### 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting	Target	ExceedingTar	get
<b>Rigorous Instruction</b>	Approaching Target			2.92			
<b>Collaborative Teachers</b>	Meeting Target			3.20			
Supportive Environment	Meeting Target				3.52		
Effective School Leadership	Approaching Target		2.56				
Strong Family-Community Ties	Not Meeting Target	1.8	84				
Trust	Meeting Target			3.08			
Student Achievement	Meeting Target				3	87	
		1	2	3		4	4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	268	235	272
Grade 7	273	263	246
Grade 8	299	282	281
All students	840	780	799

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	7%	7%	8%
% Free Lunch Eligible	44%	44%	46%
% Student with IEPs	15%	17%	15%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	4%	3%	3%
% HRA Eligible	-	13%	22%
% Temporary Housing	-	7%	5%
% Asian	50%	49%	50%
% Black	3%	2%	1%
% Hispanic	22%	22%	23%
% White	25%	25%	26%
% Other	0%	1%	1%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	3.27	3.04	3.00
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	3.74	3.37	3.34



## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating Meeting Target	Student Achievement Score <b>3.87</b>									
weeting raiget	5.87									
						014-15 Targets		_		
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA										
Average Student Proficiency		783	2.95	2.38	2.70	2.85	3.01	3.20	3.63	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		783	48.7%	20.4%	31.4%	39.0%	47.5%	56.7%	4.13	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		738	65.0	52.7	57.5	64.3	68.8	76.8	3.16	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		263	69.0	62.3	66.7	73.2	77.4	85.4	2.35	9.80%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		792	3.23	2.28	2.68	2.92	3.18	3.47	4.17	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		792	58.5%	16.7%	30.5%	41.4%	53.5%	68.5%	4.33	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		754	68.0	46.3	53.0	62.4	68.6	79.8	3.90	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		269	71.0	57.0	62.6	70.6	75.9	85.6	3.08	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates										
ELA		782	96.9%	81.2%	88.5%	91.9%	95.7%	100.0%	4.28	1.96%
Math		782	98.6%	82.4%	89.7%	92.8%	96.1%	100.0%	4.64	1.96%
Science		782	99.5%	78.1%	85.3%	89.6%	94.5%	100.0%	4.91	1.96%
Social Studies		782	99.0%	83.3%	91.2%	93.8%	96.7%	100.0%	4.70	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit		277	23.8%	0.0%	20.9%	36.4%	53.7%	77.3%	2.19	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		245	92.0%	77.0%	85.0%	89.0%	94.0%	100.0%	3.60	9.80%
									Weighted Average Score	3.62

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	39	5.0%	25.9%	2.6%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	53	6.8%	37.4%	11.3%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	34	4.3%	46.2%	23.5%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	39	4.9%	25.9%	15.4%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	52	6.6%	36.5%	15.4%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
• SETSS	34	4.3%	46.7%	32.4%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	4.99	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	86	11.7%	26.2%	44.2%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%	3.68	0.030	0.020
Lowest Third Citywide	120	16.3%	17.2%	53.3%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	35	4.7%	10.5%	51.4%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	119	16.1%	32.5%	46.2%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	2.42	0.030	0.011
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
• ELL	101	13.4%	27.6%	46.5%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	4.07	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	85	11.3%	13.3%	55.3%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	27	3.6%	8.1%	63.0%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	118	15.6%	32.0%	48.3%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	3.52	0.030	0.019
ELL Progress	63	7.9%	21.9%	61.9%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.25
									Over	rall Student Achie	evement Score	3.87

