
Summary of Section Ratings

Framework for Great Schools

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.



Section scores are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm

Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

P.S. 035 Franz Siegel

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

Student Enrollment

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Kindergarten	122	111	109
Grade 1	122	134	129
Grade 2	96	120	131
Grade 3	78	95	136
Grade 4	119	85	114
Grade 5	105	116	91
All students	642	661	710

Student Demographics

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	23%	24%	22%
% Free Lunch Eligible	91%	91%	91%
% Student with IEPs	18%	23%	24%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	8%	10%	10%
% HRA Eligible	-	77%	71%
% Temporary Housing	-	15%	15%
% Asian	1%	1%	1%
% Black	28%	28%	28%
% Hispanic	69%	68%	68%
% White	1%	1%	1%
% Other	0%	2%	2%

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

09X035 P.S. 035 Franz Siegel

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score
Meeting Target	3.28

		2014-15 Targets							
Student Achievement Metrics	n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA									
Average Student Proficiency	329	2.28	1.91	2.11	2.25	2.41	2.70	3.19	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	329	13.1%	0.4%	8.9%	15.0%	22.0%	34.0%	2.69	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	185	51.0	47.5	55.1	61.8	66.4	77.6	1.46	9.09%
 Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third 	66	65.0	58.7	67.5	75.2	80.6	93.5	1.72	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	132	2.10	0.28	0.98	1.60	2.03	3.07	4.07	9.09%
State Test Results - Math									
Average Student Proficiency	335	2.48	1.91	2.18	2.37	2.58	2.95	3.52	9.09%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	335	26.3%	0.5%	11.8%	19.9%	29.1%	44.3%	3.70	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile	189	59.0	37.9	48.9	58.4	65.1	81.0	3.09	9.09%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third	66	75.0	55.8	64.7	72.4	77.7	90.4	3.49	9.09%
Early Grade Progress	134	2.03	0.11	1.18	2.11	2.75	4.32	2.91	9.09%
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	105	94.4%	68.3%	77.6%	83.9%	91.1%	100.0%	4.37	9.09%
								Weighted Average Score	3.11

						2014-15 Targets						
		2044 45 6-1	D	2014 15	D - 44 f				- 		Forture Bulliotes	Futus Dalata
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4		•										
O Self-Contained	46	14.0%	69.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.9%	2.7%	4.6%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	19	5.8%	29.4%	5.3%	0.0%	3.7%	6.5%	9.3%	15.8%	2.57	0.030	0.012
SETSS	23	7.0%	66.7%	4.3%	0.0%	3.5%	6.2%	8.9%	15.0%	2.30	0.030	0.010
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
O Self-Contained	46	13.7%	68.2%	2.2%	0.0%	2.9%	5.2%	7.4%	12.6%	1.76	0.030	0.006
Integrated Co-Teaching	19	5.7%	29.1%	10.5%	0.0%	7.2%	12.9%	18.4%	31.2%	2.58	0.030	0.012
SETSS	23	6.9%	66.3%	8.7%	0.0%	6.6%	11.7%	16.8%	28.4%	2.41	0.030	0.011
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
O ELL	43	23.2%	49.6%	23.3%	12.7%	26.0%	36.3%	46.4%	69.9%	1.80	0.030	0.006
O Lowest Third Citywide	58	31.4%	44.6%	36.2%	28.0%	38.8%	47.1%	55.4%	74.4%	1.76	0.030	0.006
O Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	36	19.5%	49.4%	30.6%	23.6%	36.2%	45.9%	55.6%	77.8%	1.56	0.030	0.004
o SC/ICT/SETSS	53	28.6%	70.8%	28.3%	22.0%	34.9%	44.8%	54.7%	77.4%	1.49	0.030	0.004
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	47	24.9%	51.1%	46.8%	7.8%	22.3%	33.5%	44.6%	70.2%	4.09	0.030	0.023
Lowest Third Citywide	67	35.4%	48.6%	58.2%	19.1%	32.5%	42.8%	53.1%	76.7%	4.22	0.030	0.024
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	38	20.1%	52.3%	50.0%	14.4%	29.5%	41.2%	52.8%	79.4%	3.76	0.030	0.021
SC/ICT/SETSS	53	28.0%	70.0%	37.7%	15.4%	29.5%	40.4%	51.3%	76.2%	2.75	0.030	0.013
ELL Progress	153	21.6%	54.8%	52.9%	31.6%	44.8%	55.1%	65.2%	88.6%	2.79	0.030	0.013
										CtAG Add	litional Points	0.17
									Overa	ll Student Achie	vement Score	3.28

