
#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

#### **State Accountability Status: Good Standing**

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at <a href="http://schoolqualityreports.nyc">http://schoolqualityreports.nyc</a>

P.S. 132 Ralph Bunche

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / ES

**School Enrollment and Demographic Data** 

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	40	65	50
Grade 1	50	53	60
Grade 2	57	37	60
Grade 3	40	42	40
Grade 4	58	41	47
Grade 5	58	55	43
All students	356	347	353

## **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	3%	2%	1%
% Free Lunch Eligible	66%	66%	72%
% Student with IEPs	17%	23%	23%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	7%	7%
% HRA Eligible	-	45%	39%
% Temporary Housing	-	11%	30%
% Asian	0%	0%	0%
% Black	91%	90%	90%
% Hispanic	6%	6%	6%
% White	1%	1%	2%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

29Q132 P.S. 132 Ralph Bunche

## **Student Achievement Scoring Appendix**

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.72

				2	014-15 Target:	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	Top of		
	n	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	128	2.57	2.08	2.28	2.39	2.52	2.76	4.21	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>	128	31.3%	6.8%	15.7%	21.3%	27.7%	38.6%	4.33	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	86	57.0	45.2	53.5	60.7	65.7	77.7	2.49	9.09%
<ul> <li>Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third</li> </ul>	34	63.0	55.4	64.6	72.5	78.1	91.4	1.83	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	40	2.83	0.64	1.23	1.72	2.07	2.94	4.87	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	128	2.84	1.99	2.31	2.52	2.76	3.12	4.22	9.09%
<ul> <li>Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4</li> </ul>	128	42.2%	1.6%	16.0%	26.3%	38.0%	54.0%	4.26	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	86	67.0	38.8	49.8	59.3	66.0	81.9	4.06	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	31	71.0	51.0	61.7	71.0	77.5	92.9	3.00	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	40	1.86	0.02	1.24	2.31	3.05	4.82	2.58	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	50	95.6%	77.4%	83.8%	88.4%	93.5%	100.0%	4.32	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.65

						2	014-15 Target	c				
		2014-15 School	Population %	2014-15	Bottom of	Approaching	Meeting	Exceeding	- Top of		Extra Points	Extra Points
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	Population %	of Range	School Value	Target Range	Target	Target	Target	Target Range	Metric Score	Possible	Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
<ul> <li>Self-Contained</li> </ul>	8	6.3%	31.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	7	5.5%	27.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
O SETSS	8	6.3%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
<ul> <li>Self-Contained</li> </ul>	8	6.3%	31.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	7	5.5%	28.1%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	8	6.3%	60.6%	12.5%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	3.16	0.030	0.016
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	1	1.2%	2.6%		12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	20	23.3%	32.5%	30.0%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.19	0.030	0.001
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	9	10.5%	26.6%	44.4%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	2.85	0.030	0.014
o SC/ICT/SETSS	12	14.0%	28.9%	33.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.88	0.030	0.007
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	1	1.2%	2.5%		7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%		0.030	0.000
Lowest Third Citywide	24	27.9%	38.3%	50.0%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	3.70	0.030	0.020
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	8	9.3%	24.2%	62.5%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%		0.030	0.000
SC/ICT/SETSS	12	14.0%	29.7%	33.3%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.35	0.030	0.010
ELL Progress	4	1.3%	3.3%		31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%		0.030	0.000
										CtAG Add	ditional Points	0.07
									0	ıll Student Achie	wamant Cases	3.72
									Overa	iii Student Achie	vement score	3.72

<sup>•</sup> Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

<sup>•</sup> Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

29Q132

P.S. 132 Ralph Bunche

Alaborative Teachers  Quality Review 4.2 Proficien NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target  Quality Review 3.4 Proficien NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.23  HS Overall 0.23	g 2.00 t 3.40 3.88  etion Score: 3.24  t 3.40 4.40  etion Score: 3.92	22% 22% 34% 50% 50% 30% 35%
Quality Review 1.2 Proficien  NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 93%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficien  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Proficien  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.23	g 2.00 3.40 3.88  etion Score: 3.24  3.40 4.40  etion Score: 3.92  d 3.40 4.08  3.84  3.84  2.82  2.82	22% 22% 34% 50% 50% 30% 35%
Quality Review 2.2  NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Proficient NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section R	3.40 3.88 3.40 4.40 3.92 3.40 4.40 3.84 3.84 3.84	22% 34% 50% 50% 30% 35%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Quality Review 4.2  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Proficien  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  HS  Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  HS  Overall  Overall  Overall  0.23	3.88  2.82  2.82	30% 30% 35%
Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Quality Review 4.2 Proficien NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96%  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Quality Review 3.4 Proficien NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.23 HS Overall 0.23	3.40 4.40 2.40 3.40 4.08 3.84 3.84 2.82 2.82	50% 50% 30% 35%
Allaborative Teachers  Quality Review 4.2  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Proficient  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Opportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  HS  Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  EMS  Overall	3.40 4.40 3.92 3.40 4.08 3.84 3.84 2.82 2.82	30% 35%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficien  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target  Quality Review 3.4 Proficien  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.23  HS Overall 0.23	4.40  2.82  2.82	30% 35%
Quality Review 4.2 Proficien  NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 96%  Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Rating: Meeting Target  Quality Review 3.4 Proficien  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall 0.23  HS Overall 0.23	4.40  2.82  2.82	30% 35%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers  Section Rating: Meeting Target  Opportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS  HS  Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS  EMS  Overall	4.40  2.82  2.82	30% 35%
Opportive Environment  Quality Review 3.4  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS HS Overall  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS HS Overall	3.40 4.08 3.84 3.84 2.82	35%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficien  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 84.1%  HS  Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.23  HS  Overall 0.23	4.08 3.84 3.84 2.82 2.82	35%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient  NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 84.1%  HS Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.23  HS Overall 0.23	4.08 3.84 3.84 2.82 2.82	35%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 94%  Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 84.1%  HS  Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.23  HS  Overall 0.23	4.08 3.84 3.84 2.82 2.82	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance  EMS 84.1%  HS Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.23  HS Overall 0.23	3.84 3.84 2.82 2.82	30%
EMS 84.1% HS Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.23 HS Overall 0.23	2.82 2.82	
HS Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS Overall  Overall  0.23	2.82 2.82	
Overall 84.1%  Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.23  HS Overall 0.23	2.82 2.82	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments  EMS 0.23  HS  Overall 0.23	2.82 2.82	
EMS 0.23 HS Overall 0.23	2.82	5%
HS Overall 0.23	2.82	5%
Overall 0.23		5%
		5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Sec	tion Score: 3.76	
ective School Leadership  NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership  89%	4.16	100%
1416 School Survey - Effective School LeaderShip 89%	4.10	100%
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Sec	tion Score: 4.16	
and Family Community The		
ong Family-Community Ties		
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 88%	3.88	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target Sec	tion Score: 3.88	
NYC School Survey - Trust 95%		
	<i>I</i> /16	100%
NTC School Survey - Trust	4.16	100%



