
Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	61	64	56
Grade 1	83	75	60
Grade 2	79	94	83
Grade 3	88	85	79
Grade 4	76	80	79
Grade 5	95	63	69
All students	500	479	459

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	5%	4%	4%
% Free Lunch Eligible	74%	74%	79%
% Student with IEPs	15%	18%	20%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	3%	5%	9%
% HRA Eligible	-	55%	48%
% Temporary Housing	-	5%	6%
% Asian	2%	2%	2%
% Black	92%	92%	91%
% Hispanic	3%	3%	4%
% White	2%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

18K208 P.S. 208 Elsa Ebeling

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.54

		2014-15 Targets								
	Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
9	State Test Results - ELA									
	Average Student Proficiency	221	2.53	1.98	2.27	2.48	2.71	3.01	3.22	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	221	30.8%	0.0%	14.7%	25.3%	37.4%	52.6%	3.45	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	138	71.5	47.5	55.2	61.9	66.5	77.6	4.45	9.09%
	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	48	76.5	57.8	65.8	72.8	77.7	89.4	3.76	9.09%
	Early Grade Progress	76	1.67	0.22	1.13	1.91	2.46	3.77	2.69	9.09%
9	State Test Results - Math									
	Average Student Proficiency	220	2.51	1.83	2.30	2.64	3.02	3.50	2.62	9.09%
	Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	220	25.9%	0.0%	18.9%	32.4%	47.8%	67.2%	2.52	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	139	74.0	38.0	50.4	61.1	68.6	86.4	4.30	9.09%
•	Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	49	81.0	49.8	61.2	71.0	77.9	94.4	4.19	9.09%
0	Early Grade Progress	75	1.07	0.02	1.41	2.62	3.47	5.49	1.76	9.09%
• [MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	62	95.7%	75.2%	82.1%	87.2%	92.9%	100.0%	4.39	9.09%
									Weighted Average Score	3.40

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	18	8.1%	39.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	6	2.7%	13.7%	33.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	16	7.2%	68.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	17	7.7%	38.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	6	2.7%	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	16	7.3%	70.2%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	2.9%	6.2%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	49	35.5%	50.7%	59.2%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.20	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	31	22.5%	57.0%	58.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.11	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	25	18.1%	40.7%	60.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.23	0.030	0.024
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL ELL	6	4.3%	8.8%	83.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	60	43.2%	59.3%	63.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.43	0.030	0.026
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	33	23.7%	61.7%	60.6%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.29	0.030	0.025
SC/ICT/SETSS	24	17.3%	39.2%	50.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	3.88	0.030	0.022
ELL Progress	15	3.5%	8.9%	86.7%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
LLL 1 10g1 C33	15	3.3/0	0.5/0	00.770	31.0/0	44.0/0	JJ.1/0	03.270	00.070		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.14
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	3.54

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

18K208 P.S. 208 Elsa Ebeling

orous Instruction	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.00	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	92%	3.84	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	
portive Environment Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	94%	4.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	79.3%	2.96	
HS			
Overall	79.3%	2.96	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS HS	0.06	1.43	
Overall	0.06	1.43	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
•	83%	3.64	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	83% Section Score:	3.64 3.64	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	Section Score:	3.64	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score: 85%	3.64	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score: 85% Section Score:	3.64 3.24 3.24	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score: 85%	3.64	



P.S. 208 Elsa Ebeling

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
 Common Core shifts in literacy 	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	97	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.82	4.28
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	90	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.68	3.72
Section Results:		94%					4.00
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0	0.00	
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	07.1	100.0	0.63	3.52
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.81	4.24
 Quality of professional development 		88	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.76	4.04
School commitment	Teachers	86	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.64	3.56
Innovation	Teachers	85	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.51	3.04
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	97	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.84	4.36
Focus on student learning	Teachers	94	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.81	4.24
Collective responsibility	Teachers	87	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.62	3.48
Section Results:	redeficis	92%	03.7	01.7	100.0	0.02	3.84
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	90	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.68	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	90				0.68	3.72
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement							
Press toward academic achiever		90	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.61	
Press toward academic achiever			85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achiever		90				0.61	3.44
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work		91	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.61	
Peer support for academic work		94	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work			50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	92				0.55	3.20
Section Results:		94%					4.08

18K208

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
 Inclusive principal leadership 	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.77	4.08
Teacher influence	Teachers	60	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.48	2.92
 Program coherence 	Teachers	90	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.76	4.04
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	85	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.62	3.48
Section Results:		83%					3.64
Charles Front Community The							
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	98	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.87	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.56	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.72	3.88
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	74	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.40	2.60
Section Results:		85%					3.24
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	98	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.87	4.48
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	87	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.71	3.84
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	86	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.47	2.88
Section Results:		92%					3.80

18K208

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 208 Elsa Ebeling

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.53	2.30 or lower	2.31 to 2.41	2.42 to 2.49	2.50 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.97	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.10	2.11 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	30.8%	16.9% or lower	17.0% to 22.4%	22.5% to 26.6%	26.7% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.51	2.35 or lower	2.36 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.62	2.63 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.03	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.16	2.17 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	25.9%	19.4% or lower	19.5% to 26.7%	26.8% to 32.2%	32.3% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.7%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.7%	88.8% to 91.6%	91.7% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.64	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.25	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.09	2.10 to 2.17	2.18 or higher		
SETSS	1.96	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.20	2.21 or higher		
ELL	2.37	2.16 or lower	2.17 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.98	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.71	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.87	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
SETSS	1.91	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.36	2.37 or higher		
ELL	2.38	2.32 or lower	2.33 to 2.51	2.52 to 2.66	2.67 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
ELL Progress	86.7%	36.6% or lower	36.7% to 46.6%	46.7% to 54.1%	54.2% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	79.3%	72.4% or lower	72.5% to 79.2%	79.3% to 84.4%	84.5% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.06	0.16 or lower	0.17 to 0.26	0.27 to 0.34	0.35 or higher			