
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

The Bilingual Bicultural School

2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	66	64	52
Grade 1	59	70	77
Grade 2	53	62	65
Grade 3	74	49	57
Grade 4	67	68	46
Grade 5	72	66	63
All students	391	379	360

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	29%	32%	34%
% Free Lunch Eligible	92%	92%	91%
% Student with IEPs	12%	16%	19%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	2%	2%	1%
% HRA Eligible	-	74%	74%
% Temporary Housing	-	14%	17%
% Asian	1%	1%	2%
% Black	8%	8%	9%
% Hispanic	90%	89%	89%
% White	0%	1%	0%
% Other	0%	0%	1%

04M182 The Bilingual Bicultural School

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.02

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Wetrics	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	159	2.37	1.94	2.16	2.32	2.49	2.77	3.29	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	159	20.8%	1.9%	11.2%	17.8%	25.2%	37.2%	3.41	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	98	53.5	46.9	54.7	61.5	66.3	77.7	1.85	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	38	61.0	59.9	67.7	74.4	79.1	90.3	1.14	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	55	1.33	0.46	1.20	1.84	2.29	3.36	2.20	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	163	2.71	1.96	2.27	2.48	2.71	3.08	4.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	163	33.7%	1.5%	15.0%	24.6%	35.6%	51.3%	3.83	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	101	53.0	39.3	49.9	59.1	65.6	81.0	2.34	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	36	64.5	54.0	63.4	71.6	77.3	90.8	2.13	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	57	3.14	0.38	1.48	2.43	3.10	4.68	4.03	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	61	93.3%	79.8%	85.7%	89.7%	94.3%	100.0%	3.78	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	2.91

						2014-15 Targets						
					_							
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	2	1.3%	6.4%		0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%		0.030	0.000
 Integrated Co-Teaching 	19	11.9%	60.4%	10.5%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.18	0.030	0.024
SETSS	4	2.5%	23.8%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	2	1.2%	6.0%		0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%		0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	19	11.7%	59.7%	10.5%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.58	0.030	0.012
SETSS	4	2.5%	24.0%		0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
O ELL	26	26.5%	56.6%	19.2%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	1.49	0.030	0.004
Lowest Third Citywide	24	24.5%	34.3%	37.5%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.88	0.030	0.007
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	7	7.1%	18.0%	42.9%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	12	12.2%	23.8%	41.7%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	29	28.7%	58.9%	34.5%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	3.09	0.030	0.016
Lowest Third Citywide	28	27.7%	38.0%	50.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.70	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	13	12.9%	33.6%	38.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	2.77	0.030	0.013
SC/ICT/SETSS	12	11.9%	23.6%	50.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%		0.030	0.000
ELL Progress	119	33.5%	85.0%	52.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.79	0.030	0.013
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.11
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.02

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

04M182 The Bilingual Bicultural School

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			2.2.3
Quality Review 1.1	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	93%	3.84	34%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.64	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Developing	2.00	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	85%	3.16	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.60	
portive Environment	Proficient	3.40	30%
Quality Review 3.4	91%	3.40	35%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	91%	3.30	33%
EMS	82.1%	3.56	
HS	02.170	3.30	
Overall	82.1%	3.56	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	0212/0	3.30	3070
environments			
EMS	0.23	2.82	
HS			
Overall	0.23	2.82	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	
ctive School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	60%	1.80	100%
Section Rating: Not Meeting Target	Section Score:	1.80	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	84%	2.96	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.96	
st	95.		
NYC School Survey - Trust	80%	2.76	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.76	



Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			_				
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.91	4.64
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	90	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.50	3.00
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	91	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.71	3.84
Section Results:		93%					3.84
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	93	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.52	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	33	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Combined	94	00.0	٥/.٦	100.0	0.64	3.56
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	47	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
School commitment	Teachers	82	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.54	3.16
Innovation	Teachers	91	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.69	3.76
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	86	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.39	2.56
Focus on student learning	Teachers	77	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.26	2.04
Collective responsibility	Teachers	95	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.85	4.40
Section Results:		85%					3.16
Supportive Environment Safety:	~ .		00.0	0.4.6	400.0	0.55	
Safety	Teachers	91	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.55	
Safety	Students	24	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.55	2.20
Safety	Combined	91				0.55	3.20
Classroom behavior:	T I	0.4	66.0	05.5	400.0	0.53	
Classroom behavior	Teachers	84	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.52	
Classroom behavior	Students	0.4	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.52	2.00
Classroom behavior	Combined	84	00.0	06.6	400.0	0.52	3.08
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.89	4.56
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance Press toward academic achievement:	Students						
	Taaabaaa	٥٢	75.0	00.0	100.0	0.41	
Press toward academic achievement Press toward academic achievement	Teachers Students	85	75.0 85.3	88.8 91.9	100.0 98.5	0.41	
		OF	83.3	91.9	98.5	0.41	2.64
Press toward academic achievement	Combined Students	85	77.8	89.6	100.0	0.41	2.64
Personal attention and support	Students		//.0	03.0	100.0		
Peer support for academic work: Peer support for academic work	Teachers	97	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.87	
	Parents	96	88.4	91.5	100.0	0.87	
Peer support for academic work Peer support for academic work	Students	90	50.4	73.8	97.2	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	96	JU.4	/3.ŏ	37.2	0.81	4.24
Section Results:	Combined					0.01	
section results.		91%					3.56

04M182 The Bilingual Bicultural School

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	88	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.41	2.64
Teacher influence	Teachers	46	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.27	2.08
Program coherence	Teachers	64	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.11	1.44
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	41	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Section Results:		60%	0=.0	0.10		0.00	1.80
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	91	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	91				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	76	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.48	2.92
Section Results:		84%					2.96
T							
Trust		0.0	00.0	0= 0			
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.60	3.40
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	44	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.00	1.00
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	91	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.67	3.68
Section Results:		80%					2.76

Targets for 2015-16

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.37	2.24 or lower	2.25 to 2.34	2.35 to 2.42	2.43 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.12	1.84 or lower	1.85 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	20.8%	13.6% or lower	13.7% to 19.1%	19.2% to 23.3%	23.4% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.71	2.43 or lower	2.44 to 2.59	2.60 to 2.70	2.71 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.13	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.15	2.16 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	33.7%	23.3% or lower	23.4% to 30.5%	30.6% to 36.0%	36.1% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	93.3%	85.9% or lower	86.0% to 89.5%	89.6% to 92.2%	92.3% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.00	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 2.03	2.04 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
SETSS		1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
ELL	2.02	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.22	2.23 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.96	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained		1.74 or lower	1.75 to 1.88	1.89 to 1.98	1.99 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.25	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.27	2.28 or higher		
SETSS		1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.24	2.25 or higher		
ELL	2.46	2.15 or lower	2.16 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.50	2.51 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.09	1.93 or lower	1.94 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.08	2.09 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.00	2.01 to 2.07	2.08 or higher		
ELL Progress	52.9%	34.0% or lower	34.1% to 44.0%	44.1% to 51.5%	51.6% or higher		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	82.1%	74.3% or lower	74.4% to 80.8%	80.9% to 85.8%	85.9% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.23	0.09 or lower	0.10 to 0.14	0.15 to 0.19	0.20 or higher			