
### **Summary of Section Ratings**

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Reward**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

P.S. 069 Journey Prep School

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

## Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	99	104	107
Grade 1	98	111	102
Grade 2	95	87	87
Grade 3	78	93	84
Grade 4	81	74	94
Grade 5	116	84	76
All students	604	589	604

## **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	12%	9%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	82%	82%	83%
% Student with IEPs	20%	23%	24%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	5%	5%	7%
% HRA Eligible	-	65%	60%
% Temporary Housing	-	11%	11%
% Asian	2%	2%	2%
% Black	18%	17%	16%
% Hispanic	77%	78%	80%
% White	2%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

**Student Achievement Scoring Appendix** 

08X069 P.S. 069 Journey Prep School

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Exceeding Target	4.19

				2	014-15 Target:	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
Student Achievement Wetries	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	227	2.72	1.97	2.18	2.30	2.45	2.72	4.99	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>	227	37.4%	2.6%	11.4%	17.4%	24.3%	36.1%	4.99	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	150	62.5	45.4	53.6	60.9	65.9	77.9	3.32	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	52	81.0	56.5	65.5	73.4	78.8	91.9	4.17	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	72	3.60	0.43	1.05	1.60	1.97	2.91	4.99	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	231	3.02	1.90	2.21	2.43	2.67	3.05	4.92	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>	231	51.5%	0.0%	13.4%	23.0%	33.9%	49.8%	4.99	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	150	48.0	41.6	51.4	59.8	65.7	79.9	1.65	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third</li> </ul>	53	50.0	55.0	64.1	71.9	77.4	90.5	1.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	73	7.12	0.02	1.14	2.12	2.80	4.44	4.99	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	75	90.4%	78.2%	84.4%	88.8%	93.7%	99.9%	3.33	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.94

						2014-15 Targets						
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtA	G) Metrics	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•					· ·	· ·				
<ul> <li>Self-Contained</li> </ul>	17	7.5%	36.9%	5.9%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	4.99	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	12.8%	65.0%	13.8%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	4.69	0.030	0.028
SETSS	4	1.8%	17.1%		0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%		0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
Self-Contained	16	6.9%	34.3%	12.5%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	4.98	0.030	0.030
Integrated Co-Teaching	29	12.6%	64.3%	24.1%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	4.45	0.030	0.026
SETSS	4	1.7%	16.3%	24.170	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	4.43	0.030	0.000
	·	1.770	10.370		0.070	0.070	11.770	10.070	20.170		0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	26	17.3%	37.0%	38.5%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	3.22	0.030	0.017
<ul> <li>Lowest Third Citywide</li> </ul>	31	20.7%	28.6%	71.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.82	0.030	0.029
<ul> <li>Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third</li> </ul>	Citywide 19	12.7%	32.2%	63.2%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.34	0.030	0.025
<ul> <li>SC/ICT/SETSS</li> </ul>	35	23.3%	55.6%	57.1%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.11	0.030	0.023
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	28	18.7%	38.4%	25.0%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	2.24	0.030	0.009
Lowest Third Citywide	26	17.3%	23.8%	42.3%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%		0.030	0.000
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third	Citywide 12	8.0%	20.8%	16.7%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	35	23.3%	56.5%	31.4%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.17	0.030	0.009
• ELL Progress	63	11.5%	29.2%	77.8%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	4.54	0.030	0.027
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.25
									Overa	all Student Achie	vement Score	4.19

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

P.S. 069 Journey Prep School

08X069

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
orous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Well Developed	4.99	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	94%	3.88	34%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.60	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	86%	3.00	50%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	
portive Environment	Well Davelened	4.00	200/
Quality Review 3.4	Well Developed 92%	4.99	30% 35%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance	JL/0	3.48	55%
EMS	78.3%	3.76	
HS	70.370	5.70	
Overall	78.3%	3.76	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive		- <del>-</del>	23,0
environments			
EMS	0.19	2.45	
HS			
Overall	0.19	2.45	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.96	
ctive School Leadership			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	79%	3 24	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	79%	3.24	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	79% Section Score:	3.24 3.24	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target  ong Family-Community Ties			100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target  ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	Section Score:	3.24	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target  ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	<b>3.24</b> 2.40	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target  ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:  81%  Section Score:	2.40 2.40	100%
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Ong Family-Community Ties  NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties  Section Rating: Approaching Target  St  NYC School Survey - Trust	Section Score:	<b>3.24</b> 2.40	



