
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Focus

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	46	37	37
Grade 1	52	45	36
Grade 2	49	41	41
Grade 3	41	40	30
Grade 4	42	42	41
Grade 5	43	26	41
All students	291	249	238

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	9%	8%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	78%	78%	78%
% Student with IEPs	23%	27%	29%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	12%	13%	16%
% HRA Eligible	-	80%	78%
% Temporary Housing	-	8%	13%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	28%	30%	25%
% Hispanic	69%	68%	73%
% White	2%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	1%	1%

14K016 P.S. 016 Leonard Dunkly

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.53

				2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	110	2.26	1.94	2.14	2.26	2.40	2.67	3.00	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	110	12.7%	1.9%	9.8%	15.3%	21.6%	33.3%	2.53	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	75	59.0	45.1	54.0	61.7	67.1	79.9	2.65	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	27	80.0	56.0	65.8	74.2	80.1	94.3	3.98	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	30	1.79	0.38	0.97	1.48	1.84	2.76	3.86	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	107	2.41	1.90	2.17	2.35	2.56	2.93	3.29	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	107	16.8%	0.0%	11.2%	19.2%	28.4%	43.6%	2.70	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	73	65.0	36.5	48.6	59.1	66.4	83.7	3.81	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	28	87.5	53.7	63.8	72.5	78.6	93.1	4.61	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	30	2.13	0.02	1.04	1.93	2.55	4.08	3.32	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	25	87.6%	77.3%	83.5%	87.9%	92.9%	99.2%	2.93	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.33

						2014-15 Targets						
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	- Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4	••	r opulation 70	Of Range	School value	ranget Name	laiget	laiget	laiget	raiget Naiige	Weth it Store	1 0331516	Lameu
Self-Contained	20	18.2%	89.7%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching		10.270	0.0%	0.0,0	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	7	6.4%	61.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
 Self-Contained 	20	18.7%	93.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching			0.0%		0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%		0.030	0.000
o SETSS	7	6.5%	62.5%	0.0%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	17	22.7%	48.5%	29.4%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	2.33	0.030	0.010
Lowest Third Citywide	39	52.0%	75.4%	56.4%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	4.05	0.030	0.023
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	22	29.3%	74.2%	59.1%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	4.16	0.030	0.024
SC/ICT/SETSS	20	26.7%	65.3%	65.0%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	4.45	0.030	0.026
Mark Danish at 75th County Danish												
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile	18	24.7%	50.7%	50.0%	7.00/	22 20/	22 50/	44.69/	70.2%	4.21	0.030	0.024
• ELL	43	58.9%	80.9%	65.1%	7.8% 19.1%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	76.7%	4.21	0.030	0.024
Lowest Third Citywide Plack and Himping Males in Lowest Third Citywide On the Citywide						32.5%	42.8%	53.1%		4.51		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	24	32.9%	85.7%	70.8%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	4.68	0.030	0.028
SC/ICT/SETSS	20	27.4%	68.3%	65.0%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	4.55	0.030	0.027
ELL Progress	23	10.4%	26.4%	52.2%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.72	0.030	0.013
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.20
									Overa	II Student Achie	vement Score	3.53

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

14K016 P.S. 016 Leonard Dunkly

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
igorous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	95%	4.40	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
ollaborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	95%	4.40	50%
The Serious Survey Conditional Federicis	3370	11.10	3070
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.92	
pportive Environment	Durfisiont	2.40	200/
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	98%	4.60	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	74.5%	3.52	
HS	74.5%	5.52	
Overall	74.5%	3.52	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive	74.370	3.32	30%
environments			
EMS	0.32	3.70	
HS	0.32	3.70	
Overall	0.32	3.70	5%
Overall	0.32	3.70	370
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.88	
fective School Leadership	224		4000/
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	82%	3.44	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.44	
rong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	88%	3.68	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.68	
NVC School Survey Truct	0.40/	4.00	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	94%	4.00	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.00	

14K016 P.S. 016 Leonard Dunkly

	City Range						
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			-				
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	100	86.4	94.8	100.0	1.00	4.99
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	100	83.3	93.1	100.0	1.00	4.99
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	86	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.56	3.24
Section Results:		95%					4.40
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	97	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.80	
Cultural awareness	Parents	95	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	95	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
	Combined	96	08.0	87.4	100.0	0.77	4.08
			04.2	04.6	100.0		
Inclusive classroom instruction Ouglity of professional development	Teachers	99	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.91	4.64
Quality of professional development	Teachers	78	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.54	3.16
• School commitment	Teachers	92	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.79	4.16
• Innovation	Teachers	98	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.93	4.72
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	99	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.93	4.72
Focus on student learning	Teachers	95	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.85	4.40
Collective responsibility	Teachers	99	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.97	4.88
Section Results:		95%					4.40
Supportive Environment							
Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
 Safety 	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	98	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.92	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
 Classroom behavior 	Combined	98				0.92	4.68
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	99	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.91	4.64
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	95	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.78	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
 Press toward academic achievement 	Combined	95				0.78	4.12
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	100	76.5	91.5	100.0	1.00	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	97	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	98				0.88	4.52
Section Results:	23billed	98%				0.00	4.60
Jednon neowitor		3370					4.00

14K016 P.S. 016 Leonard Dunkly

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	90	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.50	3.00
Teacher influence	Teachers	60	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.49	2.96
Program coherence	Teachers	89	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.73	3.92
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	89	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.72	3.88
Section Results:		82%					3.44
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.96	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.52	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	96				0.74	3.96
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	79	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.59	3.36
Section Results:		88%					3.68
Trust							
 Parent-teacher trust 	Parents	95	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	93	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.61	3.44
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
Teacher-principal trust	Teachers	87	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.70	3.80
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	99	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.95	4.80
Section Results:		94%					4.00

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.26	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.32	2.33 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	12.7%	9.8% or lower	9.9% to 15.3%	15.4% to 19.4%	19.5% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.41	2.23 or lower	2.24 to 2.38	2.39 to 2.50	2.51 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.93	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	16.8%	15.4% or lower	15.5% to 22.6%	22.7% to 28.1%	28.2% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	87.6%	83.8% or lower	83.9% to 87.9%	88.0% to 91.0%	91.1% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	sing the Achievement Gap Metrics* 2014-15						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.87	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
SETSS	2.05						
ELL	1.99	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.12	2.13 to 2.23	2.24 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	1.99	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.93	1.94 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.97	1.82 or lower	1.83 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.93	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching		1.88 or lower	1.89 to 2.05	2.06 to 2.18	2.19 or higher		
SETSS	1.86						
ELL	2.36	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.24	2.25 to 2.39	2.40 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.06	1.86 or lower	1.87 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.83 or lower	1.84 to 1.91	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 or higher		
ELL Progress	52.2%	39.5% or lower	39.6% to 49.4%	49.5% to 57.0%	57.1% or highe		

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	74.5%	63.1% or lower	63.2% to 69.9%	70.0% to 75.1%	75.2% or higher		
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.32	0.11 or lower	0.12 to 0.17	0.18 to 0.23	0.24 or higher		