	3	2	..	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	..	13	
Typhus Fever.....	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	
Typhoid Fever.....	..	2	..	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	5	
Cerebro-Spinal Fever	2	..	2	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	1	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	10	
Malarial Fevers.....	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	..	1	..	..	1	..	1	..	..	..	1	..	..	1	1	..	8	



**JOHN T. NAGLE, M. D.,** Deputy Register of Records.



Births \* reported during the week ending February 18, 1882.

TOTAL.	COLOR.		SEX.			NATIVITY OF PARENTS.									NAME OF CHILD.	
	White.	Colored.	Male.	Female.	Not stated.	Foreign.	Native.	Foreign Father only.	Foreign Mother only.	NATIVITY OF FATHER STATED ONLY		NATIVITY OF MOTHER STATED ONLY		Not stated.	Stated.	Not stated
										Native.	Foreign.	Native.	Foreign.			
512	508	4	256	216	..	259	143	76	23	2	2	5	2	..	359	153

Marriages \* reported during the week ending February 18, 1882.

TOTAL.	COLOR.		NATIVITY.						CONDITION.							
	White.	Colored.	Foreign.	Native.	Born at sea.	Not stated.			First marriage.	Second marriage.	Third marriage.	Fourth marriage.	Not stated.			
276	269	7	180	152	95	124	1	..	229	235	33	28	2	3	1	10

\* The returns of births, marriages, and still-births are incomplete.

Nativity of those who were Married, and the Parents of the Births and Still-Births, for the week ending February 18, 1882, and those who Died (actual mortality), week ending February 11, 1882.

NATIVITY OF DECEASED.	COUNTRY.	DEATHS.		BIRTHS.		MARRIAGES.		STILL-BIRTHS.	
		Nativity of Father.	Nativity of Mother.	Nativity of Father.	Nativity of Mother.	Nativity of Groom.	Nativity of Bride.	Nativity of Father.	Nativity of Mother.
5	Austria .....	24	21	7	4	6	3	..	..
3	British America .....	3	3	4	2	2	2	..	..
19	England .....	28	29	13	13	7	9	1	1
4	France .....	7	7	13	6	4	3	..	..
67	Germany .....	197	169	106	88	86	26	..	..
128	Ireland .....	261	262	75	74	24	25	11	14
10	Italy .....	34	32	13	11	31	29	1	9
3	Poland .....	7	7	11	10	2	2	1	..
5	Scotland .....	5	7	5	3	..	..	..	..
3	Switzerland .....	5	5	..	1	4	4	..	..
581	United States .....	215	218	168	224	95	124	17	23
3	Unknown or not stated .....	63	61	7	4	..	..	5	3
1	West Indies .....	2	3	..	..	..	..	..	..
12	Other countries .....	21	18	30	31	11	9	2	2

Still-Births reported during the week ending February 18, 1882.

TOTAL.	SEX.			COLOR.		NATIVITY OF						PERIOD OF UTERO-GESTATION.										
	Male.	Female.	Not stated.	White.	Colored.	FATHER.			MOTHER.			MONTH.										Unknown or not stated.
						Native.	Foreign.	Not stated.	Native.	Foreign.	Not stated.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
54	28	26	..	51	3	17	33	5	23	29	3	..	..	1	4	2	8	5	8	26	..	..

Deaths reported during the week ending February 18, 1882.

TOTAL.	PLACE OF DEATH.												RESIDENCE.			CONDITION.						
	Institutions.	Tenement-houses (four families or more.)	Houses containing three families or less.	Hotels and Boarding-houses.	In Rivers, Streets, Boats, etc.	Not stated.	FLOORS.							New York City.	Outside New York City.	Not stated. †	Single.	Married.	Widowed.	Not stated. †		
							Basement.	First.	Second.	Third.	Fourth.	Fifth.	Sixth.								Top.	Not stated.
892	196	464	210	12	9	..	11	167	222	168	87	29	2	..	..	890	2	..	87	196	87	522

\* Principally children and deaths in institutions.

Of the total number of deaths reported for the week 196 were in institutions, 464 in tenement-houses, 210 in houses containing three families or less, 12 in hotels and boarding-houses, 9 in rivers, streets, boats, etc.; 11 were on the basement floor, 167 on the first, 222 on the second, 168 on the third, 87 on the fourth, 29 on the fifth, 2 on the sixth. 890 were stated to be residents of New York City, and 2 non-residents; 87 were stated to be single, 196 married, 87 widowed, and the condition of 522 was not stated; these were children who had not attained a marriageable age.

The total number of burial permits issued during the week are as follows, viz.: City deaths, 892; still-births, 54; bodies in transitu, 18; of the total burial permits issued for city and still-births 81 were upon certificates received from the Coroners; 512 births; 276 marriages; 54 still-births; 892 deaths; 18 applications for transit permits were recorded, indexed, and tabulated. 77 searches of the registers of births, marriages, and deaths were made, and 7 transcripts of the birth record, 5 of marriage, and 51 of death were issued during the week.

The mean temperature for the week ending Feb. 18, 1882, was 42.1 degrees Fahr., the mean reading of the barometer was 30.131, the mean humidity was 74, saturation being 100, the number of miles traveled by the wind was 1,367, and the total amount of rain-fall was 0.63 inches depth of water, as reported by D. Draper, Ph. D., Director of the New York Meteorological Observatory, Central Park.

The disposition of 799 deaths and still-births, or 84.46 per cent. of the total number reported, was in the following 14 cemeteries: Bayside (Jewish), 19; Calvary (Roman Catholic), 324; City, pauper burial ground (undenominational), 94; Greenwood (undenominational), 65; Lutheran, (undenominational), 132; Cypress Hills (undenominational), 18; Evergreen (undenominational), 50; Woodlawn (undenominational), 29; St. Michael's (Protestant Episcopal), 16; Union (Methodist Protestant), 8; Holy Cross (Roman Catholic), 9; Machpelah, L. I. (Jewish), 6; St. Raymond's (Roman Catholic), 16; Washington (undenominational), 13.

The distribution of deaths (actual mortality) for the week ending Feb. 11, 1882, was in the following wards, viz.: First, 8; Second, 0; Third, 1; Fourth, 17; Fifth, 15; Sixth, 13; Seventh, 29; Eighth, 26; Ninth, 40; Tenth, 29; Eleventh, 43; Twelfth, 75; Thirteenth, 20; Fourteenth, 22; Fifteenth, 10; Sixteenth, 22; Seventeenth, 53; Eighteenth, 33; Nineteenth, 168; Twentieth, 70; Twenty-first, 40; Twenty-second, 73; Twenty-third, 20; Twenty-fourth, 15.

The actual mortality for the week ending Feb. 11, 1882, was 842; this is 163 more than the number that occurred during the corresponding week of the year 1881, and 299.6 more than the average of the corresponding weeks of the past five years, and represents an annual death-rate of 34.60 per 1,000 persons living, the population estimated at 1,265,354.

The annual death-rate per 1,000 persons living, of the estimated or enumerated population, according to the most recent weekly returns of Philadelphia was 26.96; Brooklyn, 25.81; Baltimore, 21.62; San Francisco, 27.76; Buffalo, 25.5; Charleston, 40.80; Dayton, 17.33; Savannah, 20.87; Lowell, 15.73; Worcester, 13.00; Cambridge, 26.62; Fall River, 16.98; Lynn, 13.58; Springfield, 18.72. Monthly returns—District of Columbia, 21.04; Providence, R. I., 20.71; Petersburg, 25.09; Nashville, 24.96; Norfolk, 21.85; Shelby County (Memphis), Tenn., 24.64. Foreign cities, weekly returns—London, 26.4; Liverpool, 28.7; Birmingham, 23.00; Manchester, 24.1; Glasgow, 22.4; Edinburgh, 19.2; Dundee, 14.7; Dublin, 36; Belfast, 26.5; Cork, 22.6; Budapesth, 37.5; Paris, 30.11; Turin, 28.7; Venice, 31.8; Berlin, 22.0; Munich, 35.5; Breslau, 38.31; Vienna, 30.7; Copenhagen, 29.1; Stockholm, 22.1; Christiania, 39.43; Amsterdam, 25.4; Rotterdam, 26.6; The Hague, 26.9; Calcutta, 40.6; Bombay, 34.2; Geneva (with suburbs), 28.6; Basel, 24.5; Bern, 20.7; Warsaw, 33.82; Havre, 34.5; Salford, 25.5; St. Petersburg, 42.2; Prague (with suburbs), 34.5; Madrid, 48.9; Zaragoza, 40.4; Valencia, 35.2; Malaga, 31.9; Granada, 40.9; Palma, 14.9.

By order of the Board.

EMMONS CLARK, Secretary.

ASSESSMENT COMMISSION.

No. 27 CHAMBERS STREET,  
FRIDAY, January 27, 1882—2.30 o'clock P. M. }

The Commission created by chapter 550 of the Laws of 1880, to revise, vacate, or modify assessments for local improvements in the City of New York, met pursuant to adjournment.

Present—All the members, viz.:

Commissioners Edward Cooper (Chairman), John Kelly, Allan Campbell, George H. Andrews, and Daniel Lord, Jr.

The Clerk presented copies of the CITY RECORD and "Daily Register," of January 26 and 27, 1882, showing due publication of notices of the meeting.

The minutes of the meeting held on January 24, 1882, were read and approved.

The Clerk reported that he had filed in the Finance Department, on January 17, 1882, certificates reducing assessments in the following cases, viz.:

Bernard Mooney (No. 5), assessment for Seventy-fifth street regulating, grading, etc., from Fifth avenue to East river; decision reducing assessment from \$395.47 to \$324.29, rendered January 10, 1882.

John Vanderbilt (No. 22), assessment for sewers in Sixth avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-ninth and One Hundred and Forty-seventh streets; decision reducing assessment from \$913.40 to \$342.54, rendered January 10, 1882.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the report was placed on file.

The Clerk reported that he had filed in the Finance Department, on January 17, 1882, certificates of awards in the following cases, viz.:

David M. Kellogg and Edgar Williams, executors (No. 2503), assessment for sewers in Seventh avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-first and One Hundred and Thirty-seventh streets; amount of award \$303.38.

William Matthews (No. 2505), assessment for sewers in Sixth, Seventh, and St. Nicholas avenues, between One Hundred and Tenth and One Hundred and Sixteenth streets; amount of award \$1,628.84.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the report was placed on file.

The Commissioners proceeded to consider the evidence presented in the Matter of Sherwood et al., as to the assessments for the regulating and grading of Sixth and Seventh avenues, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river.

Commissioner Kelly moved that the owners of property affected by these improvements be only assessed for the improvement of the avenues one hundred feet wide.

Commissioner Cooper offered an amendment, that there be deducted from the assessments one-half of the cost of regulating, grading, etc., the avenues one hundred and fifty feet wide over what it would have cost to improve them one hundred feet wide.

The amendment was lost by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Negative—Commissioners Kelly, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.

(Commissioner Andrews' reasons for his vote were, that in his opinion the proposition to ascertain the measure of benefit to the adjoining property upon the basis of an avenue one hundred and twenty-five feet wide (which was the practical effect of this amendment), has in it scarcely an element of justice to either the city or the property-owners. It is based upon no sound principle. It follows no precedent. To adopt it would be to resort to a compromise indicative of inability on the part of the Commissioners to grasp the principles involved in a fair adjustment. The standard width of avenues in this city as originally planned is one hundred feet. Experience has demonstrated such dimensions to be sufficient and satisfactory for all ordinary, and nearly all extraordinary, uses. The widening of these avenues to one hundred and fifty feet was justified by the special use to which they are devoted in their relation to the park system. For that special use it is not excessive in view of ultimate wants. For the needs of the abutting property, or for ordinary public use, a width of one hundred and twenty-five feet for avenues closed at One Hundred and Tenth street by the park, and at One Hundred and Forty-ninth and One Hundred and Fifty-fourth streets by the Harlem River, would be as absurd as one hundred and fifty feet would be.)

Commissioner Andrews offered the following substitute: "To give effect to the intention of the Legislature as declared in chapters 297 and 299, Laws of 1872, that the property be charged with one-half of the gross expense as determined by the assessments for regulating and grading the two avenues."

The substitute was lost by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Andrews and Lord—2.

Negative—Commissioners Cooper, Kelly, and Campbell—3.

(Commissioner Andrews' reason for his vote was, that in his opinion, after hearing all the evidence, the provisions of the Acts of 1872 would do substantial justice to the city and the property owners. These acts were passed while the works were yet incomplete, and with a knowledge of the facts and their surroundings, likely to be better than can be acquired by this Commission after an interval of ten years. Although the Court of Appeals had decided that the acts were effective only in part, it did not diminish the moral force of the acts, and it was competent for this Commission, empowered to do equity, to recognize this force and give effect to the evident purpose of the Legislature.)

The question being taken on Commissioner Kelly's motion, it was adopted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Commissioner Andrews moved that fifty cents per cubic yard be fixed as the fair price of earth excavation and filling done by day's work on the Sixth avenue.

Commissioner Cooper moved an amendment that it be made sixty cents per cubic yard.

The amendment was lost by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper and Campbell—2.

Negative—Commissioners Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—3.



Commissioner Andrews' motion was then adopted by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—3.  
 Negative—Commissioners Cooper and Campbell—2.

Commissioner Campbell moved that all the other prices stand as fixed in the assessment lists for regulating, grading, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, except the price of rock excavation in Seventh avenue, done by Sullivan, and not including engineering fees.

The motion was lost by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper and Campbell—2.  
 Negative—Commissioners Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Commissioner Andrews moved to reconsider the vote just taken on Commissioner Campbell's motion.

Which was carried.

Commissioner Kelly moved to amend Commissioner Campbell's motion by adding "and except the filling done by contract on Sixth avenue, which is reduced from sixty to fifty cents per cubic yard."

The amendment was lost by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly and Lord—2.  
 Negative—Commissioners Cooper, Campbell, and Andrews—3.

Commissioner Campbell's motion was then adopted by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper, Kelly, Campbell, and Andrews—4.  
 Negative—Commissioner Lord—1.

Commissioner Campbell moved that the price of rock excavation done by Sullivan on Seventh avenue be fixed at \$1.60 per cubic yard.

Commissioner Cooper offered as an amendment, that the price of rock excavation done by Sullivan on Seventh avenue remain at \$1.85 per cubic yard, as in the assessment list.

The amendment was lost by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioner Cooper—1.  
 Negative—Commissioners Kelly, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.

Commissioner Campbell's motion was then adopted by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.  
 Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Commissioner Cooper moved that in lieu of all expenditures included in the Seventh avenue assessment list, for surveying, clerks, preliminary surveys, etc., being items of \$43,623, \$991, and \$1,198, the charge be five per cent. upon the fair cost of the work, except the item of \$3,989.08, for surveys for the assessment list.

Which was adopted by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper, Campbell, and Andrews—3.  
 Negative—Commissioners Kelly, and Lord—2.

Commissioner Cooper moved that the item in the assessment list for regulating, etc., Seventh avenue, of \$3,989.08 for surveys for the assessment list be allowed.

Which was adopted by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.  
 Negative—Commissioner Kelly—1.

Commissioner Cooper moved that the items charged in the assessment list for Sixth avenue, for surveyors' fees and advertising, amounting to \$5,409.72, shall stand, and that the item for collecting be stricken out.

Which was carried.

Commissioner Campbell moved that the vote on Commissioner Andrews' motion, fixing the price of earth excavation and filling done by days' work on Sixth avenue, at fifty cents per cubic yard, be reconsidered.

Which was lost by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper and Campbell—2.  
 Negative—Commissioners Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Commissioner Lord moved that the following figures, presented by Commissioner Campbell, be adopted as a basis upon which to calculate the damage arising from the increased width of the avenues, leaving open any other question of damage, viz. :  
 Sixth avenue—16,600 cubic yards earth excavation, and 68,606 cubic yards filling done by days' work ; 110,624 cubic yards filling, done by Sullivan ; 10,600 cubic yards filling and 205 cubic yards rock excavation, done by Crimmins.  
 Seventh avenue—202,134 cubic yards rock excavation, 62,325 cubic yards earth excavation, and 33½ lineal feet culvert, done by Sullivan ; 56,391 cubic yards rock excavation, and 35,638 cubic yards earth excavation, done by Crimmins.

Which was adopted.

Commissioner Andrews moved that an extra allowance at the rate of one dollar on each foot in depth, on each lot of twenty-five feet front, be made where the grade as at present established threw the lots more than ten feet below that grade.

Commissioner Cooper moved to amend by adding, "on those parts of the avenues only where the avenues had been regulated and graded to a previous grade established by law, and where the depth of the lots below the new grade is more than ten feet, and more than the depth below the old grade."

The amendment was lost by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioner Cooper—1.  
 Negative—Commissioners Kelly, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.

