## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

**Summary of Section Ratings** 

#### **Framework for Great Schools**

The Framework consists of six elements—Rigorous Instruction, Collaborative Teachers, Supportive Environment, Effective School Leadership, Strong Family-Community Ties, and Trust—that drive Student Achievement. The School Quality Guide shares ratings and data on each of the Framework elements, based on information from Quality Reviews, the NYC School Survey, student attendance, and movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive environments. The School Quality Guide also shares ratings and data on Student Achievement based on a variety of quantitative measures of student growth and performance.

#### Not Meeting Target Approaching Target Meeting Target ExceedingTarget Section Section Rating **Rigorous Instruction Meeting Target** 3.00 **Collaborative Teachers** Approaching Target 2.84 **Supportive Environment Meeting Target** 3.40 **Effective School Leadership** Approaching Target 2.08 **Strong Family-Community Ties** Meeting Target 3.52 **Meeting Target** Trust 3.12 **Student Achievement Meeting Target** 3.19 1 2 3 4.99

**Section scores** are on a scale from 1.00 - 4.99. The first digit corresponds to the section rating, and the additional digits show how close the school was to the next rating level.

### State Accountability Status: Good Standing

This designation is determined by the New York State Department of Education. More information on New York State accountability can be found at: <a href="http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm">http://schools.nyc.gov/Accountability/tools/accountability/default.htm</a>

#### Note

In addition, an online version of the 2014-15 School Quality Guide, with additional features, can be found at http://schoolqualityreports.nyc

# 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

School Enrollment and Demographic Data

# **Student Enrollment**

Grade	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
Grade 6	68	88	139
Grade 7	99	72	96
Grade 8	95	105	83
All students	262	265	318

# **Student Demographics**

	2012 - 2013	2013 - 2014	2014 - 2015
% English Language Learners	20%	15%	11%
% Free Lunch Eligible	84%	84%	86%
% Student with IEPs	36%	36%	29%
% Student with IEPs (less than 20% time)	16%	12%	8%
% HRA Eligible	-	68%	63%
% Temporary Housing	-	11%	12%
% Asian	3%	2%	2%
% Black	23%	23%	22%
% Hispanic	69%	68%	64%
% White	5%	6%	11%
% Other	0%	0%	2%
Average Incoming ELA Proficiency	2.50	2.28	2.41
Average Incoming Math Proficiency	2.79	2.32	2.46

14K126 John Ericsson Middle School 126

## 2014-15 School Quality Guide / MS

Student Achievement Scoring Appendix

Student Achievement Rating	Student Achievement Score									
Meeting Target	3.19									
					2	014-15 Target	S			
Student Achievement Metrics		n	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	— Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Weight Pct
State Test Results - ELA						_	_			_
Average Student Proficiency		312	2.25	1.91	2.10	2.21	2.33	2.59	3.33	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		312	13.5%	0.8%	6.2%	10.2%	14.7%	22.9%	3.73	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		301	61.0	50.5	55.9	63.6	68.8	77.9	2.66	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		106	81.0	67.3	72.3	79.2	83.8	92.0	3.39	9.80%
State Test Results - Math										
Average Student Proficiency		313	2.22	1.78	2.02	2.17	2.33	2.66	3.31	9.80%
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4		313	11.8%	0.0%	5.5%	9.7%	14.3%	23.6%	3.46	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile		300	49.5	41.6	49.0	59.9	67.1	79.9	2.05	9.80%
Median Adjusted Growth Percentile - School's Lowest Third		103	70.0	57.6	64.3	74.2	80.7	92.4	2.58	9.80%
Core Course Pass Rates										
ELA		308	84.4%	65.8%	75.5%	82.7%	90.8%	100.0%	3.21	1.96%
Math		308	94.2%	63.3%	73.7%	81.5%	90.1%	100.0%	4.41	1.96%
Science		308	89.0%	64.9%	74.9%	82.3%	90.6%	100.0%	3.81	1.96%
Social Studies		308	97.7%	64.1%	74.3%	81.9%	90.3%	100.0%	4.76	1.96%
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit		80	0.0%	0.0%	8.4%	14.7%	21.7%	32.3%	1.00	3.92%
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders		95	74.0%	60.0%	70.0%	78.0%	86.0%	96.0%	2.50	9.80%
									Weighted Average Score	3.00