P.S. 069 Journey Prep School

		City Range						
			Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigor	ous Instruction			•	, ,		•	
• C	ommon Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	99	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.89	4.56
C	ommon Core shifts in math	Teachers	94	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.64	3.56
C	ourse clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
	uality of student discussion	Teachers	89	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.64	3.56
	n Results:		94%					3.88
Collab	oorative Teachers							
	ultural awareness:							
J	Cultural awareness	Teachers	92	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.50	
	Cultural awareness	Parents	97	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Students	31	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
	Cultural awareness	Combined	94	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.63	3.52
In	iclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	88	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.25	2.00
	uality of professional development	Teachers	68	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.33	2.32
	chool commitment	Teachers	82	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.56	3.24
	inovation	Teachers	86	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.52	3.24
	eflective dialogue	Teachers	91	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
	errective dialogue eer collaboration				93.9			
		Teachers Teachers	90 85	77.6 68.2	89.0	100.0 100.0	0.55 0.54	3.20 3.16
	ocus on student learning		87					
	ollective responsibility  n Results:	Teachers	86%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.61	3.44 <b>3.00</b>
	ortive Environment afety:							
	Safety	Teachers	95	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.75	
	Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
•	Safety	Combined	95				0.75	4.00
С	lassroom behavior:							
	Classroom behavior	Teachers	90	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.69	
	Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
	Classroom behavior	Combined	90				0.69	3.76
So	ocial-emotional measure	Teachers	92	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
P	eer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
N	ext-level guidance	Students						
Р	ress toward academic achievement:							
	Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	90	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.59	
	Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
	Press toward academic achievement	Combined	90				0.59	3.36
P	ersonal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
P	eer support for academic work:							
	Peer support for academic work	Teachers	86	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.42	
	Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
	Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
	Peer support for academic work	Combined	92				0.58	3.32
ectio	n Results:		92%					3.48

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	93	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.68	3.72
Teacher influence	Teachers	58	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.46	2.84
Program coherence	Teachers	80	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.50	3.00
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	85	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.60	3.40
Section Results:	reactions	79%	01.0	07.0	100.0	0.00	3.24
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	84	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.00	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	94	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.64	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	89				0.32	2.28
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	73	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.37	2.48
Section Results:		81%					2.40
Tourse							
Trust	D	07	00.0	05.2	00.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	95	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.75	4.00
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	77	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.48	2.92
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	83	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.35	2.40
Section Results:		88%					3.32

**Targets for 2015-16** 

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
State Test Results - ELA*					
Average Student Proficiency	2.72	2.37 or lower	2.38 to 2.47	2.48 to 2.55	2.56 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.30	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.08	2.09 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	37.4%	19.9% or lower	20.0% to 25.4%	25.5% to 29.5%	29.6% or higher
State Test Results - Math*					
Average Student Proficiency	3.02	2.51 or lower	2.52 to 2.66	2.67 to 2.78	2.79 or higher
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.25	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 or higher
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	51.5%	28.2% or lower	28.3% to 35.4%	35.5% to 40.9%	41.0% or higher
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	90.4%	85.8% or lower	85.9% to 89.4%	89.5% to 92.1%	92.2% or higher
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	1.92	1.72 or lower	1.73 to 1.81	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.27	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.21	2.22 or higher
SETSS		2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.14	2.15 to 2.24	2.25 or higher
ELL	2.57	2.12 or lower	2.13 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.36	2.37 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	2.13	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.15	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
Math - Average Proficiency Rating					
Self-Contained	2.05	1.80 or lower	1.81 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.05	2.06 or higher
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.54	2.11 or lower	2.12 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.42	2.43 or higher
SETSS		2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.36	2.37 or higher
ELL	2.72	2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.49	2.50 to 2.63	2.64 or higher
Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 1.97	1.98 to 2.03	2.04 or higher
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.91	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher
ELL Progress	77.8%	44.9% or lower	45.0% to 54.8%	54.9% to 62.4%	62.5% or higher

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	78.3%	70.7% or lower	70.8% to 77.5%	77.6% to 82.7%	82.8% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.19	0.13 or lower	0.14 to 0.21	0.22 to 0.27	0.28 or higher			