Commissioner Andrews' motion was then lost by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Andrews and Lord—2.  
 Negative—Commissioners Cooper, Kelly, and Campbell—3.

(Commissioner Andrews' reasons for his vote were, that in his opinion such action was essential to secure an equitable distribution of the assessment. It was in evidence that where the grade had been raised so as to exceed ten feet above the surface of the abutting lots, such elevation would entail an additional expense upon the lot owner in constructing his foundation ; and that the present grade was not such as was required for any advantage to the abutting lots, or for the purposes of drainage, but that, on the contrary, drainage was impaired and retarded by the grade adopted, the only advantage of which was to furnish a level drive for pleasure carriages as an extension of the park roads.)

(Commissioner Lord's reason for his vote was, "the evidence produced by the petitioners clearly establishes this damage, and the city offered no evidence to rebut it. This is clearly one of the questions directly submitted to the Commission by the third section of the Act, and upon this evidence I feel that we are bound to find in favor of the petitioners.")

Commissioner Andrews moved that the assessments be distributed in proportion to the expense of the work done upon the blocks upon which the several lots are respectively situated.

Which was lost by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Andrews and Lord—2.  
 Negative—Commissioners Cooper, Kelly, and Campbell—3.

(Commissioner Andrews' reasons for his vote were, that, in his opinion, it was not equitable to charge upon a block where very little work was needed in grading, the same sum as is charged upon lots in front of which fifteen or twenty feet of solid rock had to be removed. It was in evidence that for several years it had been the practice of the Board of Assessors to distribute assessments in accordance with the principle recognized in this resolution, and the Chairman of the Board of Assessors had testified that in this very case that Board had desired to apportion the assessments, but were unable to procure the necessary data and information to enable them to act intelligently in this direction, and so were compelled to make a general and gross charge against the entire property on the line of the avenue.)

(Commissioner Lord's reasons for his vote were : "This method of distribution of the assessment seems to be the only fair method ; any other plan would in many cases work great injury to many of the property owners, and in the present case it appears from the evidence that part of the improvement consisted of heavy and expensive rock excavation, and part of comparatively inexpensive filling. To reach a fair assessment the cost of the work should be distributed in accordance with the amount of work done in front of each lot, adding the percentage given by the Chairman of the Board of Assessors for general benefit.")

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the seventh rule, relating to meetings of the Commission, was suspended, and, on his motion, it was

Resolved, That when the Commission adjourns, it do so to meet on Tuesday, January 31, 1882, at half past 2 o'clock P. M.

On motion of Commissioner Kelly, the Commission then adjourned.

JAMES J. MARTIN, Clerk.

♦♦♦♦♦

No. 27 CHAMBERS STREET,  
 TUESDAY, January 31, 1882—2.30 o'clock P. M. }

The Commission created by chapter 550 of the Laws of 1880, to revise, vacate, or modify assessments for local improvements in the City of New York, met pursuant to adjournment.

Present—All the members, viz. :  
 Commissioners Edward Cooper (Chairman), John Kelly, Allan Campbell, George H. Andrews, and Daniel Lord, Jr.

The Clerk presented copies of the CITY RECORD and "Daily Register" of January 30 and 31, 1882, showing due publication of notices of the meeting.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the reading of the minutes of the meeting held on January 27, 1882, was dispensed with.

The calendar was called, and action taken, as follows :  
 No. 8—Matter of Catharine McCormack ; assessment for Seventy-fifth street regulating, grading, etc., from Fifth avenue to the East river.

On motion of the petitioner, the Corporation Counsel consenting, the decision of the Commissioners in the Matter of Reilly, rendered July 28, 1881, reducing this assessment eighteen per cent., was made their decision in this case ; and the Clerk was directed to prepare a certificate reducing the assessment on the petitioner's property, as of the date of decision in Matter of Reilly.

No. 346—Matter of A. B. Tappan and another ; assessment for Manhattan street paving, from St. Nicholas avenue to One Hundred and Twenty-fifth street.

On motion of Mr. A. B. Tappan, on behalf of the petitioners, the Corporation Counsel consenting, the decision of the Commissioners in the Matter of Walter, rendered April 26, 1881, vacating this assessment, was made their decision in this case, and the Clerk was directed to prepare a certificate vacating the assessment on the petitioners' property.

Mr. A. B. Tappan, attorney, moved to amend the petition filed by him under the act creating the Commission, for relief as to a number of assessments against property belonging to himself and John B. Haskin, situated on Manhattan avenue and One Hundred and Twenty-fifth street, between Ninth and Tenth avenues, by inserting the assessment for the Manhattan street sewer, from Twelfth to St. Nicholas avenues ; confirmed September 22, 1875.

After hearing the Corporation Counsel in opposition to the motion, the Commissioners reserved their decision.

No. 993—Matter of O. B. Potter ; assessment for Eighth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from Fifty-ninth to One Hundred and Twenty-second street.

The Corporation Counsel and Mr. John H. Strahan, of counsel, presented evidence on behalf of the city, after which the further hearing of the case was adjourned to Tuesday, February 7, 1882.

The Commissioners proceeded to consider the evidence presented in the matter of Sherwood et al. as to the assessments for the regulating and grading of Sixth and Seventh avenues, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river.

Commissioner Campbell moved that Commissioner Andrews' motion, adopted at the meeting on January 27, 1882, fixing the price of earth excavation and filling, done by days' work on Sixth avenue, at fifty cents per cubic yard, be reconsidered.

Which was carried by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper, Campbell, and Andrews—3.  
 Negative—Commissioners Kelly and Lord—2.

(Commissioner Andrews' reasons for his vote were, that, in his opinion, there is ground in the testimony for recognizing either fifty or sixty cents as a fair price for this kind of service. His own mind inclined to the lower sum, and as one more accurately defining the value of the work at the time and place in question. Yet where there was a doubt he would willingly defer to the judgment of Commissioner Campbell, whose knowledge and skill as an expert his associates had a right to avail themselves of, and for this reason he had voted for the motion to reconsider, and would vote to fix the price at sixty cents.)

Commissioner Campbell then moved that sixty cents per cubic yard be fixed as the fair price of earth excavation and filling, done by days' work, on Sixth avenue.

Which was adopted by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper, Campbell, and Andrews—3.  
 Negative—Commissioners Kelly and Lord—2.

Commissioner Cooper moved that Commissioner Campbell's motion, adopted on January 27, 1882, fixing the price of rock excavation on Seventh avenue, under the Sullivan contract, at \$1.60 per cubic yard, be reconsidered.

Which was lost by the following vote, viz. :  
 Affirmative—Commissioner Cooper—1.  
 Negative—Commissioners Kelly, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.

Commissioner Lord presented the following decision, viz. :  
 In the matter of the applications of John H. Sherwood and others, for a vacation or reduction of the assessments for regulating and grading Sixth avenue, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river, confirmed August 2, 1872 ; and for regulating and grading Seventh avenue, from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river, confirmed September 24, 1875.

The questions involved in determining the benefit to the property assessed, and the fair cost of the work done in improving the Sixth and Seventh avenues, have been difficult and complicated. These avenues were regulated, graded, and paved during the years 1867 and 1868, at a period far in advance of the requirements of population or property adjacent, not for the purposes of general traffic and travel, from which they were excluded by the physical fact that no ingress or egress was available at either northern or southern termini, being closed on the north by the banks of the Harlem river, and on the south by Central Park, from the drives in which ordinary traffic and business vehicles were excluded. These improvements were begun and carried out avowedly as a continuation of what was called the Park system (see Reports of Commissioners of Department of Public Parks, 1871, pages 13, 264 ; 1872, pages 109, 110 ; Commissioners of Central Park, Seventh Annual Report, 1863, page 9 ; Eighth Annual Report, 1864, page 14 ; Ninth Annual Report, 1865, page 50).

The population of the lower parts of these avenues, portions of which were then pretty densely occupied, were cut off from access to these upper portions by two and a half miles of park intervening between Fifty-ninth and One Hundred and Tenth streets. No other statement need be made to demonstrate that the almost sole use of these avenues, when improved, was to be for the convenience of pleasure driving of residents far beyond their limits. With this purpose in view, they had been widened to 150 feet, an extraordinary and useless width for any purpose relating to the property assessed, but quite reconcilable to the special use to which they were to be devoted.

In pursuance of this extraordinary purpose, the improvements were projected upon an extensive and costly scale, and executed at a time and in a manner calculated to augment the cost. It is alleged on one hand that some of the adjoining owners were desirous of having the improvements made at the time and in the manner determined upon, but this is met by the counter statement, that such assent or desire was only expressed after some one, supposed to be in authority, had given assurance that the adjacent property would only be assessed for one-half the cost, and that the city would defray the other moiety. But little importance, however, is attached to either of these statements.

The first question to be determined by the Commission was : What proportion of the cost of these improvements shall be imposed upon the owners of the adjacent property ? The counsel for the petitioners called attention to the provisions of chapter 297 and 299 of the Laws of 1872, which amended the existing act in relation to these avenues as follows :  
 "Section 3. The Comptroller of the City of New York is hereby authorized and directed to deposit to the credit of the Board of Commissioners of the Central Park, with such bank or trust company as shall be designated by the said board, such sums of money as such board shall from time to time require, for payment of one-half of the cost and expense for any work, services or materials furnished under any contract or otherwise for the regulating grades, paving or improving the said Seventh avenue, as mentioned in the first section of the said act" (chapter 299 was similar in provisions as to the Sixth avenue).

The Comptroller was directed to raise the necessary money by the issue of bonds, which were to be included in the annual tax levy.

It was conceded that in the case of Astor against the Mayor (62 N. Y., 567) it was held that the law was inoperative in these cases where the money had been paid to the contractors prior to the passage of the act of 22d April, 1872 ; but it was claimed that these acts, passed so soon after the work was completed, and before the assessments were confirmed, might well be taken into account as a warrant for the action of this Commission empowered to do equity in the case ; and further, this would be to follow the course pursued in regard to the Western Boulevard, also of extraordinary width, where one-half of the cost of improvement was borne by the city.

This view the Commission did not adopt, but determined that all the needs of the adjacent property and all the requirements for general public use could have been met as well by a public avenue of the standard width (100 feet), as by the extraordinary and unusual width of 150 feet. This additional fifty feet of width does not require the deduction of simply one-third of the cost, the slopes being the same in either case, and a careful examination of the maps and profiles in evidence revealed the fact that owing to the physical conformation of the soil the difference between 100 and 150 feet of width involved differences in quantities of cutting and filling varying from twenty-six to fifty per cent. upon different sections, and the reductions have been adjusted according to the average thus ascertained.

The Commission do not, of course, assume to pass upon the question of the expediency of making these avenues 150 feet wide. That is not before them ; but they consider the cost of regulating and grading an avenue 100 feet wide as the proper measure of the benefit derived from these improvements by the property assessed therefor.

The question of determining the fair cost of the work presented considerable difficulty, from the conflicting evidence presented, as well as from the fact that the weight of expert testimony, offered nearly fifteen years after the inception of the improvement, was to be considered.

On behalf of the city it was contended that the prices in the assessment list were fair and reasonable, and not greater than contracts for similar work let at the same time at public competition, taking into consideration the magnitude of the work and the difficulties attending its execution ; while the petitioners insisted that the prices of the work were excessive and exorbitant, and to maintain their position offered expert and official testimony as to its value.

The conclusion that has been reached is one which, we believe, while doing full justice to the city, works no substantial injustice to the individual property-owners, and is therefore within the spirit of the act creating the Commission. It was reached only after prolonged discussion of the various questions presented by the evidence, and careful consideration of the points so ably argued by the counsel for both the petitioners and the city.



We decide that the assessment for the regulating and grading of Sixth avenue should be reduced 42.4 per cent., and the assessment for regulating and grading Seventh avenue should be reduced 37.3 per cent.

The Chairman put the question whether the decision as presented shall stand as the decision of the Commissioners.

Which was decided in the affirmative, a majority of all the Commissioners voting in favor thereof, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, it was

Resolved, That, under the decision rendered as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river, the Clerk be directed to prepare for the signatures of the Commissioners, certificates reducing the assessments on the property belonging to John H. Sherwood and others.

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the seventh rule, relating to meetings of the Commission, was suspended, and, on his motion, it was

Resolved, That when the Commission adjourns, it do so to meet on Friday, February 3, 1882, at half past two o'clock P. M.

On motion of Commissioner Kelly, the Commission then adjourned.

JAMES J. MARTIN, Clerk.

No. 27 CHAMBERS STREET,  
FRIDAY, February 3, 1882—2.30 o'clock P. M.

The Commission created by chapter 550 of the Laws of 1880, to revise, vacate, or modify assessments for local improvements in the City of New York, met pursuant to adjournment.

Present—All the members, viz.:

Commissioners Edward Cooper (Chairman), John Kelly, Allan Campbell, George H. Andrews, and Daniel Lord, Jr.

The Clerk presented copies of the CITY RECORD and "Daily Register," of February 2 and 3, 1882, showing due publication of notices of the meeting.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the reading of the minutes of the meetings held on January 27 and 31, 1882, was dispensed with.

The Clerk reported that he had filed in the Finance Department, on February 3, 1882, certificates reducing or vacating assessments in the following cases, viz.:

John Matthews (No. 1514), assessment for Seventy-fifth street regulating, grading, etc., from Fifth avenue to East river; decision reducing the assessment from \$8,097.20 to \$6,639.75, rendered January 24, 1882.

A. B. Tappan and another (No. 346), assessment for Manhattan street paving, from St. Nicholas avenue to One Hundred and Twenty-fifth street; decision vacating assessment, amounting to \$1,294.13, rendered January 31, 1882.

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the report was placed on file.

The Clerk reported that he had filed in the Finance Department, on February 3, 1882, certificates of awards in the following cases, viz.:

Frederick Boos (No. 2497), assessment for sewers in Sixth and Seventh avenues, between One Hundred and Sixteenth and One Hundred and Twenty-fifth streets; amount of award, \$46.97.

M. Wekerle (No. 2499), assessment for sewers in Sixth and Seventh avenues, between One Hundred and Sixteenth and One Hundred and Twenty-fifth streets; amount of award, \$97.95.

Langstaff N. Crow (No. 2500), assessment for sewers in Sixth and Seventh avenues, between One Hundred and Sixteenth and One Hundred and Twenty-fifth streets; amount of award, \$78.29.

Thomas McKeon (No. 2498), assessment for sewers in Seventh avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-first and One Hundred and Thirty-seventh streets; amount of award, \$232.71.

James Burchitt (No. 2501), assessment for sewers in Seventh avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-first and One Hundred and Thirty-seventh streets; amount of award, \$86.06.

J. M. C. Tytler (No. 2502), assessment for sewers in Seventh avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-first and One Hundred and Thirty-seventh streets; amount of award, \$32.50.

Charles H. Ludington (No. 2633), assessment for sewers in Seventh avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-first and One Hundred and Thirty-seventh streets; amount of award, \$1,425.46.

Charles H. Ludington (No. 2634), assessment for sewers in Sixth avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-ninth and One Hundred and Forty-seventh streets; amount of award, \$18.12.

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the report was placed on file.

The Commissioners proceeded to consider the evidence presented in the matter of Sherwood et al., as to the assessments for the macadamizing, etc., of Sixth and Seventh avenues, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river.

Commissioner Campbell presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That the owners of property affected by these improvements be only assessed for the fair cost of paving, curbing, and flagging the Sixth and Seventh avenues, a width of one hundred feet, with carriageways sixty feet wide; and that the quantities of work required to be done to pave, curb, and flag the said avenues be as follows:

Sixth avenue—65,294 square yards Telford-macadam pavement, 18,764 lineal feet of curb, and 65,411 square feet of flagging.

Seventh avenue—79,243 square yards Telford-macadam pavement, 23,305 lineal feet of curb, and 80,811 square feet of flagging.

The question being taken, the resolution was adopted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Campbell, Andrews and Lord—4.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, all the Commissioners voting in favor thereof, the prices of the work done on Sixth and Seventh avenues were fixed as follows:

Telford-Macadam Pavement ..... \$3 65 per square yard.

Curb ..... 1 00 per lineal foot.

Flagging ..... 32 per square yard.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, all the Commissioners voting in favor thereof, it was agreed that the charges for Surveyors' fees, etc., for both the Sixth and Seventh avenues, remain at the amounts charged in the assessment list.

On motion of Commissioner Kelly, the seventh rule, relating to meetings of the Commission, was suspended, and, on his motion, it was

Resolved, That when the Commission adjourns, it do so to meet on Tuesday, February 7, 1882, at half-past two o'clock P. M.

On motion of Commissioner Kelly, the Commission then adjourned.

JAMES J. MARTIN, Clerk.

No. 27 CHAMBERS STREET,  
TUESDAY, February 7, 1882—2.30 o'clock P. M.

The Commission created by chapter 550 of the Laws of 1880, to revise, vacate, or modify assessments for local improvements in the City of New York, met pursuant to adjournment.

