
### **Summary of Section Ratings**

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### State Accountability Status: N/A

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

Leadership Preparatory Brownsville Charter School

## **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	85	84	90
Grade 1	89	89	81
Grade 2	86	87	90
Grade 3	57	86	74
Grade 4	38	57	74
Grade 5	-	83	80
Grade 6	-	-	85
All students	355	486	574

## **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	2%	2%	3%
% Free Lunch Eligible	87%	83%	80%
% Student with IEPs	15%	14%	12%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	1%	0%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	68%	64%
% Temporary Housing	-	7%	9%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	84%	83%	83%
% Hispanic	15%	15%	14%
% White	0%	0%	0%
% Other	0%	2%	3%

84K711 Leadership Preparatory Brownsville Charter School

### **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.88

				2	014-15 Target	5			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA						-			
Average Student Proficiency	306	2.62	1.94	2.28	2.52	2.80	3.15	3.36	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	306	30.7%	0.0%	16.2%	27.9%	41.2%	57.8%	3.21	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	215	60.0	47.5	55.3	62.2	66.9	78.2	2.68	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third</li> </ul>	75	65.0	57.8	65.9	72.9	77.8	89.6	1.89	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	73	3.42	0.29	1.26	2.09	2.68	4.11	4.52	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	306	3.25	1.80	2.33	2.72	3.15	3.71	4.18	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	306	63.4%	0.0%	21.1%	36.4%	53.7%	75.4%	4.45	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	214	68.0	35.9	49.5	61.2	69.4	89.1	3.83	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	73	77.0	48.7	60.3	70.2	77.2	93.8	3.97	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	73	8.50	0.02	1.61	2.99	3.95	6.36	4.99	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	67	93.2%	65.0%	75.7%	82.5%	90.3%	100.0%	4.30	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.76

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014 1F Cabaal	Domilation 9/	2014 15	Dattam of				- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•					Ū					
Self-Contained	12	3.9%	19.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	7	2.3%	11.7%	14.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%		0.030	0.000
SETSS	29	9.5%	90.5%	6.9%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	3.26	0.030	0.017
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	11	3.6%	17.9%	18.2%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	7	2.3%	11.7%	57.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
• SETSS	29	9.5%	91.3%	27.6%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.93	0.030	0.030
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	4.2%	9.0%	66.7%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	55	25.6%	35.9%	41.8%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	2.36	0.030	0.010
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	30	14.0%	35.4%	46.7%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	3.08	0.030	0.016
SC/ICT/SETSS	25	11.6%	22.1%	60.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	9	4.2%	8.6%	33.3%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	48	22.4%	30.8%	62.5%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.40	0.030	0.026
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	26	12.1%	31.5%	53.8%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.04	0.030	0.023
SC/ICT/SETSS	24	11.2%	21.6%	45.8%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	14	2.5%	6.3%	100.0%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.12
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.88

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

Leadership Preparatory Brownsville Charter School

Corous Instruction  Quality Review 1.2  Quality Review 2.2  NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Score: S		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1         Quality Review 2.2         100%           NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction         90%         2.92         100%           Section Rating: Meeting Target         Section Score:*         3.04           Iaborative Teachers         Quality Review 4.2	orous Instruction			
Quality Review 2.2 NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 90% 2.92 100% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.04  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.04  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.06  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.56  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.80  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64				
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 90% 2.92 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.04    Ilaborative Teachers	Quality Review 1.2			
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:* 3.04    Compositive Teachers   Compositive	Quality Review 2.2			
Comparison   Com	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	90%	2.92	100%
Quality Review 4.2 NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.56  Section Survey - Supportive Environment 83% 2.52 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance 89.8% 4.00 HS Overall 89.8% 4.00 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.58 4.92 HS Overall 0.58 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:*	3.04	
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 88% 3.36 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.56  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64	llaborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:* 3.56  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  83% 2.52 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 89.8% 4.00 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 9.8% 4.00 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 9.8% 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:* 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.80  ONG Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Trust  Section Score: 3.64	Quality Review 4.2			
A Couglity Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  EMS  EMS  Overall  Movement of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  Overall  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.80  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.64	·	88%	3.36	100%
Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  83% 2.52 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 89.8% 4.00 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.58 4.92 HS Overall 0.58 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:  Section Score: 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.48 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:*	3.56	
Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  83% 2.52 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 89.8% 4.00 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.58 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:  Section Score: 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.48 100%				
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 83% 2.52 65%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 89.8% 4.00 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.58 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64	-			
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 89.8% 4.00 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.58 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:  Section Score: 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	83%	2.52	65%
EMS HS Overall 89.8% 4.00 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.58 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64				33,0
Overall 89.8% 4.00 30%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS	-	89.8%	4.00	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  EMS  Overall  0.58  4.92  5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Score:  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  Se	HS			
environments  EMS HS Overall 0.58 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  ective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  Ong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.48 100%	Overall	89.8%	4.00	30%
EMS HS Overall  0.58 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:* 3.38  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.80  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.64	Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
Overall 0.58 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  ective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 83% 3.80 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.64 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64	environments			
Overall 0.58 4.92 5%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score:* 3.38  ective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 83% 3.80 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.64 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  section Rating: Meeting Target 89% 3.48 100%	EMS	0.58	4.92	
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:*  3.38  Section Score:*  NYC School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.80  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.64  100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score:  3.64  NYC School Survey - Trust  89%  3.48  100%	HS			
ective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.80  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Trust  89%  3.48  100%	Overall	0.58	4.92	5%
ective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.80  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.64  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Trust  89%  3.48  100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:*	3.38	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 83% 3.80 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.80  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.64 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.48 100%	antiva Cabaal Landarahin			
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.80  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.64 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.48 100%	-	83%	3.80	100%
Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.64 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.48 100%	2 23.100. 20.107	33/3		20070
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 87% 3.64 100%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.64  IST  NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.48 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.80	
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Score: 3.64  Ist  NYC School Survey - Trust  89%  3.48  100%	ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.48 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	87%	3.64	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.48 100%	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.64	
NYC School Survey - Trust 89% 3.48 100%				
	NVC School Survey Trust	000/	2 40	1000/
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48	INTO SCHOOL Survey - Irust	89%	3.48	100%
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	

