

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES


## Summary of Section Ratings

20K102

P.S. 102 The Bayview

### Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### State Accountability Status: Reward

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at:

<http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm>

### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <http://schoolqualityreports.nyc>

**2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES**  
**School Enrollment and Demographic Data**

20K102  
P.S. 102 The Bayview

**Student Enrollment**

<b>Grade</b>	<b>2012 - 2013</b>	<b>2013 - 2014</b>	<b>2014 - 2015</b>
Kindergarten	246	231	264
Grade 1	244	227	236
Grade 2	208	250	217
Grade 3	209	215	246
Grade 4	212	214	217
Grade 5	203	215	208
<b>All students</b>	<b>1322</b>	<b>1352</b>	<b>1388</b>

**Student Demographics**

	<b>2012 - 2013</b>	<b>2013 - 2014</b>	<b>2014 - 2015</b>
% English Language Learners	13%	13%	14%
% Free Lunch Eligible	61%	61%	61%
% Student with IEPs	16%	16%	16%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	5%	5%
% HRA Eligible	-	45%	43%
% Temporary Housing	-	2%	2%
% Asian	15%	14%	15%
% Black	1%	1%	1%
% Hispanic	25%	25%	25%
% White	58%	59%	57%
% Other	0%	1%	2%

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES  
Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

20K102  
P.S. 102 The Bayview

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
<b>Meeting Target</b>	<b>3.75</b>

Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
				Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
<b>State Test Results - ELA</b>									
Average Student Proficiency	644	<b>2.88</b>	2.30	2.59	2.75	2.94	3.17	<b>3.68</b>	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	644	<b>48.0%</b>	18.0%	31.2%	39.6%	49.1%	60.7%	<b>3.88</b>	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	390	<b>65.0</b>	51.0	58.3	64.5	68.9	79.3	<b>3.11</b>	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	142	<b>66.0</b>	57.4	65.1	71.8	76.4	87.7	<b>2.13</b>	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	236	<b>2.42</b>	1.09	1.74	2.25	2.61	3.47	<b>3.47</b>	9.09%
<b>State Test Results - Math</b>									
Average Student Proficiency	653	<b>3.20</b>	2.40	2.79	3.01	3.26	3.58	<b>3.76</b>	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	653	<b>60.2%</b>	22.2%	39.0%	49.8%	62.1%	76.9%	<b>3.85</b>	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	403	<b>63.0</b>	47.4	56.8	64.5	69.9	82.8	<b>2.81</b>	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	137	<b>69.0</b>	53.5	62.1	69.5	74.7	87.2	<b>2.93</b>	9.09%
• Early Grade Progress	237	<b>3.71</b>	1.17	2.09	2.77	3.25	4.45	<b>4.38</b>	9.09%
• MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	189	<b>94.6%</b>	75.3%	82.2%	87.2%	92.9%	100.0%	<b>4.24</b>	9.09%
								<b>Weighted Average Score</b>	<b>3.48</b>

Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	2014-15 Targets			Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
						Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
<b>ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4</b>												
Self-Contained	43	6.7%	33.0%	<b>2.3%</b>	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	<b>3.50</b>	0.030	0.019
• Integrated Co-Teaching	33	5.1%	25.9%	<b>18.2%</b>	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	<b>4.99</b>	0.030	0.030
SETSS	23	3.6%	34.3%	<b>8.7%</b>	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	<b>3.93</b>	0.030	0.022
<b>Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4</b>												
• Self-Contained	43	6.6%	32.8%	<b>14.0%</b>	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	<b>4.99</b>	0.030	0.030
• Integrated Co-Teaching	33	5.1%	26.0%	<b>42.4%</b>	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	<b>4.99</b>	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	23	3.5%	33.7%	<b>21.7%</b>	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	<b>4.42</b>	0.030	0.026
<b>ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile</b>												
ELL	69	17.7%	37.8%	<b>33.3%</b>	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	<b>2.71</b>	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	64	16.4%	22.2%	<b>51.6%</b>	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	19	4.9%	12.4%	<b>52.6%</b>	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	59	15.1%	32.1%	<b>45.8%</b>	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	<b>3.10</b>	0.030	0.016
<b>Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile</b>												
ELL	79	19.6%	40.2%	<b>32.9%</b>	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	<b>2.95</b>	0.030	0.015
• Lowest Third Citywide	81	20.1%	27.6%	<b>54.3%</b>	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	<b>4.05</b>	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	19	4.7%	12.2%	<b>31.6%</b>	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	61	15.1%	32.9%	<b>45.9%</b>	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	<b>3.50</b>	0.030	0.019
• ELL Progress	191	13.8%	35.0%	<b>80.1%</b>	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	<b>4.64</b>	0.030	0.027
											<b>CtAG Additional Points</b>	<b>0.27</b>
											<b>Overall Student Achievement Score</b>	<b>3.75</b>

- Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).
- Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	3.84	34%
<b>Section Rating: Exceeding Target</b>		<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>4.60</b>

<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	93%	4.00	50%
<b>Section Rating: Exceeding Target</b>		<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>4.52</b>

<b>Supportive Environment</b>			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	95%	4.12	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	89.8%	3.72	
HS			
Overall	89.8%	3.72	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments			
EMS	0.25	3.00	
HS			
Overall	0.25	3.00	5%
<b>Section Rating: Exceeding Target</b>		<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>4.20</b>

<b>Effective School Leadership</b>			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	86%	3.96	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>		<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.96</b>

<b>Strong Family-Community Ties</b>			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	83%	2.88	100%
<b>Section Rating: Approaching Target</b>		<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>2.88</b>

<b>Trust</b>			
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	3.84	100%
<b>Section Rating: Meeting Target</b>		<b>Section Score:</b>	<b>3.84</b>

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>							
• Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	96	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.64	3.56
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	92	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.74	3.96
<b>Section Results:</b>		<b>94%</b>					<b>3.84</b>
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	96	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students		68.6	87.4	100.0		
Cultural awareness	Combined	95				0.63	3.52
• Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	84	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.66	3.64
• School commitment	Teachers	93	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.83	4.32
Innovation	Teachers	88	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.59	3.36
• Reflective dialogue	Teachers	99	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.88	4.52
• Peer collaboration	Teachers	97	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.87	4.48
• Focus on student learning	Teachers	96	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Collective responsibility	Teachers	90	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.69	3.76
<b>Section Results:</b>		<b>93%</b>					<b>4.00</b>
<b>Supportive Environment</b>							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	92	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.76	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
• Classroom behavior	Combined	92				0.76	4.04
• Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.87	4.48
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	91	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.65	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	91				0.65	3.60
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	95	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.77	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	91	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	93				0.63	3.52
<b>Section Results:</b>		<b>95%</b>					<b>4.12</b>

		Survey % Positive	City Range			Percent of Range	Score
			Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range		
<b>Effective School Leadership</b>							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.70	3.80
Teacher influence	Teachers	62	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.52	3.08
● Program coherence	Teachers	96	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.90	4.60
● Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	93	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.82	4.28
<b>Section Results:</b>		86%					<b>3.96</b>
<b>Strong Family Community Ties</b>							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.94	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	92	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.72	3.88
○ Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	69	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.22	1.88
<b>Section Results:</b>		83%					<b>2.88</b>
<b>Trust</b>							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	94	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
● Parent-principal trust	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
● Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	90	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.76	4.04
● Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	96	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.84	4.36
<b>Section Results:</b>		94%					<b>3.84</b>

**2014-15 School Quality Reports / ES**  
**Targets for 2015-16**

20K102  
P.S. 102 The Bayview

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>State Test Results - ELA*</b>					
Average Student Proficiency	2.88	2.71 or lower	2.72 to 2.82	2.83 to 2.90	2.91 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.20	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.21	2.22 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	48.0%	39.1% or lower	39.2% to 44.6%	44.7% to 48.8%	48.9% or higher
<b>State Test Results - Math*</b>					
Average Student Proficiency	3.20	2.92 or lower	2.93 to 3.08	3.09 to 3.19	3.20 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.26	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.25	2.26 to 2.38	2.39 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	60.2%	47.9% or lower	48.0% to 55.2%	55.3% to 60.7%	60.8% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.6%	88.2% or lower	88.3% to 91.2%	91.3% to 93.4%	93.5% or higher

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
<b>ELA - Average Proficiency Rating</b>					
Self-Contained	1.84	1.75 or lower	1.76 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.27	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.15	2.16 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
SETSS	2.13	2.04 or lower	2.05 to 2.16	2.17 to 2.26	2.27 or higher
ELL	2.38	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.52	2.53 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.93	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher
<b>Math - Average Proficiency Rating</b>					
Self-Contained	2.17	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 2.04	2.05 to 2.14	2.15 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.92	2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.60	2.61 or higher
SETSS	2.47	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.58	2.59 or higher
ELL	2.71	2.56 or lower	2.57 to 2.76	2.77 to 2.90	2.91 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.03	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.12	2.13 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.07	2.08 or higher
ELL Progress	80.1%	53.5% or lower	53.6% to 63.5%	63.6% to 71.0%	71.1% or higher

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15 School Value	2015-16 Targets			
		Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	89.8%	78.9% or lower	79.0% to 84.3%	84.4% to 88.3%	88.4% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.25	0.15 or lower	0.16 to 0.25	0.26 to 0.32	0.33 or higher