2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8

Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

Section	Section Rating	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	ExceedingTarget
Rigorous Instruction	Meeting Target			3.60	
Collaborative Teachers	Meeting Target			3.32	
Supportive Environment	Exceeding Target				4.04
Effective School Leadership	Meeting Target			3.28	
Strong Family-Community Ties	Approaching Target		2.76		
Trust	Approaching Target		2	2.92	
Student Achievement	Meeting Target			3.11	
		1	2	3	4 4.99

Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <u>http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</u>

Goldie Maple Academy

2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	69	74	79
Grade 1	67	49	63
Grade 2	55	67	59
Grade 3	57	48	52
Grade 4	70	52	44
Grade 5	71	58	47
Grade 6	45	53	45
Grade 7	45	40	50
Grade 8	36	40	37
All students	586	541	534

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	0%	0%	0%
% Free Lunch Eligible	77%	77%	72%
% Student with IEPs	7%	5%	6%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	0%	0%
% HRA Eligible	-	56%	57%
% Temporary Housing	-	12%	10%
% Asian	4%	3%	3%
% Black	73%	74%	71%
% Hispanic	20%	18%	19%
% White	3%	2%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	4%

27Q333 Goldie Maple Academy

2014-15 School Quality Guide / K-8

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.11

				2	014-15 Targets	5			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	270	2.57	2.05	2.35	2.58	2.77	3.08	2.96	8.20%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	270	27.8%	1.6%	16.3%	28.5%	38.0%	53.9%	2.94	8.20%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile 	214	51.0	46.0	53.0	59.0	63.7	73.5	1.71	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	79	68.0	56.1	63.2	69.3	74.1	84.2	2.79	8.20%
Early Grade Progress	52	2.31	0.77	1.54	2.19	2.70	3.75	3.24	8.20%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	267	2.62	1.98	2.41	2.76	3.04	3.50	2.60	8.20%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	267	30.3%	0.0%	20.4%	37.3%	50.6%	73.0%	2.59	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	211	61.0	41.9	51.4	59.4	65.7	78.9	3.25	8.20%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	76	64.0	54.6	62.4	69.1	74.4	85.3	2.24	8.20%
Early Grade Progress	52	3.00	0.54	1.88	3.05	3.96	5.90	2.96	8.20%
Core Course Pass Rates									
• ELA	127	92.1%	58.4%	70.1%	79.8%	87.4%	100.0%	4.37	1.64%
• Math	127	93.7%	53.6%	66.8%	77.5%	86.0%	100.0%	4.55	1.64%
• Science	127	89.8%	54.3%	67.3%	77.9%	86.2%	100.0%	4.26	1.64%
Social Studies	127	100.0%	58.8%	70.4%	80.0%	87.5%	100.0%	4.99	1.64%
 Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit 	36	52.8%	0.0%	16.7%	30.5%	41.4%	59.9%	4.62	3.28%
 9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders 	36	92.0%	45.0%	61.0%	74.0%	84.0%	100.0%	4.50	8.20%
								Weighted Average Score	3.06

						2	014-15 Targets	1				
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4						Ū.						
Self-Contained	2	0.7%	3.9%		0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	1.6%	2.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	1	0.4%	2.2%		0.0%	3.1%	5.0%	7.4%	12.4%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	8	3.0%	25.6%	12.5%	0.0%	3.9%	6.3%	9.3%	15.6%	4.51	0.030	0.026
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	1	0.4%	2.3%		0.0%	2.1%	3.3%	4.9%	8.2%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	1	0.4%	2.3%		0.0%	5.2%	8.3%	12.3%	20.6%		0.030	0.000
O SETSS	8	3.0%	25.9%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	9.6%	14.4%	24.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL ELL	4	1.9%	5.0%		14.1%	28.3%	36.6%	47.7%	70.3%		0.030	0.000
 Lowest Third Citywide 	50	23.4%	36.5%	42.0%	33.6%	43.2%	48.8%	56.2%	71.4%	1.88	0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	22	10.3%	28.7%	40.9%	29.1%	40.6%	47.4%	56.4%	74.7%	2.04	0.030	0.007
SC/ICT/SETSS	10	4.7%	7.5%	50.0%	30.2%	41.5%	48.2%	57.0%	75.0%	2.04	0.030	0.000
		,.	,,.		0012/0	1210/0	1012/0	071070	, 0.0,0		0.000	01000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	4	1.9%	4.8%		13.4%	26.8%	34.7%	45.1%	66.4%		0.030	0.000
 Lowest Third Citywide 	70	33.2%	47.6%	34.3%	28.0%	39.6%	46.4%	55.5%	74.0%	1.54	0.030	0.004
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	27	12.8%	35.6%	40.7%	26.0%	38.8%	46.2%	56.1%	76.4%	2.26	0.030	0.009
SC/ICT/SETSS	9	4.3%	6.4%	22.2%	26.0%	36.6%	42.8%	51.1%	68.0%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress			0.0%		24.5%	40.7%	50.2%	62.8%	88.5%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.05
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	3.11