Present—Commissioners Edward Cooper (Chairman), John Kelly, Allan Campbell, and George H. Andrews.

The Clerk presented copies of the CITY RECORD and "Daily Register" of February 6 and 7, 1882, showing due publication of notices of the meeting.

The minutes of the meetings held on January 27 and 31, and February 3, 1882, were read and approved.

The calendar was then called, and action taken, as follows:

No. 2096—Matter of Sigmund J. Seligman; assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river.

On motion of Mr. H. A. Shipman, attorney for the petitioner, the Corporation Counsel consenting, the decision of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, rendered January 31, 1882, reducing this assessment 42.4 per cent. was made their decision in this case; and the Clerk was directed to prepare a certificate reducing the assessment on the petitioner's property, as of the date of decision in Matter of Sherwood.

No. 1311—Matter of William M. Wilson; assessment for One Hundred and Thirty-fifth street regulating, grading, etc., from Harlem river to Eighth avenue.

Mr. John C. Shaw, attorney, presented a portion of the evidence on behalf of the petitioner, after which the further hearing of the case was adjourned to a future meeting.

No. 1275—Matter of S. L. M. Barlow; assessment for New avenue, east and west, regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Twentieth to One Hundred and Twenty-fourth street.

Mr. John C. Shaw, attorney, presented a portion of the evidence on behalf of the petitioner, after which the further hearing of the case was adjourned to a future meeting.

No. 1224—Matter of Jacob H. V. Cockcroft; assessment for Madison avenue, first section, regulating, grading, etc., from Eighty-sixth to Ninety-ninth street.

Mr. John C. Shaw, attorney, presented a portion of the evidence on behalf of the petitioner, after which the further hearing of the case was adjourned to a future meeting.

No. 993—Matter of O. B. Potter; assessment for Eighth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from Fifty-ninth to One Hundred and Twenty-second street.

At the request of Mr. John C. Shaw, attorney for the petitioner, the further hearing of this case was adjourned to February 15, 1882.

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the seventh rule, relating to meetings of the Commission, was suspended, and, on his motion, it was

Resolved, That when the Commission adjourns, it do so to meet on Thursday, February 9, 1882, at half past two o'clock P. M.

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the Commission then adjourned.

JAMES J. MARTIN, Clerk.

No. 27 CHAMBERS STREET,  
THURSDAY, February 9, 1882—2.30 o'clock P. M.

The Commission created by chapter 550 of the Laws of 1880, to revise, vacate, or modify assessments for local improvements in the City of New York, met pursuant to adjournment.

Present—All the members, viz.:

Commissioners Edward Cooper (Chairman), John Kelly, Allan Campbell, George H. Andrews, and Daniel Lord, Jr.

The Clerk presented copies of the CITY RECORD and "Daily Register" of February 9, 1882, showing due publication of notices of the meeting.

The minutes of the meeting of February 7, 1882, were read and approved.

The Commissioners proceeded to consider the evidence presented in the Matter of Sherwood et al., as to the assessments for the macadamizing, etc., of Sixth and Seventh avenues, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the action taken at the meeting on February 3, 1882, as to the prices of work for macadamizing, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, was reconsidered.

Commissioner Andrews offered the following resolution:

Resolved, That from the cost of the work of macadamizing, etc., Seventh avenue, as returned in the assessment list, amounting to \$453,631.01, be deducted the sum of \$67,126, being one-half of the amount paid by the city for work and materials on said avenue after the passage of the act, chapter 299, Laws of 1872; and from the amount remaining be deducted the further sum of \$95,182, being the proportionate part of the excess of cost over the benefit derived by the property owners from the improvement, leaving the amount to be assessed on the property benefited \$291,323.

Commissioner Campbell offered the following as a substitute:

Resolved, That the assessment for macadamizing, etc., Seventh avenue, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river, amounting to \$453,631.01, which sum was for an avenue one hundred and fifty feet wide, be reduced to \$341,912.37, which latter sum was the fair cost of macadamizing, etc., an avenue one hundred feet wide, based on just and reasonable prices, and is the measure of the benefit to the property owners by the improvement.

The question being taken, the resolution was lost by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioner Campbell—1.

Negative—Commissioners Cooper, Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—4.

Commissioner Cooper offered the following resolution as a substitute:

Resolved, That the case be re-opened in order that evidence may be put in as to the amounts paid by the city on account of this work, after the passage of the act, chapter 299, Laws of 1872, and to afford counsel an opportunity of arguing the questions involved in making such deduction.

The question being taken, the resolution was lost by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper and Campbell—2.

Negative—Commissioners Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Commissioner Cooper moved as an amendment to Commissioner Andrews' resolution, "that the items making up the sum of \$95,182, be particularized in the resolution."

The question being taken, the amendment was lost by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper and Campbell—2.

Negative—Commissioners Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—3.

The question was then taken on the resolution presented by Commissioner Andrews, and it was adopted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioners Cooper and Campbell—2.

(Commissioner Andrews' reason for his vote was, that, in his opinion, it was the duty of the Commission to give effect to so much of chapter 299, Laws of 1872, as, under the decision of the Court of Appeals, was effective. The import of that decision was, that its provisions were only applicable legally to such work as may have been done or payments which may have been made subsequent to the passage of the act, to wit: April 22, 1872. It appears by a schedule prepared from the records in the office of the City Comptroller, that the sum named (\$134,252), had been paid after that date. The effect of this resolution will be to deduct from the gross assessment before correction the one-half of this sum (\$67,126), and to reduce the remainder of the gross assessment in such proportion as this Commission had decided to be the difference between the assessed cost and the fair cost, and the resulting beneficial value to the property assessed. In his opinion the result would be as nearly harmonious with the requirements of law and equity, as the determination of a majority of the Commission upon antecedent questions will permit.)

Commissioner Andrews moved to reconsider the vote just taken on his resolution.

Which was carried by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioner Cooper, Campbell, and Andrews—3.

Negative—Commissioners Kelly and Lord—2.

Commissioner Andrews then moved to reconsider the vote by which the resolution offered by Commissioner Campbell was lost.

Which was carried by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.

Negative—Commissioner Kelly—1.

(Commissioner Andrews' reasons for his vote were, that, upon further consideration, it was his opinion that the right of the Commission to base its action upon a state of facts, however well established, which does not appear in the testimony, may be questioned. The act of 1872 is in evidence, and if it were not, it is still a statute of which the Commission is bound to take notice. But the transcript of the Comptroller's books, obtained by the Clerk of the Commission, by its direction, is not a part of the case as submitted by counsel, and the right to regard it as evidence without reopening the case, verifying the statement, and hearing counsel may be questioned. In his opinion, it was inexpedient to open the case, and he would prefer to surrender his views upon this incidental question rather than have the Commission involve itself in any alleged irregularity of method. To reopen the case would cause great delay, and in the mean time, the interests of the city and the property owners would suffer; the one by a loss of interest and the hindrance to the increase in taxable values, by the erection of buildings, and the other by continued obstruction in completing the transfers of property awaiting the settlement of this question.)

To allow the decision to rest upon an unsubstantial basis, liable to be swept away by law proceedings, would be a calamity to every interest involved. This Commission was created to avert and not to promote litigation, and it is eminently proper that this end should be kept in view. A very large district of valuable property has laid under the blight of excessive assessments for many years, and the sooner that blight is removed the sooner the city will receive recompense by means of taxes upon buildings for its large outlay, on the avenues in question.)

Commissioner Lord moved as an amendment to Commissioner Campbell's resolution, "that the sum of \$40,000 be deducted from the reduced cost of the work, as stated in Commissioner Campbell's resolution, being the amount of reduction to which the property owners are entitled for the value of 40,000 yards of rock at \$1 per yard, which should have been reserved by the Central Park Commissioners under the Sullivan contract, for use in the paving of the avenue, and expressed in their communication dated November 4, 1868."

The question being taken, the amendment was carried by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioners Cooper and Campbell—2.

(Commissioner Campbell's reasons for his vote were: "I think that my resolution proposing the reduction of the assessment for macadamizing, etc., Seventh avenue, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river, from its original amount, \$453,631.01, to the sum of \$341,912.37, would secure equity and justice to the parties in interest.

"In the assessment for regulating and grading said avenue, there had already been deducted from the amount of rock excavation embraced within the limits of an avenue one hundred feet wide, the sum of \$50,500—the contract price for rock excavation being \$1.85 per cubic yard, which price was not excessive nor unreasonable. The reduction of \$50,500 thus made, is quite sufficient to cover the omission of the Commissioners of Parks to reserve 40,000 cubic yards of rock for paving purposes, and for which an additional deduction of \$40,000 is now made from the assessment for macadamizing, etc., said Seventh avenue.

"I think that justice would be done to property-owners by an assessment for paving, etc., an avenue one hundred feet in width, instead of one hundred and fifty feet, at fair prices for paving, curbing and flagging, as provided by the resolution offered by me. The reduction of \$1 per cubic yard upon 40,000 cubic yards of rock, would leave but sixty cents per cubic yard for the excavation and removal of that amount of rock.

"I am, therefore, of the opinion that the amendment of my resolution, by making a further reduction of \$40,000, is not just and equitable as between the city and the property owners benefited by the improvement."

(Commissioner Lord said in support of his amendment: "It appears in evidence that the Commissioners of the Central Park availed themselves of the option given in the contract with J. H. Sullivan & Co., for regulating, grading, etc., Seventh avenue, to reserve a portion of the surplus rock for subsequent use on said avenue, but it does not appear that any credit or allowance was made for this rock in the price of the pavement. It seems only proper that some allowance should



be made to the property owners for this rock, as it undoubtedly was an element which would affect the price of the pavement.

"It does not appear to me to be any answer to this allowance, that in the decision of the regulating and grading of this avenue the price of the rock excavation done by the same contractors was reduced. This matter was decided independently from the present case, and the price fixed for the rock excavation was supported by evidence which appeared to me to establish a fair price for the work. The two experts called by the petitioners, one of whom was the City Engineer in charge of these improvements, both placed the price for rock excavation at less than \$1.50 per cubic yard. The city offered no testimony to rebut this evidence, and in fixing the price at \$1.60, it seems that as full justice has been done to the city as the evidence warrants.

"In reaching this conclusion it is with great regret that I find myself compelled to differ from Commissioner Campbell, whose judgment on the question is entitled to the greatest weight, and to whose experience as an expert, were he a witness, I would cheerfully defer, but I cannot disregard the strong weight of evidence, and the fact that no expert evidence was offered by the city to contradict it, while such evidence was so readily accessible to it through its officials."

(Commissioner Andrews stated that he concurs in the reasons given by Commissioner Lord.)

Commissioner Campbell's resolution, as amended, was then read, as follows:

Resolved, That the assessment for macadamizing, etc., the Seventh avenue, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river, amounting to \$453,631.01, which sum was for an avenue one hundred and fifty feet wide, be reduced to \$301,912.37, which latter sum was the fair cost of macadamizing, etc., an avenue one hundred feet wide, based on just and reasonable prices, and is the measure of the benefit to the property owners by the improvement.

The question being taken, the resolution was adopted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioners Cooper and Campbell—2.

Commissioner Campbell presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That the assessment for macadamizing, etc., the Sixth avenue, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river, amounting to \$469,288.08, which sum was for an avenue one hundred and fifty feet wide, be reduced to \$282,429.13, which latter sum was the fair cost for macadamizing, etc., an avenue one hundred feet wide, based on just and reasonable prices, and is the measure of the benefit to the property owners by the improvement.

The question being taken, the resolution was adopted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Commissioner Cooper moved that the proceedings at the meetings of January 27 and 31 and February 3 and 9, 1882, be printed in the minutes of those dates.

Commissioner Andrews moved to amend the motion by striking out the word "proceedings," and insert in lieu thereof, the words "motions and votes."

Which amendment was accepted by Commissioner Cooper.

Commissioner Andrews also moved to amend by adding, "and that each Commissioner be allowed to append his reasons for votes given by him."

The amendment was adopted, all the Commissioners voting in the affirmative.

The question being taken on Commissioner Cooper's motion, as amended, it was adopted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Cooper, Kelly, and Campbell—3.

Negative—Commissioners Andrews and Lord—2.

(Commissioner Andrews' reasons for his vote were, that, in his opinion, the mere printing of the various votes upon preliminary collateral and incidental questions would be an act of injustice. Section 5 of the act establishing the Commission, provides that, "all meetings, except for consultation and decision, shall be public. This exception was intended to secure for its members entire freedom of action in discussing and comparing views. It removes any temptation for pandering to what may be supposed to be public sentiment on one side, or to currying favor with individuals on the other. It establishes a practice most conducive to a free and unbiased expression of opinion. Far better, however, in his opinion, would it be to consult in open, public session, than to give the naked votes unaccompanied by any qualifying and justifying reasons therefor. When a decision was finally reached it was proper that the vote upon that decision should be published, and that it should be accompanied by an opinion from any Commissioner giving his reasons for assenting thereto or dissenting therefrom.)

Commissioner Lord presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That in the matter of the application of John H. Sherwood and others, for a vacation or reduction of the assessment for paving Seventh avenue with Telford-macadam pavement, from One Hundred and Tenth street to One Hundred and Fifty-fourth street, also for setting the curb-stone and flagging a space four feet wide through the sidewalks thereof, confirmed September 24, 1875, the assessment for said work amounting to \$453,631.01, which sum was for an avenue one hundred and fifty feet wide, be reduced to \$301,912.37, which latter sum was the fair cost for macadamizing, etc., an avenue one hundred feet wide, based on just and reasonable prices, and is the measure of the benefit to the property owners by the improvement.

The question being taken, the resolution was adopted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioners Cooper and Campbell—2.

Commissioner Campbell presented the following resolution:

Resolved, That in the matter of the application of John H. Sherwood and others, for a vacation or reduction of the assessment for macadamizing the Sixth avenue, from One Hundred and Tenth street to the Harlem river, also setting the curb-stone and flagging four feet in width through the sidewalks of the same, confirmed December 10, 1874, the assessment for said work amounting to \$469,288.08, which sum was for an avenue one hundred and fifty feet wide, be reduced to \$282,429.13, which latter sum was the fair cost of an avenue one hundred feet wide, based upon just and reasonable prices, and is the measure of the benefit to the property owners by the improvement.

The question being taken, the resolution was adopted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Kelly, Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the seventh rule, relating to meetings of the Commission, was suspended, and, on his motion, it was

Resolved, That when the Commission adjourns, it do so to meet on Wednesday, February 15, 1882, at half-past 2 o'clock P. M.

On motion of Commissioner Kelly, the Commission then adjourned.

JAMES J. MARTIN, Clerk

No. 27 CHAMBERS STREET,  
WEDNESDAY, February 15, 1882—2.30 o'clock P. M. }

The Commission created by chapter 550 of the Laws of 1880, to revise, vacate, or modify assessments for local improvements in the City of New York, met pursuant to adjournment.

Present—Commissioners Edward Cooper (Chairman), Allan Campbell, George H. Andrews, and Daniel Lord, Jr.

The Clerk presented copies of the CITY RECORD and "Daily Register" of February 14 and 15, 1882, showing due publication of notices of the meeting.

The minutes of the meeting held on February 9, 1882, having been read, Commissioner Andrews moved that they be amended by inserting after the vote taken on three certain resolutions his reasons for the votes given by him.

Which was agreed to.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the question of approving the minutes, as amended, was laid over until the next meeting.

On motion of Mr. James A. Deering, attorney, the Corporation Counsel consenting, the petition of Aaron Raymond (No. 1907), for relief in matter of assessment for Seventh avenue paving, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth to One Hundred and Fifty-fourth streets, was amended to read Block No. 818, in place of Block No. 618.

Mr. James A. Deering, attorney, moved that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in all similar cases represented by him before the Commission.

Decision reserved.

Mr. Michael Canfield, attorney, moved that under the decision of the Commissioners in matter of Sherwood, as to the assessment for macadamizing, etc., Sixth avenue, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, rendered February 9, 1882, a certificate of award be directed to issue in favor of Charles J. Starr (No. 2511), who had paid the assessment on his property for this improvement.

Decision reserved.

In Matter of John Vanderbilt (Nos. 20 and 21), the petitioner moved that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., Sixth avenue, from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in his case.

Decision reserved.

Mr. H. A. Shipman, attorney, moved that the decision of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessment for macadamizing, etc., the Sixth avenue, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, rendered February 9, 1882, be made their decision in Matter of Sigmund J. Seligman (No. 2097), as to the same assessment.

Decision reserved.

Mr. E. Coffin, Jr., attorney, moved that the decisions of the Commissioners in the Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., the Seventh avenue, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in Matters of John Sloane (Nos. 1079 and 1080) and Euphemia S. Coffin (Nos. 1083 and 1084), as to same assessments.

Decision reserved.

