2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Approaching Target			2.92	
Collaborative Teachers	Exceeding Target				4.36
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target			3.32	
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.48	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Meeting Target			3.44	
Trust	Meeting Target			:	3.84
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			5	3.84
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	191	187	182
Grade 1	188	188	189
Grade 2	-	170	170
Grade 3	103	63	158
Grade 4	145	109	68
Grade 5	255	142	110
All students	953	931	942

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	39%	36%	31%
% Free Lunch Eligible	74%	74%	74%
% Student with IEPs	14%	15%	17%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	6%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	57%	52%
% Temporary Housing	-	6%	7%
% Asian	50%	54%	53%
% Black	13%	12%	10%
% Hispanic	32%	28%	31%
% White	4%	4%	3%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

28Q086 P.S. Q086



2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.84									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	 Top of Target Range 	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		327	2.60	2.20	2.46	2.60	2.77	3.00	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		327	32.1%	13.1%	24.7%	32.0%	40.3%	51.2%	3.01	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		160	76.5	52.4	59.5	65.4	69.5	79.4	4.71	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest	t Third	56	79.0	61.1	68.3	74.3	78.5	88.6	4.05	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		153	1.97	1.09	1.70	2.18	2.51	3.32	2.56	9.09%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		331	2.91	2.24	2.62	2.87	3.15	3.50	3.14	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		331	45.6%	14.5%	31.7%	43.5%	56.9%	73.5%	3.16	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		172	79.0	46.7	56.5	64.7	70.5	84.1	4.63	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest	t Third	60	84.0	56.7	64.6	71.1	75.6	86.8	4.75	9.09%
Early Grade Progress		154	2.48	0.96	1.99	2.82	3.41	4.81	2.59	9.09%
 MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students 		129	97.1%	80.3%	86.1%	90.0%	94.4%	100.0%	4.48	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.64

						2	014-15 Target	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	33	10.1%	49.8%	3.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.16	0.030	0.024
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	5.2%	26.4%	11.8%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.38	0.030	0.025
SETSS	3	0.9%	8.6%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	33	10.0%	49.8%	3.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	2.04	0.030	0.008
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	17	5.1%	26.0%	23.5%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.40	0.030	0.026
SETSS	3	0.9%	8.7%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	69	43.1%	92.1%	49.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	4.12	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	48	30.0%	42.5%	58.3%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.15	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	15	9.4%	23.8%	40.0%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	20	12.5%	24.6%	65.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	81	47.1%	96.7%	65.4%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.81	0.030	0.029
Lowest Third Citywide	53	30.8%	42.3%	79.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	8.1%	21.1%	57.1%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	20	11.6%	22.8%	75.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	270	30.8%	78.2%	53.3%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.83	0.030	0.014
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.20
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.84

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

28Q086 P.S. Q086

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

28Q086

P.S. Q086

	Metric Value		
	went value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction		A 15	
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	92%	3.80	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	90%	3.72	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.36	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	96%	4.32	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	- • / •		5570
EMS	82.2%	2.32	
HS		=	
Overall	82.2%	2.32	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.11	1.79	
HS			
Overall	0.11	1.79	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
	Section Score.	3.32	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	81%	3.48	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	86%	3.44	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	93%	3.84	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.84	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

28Q086 P.S. Q086

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			0	, 0	1 0	U	
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	95	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
 Common Core shifts in math 	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	85	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.53	3.12
Section Results:		92%					3.80
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	95	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	50	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.75	4.00
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	76	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.50	3.00
 School commitment 	Teachers	90	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.76	4.04
Innovation	Teachers	83	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.44	2.76
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.92	4.68
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.69	3.76
 Focus on student learning 	Teachers	92	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.75	4.00
Collective responsibility	Teachers	85	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.55	3.20
Section Results:	reachers	90%	03.7	01.7	100.0	0.00	3.72
Safety:	Teachers	97	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.85	
Safety	Students	97	74.5			0.85	
Safety	Combined	97	/4.5	88.5	100.0	0.95	4.40
Safety Classroom behavior:	Combined	97				0.85	4.40
	Tapahara	0.4	CC 0	05.5	100.0	0.01	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	94	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.81	
Classroom behavior	Students	04	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.01	4.24
Classroom behavior	Combined	94	00.0	00.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.96	4.84
Peer interactions	Students Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
Press toward academic achievement.	ant Taachara	02		00.0	100.0	0.72	
Press toward academic achievem		93	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.72	
		02	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.72	2.00
Press toward academic achieveme		93	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.72	3.88
Personal attention and support	Students		//.8	89.0	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:	Teachers	98	76 5	01 E	100.0	0.92	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	98	76.5 88.4	91.5 94.8	100.0	0.92	
Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Students	97	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.75	
 Peer support for academic work 	Combined	98	50.4	/ 3.0	51.2	0.83	4.32
Section Results:	Compilied	96%				0.05	4.32 4.32
Section Results.		90%					4.52

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

28	3Q086	
P.S.	Q086	

P.S. Q086

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective Cohool Londowskin							
Effective School Leadership	. .	05	70.0		400.0	0.75	4.00
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	64	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.56	3.24
Program coherence	Teachers	78	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.46	2.84
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	88	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.69	3.76
Section Results:		81%					3.48
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	97	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.78	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
• Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.77	4.08
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	75	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.45	2.80
Section Results:		86%					3.44
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	89	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.76	4.04
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	87	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.48	2.92
Section Results:		93%					3.84

2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES

Targets for 2015-16

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.60	2.46 or lower	2.47 to 2.57	2.58 to 2.65	2.66 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.09	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.13	2.14 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	32.1%	25.4% or lower	25.5% to 30.9%	31.0% to 35.1%	35.2% or higher			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.91	2.64 or lower	2.65 to 2.79	2.80 to 2.91	2.92 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.25	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.22	2.23 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	45.6%	33.7% or lower	33.8% to 40.9%	41.0% to 46.4%	46.5% or higher			
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	97.1%	87.8% or lower	87.9% to 90.9%	91.0% to 93.2%	93.3% or higher			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.80	1.71 or lower	1.72 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.39	1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.15	2.16 or higher			
SETSS		1.96 or lower	1.97 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.17	2.18 or higher			
ELL	2.36	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.43	2.44 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.94	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Math - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.95	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.59	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.47	2.48 or higher			
SETSS		2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.33	2.34 to 2.46	2.47 or higher			
ELL	2.72	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.57	2.58 to 2.71	2.72 or higher			
Lowest Third Citywide	2.21	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.09	2.10 or higher			
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.06	2.07 or higher			
ELL Progress	53.3%	37.6% or lower	37.7% to 47.6%	47.7% to 55.1%	55.2% or higher			

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	82.2%	75.2% or lower	75.3% to 81.5%	81.6% to 86.3%	86.4% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.11	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.26	0.27 to 0.34	0.35 or higher		