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

27Q333 Goldie Maple Academy

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
27Q333
```

Goldie Maple Academy

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	4.04	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.60	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.24	50%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.32	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed	4.99	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	87%	3.20	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance		-	
EMS	92.9%	3.92	
HS			
Overall	92.9%	3.92	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments	0.75	4.00	
EMS	0.75	4.90	
HS Overall	0.75	4.90	5%
Overail	0.75	4.50	570
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.04	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	83%	3.28	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.28	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	81%	2.76	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.76	
st			
NYC School Survey - Trust	86%	2.92	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
Section Nating. Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.32	

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
27Q333
Goldie Maple Academy
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	99	86.5	94.1	100.0	0.93	4.72
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	99	81.3	91.9	100.0	0.95	4.80
Course clarity	Students	92	82.2	90.6	99.0	0.61	3.44
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	84	64.9	83.3	100.0	0.53	3.12
ection Results:		94%					4.04
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	86.2	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	94	89.5	94.1	98.7	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	81	69.6	84.0	98.4	0.41	
Cultural awareness	Combined	89				0.47	2.88
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	93	84.7	94.1	100.0	0.51	3.04
Quality of professional development	Teachers	77	52.2	76.8	100.0	0.52	3.08
School commitment	Teachers	84	60.2	84.6	100.0	0.59	3.36
Innovation	Teachers	82	66.7	84.9	100.0	0.47	2.88
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	90	87.4	95.2	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	93	79.2	92.0	100.0	0.66	3.64
Focus on student learning	Teachers	88	67.5	88.5	100.0	0.64	3.56
Collective responsibility	Teachers	86	59.6	82.2	100.0	0.65	3.60
ection Results:		87%					3.24
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	80	72.2	86.2	100.0	0.26	
Safety	Combined	80				0.26	2.04
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	84	64.2	80.8	97.4	0.58	
Classroom behavior	Combined	84				0.58	3.32
 Social-emotional measure 	Teachers	99	88.0	96.2	100.0	0.93	4.72
Peer interactions	Students	76	67.8	82.0	96.2	0.30	2.20
Next-level guidance	Students	92	77.9	89.3	100.0	0.65	3.60
Press toward academic achievement:	_						
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	90	82.4	89.4	96.4	0.55	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	90				0.55	3.20
Personal attention and support	Students	86	75.7	86.3	96.9	0.51	3.04
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	93	84.4	92.8	100.0	0.57	
Peer support for academic work	Students	76	45.8	67.0	88.2	0.71	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	85				0.64	3.56
ection Results:		87%					3.20

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
27Q333
Goldie Maple Academy
```

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	85	79.5	89.7	99.9	0.28	2.12
Teacher influence	Teachers	73	30.2	60.2	90.2	0.71	3.84
Program coherence	Teachers	88	61.1	85.1	100.0	0.68	3.72
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	85	63.6	87.0	100.0	0.60	3.40
Section Results:		83%					3.28
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	84.4	93.8	100.0	0.61	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	83.7	91.1	98.5	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	92				0.55	3.20
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	69	59.7	74.7	89.7	0.32	2.28
Section Results:		81%					2.76
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.0	94.6	99.2	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	90	83.1	92.7	100.0	0.50	3.00
 Student-teacher trust 	Students	71	67.7	81.9	96.1	0.13	1.52
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	84	62.0	86.8	100.0	0.59	3.36
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	93	77.3	91.1	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		86%					2.92

2014-15 School Quality Reports / K-8

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Ta			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.57	2.63 or lower	2.64 to 2.71	2.72 to 2.77	2.78 or high			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.13	2.19 or lower	2.20 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.38	2.39 or high			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	27.8%	32.4% or lower	32.5% to 36.7%	36.8% to 39.9%	40.0% or hig			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.62	2.79 or lower	2.80 to 2.92	2.93 to 3.01	3.02 or high			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.08	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.39	2.40 to 2.50	2.51 or high			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	30.3%	37.9% or lower	38.0% to 44.0%	44.1% to 48.5%	48.6% or hig			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	92.1%	76.6% or lower	76.7% to 82.3%	82.4% to 86.4%	86.5% or hig			
Math	93.7%	76.9% or lower	77.0% to 82.5%	82.6% to 86.6%	86.7% or hig			
Science	89.8%	78.3% or lower	78.4% to 83.5%	83.6% to 87.4%	87.5% or hig			
Social Studies	100.0%	74.8% or lower	74.9% to 80.9%	81.0% to 85.4%	85.5% or hig			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	52.8%	19.3% or lower	19.4% to 29.9%	30.0% to 37.6%	37.7% or hig			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	92.0%	78.9% or lower	79.0% to 83.9%	84.0% to 86.9%	87.0% or hig			

Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
. .	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Tar		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained							
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.34 or lower	2.35 to 2.42	2.43 to 2.48	2.49 or high		
SETSS	2.27	2.26 or lower	2.27 to 2.38	2.39 to 2.46	2.47 or high		
ELL	2.74	2.44 or lower	2.45 to 2.57	2.58 to 2.66	2.67 or high		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	2.03 or lower	2.04 to 2.08	2.09 to 2.12	2.13 or high		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.10	2.11 or high		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained							
Integrated Co-Teaching		2.45 or lower	2.46 to 2.57	2.58 to 2.67	2.68 or high		
SETSS	2.15	2.39 or lower	2.40 to 2.56	2.57 to 2.68	2.69 or high		
ELL	2.73	2.64 or lower	2.65 to 2.82	2.83 to 2.95	2.96 or high		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.95 or lower	1.96 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.07	2.08 or high		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.07	2.08 or high		

ELL Progress

*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Tar		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	92.9%	71.7% or lower	71.8% to 78.6%	78.7% to 83.6%	83.7% or high		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.75	0.18 or lower	0.19 to 0.28	0.29 to 0.35	0.36 or high		

* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

27Q333 Academy

Target

igher igher higher

nigher Nigher higher

nigher nigher nigher nigher

nigher

nigher

arget

gher gher gher gher gher

gher gher

gher

gher

igher

Target igher

gher