P.S. 132 Ralph Bunche

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
igorous Instruction							
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	98	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.82	4.28
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	96	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.78	4.12
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	86	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.56	3.24
ection Results:		93%					3.88
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	94	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.61	
Cultural awareness	Parents	96	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Students	30	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.75	
Cultural awareness	Combined	95	00.0	07.4	100.0	0.68	3.72
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	95	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	90	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.79	4.16
School commitment	Teachers	98	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.79	4.10
• Innovation	Teachers	93	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.53	4.08
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	100	87.9	95.9	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer collaboration	Teachers	100	77.6	92.2	100.0	1.00	4.99
Focus on student learning	Teachers	96	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.87	4.48
Collective responsibility	Teachers	95	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.85	4.40
ection Results:	reactiets	96%	03.7	04.7	100.0	0.65	4.40
upportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	100	80.0	94.6	100.0	1.00	
Safety	Students		74.5	88.5	100.0		
• Safety	Combined	100				1.00	4.99
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers	86	66.9	85.5	100.0	0.57	
Classroom behavior	Students		67.3	84.3	100.0		
Classroom behavior	Combined	86				0.57	3.28
<ul> <li>Social-emotional measure</li> </ul>	Teachers	100	89.0	96.6	100.0	1.00	4.99
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	88	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.50	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	88				0.50	3.00
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	94	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.74	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	99	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.87	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
<ul> <li>Peer support for academic work</li> </ul>	Combined	96				0.80	4.20
ection Results:		94%					4.08

P.S. 132 Ralph Bunche

			City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
		Survey 70 T Ositive	Dottom of Range	City Avg	Top of hange	i citciit oi nange	30010
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	94	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.71	3.84
Teacher influence	Teachers	70	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.64	3.56
Program coherence	Teachers	97	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.93	4.72
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	95	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.87	4.48
Section Results:		89%					4.16
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	99	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.94	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	95	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.75	
<ul> <li>Teacher outreach to parents</li> </ul>	Combined	97				0.84	4.36
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	79	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.60	3.40
Section Results:		88%					3.88
Trust							
<ul> <li>Parent-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Parents	96	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.75	4.00
<ul> <li>Parent-principal trust</li> </ul>	Parents	96	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.79	4.16
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
<ul> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	93	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.84	4.36
<ul> <li>Teacher-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	94	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.76	4.04

**Targets for 2015-16** 

29Q132

P.S. 132 Ralph Bunche

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets			
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
State Test Results - ELA*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.57	2.40 or lower	2.41 to 2.50	2.51 to 2.58	2.59 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.15	1.89 or lower	1.90 to 2.01	2.02 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	31.3%	21.9% or lower	22.0% to 27.4%	27.5% to 31.6%	31.7% or higher		
State Test Results - Math*							
Average Student Proficiency	2.84	2.52 or lower	2.53 to 2.67	2.68 to 2.79	2.80 or higher		
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.17	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.98	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 or higher		
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	42.2%	28.7% or lower	28.8% to 36.0%	36.1% to 41.5%	41.6% or higher		
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	95.6%	87.0% or lower	87.1% to 90.3%	90.4% to 92.8%	92.9% or higher		
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16 Targets				
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target		
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.78	1.70 or lower	1.71 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.08	2.02 or lower	2.03 to 2.13	2.14 to 2.21	2.22 or higher		
SETSS	2.02	1.98 or lower	1.99 to 2.11	2.12 to 2.20	2.21 or higher		
ELL		2.32 or lower	2.33 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.56	2.57 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.00	1.92 or lower	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.04	2.05 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.08	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher		
Math - Average Proficiency Rating							
Self-Contained	1.88	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.06	2.07 or higher		
Integrated Co-Teaching	1.90	2.13 or lower	2.14 to 2.30	2.31 to 2.43	2.44 or higher		
SETSS	2.34	2.05 or lower	2.06 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.36	2.37 or higher		
ELL		2.50 or lower	2.51 to 2.69	2.70 to 2.84	2.85 or higher		
Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 1.99	2.00 to 2.05	2.06 or higher		
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01	1.88 or lower	1.89 to 1.96	1.97 to 2.03	2.04 or higher		
ELL Progress							

ELL Progress

<sup>\*</sup>To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	84.1%	73.3% or lower	73.4% to 80.1%	80.2% to 85.2%	85.3% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.23	0.12 or lower	0.13 to 0.20	0.21 to 0.26	0.27 or higher			