Mr. Isaac L. Miller, attorney, moved that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., the Seventh avenue, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in Matters of Isaac L. Kip, Trustee (No. 407 and 408), as to same assessments.

Decision reserved.

Mr. John C. Shaw, attorney, moved that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., the Sixth avenue, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in Matter of Matthew Byrnes (No. 1393 and 1614), as to same assessments, and that the said decisions apply to the entire assessments on the petitioner's lots, and not to the balance remaining unpaid.

After hearing the Corporation Counsel in opposition to the motion, the Commissioners reserved their decision.

Mr. John C. Shaw, attorney, moved that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in all similar cases represented by him before the Commission.

Decision reserved.

Mr. Charles E. Miller, attorney, moved that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in all similar cases represented by him before the Commission.

Decision reserved.

Mr. A. B. Johnson, attorney, moved that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in all similar cases represented by him before the Commission.

Decision reserved.

Mr. P. A. Hargous, attorney, moved that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., the Seventh avenue, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made their decisions in Matter of August Belmont (Nos. 2038 and 2039) and Matter of Henry Hughes (Nos. 2151 and 2181), as to same assessments.

Decision reserved.

The calendar was then called and action taken, as follows:

No. 993—Matter of O. B. Potter; assessment for Eighth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from Fifty-ninth to One Hundred and Twenty-second street.

Mr. John C. Shaw, attorney for the petitioner, recalled and cross-examined Mr. Frank M. Leonard, a witness for the city, after which the further hearing of the case was adjourned to the next meeting.

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the seventh rule, relating to meetings of the Commission, was suspended, and, on his motion, it was

Resolved, That when the Commission adjourns it do so to meet on Friday, February 17, 1882, at half past two o'clock P. M.

The Clerk reported that he had filed in the Finance Department, on Friday, February 10, 1882, certificates reducing assessments in the following cases, viz.:

Catherine McCormack (No. 8), assessment for seventy-fifth street regulating, grading, etc., from Fifth avenue to East river; decision reducing assessment from \$840.79 to \$689.45 rendered January 31, 1882.

John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 533), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from \$688.86 to \$396.79 rendered January 31, 1882.

Matter of John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 544), assessment for Seventh avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from \$4,081.41 to \$2,559.08 rendered January 31, 1882.

John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 550), assessment for Seventh avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from \$4,081.41 to \$2,559.08, rendered January 31, 1882.

John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 559), assessment for Seventh avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from \$225 to \$141.12 rendered January 31, 1882.

John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 564), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from 3,007.40 to 1,732.29, rendered January 31, 1882.

John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 570), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from \$1,495.68 to \$861.51 rendered January 31, 1882.

John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 576), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from \$1,603.70 to \$923.75, rendered January 31, 1882.

John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 583), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from \$3,102.02 to \$1,786.81, rendered January 31, 1882.

John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 593), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from \$2,303.54 to \$1,326.87, rendered January 31, 1882.

John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 602), assessment for Seventh avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from \$403.50 to \$253.08, rendered January 31, 1882.

John H. Sherwood et al. (No. 607), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; decision reducing assessment from \$2,367.64 to \$1,363.78, rendered January 31, 1882.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the report was placed on file.

The Clerk reported that he had filed in the Finance Department, on February 10, 1882, certificates of awards in the following cases, viz.:

Sarah E. Cornish, executrix (No. 2504), assessment for sewers in Seventh avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-first and One Hundred and Thirty-seventh streets; amount of award \$31.34.

John P. Hays (No. 2508), assessment for sewers in Sixth avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-ninth and One Hundred and Forty-seventh streets; amount of award \$636.07.

William E. Brinkerhoff (No. 2650), assessment for sewers in Sixth avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-ninth and One Hundred and Forty-seventh streets; amount of award \$59.14.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the report was placed on file.

On motion of Commissioner Campbell, the Commission then adjourned.

JAMES J. MARTIN, Clerk.

No. 27 CHAMBERS STREET,  
FRIDAY, February 17, 1882—2.30 o'clock P. M. }

The Commission created by chapter 550 of the Laws of 1880, to revise, vacate, or modify assessments for local improvements in the City of New York, met pursuant to adjournment.

Present—Commissioners Edward Cooper (Chairman), Allan Campbell, George H. Andrews, and Daniel Lord, Jr.

The Clerk presented copies of the CITY RECORD and "Daily Register" of February 17, 1882, showing due publication of notices of the meeting.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the reading of the minutes of the meeting held on February 15, 1882, was dispensed with.

After hearing Mr. A. B. Johnson, attorney, the Commissioners directed that a certificate of award be issued in favor of Langstaff N. Crow (No. 2500), for \$38.16, under the Sherwood decision, rendered September 15, 1881, of sixty-four per cent. of the assessment paid for sewers in Sixth and Seventh avenues, between One Hundred and Sixteenth and One Hundred and Twenty-fifth streets, and that the previous certificate of award issued in his favor for \$78.29, filed in the Finance Department on February 3, 1882, be recalled and canceled.

The case set down for hearing was then called, as follows:

No. 993—Matter of O. B. Potter; assessment for Eighth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from Fifty-ninth to One Hundred and Twenty-second street.

At the request of Mr. John C. Shaw, attorney for the petitioner, the Corporation Counsel consenting, the further hearing of this case was adjourned to February 28, 1882.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, it was

Resolved, That the certificate reducing assessments hereafter issued by the Commissioners under section 6 of chapter 550, Laws of 1880, shall be in the corrected form on file with the Clerk.

On motion of Commissioner Campbell it was

Resolved, That the Clerk be authorized to purchase printing and stationery for the use of the Commission, to an amount not exceeding one hundred dollars.

The motion made by Mr. James A. Deering, attorney, at the meeting on February 15, 1882, that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, north of One Hundred and



Tenth street, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in all similar cases represented by him before the Commission, was taken up.

The question being taken, the motion was granted in the cases affected by the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, and for macadamizing, etc., the Sixth avenue, by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Decision on so much of the motion as relates to the assessment for paving, etc., the Seventh avenue, was reserved.

The motion made by Mr. Michael Canfield, attorney, at the meeting on February 15, 1882, that under the decision made in Matter of Sherwood, rendered February 9, 1882, a certificate of award be issued in favor of Charles J. Starr (No. 2511), for 39.8 per cent. of the amount of assessment paid by him for macadamizing, etc., Sixth avenue, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, was taken up.

The question being taken, the motion was granted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

The motion made by petitioner John Vanderbilt, at the meeting on February 15, 1882, that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and macadamizing, etc., the Sixth avenue, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in his cases (Nos. 20 and 21), was taken up.

The question being taken, the motion was granted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

The motion made by Mr. H. A. Shipman, attorney, at the meeting on February 15, 1882, that the decision of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessment for macadamizing, etc., the Sixth avenue, north of One Hundred and Tenth street, rendered February 9, 1882, be made the decision in matter of Sigmund J. Seligman (No. 2097), was taken up.

The question being taken, the motion was granted by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

The motion made by Mr. E. Coffin, Jr., attorney, at the meeting on February 15, 1882, that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessment for regulating, grading, etc., and paving, etc., the Seventh avenue, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in Matter of John Sloane (Nos. 1079 and 1080), and Matter of Euphemia S. Coffin (Nos. 1083 and 1084), was taken up.

The question being taken, the motion was granted in the two cases affected by the assessment for regulating, grading, etc., the Seventh avenue, by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Decision on so much of the motion as relates to the assessment for paving, etc., Seventh avenue was reserved.

The motion made by Mr. Isaac L. Miller, attorney, at the meeting on February 15, 1882, that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessment for regulating, grading, etc., and paving, etc., the Seventh avenue, rendered on January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in Matter of Isaac L. Kip (Nos. 407 and 408), was taken up.

The question being taken, the motion was granted in the case affected by the assessment for regulating, grading, etc., Seventh avenue, by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Decision on so much of the motion as relates to the assessment for paving, etc., Seventh avenue, was reserved.

The motion made by Mr. John C. Shaw, attorney, at the meeting on February 15, 1882, that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessment for regulating, grading, etc., and paving, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in all similar cases represented by him before the Commission, was taken up.

The question being taken, the motion was granted in the cases affected by the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, and paving, etc., the Sixth avenue by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Decision on so much of the motion as relates to the assessment for paving, etc., Seventh avenue, was reserved.

The motion made by Mr. Charles E. Miller, attorney, at the meeting on February 15, 1882, that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and paving, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in all similar cases represented by him before the Commission, was taken up.

The question being taken, the motion was granted in the cases affected by the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, and paving, etc., the Sixth avenue, by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Decision on so much of the motion as relates to the assessment for paving, etc., Seventh avenue, was reserved.

The motion made by Mr. P. A. Hargous, attorney, at the meeting on February 15, 1882, that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and paving, etc., the Seventh avenue, rendered on January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in Matter of August Belmont (Nos. 2038 and 2039) and Matter of Henry Hughes (Nos. 2151 and 2181), was taken up.

The question being taken, the motion was granted in the two cases affected by the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., Seventh avenue, by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—4.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Decision on so much of the motion as relates to the assessment for paving, etc., Seventh avenue, was reserved.

The motion made by Mr. A. B. Johnson, attorney, at the meeting on February 15, 1882, that the decisions of the Commissioners in Matter of Sherwood, as to the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., and paving, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, rendered January 31 and February 9, 1882, be made the decisions in all similar cases represented by him before the Commission, was taken up.

The question being taken, the motion was granted in the cases affected by the assessments for regulating, grading, etc., the Sixth and Seventh avenues, and paving, etc., the Sixth avenue, by the following vote, viz.:

Affirmative—Commissioners Campbell, Andrews, and Lord—3.

Negative—Commissioner Cooper—1.

Decision on so much of the motion as relates to the assessment for paving, etc., Seventh avenue, was reserved.

The Clerk reported that he had filed in the Finance Department, on February 17, 1882, certificates of awards in the following cases, viz.:

Simon Rothschild (No. 2515), assessment for sewers in Sixth, Seventh, and St. Nicholas avenues, between One Hundred and Tenth and One Hundred and Sixteenth streets; amount of award, \$35.51.

Max Weil (No. 2516), assessment for sewers in Sixth, Seventh, and St. Nicholas avenues, between One Hundred and Tenth and One Hundred and Sixteenth streets; amount of award, \$71.02.

Francis P. Fernald (No. 2517), assessment for sewers in Sixth, Seventh, and St. Nicholas avenues, between One Hundred and Tenth and One Hundred and Sixteenth streets; amount of award, \$683.38.

Jeremiah L. Clark (No. 2521), assessment for sewers in Sixth and Seventh avenues, between One Hundred and Sixteenth and One Hundred and Twenty-fifth streets; amount of award, \$94.48.

William Fear, administrator (No. 2519), assessment for sewers in Sixth and Seventh avenues, between One Hundred and Sixteenth and One Hundred and Twenty-fifth streets; amount of award, \$37.82.

Rensselaer Jewett (No. 2522), assessment for sewers in Sixth and Seventh avenues, between One Hundred and Sixteenth and One Hundred and Twenty-fifth streets; amount of award, \$487.48.

Francis P. Fernald (No. 2523), assessment for sewers in Sixth and Seventh avenues, between One Hundred and Sixteenth and One Hundred and Twenty-fifth streets; amount of award, \$1,238.52.

Richard M. Shaw (No. 2524), assessment for sewers in Sixth avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-ninth and One Hundred and Forty-seventh streets; amount of award, \$90.45.

Charles Stebbins, executor (No. 2525), assessment for sewers in Sixth avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-ninth and One Hundred and Forty-seventh streets; amount of award, \$90.45.

John B. Stebbins, executor (No. 2526), assessment for sewers in Sixth avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-ninth and One Hundred and Forty-seventh streets; amount of award, \$135.67.

Francis P. Fernald (No. 2531), assessment for sewers in Seventh avenue, between One Hundred and Twenty-first and One Hundred and Thirty-seventh streets; amount of award, \$1,215.02.

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the report was placed on file.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the Commission then adjourned.

JAMES J. MARTIN, Clerk.

No. 27 CHAMBERS STREET,  
TUESDAY, February 21, 1882—2.30 o'clock P. M.

The Commission created by chapter 550 of the Laws of 1880, to revise, vacate, or modify assessments for local improvements in the City of New York, met pursuant to adjournment.

Present—Commissioners Edward Cooper (Chairman), Allan Campbell, George H. Andrews, and Daniel Lord, Jr.

The Clerk presented copies of the CITY RECORD and "Daily Register" of February 20 and 21, 1882, showing due publication of notices of the meeting.

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the reading of the minutes of the meeting held on February 17, 1882, was dispensed with.

The calendar was then called, and action taken, as follows:

No. 1641—Matter of Catharine J. Anderson; assessment for One Hundred and Sixteenth street regulating, grading, etc., from Seventh to Eighth avenue.

The Counsel to the Corporation, by Mr. John A. Beall, presented a portion of the evidence on behalf of the city, after which the further hearing of the case was adjourned to a future meeting.

No. 1425—Matter of Robert McCafferty; assessment for Ninetieth street regulating, grading, etc., from Eighth to Tenth avenues.

On motion of Mr. A. B. Johnson, attorney for the petitioner, the Corporation Counsel consenting, the evidence taken in Matter of Anderson as to the fair value of the work of regulating, etc., One Hundred and Sixteenth street, from Seventh to Eighth avenue, was made to apply to this case so far as the same is applicable.

After the Corporation Counsel had presented some evidence on behalf of the city, as to the fair value of the work, Mr. A. B. Johnson, attorney for the petitioner, and the Corporation Counsel, by Mr. John A. Beall, stated that they had agreed on a reduction of thirty per cent. of the assessment in this and similar cases. The case was then closed and decision reserved by the Commissioners.

On motion of Commissioner Campbell, the following bill was approved, and ordered to be transmitted to the Finance Department for payment, viz.:

The "Daily Register," \$59.50, for advertising, from December 6, 1881, to February 21, 1882, inclusive.

The Clerk reported that he had filed in the Finance Department, on February 18, 1882, certificates reducing assessments in the following cases, viz.:

Calvin Stevens (No. 1047), assessment for Seventh avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$1,111.42 to \$696.87.

The Manhattan Life Insurance Co. (No. 1048), assessment for Seventh avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$8,085.68 to \$5,069.76.

Henry Welsh (No. 1052), assessment for Seventh avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$1,161.42 to \$728.22.

E. V. W. Schoonmaker (No. 1056), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$1,059.76 to \$610.44.

C. M. Schoonmaker (No. 1057), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$368.94 to \$212.51.

S. D. Van Santvoord (No. 1059), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$100 to \$57.60.

Anna S. Freeman (No. 1383), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$1,769.52 to \$1,019.28.

Bartlett Smith (No. 1384), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$25 to \$14.40.

John Gault (No. 1385), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$125 to \$72.

The Manhattan Savings Institution (No. 1388), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$2,635.50 to \$1,518.03.

Henry A. Cram (No. 1394), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$649.90 to \$374.35.

Aaron Raymond (No. 1395), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$2,786 to \$1,604.72.

Mary G. Pinckney (No. 1396), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$43,694.75 to \$25,168.18.

Robert and Jane Stewart (No. 1398), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$623.86 to \$359.35.

Daniel H. Gilman, adm'r (No. 1399), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$1,353.70 to \$779.76.

Addison Smith (No. 1400), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$1,243 to \$715.96.

John Hayes (No. 1404), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$1,343 to \$773.56.

Anna M. Ryan (No. 1405), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$377 to \$217.16.

Simeon Farrell (No. 1409), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$377 to \$217.16.

John Shady (No. 1410), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$50 to \$28.80.

John H. Watson (No. 1411), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$1,558.62 to \$897.79.

Henry Van Schaick (No. 1412), assessment for Sixth avenue regulating, grading, etc., from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river; assessment reduced from \$664.84 to \$382.97.

On motion of Commissioner Andrews, the report was placed on file.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the seventh rule, relating to meetings of the Commission, was suspended, and, on his motion, it was

Resolved, That when the Commission adjourns, it do so to meet on Tuesday, February 28, 1882, at half past 2 o'clock P. M.

On motion of Commissioner Lord, the Commission then adjourned.

JAMES J. MARTIN, Clerk.

## LAW DEPARTMENT.

The following schedules form a report of the transactions of the office of the Counsel to the Corporation, for the week ending February 18, 1882.

*The Mayor, Aldermen, and Commonalty of the City of New York are defendants unless otherwise mentioned.*

### SCHEDULE "A."

SUITS AND SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS INSTITUTED.

#### SUPREME COURT.

In the matter of the opening of One Hundred and Thirty-eighth, etc., streets, in Twenty-third Ward, New York—Petition of Robert J. Hutchinson for an award, \$916, made to unknown owners, Map No. 197.

In re petition of Maturin Livingston to vacate or reduce assessment for Front street sewer, between Beekman and Fulton streets; confirmed January 7, 1882.

