
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	62	61	52
Grade 1	62	56	62
Grade 2	57	59	41
Grade 3	51	50	41
Grade 4	43	48	48
Grade 5	43	33	51
All students	354	341	325

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	11%	14%	13%
% Free Lunch Eligible	92%	92%	95%
% Student with IEPs	17%	19%	24%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	1%	2%
% HRA Eligible	-	81%	77%
% Temporary Housing	-	31%	41%
% Asian	0%	0%	1%
% Black	31%	33%	33%
% Hispanic	66%	66%	65%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

12X044 P.S. 044 David C. Farragut

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.81

	2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	134	2.26	1.94	2.13	2.26	2.41	2.68	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	134	13.4%	0.6%	9.0%	15.0%	21.8%	33.8%	2.73	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	92	54.0	44.9	54.1	61.9	67.4	80.6	1.99	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	34	63.0	58.4	67.3	75.1	80.5	93.4	1.52	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	38	1.72	0.35	1.01	1.59	1.99	2.98	3.33	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	137	2.35	1.92	2.19	2.37	2.57	2.93	2.89	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	137	19.0%	0.2%	11.6%	19.7%	28.9%	44.1%	2.91	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	92	57.0	37.5	49.2	59.5	66.6	83.5	2.76	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	31	62.0	55.0	64.6	73.0	78.9	92.7	1.73	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	39	2.15	0.17	1.18	2.05	2.66	4.16	3.16	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	30	91.7%	74.4%	81.6%	86.8%	92.7%	100.0%	3.83	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.71

						2	2014-15 Target	·s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•				-						
O Self-Contained	7	5.2%	25.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O Integrated Co-Teaching	21	15.7%	79.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	6	4.5%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	7	5.1%	25.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	21	15.3%	78.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	6	4.4%	42.3%	16.7%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.98	0.030	0.022
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
D ELL	18	19.6%	41.9%	16.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	1.30	0.030	0.002
Lowest Third Citywide	34	37.0%	53.0%	35.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.68	0.030	0.005
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	22	23.9%	60.5%	31.8%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.65	0.030	0.005
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	29.3%	72.8%	37.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.21	0.030	0.009
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	19	20.7%	42.5%	31.6%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.83	0.030	0.014
Lowest Third Citywide	46	50.0%	68.7%	37.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.44	0.030	0.011
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	28	30.4%	79.2%	28.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	1.94	0.030	0.007
SC/ICT/SETSS	27	29.3%	73.8%	33.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.35	0.030	0.010
ELL Progress	37	12.5%	31.7%	54.1%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.90	0.030	0.014
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.10
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	2.81

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 044 David C. Farragut

12X044

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.12	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	73%	2.32	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	72%	1.44	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	, = /0	≛ ,⊤⊤	33/0
EMS	59.6%	1.88	
HS			
Overall	59.6%	1.88	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.33	3.80	
HS			
Overall	0.33	3.80	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.28	
-	51%	1 00	100%
-	51%	1.00	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	51% Section Score:	1.00 1.00	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Ing Family-Community Ties			100%
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	1.00	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	Section Score:	2.48	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score: 81% Section Score:	2.48 2.48	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Not Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.48	



P.S. 044 David C. Farragut

					City Range			
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigor	ous Instruction			•	, .		•	
• (ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
• 0	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	99	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.93	4.72
C	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	Quality of student discussion	Teachers	89	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.66	3.64
	n Results:		94%					4.12
Colla	borative Teachers							
	fultural awareness:							
	Cultural awareness	Teachers	85	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.25	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	92	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Students	32	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	89	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.38	2.52
l.	nclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.53	3.12
	Quality of professional development	Teachers	55	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.07	1.28
	chool commitment	Teachers	20	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
	nnovation	Teachers	85	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.51	3.04
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
	eer collaboration	Teachers	78	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.03	1.12
	ocus on student learning	Teachers	52	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.00	1.12
	collective responsibility	Teachers	89	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.68	3.72
	on Results:	reactiers	73%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.06	2.32
	ortive Environment afety:							
	Safety	Teachers	42	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.00	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
0	Safety	Combined	42				0.00	1.00
C	lassroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	74	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.21	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
0	Classroom behavior	Combined	74				0.21	1.84
o S	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	83	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.00	1.00
Р	eer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Ν	lext-level guidance	Students						
Р	ress toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	81	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.22	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
0	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	81				0.22	1.88
Р	ersonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Р	eer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	70	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.00	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	89	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.25	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
0	Peer support for academic work	Combined	80				0.13	1.52
Section	on Results:		72%					1.44

12X044 P.S. 044 David C. Farragut

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	76	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.00	1.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	28	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.00	1.00
 Program coherence 	Teachers	48	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.00	1.00
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	52	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		51%					1.00
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	87	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.25	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	90	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	88				0.38	2.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.36	2.44
Section Results:		81%					2.48
Trust	_						
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	77	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.00	1.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	39	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	85	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.42	2.68
Section Results:		74%					1.92

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 044 David C. Farragut

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
State Test Results - ELA*						
Average Student Proficiency	2.26	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.19	2.20 to 2.27	2.28 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.85	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	13.4%	8.0% or lower	8.1% to 12.6%	12.7% to 16.2%	16.3% or higher	
State Test Results - Math*						
Average Student Proficiency	2.35	2.14 or lower	2.15 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.41	2.42 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.76	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	19.0%	11.2% or lower	11.3% to 17.7%	17.8% to 22.6%	22.7% or higher	
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	91.7%	84.6% or lower	84.7% to 88.5%	88.6% to 91.5%	91.6% or higher	
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained	1.79	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.88	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 or higher	
SETSS	2.01	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher	
ELL	2.06	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.10	2.11 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	1.85	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.80	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Math - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained	1.78	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.88	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.21	2.22 or higher	
SETSS	2.29	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.17	2.18 or higher	
ELL	2.10	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.27	2.28 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	1.88	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.85	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher	
ELL Progress	54.1%	45.3% or lower	45.4% to 55.3%	55.4% to 62.8%	62.9% or higher	

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	59.6%	57.7% or lower	57.8% to 64.5%	64.6% to 69.9%	70.0% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.33	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.14	0.15 to 0.19	0.20 or higher			