[•] Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

[•] Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

09X035

P.S. 035 Franz Siegel

Orous Instruction Quality Review 1.1 Proficient 3.40 22% Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 22% Quality Review 2.2 Developing 2.00 22% Quality Review 2.2 Developing 2.00 32% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Jaborative Teachers Well Developed 4.99 50% Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% Section Score: 87% 3.24 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 Proficient 3.40 30% MVC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 4.00 5% EMS 17.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 4.00 5%		Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
Quality Review 1.1 Proficient 3.40 22% Quality Review 1.2 Developing 2.00 22% NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction 89% 3.20 34% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Idaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 87% 3.24 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 5% EMS 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.92 2.92 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 </td <td>orous Instruction</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	orous Instruction			
Developing 2.00 22%		Proficient	3.40	22%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target	Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Iaborative Teachers	Quality Review 2.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Alaborative Teachers Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 87% 3.24 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 177.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 76% 2.92 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72	NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	89%	3.20	34%
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 87% 3.24 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 1.72% 3.56 30% Movement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 81% 1.00% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating:	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
Quality Review 4.2 Well Developed 4.99 50% NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 87% 3.24 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 1.72% 3.56 30% Movement of Students with disabilities to less restrictive 81% 1.00% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating:				
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers 87% 3.24 50% Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 **Poportive Environment** Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of Students with 90%+ attendance EMS 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 5% **HS 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 **Ective School Leadership** NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 76% 2.92 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 **Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 **Section Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.72 100% **Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 **Section Score: 2.72	laborative Teachers			
Section Rating: Exceeding Target Section Score: 4.12 Proficient Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.35 4.00 Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Strong Familly-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Score: 2.72	Quality Review 4.2	Well Developed	4.99	50%
Ouality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.72 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72	NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	87%	3.24	50%
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 77.2% 3.56 HS Overall 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 5% Overall 0.35 5 0.00 5% Ove	Section Rating: Exceeding Target	Section Score:	4.12	
Quality Review 3.4 Proficient 3.40 30% NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS 77.2% 3.56 HS Overall 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 5% Overall 0.35 5 0.00 5% Ove	pportive Environment			
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment 86% 2.68 35% Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS	-	Proficient	3.40	30%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance EMS HS Overall 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS Overall 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.48 100%	·			
EMS 77.2% 3.56 HS Overall 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 DONG Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.72 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72				33,2
Overall 77.2% 3.56 30% Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS 0.35 4.00 HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 76% 2.92 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72	_	77.2%	3.56	
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments EMS HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 DOING Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72	HS			
environments EMS HS Overall 0.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Score: 3.24 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 81% 2.92 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.24 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 3.24 100% 3.29 3.29 3.29 3.29 3.29 3.29 3.29 3.29 3.29 3.29 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20 3.20	Overall	77.2%	3.56	30%
EMS HS Overall O.35 4.00 5% Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section Score: Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Score: 3.24 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Score: 3.24 100%	Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72	environments			
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Section School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Section Rating: Approaching Target NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Score: 2.72	EMS	0.35	4.00	
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.24 Sective School Leadership NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Score: 2.72	HS			
A Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Score: 2.72	Overall	0.35	4.00	5%
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Section Score: 2.72	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.24	
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership 76% 2.92 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.72 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 St NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.48 100%				
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.92 Dong Family-Community Ties NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.72 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 St NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.48 100%	-	769/	2.02	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.72 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 St NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.48 100%	NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	70%	2.92	100%
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.72 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 st NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.48 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.92	
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties 81% 2.72 100% Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 st NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.48 100%	ong Family Community Tips			
Section Rating: Approaching Target Section Score: 2.72 Ist NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.48 100%		910/	2 72	1000/
NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.48 100%	NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	81%	2.72	100%
NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.48 100%	Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.72	
NYC School Survey - Trust 92% 3.48 100%				
		0.20/	2 40	1000/
Section Rating: Meeting Target Section Score: 3.48	INTO SCHOOL SULVEY - ITUSE	92%	5.48	100%
	Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.48	