People, ex rel. The American Union Telegraph Co. against The Board of Aldermen and Martin T. McMahon, Receiver of Taxes—Certiorari to review assessment of relator's capital stock and personal property for 1881.

People, ex rel. Atlantic and Pacific Telegraph Co. vs. The Board of Aldermen and Martin T. McMahon, Receiver of Taxes—Certiorari to review assessment of relator's capital stock and personal property for 1881.

People, ex rel. Gold and Stock Telegraph Co. vs. The Board of Aldermen and Martin T. McMahon, Receiver of Taxes—Certiorari to review assessment of relator's capital stock and personal property for 1881.

People, ex rel. International Ocean Telegraph Co. vs. The Board of Aldermen and Martin T. McMahon, Receiver of Taxes—Certiorari to review assessment of relator's capital stock and personal property for 1881.

People, ex rel. Manhattan Quotation Telegraph Co. vs. The Board of Aldermen and Martin T. McMahon, Receiver of Taxes—Certiorari to review assessment of relator's capital stock and personal property for 1881.

People, ex rel. Western Union Telegraph Co. vs. The Board of Aldermen and Martin T. McMahon, Receiver of Taxes—Certiorari to review assessment of relator's capital stock and personal property for 1881.

Alice J. Adam, adm'x vs. The Mayor, etc., City of New York, and others—To recover an award made in the matter of opening Boston road and Westchester avenue—Lot No. 243, \$1.00; No. 244, for land, \$1,895; buildings, \$1,700; and fence, \$26.00.

In re petition of Caroline S. Munson to vacate assessment for One Hundred and Tenth street outlet sewer—Application for extension of time to serve notice before the Assessment Commission.

In the matter of the opening of Sedgwick avenue, from Fordham Landing road to Boston avenue—Petition of Mary A. Peck for an award made to unknown owners on Map No. 8, \$1,637.12.



## SUPERIOR COURT.

Charles Bathgate—To set aside lease made for sale of premises for Second avenue improvement assessment and to recover \$139.39.  
 Anna Maria Downes—To recover an award made in the matter of opening One Hundred and Thirty-eighth street, etc., in the Twenty-third Ward, N. Y., \$5,947.

## COURT OF COMMON PLEAS.

Henry B. Schopper—To recover an award made in the matter of One Hundred and Thirty-eighth street, etc., opening, in Twenty-third Ward, \$1,015.  
 The Mayor, etc., of City New York agst. Stephen D. Barnes, Alexander Frazer and George F. Thompson—To recover balance of dock rents, \$2,187.  
 Matilda W. Stevens et al. agst. John Webb—For possession of premises south side of Seventy-eighth street and west side of Fourth avenue, conveyed to defendant by the city's grantees, with full covenant, etc.

## BEFORE THE ASSESSMENT COMMISSION APPOINTED UNDER CHAPTER 550 OF THE LAWS OF 1880.

In re petition of John H. Riker and another for an award for assessment for Sixth avenue macadamizing, One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river.  
 In re petition of John H. Riker for an award for assessment for Sixth avenue macadamizing, One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river.  
 In re petition of John H. Riker and others, as executors, for an award for assessment for Sixth avenue macadamizing, One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river.  
 In re petition of John N. Oveibaugh for an award for assessment for Sixth avenue macadamizing, One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river.  
 In re petition of Charles L. Mead for an award for assessment for Sixth avenue sewers, One Hundred and Twenty-ninth to One Hundred and Forty-seventh street.  
 In re petition of Wm. K. Eccles, ex'r, etc., for an award for assessment for Sixth avenue sewers, One Hundred and Twenty-ninth to One Hundred and Forty-seventh street.  
 In re petition of John H. Riker, executor, for an award for assessment for Sixth, Seventh and St. Nicholas avenue sewers, One Hundred and Tenth to One Hundred and Sixteenth street.  
 In re petition of John Webb, for an award for assessment for Seventh avenue sewers, between One Hundred and Twenty-first and One Hundred and Thirty-seventh streets.  
 In re petition of Anna J. Ackerson—For an award for assessment for Seventh avenue paving, One Hundred and Tenth to One Hundred and Fifty-fourth street.  
 In re petition of Wm. B. McKenzie—For an award for assessment for Seventh avenue paving, One Hundred and Tenth to One Hundred and Fifty-fourth street.

In re petition of John W. Burnton } To recover assessment paid for Seventh avenue paving, One Hundred and Tenth to One Hundred and Fifty-fourth street.

In re petition of Julia A. Bennett,	do	do	do
In re petition of Jacob Bookman,	do	do	do
In re petition of Chas. M. Earle, trustee,	do	do	do
In re petition of John R. Ford,	do	do	do
In re petition of Sophia E. Hencken,	do	do	do
In re petition of Ferdinand Kurzman,	do	do	do
In re petition of Chas. O'Neil,	do	do	do
In re petition of Eliza J. Palmer,	do	do	do
In re petition of Alex. M. Ross,	do	do	do
In re petition of Ira Shafer,	do	do	do
In re petition of Bartlett Smith,	do	do	do
In re petition of Richard M. Shaw,	do	do	do
In re petition of Peter S. Schuit,	do	do	do
In re petition of Chas. Stebbins, ex'r,	do	do	do
In re petition of Philip Teets,	do	do	do
In re petition of Emily A. Tubman,	do	do	do
In re petition of John Webb,	do	do	do

In re petition of Julia A. Bennett, } To recover an assessment paid for Sixth avenue macadamizing, from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river.

In re petition of Olivia M. Bigelow,	do	do	do
In re petition of C. F. Bruggerman,	do	do	do
In re petition of Emily O. Butler,	do	do	do
In re petition of Francis A. Coffin,	do	do	do
In re petition of Henry A. Cram,	do	do	do
In re petition of Sarah F. Dayton,	do	do	do
In re petition of Thomas Davenport,	do	do	do
In re petition of John R. Ford,	do	do	do
In re petition of John Gault,	do	do	do
In re petition of Howard R. Griffin, ex'r,	do	do	do
In re petition of Margaret Housman,	do	do	do
In re petition of Behrend Helmcke,	do	do	do
In re petition of William Hustace,	do	do	do
In re petition of Thomas B. Kerr,	do	do	do
In re petition of James King,	do	do	do
In re petition of George W. Loss,	do	do	do
In re petition of Seligman Oppenheimer,	do	do	do
In re petition of Charles O'Neil,	do	do	do
In re petition of John S. Pierce,	do	do	do
In re petition of Sarah Reiner and another,	do	do	do
In re petition of Alexander M. Ross,	do	do	do
In re petition of Antonio Rasines,	do	do	do
In re petition of Charles Salter,	do	do	do
In re petition of John B. Stebbins, ex'r,	do	do	do
In re petition of Richard M. Shaw,	do	do	do
In re petition of James H. Sullivan,	do	do	do
In re petition of Charles White,	do	do	do
In re petition of Max Weil,	do	do	do
In re petition of Eliza Van Houten,	do	do	do

In re petition William H. Beadleston et al.—To recover an assessment paid for macadamizing Sixth avenue, from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river.

In re petition Stephen Murphy—To recover an assessment paid for macadamizing Sixth avenue, from One Hundred and Tenth street to Harlem river.

In re John F. Ryan—To recover an assessment paid for Seventh avenue paving, etc.

In re David King, Jr., and others—To recover an assessment paid for Seventh avenue paving, etc.

In re Hannah M. Halpin—To recover an assessment paid for Seventh avenue paving, etc.

In re petition Hannah M. Halpin—To recover assessment paid for Seventh avenue regulating and grading, etc.

In re petition of Edward Colgrove—For an award for assessment for Seventh avenue sewers, One Hundred and Twenty-first to One Hundred and Thirty-seventh street.

In re petition of John H. Morris—For an award for assessment for Seventh avenue sewers, One Hundred and Twenty-first to One Hundred and Thirty-seventh street.

In re petition of Edward Colgrove—To recover an award for assessment paid for Seventh avenue regulating, etc.

In re petition of John H. Morris—To recover an award for assessment paid for Seventh avenue regulating, etc.

In re petition of James Bogart } To recover award for assessment paid for Sixth avenue macadamizing.

In re petition of Margaret M. Gallagher,	do	do	do
In re petition of Edwin B. Hale,	do	do	do
In re petition of Lewis Johnston,	do	do	do
In re petition of William Mackellar,	do	do	do
In re petition of Michael Murphy,	do	do	do
In re petition of John W. O'Shaunessey,	do	do	do
In re petition of Henry Nugrich,	do	do	do
In re petition of Julia A. Weeks,	do	do	do

In re petition of James Bogart } To recover an award for assessment paid for Seventh avenue paving.

In re petition of Peter P. Cornen,	do	do	do
In re petition of Edward Colgrove,	do	do	do
In re petition of Edwin B. Hale,	do	do	do
In re petition of John Lloyd,	do	do	do
In re petition of John R. Morris,	do	do	do
In re petition of Michael Murphy,	do	do	do

## SCHEDULE "B."

## JUDGMENTS ENTERED AND ORDERS OF THE GENERAL AND SPECIAL TERMS.

In re Miriam F. Leslie, St. Nicholas avenue regulating—Order entered denying motion for reargument.  
 In re Sigmund J. Bach, St. Nicholas avenue regulating—Order entered denying motion for reargument.

In re Harriet A. Walter, St. Nicholas avenue regulating—Order entered denying motion for reargument.

In re Charles E. Appleby, Eleventh avenue paving—General Term order entered remitting back to Special Term for reduction.

Matter of Conrad Sander, One Hundred and Thirty-eighth street award—Order entered directing award to be paid into court, and referring to M. J. Cody to ascertain title. Amount of award, \$2,415.

Matter of Martha Jane McLaughlin, One Hundred and Thirty-eighth street award—Order entered directing Comptroller to pay award into court, and referring to E. L. Parris to ascertain title.

Chas. W. Brandt—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff for \$301.80.

In the matter of opening Boston road and Westchester avenue—Order entered confirming report of Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment.

In re Horace B. Gardner, One Hundred and Forty-fifth street paving, etc.—Order entered to vacate the assessment.

In re James J. Nesmith, One Hundred and Forty-seventh street outlet sewer—Order amending reduction order entered.

In re Frederick A. Post, Manhattan outlet sewer—Order amending reduction order entered.

In re Henry Day, One Hundred and Forty-seventh street outlet sewer—Order amending reduction order entered.

In re Mary G. Pinckney, One Hundred and Tenth street outlet sewer—Order to reduce assessment entered.

In re S. L. M. Barlow, Madison and New avenue sewers—Order to reduce assessment entered.

In re Wm. T. Blodgett, Eleventh avenue sewer—Order to reduce assessment entered.

In re Horace B. Gardner, One Hundred and Forty-fifth street paving—Order to vacate assessment entered.

In re Richard Arnold and another, Sixth avenue sewer—Order to vacate assessment entered.

Chas. D. Ogden—Judgment entered in favor of the city dismissing complaint, and for \$36.92, costs, etc.

Mayor, etc., vs. Wm. H. Brown—Judgment entered in favor of the city for \$2,029.21.

In re James A. and A. K. Striker, Eleventh avenue paving—Order entered to reduce assessment.

In re Chas. E. Appleby, Eleventh avenue paving—Order entered to reduce assessment.

George A. Hoyt, action No. 112—Judgment entered in favor of the city for \$66.18, costs, etc.

Joel Pike—Judgment entered in favor of the city, dismissing complaint, and for \$22.07, costs, etc.

People, ex rel. Eastern Transportation Line vs. Tax Commissioners—General Term order of affirmation entered.

John Meehan—Judgment entered in favor of plaintiff for \$813.55.

Maximo E. Mora, Boulevard sewer—Order entered reducing assessment.

Elizabeth Twogood—Judgment entered dismissing complaint and for \$109.44, costs, etc.

## SCHEDULE "C."

## SUITS AND SPECIAL PROCEEDINGS ARGUED.

Zulia Steam Navigation Company vs. Commissioner of Taxes, etc.—On Special Term Calendar; referee ordered; referee to be agreed upon.

People, ex rel. Jos. F. Belton vs. Park Commissioners—Argued at Court of Appeals; appeal dismissed, without costs.

People, ex rel. Isaac Evans vs. Park Commissioners—Argued at Court of Appeals; appeal dismissed, without costs.

Manuel N. Phillips—Argued at Court of Appeals; decision reserved.

Wm. Terhune—Argued at Court of Appeals; decision reserved.

Application Metropolitan Elevated Railway Company—Reference proceeded.

Margaret A. Dickinson—Tried before Lawrence, J., and jury; complaint dismissed; exceptions ordered to be heard in first instance at General Term.

People, ex rel. Manhattan Elevated Railway Company vs. Board of Aldermen, etc.—Argument of motion for stay, etc., commenced before Donohue, J.

People, ex rel. Western Union Telegraph Company vs. Board of Aldermen, etc.—Argument of motion for stay, etc., commenced before Donohue, J.

People, ex rel. Manhattan Quotation Telegraph Company vs. Board of Aldermen, etc.—Argument of motion for stay, etc., commenced before Donohue, J.

People, ex rel. International Ocean Telegraph Company vs. Board of Aldermen, etc.—Argument of motion for stay, etc., commenced before Donohue, J.

People, ex rel. Gold and Stock Telegraph Company vs. Board of Aldermen, etc.—Argument of motion for stay, etc., commenced before Donohue, J.

People, ex rel. Atlantic and Pacific Telegraph Company vs. Board of Aldermen, etc.—Argument of motion for stay, etc., commenced before Donohue, J.

People, ex rel. American Union Telegraph Company vs. Board of Aldermen, etc.—Argument of motion for stay, etc., commenced before Donohue, J.

John Meehan—Tried before Lawrence, J., and jury; verdict directed for plaintiff for full amount, 5 per cent. extra allowance granted.

Abraham Van Dolsen—Taking of proofs proceeded.

WM. C. WHITNEY, Counsel to the Corporation.

## OFFICIAL DIRECTORY

STATEMENT OF THE HOURS DURING WHICH all the Public Offices in the City are open for business, and at which each Court regularly opens and adjourns, as well as of the places where such offices are kept and such Courts are held; together with the heads of Departments and Courts.

## EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT.

## Mayor's Office.

No. 6 City Hall, 10 A. M. to 3 P. M.  
 WILLIAM R. GRACE, Mayor; WILLIAM M. IVINS, Secretary and Chief Clerk.

## Mayor's Marshal's Office.

No. 1 City Hall, 10 A. M. to 3 P. M.  
 GEORGE A. McDERMOTT, First Marshal.

## Permit Bureau Office.

No. 13½ City Hall, 10 A. M. to 3 P. M.  
 HENRY WOLTMAN, Register.

## Sealers and Inspectors of Weights and Measures.

No. 7 City Hall, 10 A. M. to 3 P. M.  
 WILLIAM EYERS, Sealer First District; CHRISTOPHER BARRY, Sealer Second District; JOHN MURRAY, Inspector First District; JOSEPH SHANNON, Inspector Second District.

## COMMISSIONERS OF ACCOUNTS.

No. 1 County Court-house, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 WM. PITT SHEARMAN, JOHN W. BARROW.

## LEGISLATIVE DEPARTMENT.

## Office of Clerk of Common Council.

No. 8 City Hall, 10 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 WILLIAM SAUER, President Board of Aldermen.  
 FRANCIS J. TWOMEY, Clerk Common Council.

## City Library.

No. 12 City Hall, 10 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 THOS. J. O'CONNELL, Librarian.

## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

## Commissioner's Office.

No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 HUBERT O. THOMPSON, Commissioner; FREDERICK H. HAMLIN, Deputy Commissioner.

## Bureau of Water Register.

No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 JOHN H. CHAMBERS, Register.

## Bureau of Incumbrances.

No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 JOSEPH BLUMENTHAL, Superintendent.

## Bureau of Lamps and Gas.

No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 STEPHEN McCORMICK, Superintendent.

## Bureau of Streets.

No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 JAMES J. MOONEY, Superintendent.

## Engineer in Charge of Sewers.

No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 STEVENSON TOWLE, Engineer-in-Charge.

## Bureau of Street Improvements

No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 GEORGE A. JEREMIAH, Superintendent.

## Bureau of Repairs and Supplies.

No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 THOMAS H. McAVOY, Superintendent.

## Bureau of Water Surveyor

No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 DANIEL O'REILLY, Water Purveyor.

## Keeper of Buildings in City Hall Park.

MARTIN J. KEENE, City Hall.

## Bureau of Chief Engineer.

No. 31 Chambers street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 ISAAC NEWTON, Chief Engineer.

## FINANCE DEPARTMENT.

## Comptroller's Office.

Nos. 19 and 20 New County Court-house, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 ALLAN CAMPBELL, Comptroller; RICHARD A. STORRS, Deputy Comptroller.