						2	014-15 Targets	5	_			
Closing the Achievement Gap (CtAG) Metrics	n	2014-15 School Population %	Population % of Range	2014-15 School Value	Bottom of Target Range	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target	– Top of Target Range	Metric Score	Extra Points Possible	Extra Points Earned
ELA - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	33	10.6%	54.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	1.3%	2.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
• Integrated Co-Teaching	24	7.7%	42.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	3.2%	5.1%	8.4%	1.00	0.030	0.000
SETSS	26	8.3%	89.2%	7.7%	0.0%	2.6%	5.2%	8.2%	13.6%	3.83	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at Level 3 or 4												
• Self-Contained	34	10.9%	57.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.4%	2.3%	3.8%	1.00	0.030	0.000
Integrated Co-Teaching	24	7.7%	42.5%	4.2%	0.0%	2.4%	4.8%	7.6%	12.6%	2.75	0.030	0.013
O SETSS	26	8.3%	90.2%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	6.6%	10.4%	17.2%	1.00	0.030	0.000
ELA - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	51	16.9%	37.8%	43.1%	17.2%	27.0%	36.6%	47.8%	68.0%	3.58	0.030	0.019
Lowest Third Citywide	146	48.5%	61.9%	56.2%	31.5%	39.4%	47.2%	56.3%	72.7%	3.99	0.030	0.022
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	74	24.6%	55.0%	51.4%	29.6%	38.0%	46.2%	55.9%	73.2%	3.54	0.030	0.019
SC/ICT/SETSS	82	27.2%	66.3%	58.5%	35.0%	42.9%	50.8%	60.0%	76.4%	3.84	0.030	0.021
Math - Percent at 75th+ Growth Percentile												
ELL	52	17.3%	35.7%	30.8%	12.4%	22.8%	33.0%	45.0%	66.4%	2.78	0.030	0.013
Lowest Third Citywide	128	42.7%	52.4%	39.8%	24.4%	34.1%	43.7%	54.9%	75.0%	2.59	0.030	0.012
Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	66	22.0%	49.4%	47.0%	24.1%	34.0%	43.7%	55.1%	75.5%	3.29	0.030	0.017
SC/ICT/SETSS	81	27.0%	67.1%	44.4%	25.8%	34.5%	43.0%	53.1%	71.0%	3.14	0.030	0.016
ELL Progress	35	11.0%	30.5%	37.1%	11.3%	22.8%	34.2%	47.5%	71.3%	3.22	0.030	0.017
										CtAG Ad	ditional Points	0.19
									Over	all Student Achie	evement Score	3.19

• Filled circle indicates a metric rating of Exceeding Target (and a metric score of 4.00 or higher).

• Empty circle indicates a metric rating of Not Meeting Target (and a metric score of 1.99 or lower).

