
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 072 Dr. William Dorney

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	140	156	138
Grade 1	159	157	150
Grade 2	149	128	137
Grade 3	109	139	131
Grade 4	134	108	151
Grade 5	121	136	98
All students	883	896	877

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	6%	6%	5%
% Free Lunch Eligible	75%	75%	80%
% Student with IEPs	20%	25%	28%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	11%	12%	14%
% HRA Eligible	-	65%	61%
% Temporary Housing	-	15%	16%
% Asian	5%	4%	4%
% Black	20%	21%	20%
% Hispanic	69%	69%	72%
% White	5%	5%	4%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

08X072 P.S. 072 Dr. William Dorney

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.28

					2	014-15 Target	s			
(tudent Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	tudent Acinevement wiethes	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
S	tate Test Results - ELA									
	Average Student Proficiency	364	2.33	1.97	2.17	2.30	2.44	2.70	3.21	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	364	16.5%	2.9%	11.2%	16.9%	23.4%	35.0%	2.93	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	229	73.0	44.1	53.0	60.7	66.1	78.8	4.54	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	78	80.0	55.1	64.6	72.9	78.6	92.3	4.10	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	126	1.19	0.43	1.02	1.55	1.91	2.83	2.32	9.09%
S	tate Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	364	2.44	1.91	2.20	2.40	2.62	2.99	3.18	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	364	23.4%	0.0%	12.5%	21.5%	31.7%	47.2%	3.19	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	228	57.0	38.0	49.2	59.0	65.9	82.1	2.80	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	78	64.5	52.5	62.6	71.4	77.5	92.0	2.22	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	126	1.85	0.02	1.09	2.03	2.68	4.26	2.81	9.09%
N	IS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	121	87.7%	78.0%	84.3%	88.7%	93.7%	100.0%	2.77	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.10

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Point
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		·										
 Self-Contained 	57	15.7%	77.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	37	10.2%	51.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• SETSS	11	3.0%	28.6%	9.1%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	4.03	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	58	15.9%	79.1%	10.3%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.56	0.030	0.027
Integrated Co-Teaching	37	10.2%	52.0%	10.8%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.63	0.030	0.012
• SETSS	10	2.7%	26.0%	30.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	20	8.7%	18.6%	60.0%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	104	45.4%	65.6%	60.6%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.27	0.030	0.025
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	48	21.0%	53.2%	47.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.21	0.030	0.017
SC/ICT/SETSS	72	31.4%	78.8%	40.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	2.55	0.030	0.012
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	20	8.8%	18.1%	30.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	88	38.6%	53.0%	35.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.26	0.030	0.009
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	35	15.4%	40.1%	40.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.90	0.030	0.014
SC/ICT/SETSS	73	32.0%	81.6%	38.4%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.82	0.030	0.014
ELL Progress	37	4.6%	11.7%	62.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.18
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.28

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 072 Dr. William Dorney

08X072

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	91%	3.56	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
laborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.40	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.20	
oportive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	89%	3.24	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	6576	5.2.1	3370
EMS	65.3%	2.36	
HS			
Overall	65.3%	2.36	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.11	1.79	
HS	0.11	1.73	
Overall	0.11	1.79	5%
Costion Dating, Monting Toyont	Section Score:	3.44	
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
ective School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	79%	3.16	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.16	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	3.04	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.04	
st NYC School Survey - Trust	91%	3.56	100%
INTO SCHOOL SULVEY - 11USC	<i>3</i> 1/0	3.30	10070
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.56	

P.S. 072 Dr. William Dorney

			City Range					
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Rigorous Instruction								
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00	
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	96	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0			
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	82	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.43	2.72	
Section Results:		91%					3.56	
Collaborative Teachers								
Cultural awareness:								
Cultural awareness	Teachers	98	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.88		
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50		
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0			
Cultural awareness	Combined	96				0.69	3.76	
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	100	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.97	4.88	
Quality of professional development	Teachers	67	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.31	2.24	
School commitment	Teachers	76	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.40	2.60	
Innovation	Teachers	86	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.54	3.16	
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	96	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Peer collaboration	Teachers	94	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.71	3.84	
Focus on student learning	Teachers	84	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.50	3.00	
Collective responsibility	Teachers	85	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.56	3.24	
Section Results:	redeffers	87%	00.7	0	100.0	0.50	3.40	
Supportive Environment Safety:								
Safety	Teachers	96	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.80		
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0			
• Safety	Combined	96				0.80	4.20	
Classroom behavior:								
Classroom behavior	Teachers	75	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.25		
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0			
Classroom behavior	Combined	75				0.25	2.00	
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.93	4.72	
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0			
Next-level guidance	Students							
Press toward academic achievement:								
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	85	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.38		
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5			
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	85				0.38	2.52	
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0			
Peer support for academic work:								
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	86	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.40		
Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50		
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2			
Peer support for academic work	Combined	89				0.45	2.80	
Section Results:		89%					3.24	

P.S. 072 Dr. William Dorney

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.61	3.44
Teacher influence	Teachers	61	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.50	3.00
Program coherence	Teachers	78	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.45	2.80
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	85	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.61	3.44
Section Results:		79%					3.16
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	96	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.38	2.52
Section Results:		83%					3.04
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
 Parent-principal trust 	Parents	99	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.91	4.64
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	82	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.58	3.32
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	89	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.56	3.24
Section Results:		91%					3.56

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.33	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.34	2.35 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.99	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	16.5%	11.5% or lower	11.6% to 17.0%	17.1% to 21.2%	21.3% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.44	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.45	2.46 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	23.4%	12.5% or lower	12.6% to 19.8%	19.9% to 25.3%	25.4% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	87.7%	85.5% or lower	85.6% to 89.1%	89.2% to 91.9%	92.0% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.90	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.02	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
SETSS	2.18	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
ELL	2.21	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.27	2.28 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.00	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.23	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
SETSS	2.63	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.19	2.20 or higher		
ELL	2.28	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.46	2.47 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	62.2%	34.4% or lower	34.5% to 44.4%	44.5% to 51.9%	52.0% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	65.3%	60.7% or lower	60.8% to 67.5%	67.6% to 72.7%	72.8% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.11	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			