<sup>\*</sup> These scores have been rescaled so that schools without Quality Review ratings are measured on a comparable scale to schools with Quality Review ratings.

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	93	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	3.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	96	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
<ul> <li>Course clarity</li> </ul>	Students	80	84.3	92.7	100.0	0.00	1.00
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	90	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		90%					2.92
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	80	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.00	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	66	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.00	
Cultural awareness	Combined	80	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.25	2.00
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	78	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.00	1.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	90	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.80	4.20
School commitment	Teachers	90 85	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
Innovation	Teachers	88	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.59	3.44
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	92	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.66	3.64
Focus on student learning	Teachers	89	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.65	3.60
Collective responsibility	Teachers	91	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:	reactiets	88%	03.7	04.7	100.0	0.72	3.36
Supportive Environment Safety:		00	00.0	0.4.6	400.0	0.40	
Safety	Teachers	83	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.13	
Safety	Students	72	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.00	4.04
o Safety	Combined	77				0.06	1.24
Classroom behavior:		0.4		0==	4000	0.00	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	91	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.73	
Classroom behavior	Students	63	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.00	
Classroom behavior	Combined	77				0.36	2.44
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	91	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students	78	68.2	84.8	100.0	0.31	2.24
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:	Taaalaana	07	75.0	00.0	100.0	0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students	97	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.88	
Press toward academic achievement		89	85.3	91.9	98.5	0.28	2 22
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	93	77.0	00.6	100.0	0.58	3.32
Personal attention and support	Students	83	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.25	2.00
Peer support for academic work:	Toochore	06	76 5	01 5	100.0	0.00	
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	96	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.82	
Peer support for academic work	Parents Students	96 59	88.4 50.4	94.8 73.8	100.0 97.2	0.75 0.17	
Peer support for academic work		83	JU.4	/3.8	37.2		2 22
Peer support for academic work	Combined					0.58	3.32
Section Results:		83%					2.52

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	95	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	44	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.23	1.92
Program coherence	Teachers	96	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.91	4.64
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	96	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.91	4.64
Section Results:		83%					3.80
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	94	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.64	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	94				0.69	3.76
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	80	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.62	3.48
Section Results:		87%					3.64
Trust							
<ul> <li>Parent-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
<ul> <li>Student-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Students	67	64.6	85.2	100.0	0.07	1.28
<ul> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.89	4.56
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.65	3.60
Section Results:		89%					3.48

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
State Test Results - ELA*									
Average Student Proficiency	2.62	2.43 or lower	2.44 to 2.54	2.55 to 2.62	2.63 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.11	2.00 or lower	2.01 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.21	2.22 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	30.7%	21.7% or lower	21.8% to 27.2%	27.3% to 31.4%	31.5% or higher				
State Test Results - Math*									
Average Student Proficiency	3.25	2.62 or lower	2.63 to 2.77	2.78 to 2.89	2.90 or higher				
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.51	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.22	2.23 to 2.35	2.36 or higher				
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	63.4%	32.6% or lower	32.7% to 39.8%	39.9% to 45.3%	45.4% or higher				
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.2%	84.0% or lower	84.1% to 88.1%	88.2% to 91.1%	91.2% or higher				
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets							
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target				
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	1.87	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.96	1.97 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.18	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.21	2.22 or higher				
SETSS	2.19	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.21	2.22 or higher				
ELL	2.80	2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.43	2.44 to 2.53	2.54 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.05	2.06 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.95	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.02	2.03 or higher				
Math - Average Proficiency Rating									
Self-Contained	2.26	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 or higher				
Integrated Co-Teaching	3.06	2.09 or lower	2.10 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.39	2.40 or higher				
SETSS	2.54	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.37	2.38 or higher				
ELL	2.92	2.62 or lower	2.63 to 2.82	2.83 to 2.97	2.98 or higher				
Lowest Third Citywide	2.21	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.06	2.07 or higher				
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.19	1.90 or lower	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.06	2.07 or higher				
ELL Progress	100.0%	44.2% or lower	44.3% to 54.1%	54.2% to 61.7%	61.8% or higher				

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	89.8%	70.2% or lower	70.3% to 77.0%	77.1% to 82.2%	82.3% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.58	0.18 or lower	0.19 to 0.29	0.30 to 0.37	0.38 or higher			