## Auditing Bureau.

No. 19 New County Court-house, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 DANIEL JACKSON, Auditor of Accounts.

Bureau for the Collection of Assessments and of Arrears of Taxes and Assessments and of Water Rents.

No. 5 New County Court-house, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 ARTEMAS CADY, Collector of Assessments and Clerk of Arrears.

Bureau for the Collection of City Revenues and of Markets

No. 6 New County Court-house, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 THOMAS F. DeVOR, Collector of City Revenue and Superintendent of Markets.

Bureau for the Collection of Taxes.

First floor Brown-stone Building, City Hall Park.

MARTIN T. McMAHON, Receiver of Taxes; ALFRED VREDENBURG, Deputy Receiver of Taxes.

Bureau of the City Chamberlain.

No. 18 New County Court-house, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 J. NELSON TAPPAN, City Chamberlain.

Office of the City Paymaster.

Room 1, New County Court-house, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 MOOR FALLS, City Paymaster.

## LAW DEPARTMENT

## Office of the Counsel to the Corporation.

Staats Zeitung Building, third floor, 9 A. M. to 5 P. M.  
 Saturday, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.

WILLIAM C. WHITNEY, Counsel to the Corporation.

ANDREW T. CAMPBELL, Chief Clerk.

## Office of the Public Administrator.

No. 49 Beekman street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 ALGERNON S. SULLIVAN, Public Administrator.

## Office of the Corporation Attorney.

No. 49 Beekman street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
 WILLIAM A. BOYD Corporation Attorney.



POLICE DEPARTMENT.

Central Office.

No. 300 Mulberry street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
STEPHEN B. FRENCH, President; SETH C. HAWLEY,  
Chief Clerk.

DEPARTMENT OF CHARITIES AND CORRECTION.

Central Office.

No. 56 Third avenue, corner Eleventh street, 8:30 A. M.  
to 5:30 P. M.  
THOMAS S. BRENNAN, President; GEORGE F. BRITTON,  
Secretary.

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

Headquarters.

Nos. 155 and 157 Mercer street.  
JOHN J. GORMAN, President; CARL JUSSEN, Secretary.  
Bureau of Chief of Department.  
ELI BATES, Chief of Department.

Bureau of Inspector of Combustibles.  
PETER SEERY, Inspector of Combustibles.  
Bureau of Fire Marshal.  
GEORGE H. SHELTON, Fire Marshal.

Bureau of Inspection of Buildings.  
WM. P. ESTERBROOK, Inspector of Buildings.  
Office hours, Headquarters and Bureaus, from 9 A. M.  
to 4 P. M. (Saturdays to 3 P. M.)

Attorney to Department.  
WM. L. FINDLEY, Nos. 155 and 157 Mercer street and  
No. 120 Broadway.

Fire Alarm Telegraph.  
J. ELLIOT SMITH, Superintendent of Telegraph  
Nos. 155 and 157 Mercer street.

Repair Shops.  
Nos. 128 and 130 West Third street.  
JOHN MCCABE, Chief of Battalion-in-Charge, 8 A. M. to  
5 P. M.

Hospital Stables.  
No. 199 Christie street.  
DEREDICK G. GALE, Superintendent of Horses.

HEALTH DEPARTMENT

No. 301 Mott street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
CHARLES F. CHANDLER, President; EMMONS CLARK  
Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC PARKS.

No. 36 Union square, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
EDWARD P. BARKER, Secretary.

Civil and Topographical Office.  
Arsenal, 64th street and 5th avenue, 9 A. M. to 5 P. M.  
Office of Superintendent of 23d and 24th Wards.  
146th street and 3d avenue, 9 A. M. to 5 P. M.

DEPARTMENT OF DOCKS.

Nos. 11 and 119 Duane street, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
JOHN R. VOORHIS, President; JOHN T. CUMING  
Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF TAXES AND ASSESSMENTS  
Brown-stone Building, City Hall Park, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
THOMAS B. ASTEN, President; ALBERT STORER  
Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF STREET CLEANING.

51 Chambers Street, Rooms 10, 11 & 12, 9 A. M. to 4  
P. M.  
JAMES S. COLEMAN, Commissioner; M. J. MORRISON,  
Chief Clerk.

BOARD OF ASSESSORS.

Office, City Hall, Room No. 11 1/2, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
JOHN R. LYDECKER, Chairman; WM. H. JASPER,  
Secretary.

BOARD OF EXCISE.

Corner Bond street and Bowery, 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
WILLIAM P. MITCHELL, President; ANTHONY HARTMAN  
Chief Clerk.

SHERIFF'S OFFICE.

Nos. 3 and 4 New County Court-house 9 A. M. to 4 P. M.  
PETER BOWE, Sheriff; JOEL O. STEVENS, Under Sheriff

FIRE DEPARTMENT.

HEADQUARTERS  
FIRE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF NEW YORK,  
155 AND 157 MERCER STREET,  
NEW YORK, March 1, 1882.

TO CONTRACTORS.

SEALED PROPOSALS FOR FURNISHING THIS  
Department with two (2) new boilers to Steam Fire  
Engines Nos. 9 and 18, and making repairs to said engines,  
will be received by the Board of Commissioners at the  
head of the Fire Department, at the office of said De-  
partment, Nos. 155 and 157 Mercer street, in the City of  
New York, until 10 o'clock A. M., Wednesday, 15th instant,  
at which time and place they will be publicly opened by  
the head of said Department and read.

The award of the contract will be made as soon as  
practicable after the opening of the bids.  
Any person making an estimate for the work shall pre-  
sent the same in a sealed envelope, to said Board, at  
said office, on or before the day and hour above named,  
which envelope shall be indorsed with the name or names  
of the person or persons presenting the same, the date of  
its presentation, and a statement of the work to which it  
relates.

The boilers to be in all respects as to form and con-  
struction exactly similar to that now on Engine No. 21 of  
this Department, being M. R. Clapp's Circulating  
Tubular Boiler, patent of 1878.

The engines are to be delivered at the Repair Shops of  
the Fire Department within 90 days after the execu-  
tion of the contract, in complete working order, with a  
guarantee that the material and workmanship are of the  
best character, and to replace, at the expense of the con-  
tractor, such parts, if any, as may fail, if such failure is  
properly attributable to defective material or inferior  
workmanship. Said engines shall have a full and com-  
plete trial of their working powers at New York, under  
the superintendence of a competent engineer.

For information as to the amount and kind of work to  
be done, bidders are referred to the specifications which  
form part of these proposals.

The damages to be paid by the contractor for each day  
that the contract may be unfulfilled after the time speci-  
fied for the completion thereof shall have expired, are,  
by a clause in the contract, fixed and liquidated at twenty-  
five (\$25) dollars per day.

The Fire Department reserves the right to decline any  
and all bids or estimates if deemed to be for the public  
interest. No bid or estimate will be accepted from, or  
contract awarded to, any person who is in arrears to the  
Corporation upon debt or contract, or who is a defaulter,

as surety or otherwise, upon any obligation to the Corpo-  
ration.

Each bid or estimate shall contain and state the name  
and place of residence of each of the persons making the  
same; the names of all persons interested with him or  
them therein; and if no other person be so interested, it  
shall distinctly state that fact; that it is made without  
any connection with any other person making an estimate  
for the same purpose, and is in all respects fair and with-  
out collusion or fraud; and that no member of the Com-  
mon Council, head of a Department, Chief of a Bureau,  
Deputy thereof, or Clerk therein, or other officer of the  
Corporation, is directly or indirectly interested therein, or  
in the supplies or work to which it relates, or in any por-  
tion of the profits thereof. The bid or estimate must be  
verified by the oath, in writing, of the party or parties  
making the estimate, that the several matters stated  
therein are in all respects true. Where more than one  
person is interested, it is requisite that the verification be  
made and subscribed by all the parties interested.

Each bid or estimate shall be accompanied by the con-  
sent, in writing, of two householders or freeholders of the  
City of New York, with their respective places of business  
or residence, to the effect that if the contract be awarded  
to the person making the estimate, they will, on its being  
so awarded, become bound as his sureties for its faithful  
performance in a sum not less than one-half the amount of  
the estimate; and that if he shall omit or refuse to exe-  
cute the same, they will pay to the Corporation any differ-  
ence between the sum to which he would be entitled on  
its completion and that which the Corporation may be  
obliged to pay to the person or persons to whom the  
contract may be awarded, at any subsequent letting; the  
amount in each case to be calculated upon the estimated  
amount of the work by which the bids are tested. The  
consent above mentioned shall be accompanied by the  
oath or affirmation, in writing, of each of the persons sign-  
ing the same, that he is a householder or freeholder in the  
City of New York, and is worth the amount of the security  
required for the completion of this contract, over and  
above all his debts of every nature, and over and above  
his liabilities as bail, surety, or otherwise; and that he  
has offered himself as a surety in good faith and with the  
intention to execute the bond required by law. The  
adequacy and sufficiency of the security offered is to be ap-  
proved by the Comptroller of the City of New York before  
the award is made, and prior to the signing of the con-  
tract.

No estimate will be received or considered after the  
hour named.

No estimate will be considered unless accompanied by  
either a certified check upon one of the National Banks  
of the City of New York, drawn to the order of the  
Comptroller, or money, to the amount of five per centum  
of the amount of the security required for the faithful  
performance of the contract. Such check or money  
must not be included in the sealed envelope containing  
the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or clerk of  
the Department who has charge of the Estimate Box, and  
no estimate can be deposited in said box until such check  
or money has been examined by said officer or clerk, and  
found to be correct. All such deposits, except that of the  
successful bidder, will be returned to the persons making  
the same, within three days after the contract is awarded.  
If the successful bidder shall refuse or neglect within five  
days after notice that the contract has been awarded to  
him, to execute the same, the amount of the deposit made  
by him shall be forfeited and retained by the City of  
New York as liquidated damages for such neglect or  
refusal; but if he shall execute the contract within the  
time aforesaid, the amount of his deposit will be returned  
to him.

Should the person or persons to whom the contract may  
be awarded neglect or refuse to accept the contract within  
five days after written notice that the same has been  
awarded to his or their bid or proposal, or if he or they  
accept but do not execute the contract and give the  
proper security, he or they shall be considered as having  
abandoned it and as in default to the Corporation, and the  
contract will be re-advertised and relet as provided by  
law.

Bidders will write out the amount of their estimate, in  
addition to inserting the same in figures.

The form of the agreement and specifications, and  
showing the manner of payment for the work, may be  
seen and forms of proposals may be obtained at the office  
of the Department.

JOHN J. GORMAN,  
CORNELIUS VAN COTT,  
HENRY D. PURROY,  
Commissioners.

HEADQUARTERS  
FIRE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF NEW YORK,  
155 AND 157 MERCER STREET,  
NEW YORK, March 2, 1882.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT SEVEN  
(7) horses (numbered respectively 28, 35, 49, 84, 93,  
103, and 179) will be sold at public auction to the highest  
bidder, for cash, on Tuesday, 7th instant, at 12 o'clock M.,  
by Van Tassel & Kearney, auctioneers, at Nos. 110 and  
112 East Thirteenth street.

JOHN J. GORMAN,  
CORNELIUS VAN COTT,  
HENRY D. PURROY,  
Commissioners.

HEADQUARTERS  
FIRE DEPARTMENT, CITY OF NEW YORK,  
155 AND 157 MERCER STREET,  
NEW YORK, September 23, 1881.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE  
Board of Commissioners of this Department will  
meet daily, at 10 o'clock A. M., for the transaction of  
business.

By order of  
JOHN J. GORMAN, President.  
CORNELIUS VAN COTT,  
HENRY D. PURROY,  
Commissioners.  
CARL JUSSEN,  
Secretary.

DEPARTMENT OF DOCKS.

DEPARTMENT OF DOCKS,  
Nos. 117 and 119 Duane Street,  
NEW YORK, February 21, 1882.

TO CONTRACTORS.

(No. 152.)  
PROPOSALS FOR REPAIRING  
PIER 15, EAST RIVER, AND ITS BULKHEAD  
AND KETURN.  
(One-half of which is not owned by the Corporation of the  
City of New York.)

ESTIMATES FOR REPAIRING PIER 15 AND  
its bulkhead and return, near the foot of Wall street,  
East river, will be received by the Board of Commis-  
sioners at the head of the Department of Docks, at  
the office of said Department, Nos. 117 and 119 Duane  
street, in the City of New York, until 12 o'clock M. of

WEDNESDAY, MARCH 8, 1882,  
at which time and place the estimates will be publicly  
opened by the head of said Department. The award of  
the contract, if awarded, will be made as soon as practi-  
cable after the opening of the bids.

One-half of said Pier 15, is owned by the Corporation of  
the City of New York, and the other half by A. Newbold  
Morris, Trustee, James H. Jones, and Cordelia S. Ste-  
ward, all of whom are represented by

JOHN F. DOYLE, OF 62 WALL STREET, as Agent,  
and the contract for the work will not be awarded unless  
the price named by the lowest bidder shall be satisfactory  
to said owners. Such contract, if awarded, will be en-  
tered into by the Department of Docks on behalf of the  
Mayor, Aldermen, and Commonalty of the City of New  
York, and by said owners on their own account, the City  
becoming liable for one-half only of the expense, the  
other one-half to be borne and paid for to the contractor  
by said owners.

Any person making an estimate for the work shall fur-

nish the same in a sealed envelope to said Board, at said  
office, on or before the day and hour above named, which  
envelope shall be indorsed with the name or names of the  
person or persons presenting the same, the date of its pre-  
sentation, and a statement of the work to which it re-  
lates.

The bidder to whom the award is made shall give  
security for the faithful performance of the contract, in  
the manner prescribed and required by ordinance, in the  
sum of Five thousand Dollars.

The Engineer's estimate of the nature, quantities, and  
extent of the work, is as follows:

	Feet B. M., measured in the work.
1. Yellow Pine Timber (sawed) 12" x 12"....	14,400
" " " 12" x 12"....	67,044
" " " 6" x 12"....	5,010
" " " 6" plank....	7,890
" " " 3" x 10"....	6,125
" " " 4" plank....	45,288
Total.....	145,763

2. Yellow Pine Timber (hewed or sawed) 12" x 12"  
70,140 feet B. M., measured in the work.  
3. North Carolina Yellow Pine or Spruce Timber, 3"  
plank, 44,960 feet B. M., measured in the work.

NOTE.—The above quantities are exclusive of extra  
lengths required for scars, laps, etc., and of waste.  
4. Spruce, Yellow Pine, White Pine, or Cy-  
press piles, about..... 300

5. White Pine Piles..... 5  
(It is expected that the vertical piles will be from  
45 to 65 feet in length, but all of them must be of  
sufficient length to comply with the specifications for  
the work, as set forth in the approved form of con-  
tract.)

6. White Pine mooring posts..... 6

7. Half-round oak fenders..... 114

8. Cr. b ties and flooring logs, about..... 800 pieces.

It is expected that about one quarter of the above  
number of pieces may be had from the old work.

9. 3/4" x 9", 3/4" x 12", 3/4" x 18", 3/4" x 18",  
3/4" x 18", 3/4" x 18", 3/4" x 18", 3/4" x 18",  
7-16" x 9", and 7-16" x 6" square, and  
3/4" x 12", round, wrought-iron dock  
spikes, bolts, and 6" cut spikes,  
about..... 19,885 pounds.

10. 1" Wrought-iron screw bolts, about..... 2,000 pounds.

11. Cast-iron washers for 1" screw-bolts,  
and cast-iron pile shoes, about..... 3,195 pounds.

12. Wrought-iron corner bands, about..... 648 pounds.

13. Crib-stone (in addition to that in the  
old work), about..... 400 cub. yards.

14. Belgian pavement (to be laid in clean  
sand), about..... 156 sq. yards.

15. Labor of framing and carpentry, including all  
moving of timber, jolting, planing, bolting,  
spiking, painting, oiling or tarring, and furnishing  
the materials for painting, oiling or tarring,  
and labor of every description, for an area of  
about 14,416 square feet of pier and about 756  
square feet of bulkhead and return.

16. Labor of removing the pier and a portion of the  
bulkhead near the foot of Wall street, E. R., and  
of removing all the old material from the premises.

N. B.—As the above mentioned quantities, though  
stated with as much accuracy as is possible, in advance,  
are approximate only, bidders are required to submit  
their estimates upon the following express conditions,  
which shall apply to and become part of every estimate  
received:

1st. Bidders must satisfy themselves by personal examina-  
tion of the location of the proposed work, and by such  
other means as they may prefer, as to the accuracy of the  
foregoing Engineer's estimate, and shall not, at any time,  
after the submission of an estimate, dispute or com-  
plain of the above statement of quantities, nor assert  
that there was any misunderstanding in regard to the  
nature or amount of the work to be done.

