
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	42	38	63
Grade 1	44	44	39
Grade 2	58	39	42
Grade 3	59	51	37
Grade 4	51	57	53
Grade 5	32	53	52
All students	304	300	302

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	4%	4%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	38%	38%	39%
% Student with IEPs	31%	29%	27%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	8%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	31%	27%
% Temporary Housing	-	7%	7%
% Asian	12%	9%	8%
% Black	14%	15%	14%
% Hispanic	31%	31%	30%
% White	41%	43%	47%
% Other	0%	2%	1%

01M363 Neighborhood School

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Approaching Target	2.47

	2014-15 Targets								
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	44	2.55	2.23	2.52	2.70	2.90	3.15	2.17	11.11%
O Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	44	25.0%	13.1%	27.1%	36.7%	47.5%	60.9%	1.85	11.11%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	29	71.0	47.5	55.3	62.2	67.0	78.5	4.35	11.11%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	15	88.0	57.0	64.3	70.7	75.1	86.0	4.99	11.11%
Early Grade Progress	5		0.75	1.46	2.06	2.49	3.50		0.00%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	40	2.68	2.28	2.65	2.87	3.13	3.47	2.14	11.11%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	40	35.0%	15.4%	32.2%	43.6%	56.5%	72.5%	2.25	11.11%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	27	28.0	36.2	48.2	58.6	65.9	83.2	1.00	11.11%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	15	39.0	49.1	59.3	68.1	74.3	89.0	1.00	11.11%
Early Grade Progress	3		0.43	1.57	2.55	3.24	4.88		0.00%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	51	88.1%	84.7%	90.0%	92.8%	96.0%	100.0%	1.64	11.11%
								Weighted Average Score	2.38

						2	014-15 Target	s				
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	3	6.8%	33.5%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	11	25.0%	100.0%	9.1%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	3.93	0.030	0.022
SETSS	1	2.3%	21.9%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	2	5.0%	24.9%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	10	25.0%	100.0%	20.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.13	0.030	0.024
SETSS	1	2.5%	24.0%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL			0.0%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	10	34.5%	49.3%	70.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.77	0.030	0.028
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	10.3%	26.1%		23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	10	34.5%	87.7%	40.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.52	0.030	0.011
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL			0.0%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	4	14.8%	20.3%		19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	3	11.1%	28.9%		14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	8	29.6%	74.6%	12.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	11	3.9%	9.9%	90.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.09
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	2.47

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).


[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

01M363 Neighborhood School

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	99%	4.76	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	97%	4.60	50%
			3370
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
nortivo Environment			
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	3.88	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	J-J/U	5.00	JJ/0
EMS	77.1%	2.04	
HS			
Overall	77.1%	2.04	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.71	4.99	
HS			
Overall	0.71	4.99	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.72	
ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	96%	4.76	100%
			100/0
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.76	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	95%	4.76	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.76	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	99%	4.64	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.64	



01M363 Neighborhood School

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.91	4.64
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	97	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.90	4.60
Section Results:		99%					4.76
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	100	85.4	95.0	100.0	1.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	98	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.83	
Cultural awareness	Students	30	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.03	
Cultural awareness	Combined	99	00.0	07.1	100.0	0.92	4.68
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Quality of professional development	Teachers	99	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.98	4.92
School commitment	Teachers	100	59.9	85.3	100.0	1.00	4.99
Innovation	Teachers	90	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.66	3.64
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.95	4.80
Focus on student learning	Teachers	99	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.97	4.88
Collective responsibility	Teachers	86	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.60	3.40
Section Results:	reactiers	97%	05.7	04.7	100.0	0.00	4.60
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	95	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.75	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	100	66.9	85.5	100.0	1.00	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
 Classroom behavior 	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	93	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	90	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.58	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	90				0.58	3.32
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.83	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
 Peer support for academic work 	Combined	97				0.79	4.16
Section Results:		95%					3.88

01M363 Neighborhood School

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	97	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.87	4.48
Teacher influence	Teachers	92	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.98	4.92
Program coherence	Teachers	98	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.96	4.84
 Principal instructional leadership 	Teachers	98	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.94	4.76
Section Results:		96%					4.76
01							
Strong Family Community Ties Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	100	84.5	94.5	100.0	1.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	98	00.0	32.0	33.2	0.88	4.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	92	62.4	76.6	90.8	1.00	4.99
Section Results:	rarents	95%	02.1	70.0	30.0	1.00	4.76
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.87	4.48
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	100	56.4	85.0	100.0	1.00	4.99
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	100	74.1	90.5	100.0	1.00	4.99
Section Results:		99%					4.64

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.55	2.58 or lower	2.59 to 2.69	2.70 to 2.77	2.78 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.48	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.19	2.20 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	25.0%	31.5% or lower	31.6% to 37.0%	37.1% to 41.1%	41.2% or highe			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.68	2.70 or lower	2.71 to 2.86	2.87 to 2.97	2.98 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.42	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	35.0%	37.7% or lower	37.8% to 45.0%	45.1% to 50.5%	50.6% or highe			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	88.1%	86.1% or lower	86.2% to 89.6%	89.7% to 92.3%	92.4% or highe			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
-	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained		1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.07	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.34	2.35 or higher			
SETSS		1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.20	2.21 or higher			
ELL		2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 to 2.48	2.49 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.28	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained		1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.92	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.36	2.38 or lower	2.39 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.69	2.70 or higher			
SETSS		2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.44	2.45 or higher			
ELL		2.43 or lower	2.44 to 2.63	2.64 to 2.78	2.79 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.02	2.03 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide		1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
ELL Progress	90.9%	54.9% or lower	55.0% to 64.9%	65.0% to 72.4%	72.5% or highe			

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	77.1%	75.4% or lower	75.5% to 81.7%	81.8% to 86.4%	86.5% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.71	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.19	0.20 to 0.25	0.26 or higher			