P.S. 035 Franz Siegel

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction			•	, 0		· ·	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	97	86.4	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	93	83.3	93.1	100.0	0.58	3.32
Course clarity	Students		84.3	92.7	100.0		
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	78	68.7	85.3	100.0	0.31	2.24
Section Results:		89%					3.20
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	94	85.4	95.0	100.0	0.59	
Cultural awareness	Parents	93	90.5	94.9	99.3	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Students	95	68.6	87.4	100.0	0.50	
	Combined	0.4	08.0	87.4	100.0	0.54	2.16
Cultural awareness		94	04.2	04.6	100.0	0.54	3.16
Inclusive classroom instruction Ouglity of professional development	Teachers	95 73	84.2	94.6	100.0	0.75	4.00
Quality of professional development	Teachers	72	51.4	77.4	100.0	0.41	2.64
School commitment	Teachers	82	59.9	85.3	100.0	0.55	3.20
Innovation	Teachers	87	70.3	86.7	100.0	0.56	3.24
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	95	87.9	95.9	100.0	0.75	4.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	88	77.6	92.2	100.0	0.44	2.76
Focus on student learning	Teachers	86	68.2	89.0	100.0	0.57	3.28
Collective responsibility section Results:	Teachers	82 87%	65.7	84.7	100.0	0.47	2.88 3.24
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers	95	80.0	94.6	100.0	0.75	
Safety	Students	33	74.5	88.5	100.0	0.75	
• Safety	Combined	95	74.5	00.5	100.0	0.75	4.00
Classroom behavior:	Combined	33				0.75	4.00
Classroom behavior	Teachers	78	66.0	0	100.0	0.22	
		78	66.9	85.5		0.33	
Classroom behavior	Students	70	67.3	84.3	100.0	0.22	2.22
Classroom behavior	Combined	78	00.0	06.6	400.0	0.33	2.32
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	94	89.0	96.6	100.0	0.50	3.00
Peer interactions	Students		68.2	84.8	100.0		
Next-level guidance	Students						
Press toward academic achievement:			75.0	00.0	400.0	0.00	
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers	77	75.0	88.8	100.0	0.09	
Press toward academic achievement	Students		85.3	91.9	98.5		
 Press toward academic achievement 	Combined	77				0.09	1.36
Personal attention and support	Students		77.8	89.6	100.0		
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers	84	76.5	91.5	100.0	0.33	
Peer support for academic work	Parents	92	88.4	94.8	100.0	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Students		50.4	73.8	97.2		
Peer support for academic work	Combined	88				0.42	2.68
Section Results:		86%					2.68

P.S. 035 Franz Siegel

		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Range City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Effective School Leadership							
Inclusive principal leadership	Parents	89	79.3	90.9	100.0	0.48	2.92
Teacher influence	Teachers	47	28.8	60.8	92.8	0.29	2.16
Program coherence	Teachers	78	60.0	85.2	100.0	0.45	2.80
Principal instructional leadership	Teachers	88	61.6	87.0	100.0	0.68	3.72
Section Results:		76%					2.92
Strong Family Community Ties							
Teacher outreach to parents:							
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	90	84.5	94.5	100.0	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	91	86.0	92.6	99.2	0.50	
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	90				0.50	3.00
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	72	62.4	76.6	90.8	0.35	2.40
Section Results:		81%					2.72
Trust							
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	93	90.9	95.3	99.7	0.50	3.00
Parent-principal trust	Parents	94	82.7	93.3	100.0	0.65	3.60
Student-teacher trust	Students		64.6	85.2	100.0		
 Teacher-principal trust 	Teachers	92	56.4	85.0	100.0	0.82	4.28
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	87	74.1	90.5	100.0	0.49	2.96
Section Results:		92%					3.48

Targets for 2015-16 P.S. 035 Franz Siegel

These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
State Test Results - ELA*						
Average Student Proficiency	2.28	2.18 or lower	2.19 to 2.29	2.30 to 2.36	2.37 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.91	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.90	1.91 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	13.1%	11.5% or lower	11.6% to 17.0%	17.1% to 21.2%	21.3% or higher	
State Test Results - Math*						
Average Student Proficiency	2.48	2.29 or lower	2.30 to 2.45	2.46 to 2.56	2.57 or higher	
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	2.05	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.07	2.08 or higher	
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	26.3%	17.6% or lower	17.7% to 24.9%	25.0% to 30.3%	30.4% or higher	
MS Adjusted Core Course Pass Rate of Former Students	94.4%	85.7% or lower	85.8% to 89.3%	89.4% to 92.1%	92.2% or higher	
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15		2015-16	Targets		
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained	1.78	1.69 or lower	1.70 to 1.79	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.03	1.91 or lower	1.92 to 2.02	2.03 to 2.10	2.11 or higher	
SETSS	2.07	1.94 or lower	1.95 to 2.06	2.07 to 2.16	2.17 or higher	
ELL	2.12	1.97 or lower	1.98 to 2.10	2.11 to 2.21	2.22 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	1.89	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.92	1.93 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	1.86	1.81 or lower	1.82 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher	
Math - Average Proficiency Rating						
Self-Contained	1.87	1.77 or lower	1.78 to 1.91	1.92 to 2.01	2.02 or higher	
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.13	2.06 or lower	2.07 to 2.23	2.24 to 2.36	2.37 or higher	
SETSS	2.16	2.08 or lower	2.09 to 2.26	2.27 to 2.39	2.40 or higher	
ELL	2.26	2.07 or lower	2.08 to 2.27	2.28 to 2.42	2.43 or higher	
Lowest Third Citywide	2.07	1.87 or lower	1.88 to 1.95	1.96 to 2.01	2.02 or higher	
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.02	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher	
ELL Progress	52.9%	33.1% or lower	33.2% to 43.1%	43.2% to 50.6%	50.7% or higher	

^{*}To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below <u>and</u> have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target			
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	77.2%	71.7% or lower	71.8% to 78.5%	78.6% to 83.7%	83.8% or higher			
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.35	0.14 or lower	0.15 to 0.22	0.23 to 0.28	0.29 or higher			