2d. Bidders will be required to complete: the entire work  
to the satisfaction of the Department of Docks, and  
in substantial accordance with the specifications of the  
contract. No extra compensation, beyond the amount  
payable for the work before mentioned, which shall be  
actually performed at the price therefor to be specified  
by the lowest bidder, shall be due or payable for the  
entire work.

The work to be done under the contract is to be com-  
menced within five days after the date of the contract,  
and the entire work is to be fully completed on or before  
the 15th day of June, 1882, and the damages to be paid  
by the contractor for each day that the contract may be  
unfulfilled after the time fixed for the fulfillment thereof  
has expired, Sundays and holidays not to be excepted,  
are, by a clause in the contract, fixed and liquidated  
at fifty dollars per day.

All the old material taken from the pier and bulkhead,  
to be removed, will be relinquished to the contractor, and  
bidders must estimate the value of such material when  
considering the price for which they will do the work  
under the contract.

Bidders will state in their estimates a price for the  
whole of the work to be done, in conformity with the ap-  
proved form of contract and the specifications therein  
set forth, by which price the bids will be tested. This price  
is to cover all expenses of every kind involved in or inci-  
dental to the fulfillment of the contract, including any  
claim that may arise through delay, from any cause, in  
the performing of the work thereunder.

Bidders will distinctly write out, both in words and in  
figures, the amount of their estimates for doing this work.

The person or persons to whom the contract may be  
awarded will be required to attend at this office with the  
sureties offered by him or them, and execute the contract  
within five days from the date of the service of a notice to  
that effect; and in case of failure or neglect so to do, he  
or they will be considered as having abandoned it, and as  
in default to the Corporation; and the contract will be re-  
advertised and relet, and so on until it be accepted and  
executed.

Bidders are required to state in their estimates their  
names and places of residence; the names of all persons  
interested with them therein; and if no other person be so  
interested, the estimate shall distinctly state the fact; so  
that the estimate is made without any connection with any  
other person making an estimate for the same work, and  
that it is in all respects fair, and without collusion or fraud;  
and also, that no member of the Common Council, Head  
of a Department, Chief of a Bureau, Deputy thereof, or  
Clerk therein, or other officer of the Corporation, is di-  
rectly or indirectly interested therein, or in the supplies  
or work to which it relates, or in any portion of the profits  
thereof; which estimate must be verified by the oath, in  
writing, of the party making the estimate, that the several  
matters stated therein are in all respects true. Where  
more than one person is interested, it is requisite that  
the verification be made and subscribed by all the  
parties interested.

Each estimate shall be accompanied by the consent,  
in writing, of two householders or freeholders in the  
City of New York, with their respective places of busi-  
ness or residence, to the effect that if the contract be  
awarded to the person or persons making the estimate,  
they will, upon its being so awarded, become bound as his  
or their sureties for its faithful performance; and that if  
said person or persons shall omit or refuse to execute the  
contract, they will pay to the Corporation of the City of  
New York, and to A. Newbold Morris, Trustee, and  
others, owners of the southerly half of said pier, one-half  
to each, any difference between the sum to which said  
person would be entitled on its completion, and that  
which said Corporation and said owners may be obliged  
to pay to the person to whom the contract may be awarded  
at any subsequent letting; the amount in each case to be  
calculated upon the estimated amount of the work to be  
done by which the bids are tested. The consent above  
mentioned shall be accompanied by the oath or affirma-  
tion, in writing, of each of the persons signing the  
same, that he is a householder or freeholder in the City  
of New York, and is worth the amount of the security  
required for the completion of the contract, over and above  
all his debts of every nature, and over and above his li-  
abilities as bail, surety and otherwise; and that he has offered  
himself as surety in good faith, and with the intention to

execute the bond required by law. The adequacy and  
sufficiency of the security offered to be approved by the  
Comptroller of the City of New York, and A. Newbold  
Morris, Trustee, and others, owners of the southerly half  
of said pier, represented by John F. Doyle, as agent,  
after the award is made and prior to the signing of the  
contract.

No estimate will be received or considered unless  
accompanied by either a certified check upon one of the  
National Banks of the City of New York, drawn to the  
order of the Comptroller, or money to the amount of five  
per centum of the amount of security required for the  
faithful performance of the contract. Such check or  
money must not be included in the sealed envelope con-  
taining the estimate, but must be handed to the officer or  
clerk of the Department who has charge of the Estimate-  
box, and no estimate can be deposited in said box until such  
check or money has been examined by said officer or  
clerk, and found to be correct. All such deposits,  
except that of the successful bidder, will be returned  
to the persons making the same, within three days after  
the contract is awarded. If the successful bidder  
shall refuse or neglect within five days after notice  
that the contract has been awarded to him, to execute the  
same, the amount of the deposit made by him shall be  
forfeited and retained by the City of New York, as li-  
quidated damages for such neglect or refusal; but if he  
shall execute the contract within the time aforesaid, the  
amount of his deposit will be returned to him.

Bidders are informed that no deviation from the speci-  
fications will be allowed, unless under the written instruc-  
tions of the Engineer-in-Chief.

No estimate will be accepted from, or contract awarded  
to, any person who is in arrears to the Corporation, upon  
debt or contract, or who is a defaulter, as surety or other-  
wise, upon any obligation to the Corporation.

The right to decline all the estimates is reserved, if  
deemed for the interest of the Corporation of the City of  
New York, or of A. Newbold Morris, Trustee, and others,  
represented by John F. Doyle, as agent, owners of the  
southerly half of said pier.

Bidders are requested, in making their bids or es-  
timates, to use the blank prepared for that purpose by the  
Department, a copy of which, together with the form of  
the agreement, including specifications, and showing the  
manner of payment for the work, can be obtained upon  
application therefor at the office of the Department.

JOHN R. VOORHIS,  
JACOB VANDERPOEL,  
WILLIAM LAIMBEER,  
Commissioners of the Department of Docks.

SUPREME COURT.

In the matter of the application of the Mayor, Aldermen,  
and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to  
the opening of Sixty-fourth street, from Third avenue  
to the East river, in the City of New York.

WE, THE UNDERSIGNED COMMISSIONERS  
of Estimate and Assessment in the above-entitled  
matter, hereby give notice to the owner or owners, occu-  
pant or occupants, of all houses and lots and improved or  
unimproved lands affected thereby, and to all others  
whom it may concern, to wit:

First.—That we have completed our estimate and  
assessment, and that all persons interested in these pro-  
ceedings, or in any of the lands affected thereby, who  
may be opposed to the same, do present their objections  
in writing, duly verified, to Joseph W. Meeks, Esq., our  
Chairman, at the office of the Commissioners, No. 82  
Nassau street (Room No. 22, in the said city, on or  
before the 4th day of April, 1882, and that we, the said  
Commissioners, will hear parties so objecting within the  
ten week-days next after the said 4th day of April, 1882,  
and for that purpose will be in attendance at our said office  
on each of said ten days, at two o'clock P. M.

Second.—That the abstract of the said estimate and  
assessment, together with our maps, and also all the affi-  
davits, estimates, and other documents which were used  
by us in making our report, have been deposited in the  
office of the Department of Public Works in the City of  
New York, there to remain until the 12th day of April,  
1882.

Third.—That the limits embraced by the assessment  
aforesaid are as follows, to wit: All those lots, pieces, or  
parcels of land, lying and being within the following  
described area:

Beginning at a point on the easterly line or side of  
Fourth avenue, distant one hundred feet and five inches  
northerly from the point formed by the intersection of the  
northerly line or side of Sixty-fourth street; with the  
easterly line or side of Fourth avenue, and running  
thence easterly and parallel with Sixty-fourth street to  
the Harbor Commissioner's bulkhead line on the East  
river; thence southerly along said Harbor Commissioner's  
line to the centre line of the block between Sixty-fourth  
and Sixty-third streets; and running thence westerly  
along said centre line to the easterly line of the Fourth  
avenue, and thence northerly along the easterly line of  
Fourth avenue to the point or place of beginning.

Fourth.—That our report herein will be presented to  
the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special  
Term thereof, to be held at the Chambers of said court,  
in the County Court-house at the City Hall, in the City  
of New York, on the 13th day of April, 1882, at the open-  
ing of the Court on that day, and that then and there, or  
as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, a  
motion will be made that the said report be confirmed.

Dated New York, February 25, 1882.  
JOSEPH W. MECKS,  
EDMOND CONNOLLY,  
LUKE F. COZANS,  
Commissioners.

In the matter of the application of the Department of  
Public Works, for and on behalf of the Mayor, Alder-  
men, and Commonalty of the City of New York, rela-  
tive to the opening of One Hundred and Fourteenth  
street, from Fourth avenue to Eighth avenue, in the  
City of New York.

PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH  
cases made and provided, notice is hereby given  
that an application will be made to the Supreme Court  
of the State of New York, at a Special Term of said Court,  
to be held at the Chambers thereof in the County Court-  
house, in the City of New York, on Tuesday, the twenty-  
eighth day of March, 1882, at the opening of the Court  
on that day or soon thereafter as counsel can be heard  
thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Esti-  
mate and Assessment in the above entitled matter. The  
extent and nature of the improvement hereby intended is  
the acquisition of title in the name and on behalf of the  
Mayor, Aldermen, and Commonalty of the City of New  
York, to all the lands and premises, with the buildings  
thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, re-  
quired for the opening of One Hundred and Fourteenth  
street, from Fourth avenue to Eighth avenue, being the  
following described pieces or parcels of land, bounded  
and described as follows, viz:

Beginning at a point in the easterly line of Eighth  
avenue, distant two hundred and one foot ten inches  
(201' 10") southerly from the southerly side of One  
Hundred and Fifteenth street; thence easterly and par-  
allel with said street, seven hundred and seventy-five  
feet (775' 0") to the westerly line of Seventh avenue;  
thence southerly along said line sixty feet (60' 0");  
thence westerly seven hundred and seventy-five feet  
(775' 0") to the easterly line of Eighth avenue; thence  
northerly along said line sixty feet (60' 0") to the point  
or place of beginning.

Also, beginning at a point in the easterly line of Seventh  
avenue, distant two hundred and one foot ten inches  
(201' 10") southerly from the southerly side of One Hun-  
dred and Fifteenth street; thence easterly and parallel  
with said street, one hundred and thirty-nine feet eight  
inches (139' 8") to the westerly line



(201' 10") southerly from the southerly line of One Hundred and Fifteenth street; thence westerly and parallel with said street four hundred and ninety-three feet (493' 0") to the easterly line of Avenue S. Nicholas; thence southerly and along said line seventy feet five inches (70' 5"); thence easterly four hundred and fifty-six feet two inches (456' 2") to the westerly line of Sixth avenue; thence northerly along said line sixty feet (60' 0") to the point or place of beginning.

Also, beginning at a point in the easterly line of Sixth avenue, distant two hundred and one feet ten inches (201' 10") southerly from the southerly line of One Hundred and Fifteenth street; thence easterly and parallel with said street eight hundred and ninety-five feet (895' 0") to the westerly line of Fifth avenue; thence southerly along said line sixty feet (60' 0"); thence westerly eight hundred and ninety-five feet (895' 0") to the easterly line of Sixth avenue; thence northerly along said line sixty feet (60' 0") to the point or place of beginning.

Also, beginning at a point in the easterly line of Fifth avenue, distant two hundred and one feet ten inches (201' 10") southerly from the southerly line of One Hundred and Fifteenth street; thence easterly and parallel with said street four hundred and twenty feet (420' 0") to the westerly line of Madison avenue; thence southerly and along said line sixty feet (60' 0"); thence westerly four hundred and twenty feet (420' 0") to the easterly line of Fifth avenue; thence northerly along said line sixty feet (60' 0") to the point or place of beginning.

Also, beginning at a point in the easterly line of Madison avenue, distant two hundred and one feet ten inches (201' 10") southerly from the southerly line of One Hundred and Fifteenth street; thence easterly and parallel with said street four hundred feet (400' 0"); to the westerly line of Fourth avenue; thence southerly and along said line sixty feet (60' 0"); thence westerly four hundred feet (400' 0") to the easterly line of Madison avenue; thence northerly along said line sixty feet (60' 0") to the point or place of beginning.

Said street to be sixty feet (60' 0") wide between the lines of Fourth and Eighth avenues.

Dated New York, Feb. 25, 1882.

WILLIAM C. WHITNEY,  
Counsel to the Corporation,  
Tryon Row, N. Y. City.

In the matter of the application of the Commissioners of Central Park for and in behalf of the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to the opening of Seventy-fourth street, from Eighth avenue to the Hudson river, in the City of New York.

**WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, COMMISSIONERS** of Estimate and Assessment in the above entitled matter, hereby give notice to the owner or owners, occupant or occupants, of all houses and lots and improved or unimproved lands affected thereby, and to all others whom it may concern, to wit:

That our report herein will be presented to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term of said Court, to be held at the Chambers thereof in the County Court-house in the City of New York, on the 28th day of March, 1882, at the opening of the Court on that day, and that then and there or as soon thereafter as Counsel can be heard thereon, a motion will be made that the said report be confirmed.

Dated New York, February 18, 1882.

FREDERICK SMYTH,  
JACOB F. OAKLEY,  
WILLIAM M. TWEED, JR.,  
Commissioners.

In the matter of the application of the Commissioners of the Department of Public Parks, for and on behalf of the Mayor, Aldermen, and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to acquiring right and title to certain land required for a certain public park or parks, square or squares, or place or places, at or near the intersections of Sedgwick avenue with Mott and Walton avenues, in the Twenty-third Ward of the City of New York (Cedar Park).

**PURSUANT TO THE STATUTES IN SUCH** cases made and provided, notice is hereby given that an application will be made to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term of said Court, to be held at the Chambers thereof in the County Court-house, in the City of New York, on Tuesday, the 14th day of March, 1882, at the opening of the court on that day, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, for the appointment of Commissioners of Estimate and Assessment in the above entitled matter—the nature and extent of the improvement hereby intended is the acquisition of title, in the name and on behalf of the Mayor, Aldermen, and Commonalty of the City of New York, to all the lands and premises, with the buildings thereon and the appurtenances thereto belonging, required for the purposes of a public park or parks, square or squares, or place or places, at or near the intersections of Sedgwick avenue with Mott and Walton avenues, in the Twenty-third Ward of the City of New York, being the following described lots, pieces or parcels of land, viz:

Beginning at a point (the intersection of the western line of Mott avenue with the southern line of Juliet or One Hundred and Fifty-eighth street) distant 5,725 9-10 feet easterly from the eastern line of Tenth avenue, measured at right angles to the same from a point 682 72-100 feet northerly from the southeastern corner of One Hundred and Fifty-fifth street and Tenth avenue. 1. Thence southerly along a line whose direction is 4° 40' 48" southeast of that of the eastern line of Tenth avenue for 447 84-100 feet. 2. Thence to the left on the arc of a circle, tangent to the preceding course, and whose radius is 535 feet for 257 9-10 feet. 3. Thence reversing to the right on the arc of a circle, tangent to the preceding course, and whose radius is 465 feet for 224 15-100 feet. 4. Thence southerly and tangent to the preceding course for 210 4-100 feet. 5. Thence deflecting to the left 4° 22' 58.8" southerly for 419 87-100 feet. 6. Thence to the right on the arc of a circle, tangent to the preceding course, and whose radius is 265 feet for 149 21-100 feet. 7. Thence southerly and tangent to the preceding course for 51 23-100 feet. 8. Thence to the right on the arc of a circle, tangent to the preceding course, and whose radius is 17 7-10 feet for 40 62-100 feet. 9. Thence reversing to the left on the arc of a circle, tangent to the preceding course, and whose radius is 360 feet for 207 34-100 feet. 10. Thence northerly and tangent to the preceding course for 355 21-100 feet. 11. Thence deflecting 52° 09' 29" to the right northeasterly for 1,122 39-100 feet. 12. Thence deflecting 1° 47' 36.6" to the right northeasterly for 248 87-100 feet. 13. Thence deflecting 92° 16' 12" to the right easterly for 376 91-100 feet to the point of beginning.

The above described parcel being the block included between Mott, Walton, and Sedgwick avenues, and Juliet street.

Also beginning at a point (the intersection of the southwestern line of Sedgwick avenue with the eastern line of Walton avenue) distant 5,494 58-100 feet easterly from the eastern line of Tenth avenue, measured at right angles to the same from a point 771 57-100 southerly from the southeastern corner of One Hundred and Fifty-fifth street and Tenth avenue. 1. Thence southerly along a line whose direction is 58° 17' 11.3" southeast of that of the eastern line of Tenth avenue for 310 49-100 feet. 2. Thence to the right on the arc of a circle, tangent to the preceding course, and whose radius is 300 feet for 279 31-100 feet. 3. Thence southerly, on a tangent to the preceding course for 17 74-100 feet. 4. Thence, deflecting 126° 39' 42" to the right, northeasterly for 435 63-100 feet. 5. Thence deflecting 46° 57' 33" to the right northerly for 184 82-100 feet to the point of beginning.