## 14K126 John Ericsson Middle School 126

## 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements Scoring Appendix

```
14K126
```

John Ericsson Middle School 126

	Metric Value	Metric Score	Weight Pct
prous Instruction			
Quality Review 1.1	Proficient	3.40	22%
Quality Review 1.2	Developing	2.00	22%
Quality Review 2.2	Proficient	3.40	22%
NYC School Survey - Rigorous Instruction	87%	3.16	34%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.00	
		0.00	
aborative Teachers			
Quality Review 4.2	Proficient	3.40	50%
NYC School Survey - Collaborative Teachers	77%	2.24	50%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.84	
portive Environment			
Quality Review 3.4	Proficient	3.40	30%
NYC School Survey - Supportive Environment	86%	3.12	35%
Percentage of students with 90%+ attendance			
EMS	74.5%	3.80	
HS			
Overall	74.5%	3.80	30%
Movement of students with disabilities to less restrictive			
environments			
EMS	0.28	2.65	
HS			
Overall	0.28	2.65	5%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.40	
ctive School Leadership			
NYC School Survey - Effective School Leadership	66%	2.08	100%
Section Rating: Approaching Target	Section Score:	2.08	
ong Family-Community Ties			
NYC School Survey - Strong Family-Community Ties	82%	3.52	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.52	
st	020/	2.12	4000/
NYC School Survey - Trust	83%	3.12	100%
Section Rating: Meeting Target	Section Score:	3.12	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
14K126
John Ericsson Middle School 126
```

				City Range			
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score
Rigorous Instruction						-	
Common Core shifts in literacy	Teachers	91	79.4	91.4	100.0	0.54	3.16
Common Core shifts in math	Teachers	92	68.9	87.1	100.0	0.75	4.00
Course clarity	Students	87	81.3	89.7	98.1	0.33	2.32
Quality of student discussion	Teachers	78	53.2	78.4	100.0	0.53	3.12
Section Results:		87%					3.16
Collaborative Teachers							
Cultural awareness:							
Cultural awareness	Teachers	90	84.5	94.1	100.0	0.50	
Cultural awareness	Parents	97	87.1	93.3	99.5	0.77	
Cultural awareness	Students	87	70.6	84.2	97.8	0.59	
Cultural awareness	Combined	91				0.62	3.48
Inclusive classroom instruction	Teachers	91	81.7	93.3	100.0	0.51	3.04
<ul> <li>Quality of professional development</li> </ul>	Teachers	53	54.0	77.4	100.0	0.00	1.00
<ul> <li>School commitment</li> </ul>	Teachers	64	59.7	84.3	100.0	0.11	1.44
Innovation	Teachers	77	65.8	85.2	100.0	0.32	2.28
Reflective dialogue	Teachers	87	86.6	95.8	100.0	0.25	2.00
Peer collaboration	Teachers	86	76.7	91.9	100.0	0.40	2.60
<ul> <li>Focus on student learning</li> </ul>	Teachers	74	68.4	88.4	100.0	0.17	1.68
Collective responsibility	Teachers	73	57.5	82.3	100.0	0.37	2.48
Section Results:		77%					2.24
Supportive Environment Safety:							
Safety	Teachers						
Safety	Students	89	67.5	82.9	98.3	0.69	
Safety	Combined	89				0.69	3.76
Classroom behavior:							
Classroom behavior	Teachers						
Classroom behavior	Students	82	63.4	79.2	95.0	0.58	
Classroom behavior	Combined	82				0.58	3.32
Social-emotional measure	Teachers	89	84.7	95.3	100.0	0.28	2.12
Peer interactions	Students	80	67.5	80.7	93.9	0.47	2.88
Next-level guidance	Students	90	76.9	88.3	99.7	0.59	3.36
Press toward academic achievement:							
Press toward academic achievement	Teachers						
Press toward academic achievement	Students	89	80.6	88.2	95.8	0.53	
Press toward academic achievement	Combined	89				0.53	3.12
Personal attention and support	Students	87	74.1	85.5	96.9	0.57	3.28
Peer support for academic work:							
Peer support for academic work	Teachers						
Peer support for academic work	Parents	91	76.8	88.6	100.0	0.63	
Peer support for academic work	Students	67	48.0	66.6	85.2	0.50	
Peer support for academic work	Combined	79				0.56	3.24
Section Results:		86%					3.12

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports

Framework Elements - Survey Scoring Appendix