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
25Q025
```

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	87%	2.92	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	85%	3.00	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.20	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	85%	3.08	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance		0.00	5570
EMS	93.7%	4.24	
HS			
Overall	93.7%	4.24	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.36	3.10	
HS			
Overall	0.36	3.10	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
ctive School Leadership	750/	2.50	1000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	75%	2.56	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.56	
ng Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	69%	1.84	100%
Contine Dations Not Marchine Truch	Continu Conver	4.04	
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.84	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	87%	3.08	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.08	
0			

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	86	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.31	2.24
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.67	3.68
Course clarity	Students	85	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.25	2.00
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	86	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.70	3.80
Section Results:		87%					2.92
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	91	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	87	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.25	
Cultural awareness	Students	72	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.04	
Cultural awareness	Combined	83				0.26	2.04
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	92	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.55	3.20
<ul> <li>Quality of professional development</li> </ul>	Teachers	64	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.21	1.84
School commitment	Teachers	92	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.80	4.20
Innovation	Teachers	77	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.34	2.36
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	94	86.6	95.8	100.0	0.52	3.08
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.69	3.76
Focus on student learning	Teachers	90	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.68	3.72
Collective responsibility	Teachers	78	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.48	2.92
Section Results:		85%					3.00
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	89	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.69	
Safety	Combined	89				0.69	3.76
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	81	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.56	
Classroom behavior	Combined	81				0.56	3.24
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	97	84.7	95.3	100.0	0.78	4.12
Peer interactions	Students	80	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.48	2.92
Next-level guidance	Students	88	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.49	2.96
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	87	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.39	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	87				0.39	2.56
<ul> <li>Personal attention and support</li> </ul>	Students	76	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.07	1.28
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	91	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.61	
Peer support for academic work	Students	75	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.71	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	83				0.66	3.64
Section Results:		85%					3.08

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	85	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.25	2.00
<ul> <li>Teacher influence</li> </ul>	Teachers	47	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.19	1.76
Program coherence	Teachers	85	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.62	3.48
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	83	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.49	2.96
Section Results:		75%					2.56
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.68	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	81	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	87				0.34	2.36
• Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	50	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.08	1.32
Section Results:		69%					1.84
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	91	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	90	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Student-teacher trust	Students	80	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.41	2.64
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	87	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.65	3.60
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	88	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.52	3.08
Section Results:		87%					3.08

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS

Targets for 2015-16

#### These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.95	2.83 or lower	2.84 to 2.91	2.92 to 2.97	2.98 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.29	2.21 or lower	2.22 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.36	2.37 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	48.7%	42.9% or lower	43.0% to 46.6%	46.7% to 49.6%	49.7% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	3.23	2.96 or lower	2.97 to 3.09	3.10 to 3.19	3.20 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.43	2.20 or lower	2.21 to 2.31	2.32 to 2.41	2.42 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	58.5%	46.1% or lower	46.2% to 51.9%	52.0% to 56.7%	56.8% or highe		
Core Course Pass Rates							
ELA	96.9%	83.6% or lower	83.7% to 87.4%	87.5% to 90.5%	90.6% or highe		
Math	98.6%	84.8% or lower	84.9% to 88.3%	88.4% to 91.2%	91.3% or highe		
Science	99.5%	85.6% or lower	85.7% to 88.9%	89.0% to 91.7%	91.8% or highe		
Social Studies	99.0%	81.3% or lower	81.4% to 85.7%	85.8% to 89.2%	89.3% or highe		
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	23.8%	25.7% or lower	25.8% to 37.8%	37.9% to 47.7%	47.8% or highe		
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	92.0%	84.9% or lower	85.0% to 88.9%	89.0% to 91.9%	92.0% or highe		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
0	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.03	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.97	1.98 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.27	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.32	2.33 to 2.38	2.39 or higher		
SETSS	2.53	2.28 or lower	2.29 to 2.40	2.41 to 2.49	2.50 or higher		
ELL	2.30	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.32	2.33 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.05	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	2.15	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.43	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.38	2.39 to 2.47	2.48 or higher		
SETSS	2.77	2.32 or lower	2.33 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.60	2.61 or higher		
ELL	2.94	2.43 or lower	2.44 to 2.59	2.60 to 2.72	2.73 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	61.9%	43.2% or lower	43.3% to 53.0%	53.1% to 61.0%	61.1% or highe		

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	93.7%	80.4% or lower	80.5% to 85.0%	85.1% to 88.7%	88.8% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.36	0.18 or lower	0.19 to 0.29	0.30 to 0.38	0.39 or higher			

\* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

## 25Q025 I.S. 025 Adrien Block get er er er er ner ner ner ner ner ner ner et er er er er er er er er er

er ner