The above described parcel being the block included between Sedgwick, Mott, and Walton avenues and the Spuyten Duyvil and Port Morris Railroad.

Also beginning at a point (the intersection of the southwestern line of Sedgwick avenue with the eastern line of Girard avenue) distant 5,218 83-100 feet easterly from the eastern line of Tenth avenue, measured at right angles to the same from a point 602 25-100 feet southerly from the southeastern corner of One Hundred and Fifty-fifth street and Tenth avenue. 1. Thence southeasterly on a line whose direction is 58° 17' 56.2" southeast of that of the eastern line of Tenth avenue for 242 3-100 feet. 2. Thence deflecting 46° 58' 10" to the right southerly for

184 79-100 feet. 3. Thence deflecting 133° 02' 27" to the right northwesterly for 267 36-100 feet. 4. Thence deflecting 53° 20' 17" to the right northerly for 168 31-100 feet to the point of beginning.

The above described parcel being the block included between Sedgwick, Walton, and Girard avenues, and the Spuyten Duyvil and Port Morris Railroad.

Being all of those pieces or parcels of land shown on a map or maps, dated August 1, 1881, and filed by the Commissioners of the Department of Public Parks, in the office of the Department of Public Parks, the office of the Register of the City and County of New York, and in the office of the Secretary of State of the State of New York.

Dated New York, February 11, 1882.

WILLIAM C. WHITNEY,  
Counsel to the Corporation,  
Tryon Row, N. Y. City.

In the matter of the application of the Department of Public Works for and in behalf of the Mayor, Aldermen and Commonalty of the City of New York, relative to the opening of One Hundred and Twenty-second street, between Fourth and Madison avenues, in the City of New York.

**WE, THE UNDERSIGNED, COMMISSIONERS** of Estimate and Assessment in the above entitled matter, hereby give notice to the owner or owners, occupant or occupants, of all houses and lots, improved or unimproved lands affected thereby, and to all others whom it may concern, to wit:

That we have completed our estimate and assessment, and that all persons interested in these proceedings, or in any of the lands affected thereby, and who may be opposed to the same, do present their objections in writing, duly verified, to Nevin W. Butler, Esq., our Chairman, at the office of the Commissioners, No. 291 Broadway (Room No. 27), in the said city, on or before the twentieth day of March, 1882, and that we, the said Commissioners, will hear parties so objecting within the ten week days next after the said 20th day of March, 1882, and for that purpose will be in attendance at our said office on each of said ten days, at 3 o'clock P. M.

That the abstract of the said estimate and assessment, together with our maps, and also all the affidavits, estimates, and other documents which were used by us in making our report, have been deposited in the office of the Department of Public Works in the City of New York, there to remain until the twenty-seventh day of March, 1882.

That the limits embraced by the assessment aforesaid are as follows, to wit: All those lots, pieces or parcels of land, lying and being within the following described area: Beginning at a point on the easterly line or side of Madison avenue, distant one hundred feet and eleven inches southerly from a point formed by the intersection of the southerly line or side of One Hundred and Twenty-second street with the easterly line or side of Madison avenue, running thence easterly and parallel with One Hundred and Twenty-second street four hundred and five feet to the westerly line or side of Fourth avenue; thence northerly along said westerly line or side of Fourth avenue to the centre of the block, between One Hundred and Twenty-second and One Hundred and Twenty-third streets; thence westerly and parallel with One Hundred and Twenty-second street four hundred and five feet to the easterly line or side of Madison avenue, thence southerly and parallel with Madison avenue to the point or place of beginning, excepting therefrom the land in One Hundred and Twenty-second street, between Madison and Fourth avenues.

That our report herein will be presented to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, at a Special Term thereof, to be held at the Chambers in the County Court-house, in the City Hall, in the City of New York, on the thirtieth day of March, 1882, at the opening of the Court on that day, and that then and there, or as soon thereafter as counsel can be heard thereon, a motion will be made that the said report be confirmed.

Dated New York, February 9, 1882.

NEVIN W. BUTLER,  
ISAAC T. SMITH,  
AUGUSTUS J. REQUIER,  
Commissioners.

ARTHUR BERRY,  
Clerk.

## DEPARTMENT OF TAXES AND ASSESSMENTS.

DEPARTMENT OF TAXES AND ASSESSMENTS,  
No. 32 CHAMBERS STREET,  
NEW YORK, January 9, 1882.

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT** THE books of Annual Record of the assessed valuation of Real and Personal Estate of the City and County of New York for the year 1882, will be opened for inspection and revision, on and after Monday, January 9, 1882, and will remain open until the 30th day of April, 1882, inclusive, for the correction of errors and the equalization of the assessments of the aforesaid real and personal estate.

All persons believing themselves aggrieved must make application to the Commissioners during the period above mentioned, in order to obtain the relief provided by law. By order of the Board.

ALBERT STORER,  
Secretary.

## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC CHARITIES AND CORRECTION,  
No. 66 THIRD AVENUE,  
NEW YORK, February 28, 1882.

**IN ACCORDANCE WITH AN ORDINANCE** of the Common Council, "In relation to the burial of strangers or unknown persons who may die in any of the public institutions of the City of New York," the Commissioners of Public Charities and Correction report as follows:

At Charity Hospital, Blackwell's Island—Catherine Hyland; age 38 years.  
At Alms-house, Blackwell's Island—Mary Flaherty; age 60 years.

At Work-house, Blackwell's Island—William Stewart; age 39 years; committed January 26, 1882.

At Lunatic Asylum, Blackwell's Island—Rosanna Griffin; age 27 years; 5 feet 1½ inches high; brown hair; blue eyes. Had on when admitted black sack, gray suit, straw hat, calico dress.

At Homoeopathic Hospital, Ward's Island—John Murray; age 60 years; 5 feet 7 inches high; hazel eyes; gray hair. Had on when admitted dark suit of clothes, gaiters.

Harry Conlon; age 75 years; 5 feet 2 inches high; black eyes; gray hair. Had on when admitted black overcoat, brown pants, gray vest, gaiters, black derby hat.

James Ford; age 58 years; 5 feet 7 inches high; blue eyes; gray hair. Had on when admitted brown overcoat, black suit of clothes, gaiters.

Ellen O'Leary; age 59 years; 5 feet high; gray eyes; brown hair. Had on when admitted dark skirt, brown cardigan jacket, black shawl, black hood, gaiters.

Henry Lenz; age 52 years; 5 feet 6 inches high; brown eyes and hair. Had on when admitted blue coat and vest, black pants, check shirt, gaiters.

Margaret McCabe; age 71 years; 4 feet 10 inches high; gray eyes and hair. Had on when admitted brown skirt, black shawl, black straw hat.

Jane Hanneman; age 38 years; 5 feet 4 inches high; brown eyes; dark hair. Had on when admitted black dress, red plaid shawl.

Ann Brady; age 44 years; 4 feet 10 inches high; blue eyes; gray hair.

At N. Y. City Asylum for Insane, Ward's Island—Thomas Thompson; 5 feet 4½ inches high; gray hair; blue eyes.

Nothing known of their friends or relatives.

By order.

G. F. BRITTON,  
Secretary.

## FINANCE DEPARTMENT.

WILLIAM KENNELLY, AUCTIONEER.

### SALE OF FERRY FRANCHISE.

**THE FRANCHISE TO RUN THE FERRY** established by a resolution of the Common Council, passed February 14, 1882, from a point at or near the foot of Liberty street, North river, in the City of New York, to and from Communipaw, or to some street or avenue contiguous or adjacent thereto, as the purchaser of the franchise may elect, in Hudson County, State of New Jersey, along with a lease of certain wharf property belonging to the city required for the use of the said ferry at the foot of Liberty street, will be sold at public auction to the highest bidder, at the office of the Comptroller of the City of New York, on Thursday, March 16, 1882, at 12 o'clock noon, for the term of nine years from May 1, 1882.

### TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SALE.

The lease of the above named ferry, along with the wharf property, will be offered for sale to the highest bidder for the term, and at the time and place mentioned, at the upset or minimum price of \$5,000 per annum for the franchise thereof, and \$500 per annum for certain wharf property belonging to the city, used and required therefor (being north of Pier 14, N. R., at end, 98 feet in length), payable quarterly and upon conditions contained in a blank form of lease prepared and approved by the Counsel to the Corporation, on file at the office of the Comptroller, in conformity with the provisions of law and ordinances of the Common Council relating to Ferries.

The purchaser will be required to pay at the time of the sale, the auctioneer's fee, and in addition the sum of \$1,000 as security for the execution of the lease, to be applied to the rent first becoming due, but if the purchaser refuses or fails to execute the lease, or give sureties satisfactory to the Comptroller as security for the faithful performance of the covenants of the lease, when duly notified, the amount so paid shall be forfeited to the city. The right to reject any bid, if deemed to be for the interest of the City of New York so to do, is reserved by the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund.

ALLAN CAMPBELL,  
Comptroller.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT,  
COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,  
March 1, 1882.

## CORPORATION SALE OF REAL ESTATE.

**PUBLIC NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT** the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund of the City of New York will offer for sale at public auction, on Tuesday, March 14, 1882, at noon, at the Exchange Sales Room, No. 111 Broadway, in the said city, the following Real Estate belonging to the Corporation of the said City of New York, to wit:

Beginning at a point on the northerly side of Sixty-first street, distant two hundred feet easterly from the easterly side of Ninth avenue, thence northerly and parallel with Ninth avenue 100 feet 5 inches to the centre line of the block, thence easterly along said centre line and parallel with Sixty-first street 100 feet, thence southerly parallel with the Ninth avenue 100 feet 5 inches to the northerly side of Sixty-first street aforesaid, and thence westerly along Sixty-first street 100 feet to the place of beginning; being the same four lots described in a resolution of the Board of Education, adopted December 21, 1881, and in a resolution of the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund, adopted February 2, 1882, as "situated on the north side of West Sixty-first street, commencing at a point 95 feet 7 inches west from Broadway, said lots being of the dimensions (together) 100 feet front and rear, by 100 feet 5 inches deep," designated by Ward numbers 9, 10, 11, and 12, on the map of the Twenty-second Ward, in Block No. 103, now on file in the office of the Commissioners of Taxes and Assessments of the City of New York.

### TERMS OF SALE.

The property will be sold for cash, ten per cent. of the amount bid to be paid to the Comptroller at the time of sale, and the balance within thirty days after the sale on the execution and delivery of the deed or deeds.

Full warranty deed or deeds will be given to the purchasers. Bills with maps of the above real estate may be obtained at the Comptroller's office on and after February 20, 1882.

ALLAN CAMPBELL,  
Comptroller.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT—COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,  
NEW YORK, February 8, 1882.

## CORPORATION SALE AT PUBLIC AUCTION.

**ALL THE RIGHT, TITLE, AND INTEREST** of the Mayor, Aldermen, and Commonalty of the City of New York, in and to certain lots, pieces, or parcels of land, situate in the Twelfth Ward of said city, will be sold at public auction, to the highest bidder, at the office of the Comptroller, on Friday, March 10, 1882, at 11 o'clock A. M., as follows, to wit:

Twenty-four lots of land in block No. 322 of the map of the Twelfth Ward, now on file in the office of the Commissioners of Taxes and Assessments of the said City of New York, bounded by the Second and Third avenues and Ninety-eighth and Ninety-ninth streets, designated by the Ward numbers respectively, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, and 42, the said land being situated in what was formerly known or called marsh or lowland, through some portion of which the tide had once ebbed and flowed.

### TERMS OF SALE.

The amount bid, and the auctioneer's fees, to be paid at the time of the sale, and the expense attending the preparation of the deeds, etc., to be paid also by the purchaser.

ALLAN CAMPBELL,  
Comptroller.

FINANCE DEPARTMENT—COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,  
NEW YORK, February 7, 1882.

CITY OF NEW YORK,  
FINANCE DEPARTMENT,  
COMPTROLLER'S OFFICE,  
January 18, 1882.

## NOTICE TO PROPERTY-OWNERS.

**IN PURSUANCE OF SECTION 4 OF CHAPTER** 33 of the Laws of 1881, the Comptroller of the City of New York hereby gives public notice to property-owners that the following lists of assessments for local improvements in said city were confirmed by the "Board of Revision and Correction of Assessments" on the 7th day of January, 1882, and, on the same date, were entered in the Record of Titles of Assessments kept in the "Bureau for the Collection of Assessments and of Arrears of Taxes and Assessments and of Water Rents," viz:

122d street, regulating, grading, etc., from 10th avenue to Riverside Drive.

13th avenue, regulating, grading, etc., from 11th to 16th street.

153d street, regulating, grading, etc., between 10th avenue and St. Nicholas.

4th avenue, regulating, grading, etc., between 94th and 96th streets.

31st street, regulating, grading, etc., sidewalks, between 1st avenue and East river.

Water street, curb, gutter, and flagging, between Corlears and East streets.

81st street, flagging both sides, between 8th and 9th avenues.

9th avenue, flagging, between 71st and 72d streets.

45th street, fencing vacant lots, north side, between 9th and 10th avenues.

47th street, fencing vacant lots, southeast corner 9th avenue.

58th street, fencing vacant lots, north side, between 6th and 7th avenues.

59th street, fencing vacant lots, south side, between 6th and 7th avenues.

78th street, fencing vacant lots, north side, between 4th and Madison avenues, and 4th avenue, between 78th and 79th streets.

81st and 82d streets and Madison and 5th avenues, fencing block.

85th and 86th streets and Madison and 5th avenues, fencing block.

50th street, paving, between 10th and 11th avenues.

63d street, paving, between 8th and 10th avenues.

69th street, paving, between 1st and 3d avenues.

80th street, paving, between 2d avenue and Avenue A.

81st street, paving, between 1st and 2d avenues.

111th street, paving, between 2d and 3d avenues.

126th street, paving, between 7th and St. Nicholas avenues.

127th street, paving, between 2d and 3d avenues.

Lexington avenue, paving, between 94th and 95th streets.

Houston street, sewer extension, etc.

43d street, sewer, between 2d and 3d avenues.

134th street, sewer, from 410 feet east of Willis avenue, etc.

Lexington avenue, sewer, from 69th to 70th street.

Water street, sewer, between Dover and Roosevelt streets.

Front street, sewer, between Beekman and Fulton streets.

80th street, sewer, between 10th avenue and Boulevard.

81st street, sewer, between 10th avenue and summit east of 10th avenue.

82d street, sewer, between 1st avenue and Avenue B, etc.

82d street, sewer, between branch curve Avenue A.

102d street, sewer, between 3d and Lexington avenues.

113th street, sewer, between 6th and 8th avenues.

118th street, sewer, between 7th and 7th avenues.

119th street, sewer, between 6th and 7th avenues.

123d street, sewer, between 4th and Madison avenues.

Lexington avenue sewer, between 38th and 39th streets.

Lexington avenue sewer, between 77th and 78th streets.

Lexington avenue sewer, between 106th and 108th streets.

Lexington avenue sewer, between 110th and 115th streets.

Lexington avenue sewer, between 126th and 127th streets.

Avenue B sewer, between 16th and 17th streets.

2d avenue, east side, sewer, between 61st and 62d streets, and west side, between 61st and 62d streets.

Section 5 of the said act provides that, "If any such assessment shall remain unpaid for the period of sixty days after the date of entry thereof in the said record of titles of assessments, it shall be the duty of the officer authorized to collect and receive the amount of such assessment, to charge, collect, and receive legal interest thereon, at the rate of seven per centum per annum, to be calculated from the date of such entry to the date of payment." The above assessments are payable to the Collector of Assessments and Clerk of Arrears, at the "Bureau for the Collection of Assessments, and of Arrears of Taxes and Assessments and of Water Rents," from 9 A. M. until 2 P. M., and all payments made thereon, on or before March 20, 1882, will be exempt from interest as above provided, and after that date will be subject to a charge of interest at the rate of seven per centum per annum from the date of entry in the record of titles of assessments in said Bureau.

ALLAN CAMPBELL,  
Comptroller.

## POLICE DEPARTMENT.

POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK,  
PROPERTY CLERK'S OFFICE (Room No. 39),  
No. 300 MULBERRY STREET,  
NEW YORK, February 14, 1882.

**OWNERS WANTED BY THE PROPERTY** Clerk of the Police Department of the City of New York, 300 Mulberry street, Room No. 39, for the following property now in his custody without claimants:

Boats, rope, lead, blankets, iron, oil, male and female clothing, revolvers, coffee, trunks and contents, gold and silver watches, seal skin caps, diamond stud, dolman, etc.; also, several amounts of cash found and taken from prisoners by Patrolmen of this Department.

C. A. ST. JOHN,  
Property Clerk.

## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS,  
COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE,  
No. 31 CHAMBERS STREET,  
NEW YORK, February 24, 1882.