```
14K126
John Ericsson Middle School 126
```

				City Range				
		Survey % Positive	Bottom of Range	City Avg	Top of Range	Percent of Range	Score	
Effective School Leadership								
<ul> <li>Inclusive principal leadership</li> </ul>	Parents	96	82.1	90.7	99.3	0.81	4.24	
<ul> <li>Teacher influence</li> </ul>	Teachers	49	34.5	67.1	99.7	0.22	1.88	
<ul> <li>Program coherence</li> </ul>	Teachers	63	60.8	85.2	100.0	0.06	1.24	
<ul> <li>Principal instructional leadership</li> </ul>	Teachers	55	67.2	88.0	100.0	0.00	1.00	
Section Results:		66%					2.08	
Strong Family Community Ties								
Teacher outreach to parents:								
Teacher outreach to parents	Teachers	92	79.9	92.5	100.0	0.59		
Teacher outreach to parents	Parents	93	81.6	90.6	99.6	0.63		
Teacher outreach to parents	Combined	92				0.61	3.44	
Parent involvement in the schools	Parents	72	47.1	66.3	85.5	0.65	3.60	
Section Results:		82%					3.52	
Trust								
Parent-teacher trust	Parents	97	88.9	94.3	99.7	0.77	4.08	
Parent-principal trust	Parents	97	88.6	94.8	100.0	0.75	4.00	
Student-teacher trust	Students	88	69.2	82.0	94.8	0.72	3.88	
<ul> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> </ul>	Teachers	49	63.2	87.4	100.0	0.00	1.00	
Teacher-teacher trust	Teachers	85	74.2	90.6	100.0	0.41	2.64	
Section Results:		83%					3.12	
<ul> <li>Student-teacher trust</li> <li>Teacher-principal trust</li> <li>Teacher-teacher trust</li> </ul>	Students Teachers	88 49 85	69.2 63.2	82.0 87.4	94.8 100.0	0.72 0.00	3. 1. 2.	

### 2014-15 School Quality Reports / MS

Targets for 2015-16

John Ericsson Middle School 126

#### These tables show the values needed in 2015-16 for the school to achieve a rating of Exceeding Target, Meeting Target, Approaching Target, or Not Meeting Target on each metric.

Student Achievement Metrics	2014-15		2015-16 Targets					
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
State Test Results - ELA*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.25	2.27 or lower	2.28 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.41	2.42 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.89	1.85 or lower	1.86 to 1.94	1.95 to 2.00	2.01 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	13.5%	13.0% or lower	13.1% to 16.7%	16.8% to 19.7%	19.8% or highe			
State Test Results - Math*								
Average Student Proficiency	2.22	2.22 or lower	2.23 to 2.35	2.36 to 2.45	2.46 or higher			
Average Student Proficiency - School's Lowest Third	1.88	1.79 or lower	1.80 to 1.89	1.90 to 1.99	2.00 or higher			
Percentage of Students at Level 3 or 4	11.8%	12.4% or lower	12.5% to 18.2%	18.3% to 22.9%	23.0% or highe			
Core Course Pass Rates								
ELA	84.4%	78.6% or lower	78.7% to 83.6%	83.7% to 87.7%	87.8% or highe			
Math	94.2%	79.4% or lower	79.5% to 84.2%	84.3% to 88.1%	88.2% or highe			
Science	89.0%	81.3% or lower	81.4% to 85.7%	85.8% to 89.2%	89.3% or highe			
Social Studies	97.7%	77.0% or lower	77.1% to 82.4%	82.5% to 86.8%	86.9% or highe			
Percent of 8th Graders Earning HS Credit	0.0%	9.3% or lower	9.4% to 14.7%	14.8% to 19.0%	19.1% or highe			
9th Grade Adjusted Credit Accumulation of Former 8th Graders	74.0%	75.9% or lower	76.0% to 79.9%	80.0% to 83.9%	84.0% or highe			
Closing the Achievement Gap Metrics*	2014-15	2015-16 Targets						
0	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Targe			
ELA - Average Proficiency Rating								
Self-Contained	1.83	1.78 or lower	1.79 to 1.84	1.85 to 1.90	1.91 or higher			
Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching	1.83 2.01	1.78 or lower 1.99 or lower	1.79 to 1.84 2.00 to 2.07	1.85 to 1.90 2.08 to 2.13	-			
					2.14 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching	2.01	1.99 or lower	2.00 to 2.07	2.08 to 2.13	2.14 or higher 2.25 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS	2.01 2.11	1.99 or lower 2.03 or lower	2.00 to 2.07 2.04 to 2.14	2.08 to 2.13 2.15 to 2.24	2.14 or higher 2.25 or higher 2.22 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL	2.01 2.11 2.06	1.99 or lower 2.03 or lower 2.00 or lower	2.00 to 2.07 2.04 to 2.14 2.01 to 2.11	2.08 to 2.13 2.15 to 2.24 2.12 to 2.21	2.14 or higher 2.25 or higher 2.22 or higher 2.01 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01 2.11 2.06 1.94	1.99 or lower 2.03 or lower 2.00 or lower 1.91 or lower	2.00 to 2.07 2.04 to 2.14 2.01 to 2.11 1.92 to 1.96	2.08 to 2.13 2.15 to 2.24 2.12 to 2.21 1.97 to 2.00	2.14 or higher 2.25 or higher 2.22 or higher 2.01 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide	2.01 2.11 2.06 1.94	1.99 or lower 2.03 or lower 2.00 or lower 1.91 or lower	2.00 to 2.07 2.04 to 2.14 2.01 to 2.11 1.92 to 1.96	2.08 to 2.13 2.15 to 2.24 2.12 to 2.21 1.97 to 2.00	2.14 or higher 2.25 or higher 2.22 or higher 2.01 or higher 2.00 or higher			
Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Wath - Average Proficiency Rating	2.01 2.11 2.06 1.94 1.90	<ul><li>1.99 or lower</li><li>2.03 or lower</li><li>2.00 or lower</li><li>1.91 or lower</li><li>1.88 or lower</li></ul>	2.00 to 2.07 2.04 to 2.14 2.01 to 2.11 1.92 to 1.96 1.89 to 1.93	2.08 to 2.13 2.15 to 2.24 2.12 to 2.21 1.97 to 2.00 1.94 to 1.99	<ul> <li>2.14 or higher</li> <li>2.25 or higher</li> <li>2.22 or higher</li> <li>2.01 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>1.90 or higher</li> </ul>			
Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained	2.01 2.11 2.06 1.94 1.90 1.91	1.99 or lower 2.03 or lower 2.00 or lower 1.91 or lower 1.88 or lower 1.73 or lower	2.00 to 2.07 2.04 to 2.14 2.01 to 2.11 1.92 to 1.96 1.89 to 1.93	2.08 to 2.13 2.15 to 2.24 2.12 to 2.21 1.97 to 2.00 1.94 to 1.99 1.83 to 1.89	<ul> <li>2.14 or higher</li> <li>2.25 or higher</li> <li>2.22 or higher</li> <li>2.01 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.11 or higher</li> </ul>			
Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching	2.01 2.11 2.06 1.94 1.90 1.91 1.98	1.99 or lower 2.03 or lower 2.00 or lower 1.91 or lower 1.88 or lower 1.73 or lower 1.89 or lower	2.00 to 2.07 2.04 to 2.14 2.01 to 2.11 1.92 to 1.96 1.89 to 1.93 1.74 to 1.82 1.90 to 2.01	2.08 to 2.13 2.15 to 2.24 2.12 to 2.21 1.97 to 2.00 1.94 to 1.99 1.83 to 1.89 2.02 to 2.10	2.14 or higher 2.25 or higher 2.22 or higher 2.01 or higher 2.00 or higher 1.90 or higher 2.11 or higher 2.20 or higher			
<ul> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> <li>SETSS</li> <li>ELL</li> <li>Lowest Third Citywide</li> <li>Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide</li> <li>Math - Average Proficiency Rating</li> <li>Self-Contained</li> <li>Integrated Co-Teaching</li> <li>SETSS</li> </ul>	2.01 2.11 2.06 1.94 1.90 1.91 1.98 1.98	1.99 or lower 2.03 or lower 2.00 or lower 1.91 or lower 1.88 or lower 1.73 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.91 or lower	2.00 to 2.07 2.04 to 2.14 2.01 to 2.11 1.92 to 1.96 1.89 to 1.93 1.74 to 1.82 1.90 to 2.01 1.92 to 2.06	2.08 to 2.13 2.15 to 2.24 2.12 to 2.21 1.97 to 2.00 1.94 to 1.99 1.83 to 1.89 2.02 to 2.10 2.07 to 2.19	<ul> <li>1.91 or higher</li> <li>2.14 or higher</li> <li>2.25 or higher</li> <li>2.22 or higher</li> <li>2.01 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.11 or higher</li> <li>2.20 or higher</li> <li>2.34 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> </ul>			
Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL Lowest Third Citywide Black and Hispanic Males in Lowest Third Citywide Math - Average Proficiency Rating Self-Contained Integrated Co-Teaching SETSS ELL	2.01 2.11 2.06 1.94 1.90 1.91 1.98 1.98 2.18	1.99 or lower 2.03 or lower 2.00 or lower 1.91 or lower 1.88 or lower 1.73 or lower 1.89 or lower 1.91 or lower 2.04 or lower	2.00 to 2.07 2.04 to 2.14 2.01 to 2.11 1.92 to 1.96 1.89 to 1.93 	2.08 to 2.13 2.15 to 2.24 2.12 to 2.21 1.97 to 2.00 1.94 to 1.99 1.83 to 1.89 2.02 to 2.10 2.07 to 2.19 2.21 to 2.33	<ul> <li>2.14 or higher</li> <li>2.25 or higher</li> <li>2.22 or higher</li> <li>2.01 or higher</li> <li>2.00 or higher</li> <li>1.90 or higher</li> <li>2.11 or higher</li> <li>2.20 or higher</li> <li>2.34 or higher</li> </ul>			

\*To earn additional points from the Closing the Achievement Gap section on the 2015-16 School Quality Reports, the school must meet the targets below and have a population percentage (of the relevant high-need group) that is not more than one standard deviation below the citywide average.

Supportive Environment Metrics	2014-15		2015-16	Targets	
	School Value	Not Meeting Target	Approaching Target	Meeting Target	Exceeding Target
Percentage of Students with 90%+ Attendance	74.5%	66.2% or lower	66.3% to 72.0%	72.1% to 76.8%	76.9% or higher
Movement of Students with Disabilities to Less Restrictive Environments	0.28	0.18 or lower	0.19 to 0.28	0.29 to 0.37	0.38 or higher

\* If the participation in state tests is low, the targets may be adjusted to reflect the students at the school that actually take the tests.

# 14K126

	_
et	 _
r	
r	
er	

r	
r	
٥r	