
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 134 Henrietta Szold

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	51	41	28
Grade 1	60	38	41
Grade 2	52	53	38
Grade 3	53	46	49
Grade 4	64	49	41
Grade 5	44	58	43
All students	352	318	255

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	19%	16%	13%
% Free Lunch Eligible	83%	83%	87%
% Student with IEPs	22%	30%	34%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	6%	9%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	73%	71%
% Temporary Housing	-	16%	19%
% Asian	27%	26%	26%
% Black	20%	20%	17%
% Hispanic	51%	52%	54%
% White	2%	2%	3%
% Other	0%	0%	0%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

01M134 P.S. 134 Henrietta Szold

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.74

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	126	2.28	1.91	2.13	2.28	2.46	2.74	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	126	15.1%	0.0%	9.3%	16.0%	23.6%	35.9%	2.87	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	74	60.5	45.1	54.8	63.1	68.8	82.7	2.69	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	29	80.0	61.5	69.9	77.1	82.1	94.1	3.58	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	48	1.66	0.24	0.96	1.59	2.03	3.09	3.16	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	126	2.73	1.83	2.14	2.37	2.62	3.01	4.28	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	126	38.9%	0.0%	11.5%	19.8%	29.2%	44.5%	4.63	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	74	67.5	38.4	49.8	59.7	66.6	83.1	4.05	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	26	67.0	54.4	64.3	72.9	78.9	93.2	2.31	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	48	2.53	0.02	1.06	1.97	2.61	4.16	3.88	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	54	95.3%	75.2%	82.1%	87.2%	92.9%	100.0%	4.34	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.53

						2	014-15 Target	c				
		2014 1F Cobool	Domulation 9/	2014 15	Dottom of				- Top of		Eutus Daints	Evtus Daints
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•					·					
O Self-Contained	15	11.9%	58.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	13.5%	68.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	8	6.3%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	15	11.9%	59.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	17	13.5%	68.9%	17.6%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	3.85	0.030	0.021
• SETSS	8	6.3%	60.6%	25.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.71	0.030	0.028
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	13	17.6%	37.6%	46.2%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.98	0.030	0.022
Lowest Third Citywide	29	39.2%	56.3%	58.6%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.17	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	14	18.9%	47.8%	64.3%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.39	0.030	0.025
• SC/ICT/SETSS	16	21.6%	50.7%	56.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.07	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	13	17.6%	36.1%	61.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.66	0.030	0.028
Lowest Third Citywide	17	23.0%	31.6%	35.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	2.27	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	5	6.8%	17.7%	20.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
• SC/ICT/SETSS	16	21.6%	51.6%	62.5%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.45	0.030	0.026
O ELL Progress	29	12.1%	30.7%	41.4%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	1.74	0.030	0.006
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.21
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.74

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 134 Henrietta Szold

01M134

	Metric Value	Matric C	W-! I.D.
rous Instruction	Wieli C Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
rous Instruction Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.1 Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2 Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	87%	3.04	34%
into sensor survey ingorous manuchom	57.70	J.U -1	J+/0
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.68	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	89%	3.60	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	88%	3.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	63.7%	2.16	
HS			
Overall	63.7%	2.16	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.24	2.91	
HS			
Overall	0.24	2.91	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.88	
ctive School Leadership	Section Score:	2.88 3.96	100%
ctive School Leadership			100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership			100%
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target	88%	3.96	100%
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties	88%	3.96	100%
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target ng Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	88% Section Score:	3.96 3.96	
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target	88% Section Score: 79%	3.96 3.96 2.20	
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target It	Section Score: 79% Section Score:	3.96 3.96 2.20 2.20	100%
Ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Ing Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target It NYC School Survey - Trust	88% Section Score: 79%	3.96 3.96 2.20	



P.S. 134 Henrietta Szold

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.93	4.72
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.58	3.32
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
 Quality of student discussion 	Teachers	70	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.03	1.12
Section Results:		87%					3.04
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	99	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.93	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
 Cultural awareness 	Combined	98				0.84	4.36
 Inclusive classroom instruction 	Teachers	97	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.81	4.24
Quality of professional development	Teachers	76	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.50	3.00
School commitment	Teachers	89	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.72	3.88
Innovation	Teachers	81	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.37	2.48
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.64	3.56
Focus on student learning	Teachers	91	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.72	3.88
Collective responsibility	Teachers	84	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.52	3.08
ection Results:		89%		U	200.0	0.02	3.60
Supportive Environment Safety: Safety	Teachers	98	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.90	
Safety	Students	30	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.90	
• Safety	Combined	98	74.5	00.5	100.0	0.90	4.60
Classroom behavior:	Combined	30				0.30	4.00
Classroom behavior	Teachers	80	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.39	
Classroom behavior	Students	80	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.59	
Classroom behavior	Combined	80	07.5	04.5	100.0	0.39	2.56
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	96	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer interactions	Students	30	68.2	84.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Next-level guidance	Students		00.2	04.0	100.0		
Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	80	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.19	
Press toward academic achievement	Students	00	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.13	
o Press toward academic achievement	Combined	80	05.5	51.5	50.5	0.19	1.76
Personal attention and support	Students	80	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.13	1.70
Peer support for academic work:	Students		77.0	85.0	100.0		
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	75	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students	31	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	86	50.7	75.0	J1.4	0.38	2.52
Section Results:	Combined	88%				0.50	3.08
Jeditori Nedulta		0070					3.00

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
·	Davanta	92	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.60	3.40
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents						
Teacher influence	Teachers	79	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.78	4.12
Program coherence	Teachers	90	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.76	4.04
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	92	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.80	4.20
Section Results:		88%					3.96
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	92	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.57	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	93				0.53	3.12
 Parent involvement in the schools 	Parents	64	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.06	1.24
Section Results:		79%					2.20
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.84	4.36
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	95	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	87	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.50	3.00
Section Results:		94%					4.00

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.28	2.17 or lower	2.18 to 2.28	2.29 to 2.36	2.37 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.11	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	15.1%	11.0% or lower	11.1% to 16.5%	16.6% to 20.6%	20.7% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.73	2.25 or lower	2.26 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.52	2.53 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.16	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	38.9%	16.0% or lower	16.1% to 23.3%	23.4% to 28.8%	28.9% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.3%	86.5% or lower	86.6% to 90.0%	90.1% to 92.5%	92.6% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.56	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.86	1.87 to 1.92	1.93 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.07	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.09	2.10 or higher		
SETSS	1.93	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.13	2.14 or higher		
ELL	2.31	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.11	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.22	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.86	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.33	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.17	2.18 to 2.30	2.31 or higher		
SETSS	2.63	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
ELL	3.03	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.46	2.47 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.10	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.93	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
ELL Progress	41.4%	38.2% or lower	38.3% to 48.2%	48.3% to 55.7%	55.8% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	63.7%	60.5% or lower	60.6% to 67.3%	67.4% to 72.5%	72.6% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.24	0.10 or lower	0.11 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.21	0.22 or higher			